



D:Mp Election: Letter to ceo for grant release

**ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ಸರ್ಕಾರ
(ಕಂದಾಯ ಇಲಾಖೆ)**

ದೂರವಾಣಿ:0831-2406308

Email-dtelndcbgm@gmail.com

ನಂ.ಚುಶಾ:ಇಎಲ್‌ಎನ್:ವಿವ-94/2019-20

ಜಿಲ್ಲಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳ ಕಾರ್ಯಾಲಯ,
ಬೆಳಗಾವಿ, ದಿನಾಂಕ:23.07.2019.

ಗೆ,

ಮುಖ್ಯ ಚುನಾವಣಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು,
ಹಾಗೂ ಪದನಿಮಿತ್ತ ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಅಪರ ಮುಖ್ಯ ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿಗಳು,
ಸಿಬ್ಬಂದಿ ಮತ್ತು ಆಡಳಿತ ಸುಧಾರಣೆ ಇಲಾಖೆ,
ಶೇಷಾದ್ರಿ ರೋಡ ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು-560001.

ಮಾನ್ಯರೇ,


ವಿಷಯ: ಲೋಕಸಭಾ ಸಾರ್ವತ್ರಿಕ ಚುನಾವಣೆ 2019: ಚುನಾವಣೆಗೆ ಸ್ಪರ್ಧಿಸಿದ ಅಭ್ಯರ್ಥಿಗಳ scrutiny & summery ವರದಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸುವ ಕುರಿತು.

ಉಲ್ಲೇಖ: ಮಾನ್ಯ ಮುಖ್ಯ ಚುನಾವಣಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು, ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ಇವರ ಪತ್ರ ಕ್ರ:ಸಿಆಸುಇ:18:
ಚುವೆಬ:ಅಮುಚುಅ:1/2019, ದಿನಾಂಕ:19.07.2019.

* * * * *

ಮೇಲಿನ ವಿಷಯಕ್ಕೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದಂತೆ, ಉಲ್ಲೇಖಿತ ಪತ್ರದಡಿ ಲೋಕಸಭಾ ಸಾರ್ವತ್ರಿಕ ಚುನಾವಣೆ 2019 ರ ಚುನಾವಣೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸ್ಪರ್ಧಿಸಿದ ಅಭ್ಯರ್ಥಿಗಳ scrutiny & summery ವರದಿಗಳನ್ನು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸುವಂತೆ ಸೂಚಿಸಿದನ್ವಯ. ಬೆಳಗಾವಿ ಜಿಲ್ಲೆಯ 01-ಚಿಕ್ಕೋಡಿ ಲೋಕಸಭಾ ಮತಕ್ಷೇತ್ರದ ಹಾಗೂ 02-ಬೆಳಗಾವಿ ಲೋಕಸಭಾ ಮತಕ್ಷೇತ್ರಗಳಿಗೆ ಚುನಾವಣೆಗೆ ಸ್ಪರ್ಧಿಸಿದ ಅಭ್ಯರ್ಥಿಗಳ ಮೂಲ scrutiny & summery ವರದಿಗಳನ್ನು ಈ ಪತ್ರದೊಂದಿಗೆ ಲಗತ್ತಿಸಿ ಮಾನ್ಯರಿಗೆ ಮುಂದಿನ ಕ್ರಮಕ್ಕಾಗಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ.

ತಮ್ಮ ವಿಶ್ವಾಸಿಕ,


ಜಿಲ್ಲಾಧಿಕಾರಿ, ಬೆಳಗಾವಿ
ಇವರ ಪರವಾಗಿ.



SUMMARY REPORT OF DEO FOR EACH CONSTITUENCY ON LODGING OF ELECTION EXPENSES ACCOUNTS BY CANDIDATES

01ChikodiPC

Karrataka & Belagavi

22.06.2018

(b) Total No. of contesting candidates: **11**

(d) Date of declaration of result of election: **23.05.2019**

(f) Name of the elected candidate: **Shri. Annasabeb Shankar Jolle**

S. No.	Name of the candidate and Party Affiliation	Due date of lodging of account	Date of lodging of accounts by the candidate	Whether lodged in the prescribed format (Yes or No)	Whether lodged in the manner required by law (Yes or No)	Grand Total of the expenses incurred/ authorized by the candidate/ agent (as mentioned in Part-II of Abstract Statement)	Whether the DEO agrees with the amount shown by the candidate against all items of expenditure (Should be similar to point no. 22 of DEO's Scrutiny Report i.e. Annexure- C3)	9		10		11
								Total expenses incurred by the Party (As reported in Part-III of Abstract Statement)	Lump Sum Amount in cash or cheque given to candidate by each Political Party	Grand Total of other expenses in kind by the Political Party	Lump sum Amount in cash / cheque given to the candidate (and mention names of donors)	
1	Shri. Annasabeb Shankar Jolle(GJP)	22.06.2020	22.06.2019	Yes	Yes	4245464	No*	4000000	748000	Nil	Nil	-
2	Shri. Prakash Bahanna Hukkeri(INC)	22.06.2020	18.06.2019	Yes	Yes	5319860	Yes	No	No	No	No	-
3	Shri. Madendra Dorella Kadapur(GSP)	22.06.2021	21.06.2019	Yes	Yes	391983	Yes	No	No	No	No	-
4	Shri. Appasaheb Sripari Kurane (Bharat Bahujan Mahasangh)	22.06.2022	18.06.2019	Yes	Yes	110934	Yes	No	No	No	No	-
5	Shri. Balligere Praveenkumar (Utam Pralokha Palsb)	22.06.2023	18.06.2019	Yes	Yes	35081	Yes	No	No	No	No	-
6	Shri. Magadani Kshatiragadare (Re Public Palsb)	22.06.2024	18.06.2019	Yes	Yes	27825	Yes	No	No	No	No	-
7	Shri. Kallappa Adiveppa Gudasi (Independent)	22.06.2025	21.06.2019	Yes	Yes	93571	Yes	No	No	No	No	-
8	Shri. Jeeendra Subash Nerle (Independent)	22.06.2026	18.06.2019	Yes	Yes	168418	Yes	No	No	No	No	-
9	Shri. Mohan Gurappa Moomarvar (Independent)	22.06.2027	18.06.2019	Yes	Yes	23340	Yes	No	No	No	No	-
10	Shri. Vishwanath Kulkoli Vajantri (Independent)	22.06.2028	18.06.2019	Yes	Yes	61015	Yes	No	No	No	No	-
11	Shri. Srinak Annasabeb Jaganre (Independent)	22.06.2029	18.06.2019	Yes	Yes	77767	Yes	No	No	No	No	-

* DEO Comment: DEO not accepting the contention of candidate. Accordingly after due process DEMC proceeding dated 17/04/2019 and 18/06/2019(2) were issued. However the candidate, vide letter dated 20/06/2019, did not accept Expenditure booked in shadow observation register for an amount of Rs.1501059/-

** Comments of the Expenditure Observer, We agree with the observations/ Comments made by DEO. The candidate is not accepting Expenditure of Rs.1501059/- booked in shadow register, in spite of proper adjudication proceedings by DEMC on 17-04-2019 and 18-06-2019(2).

Date: 24/6/2019

Signature of the Expenditure Observer: *[Signature]*

Signature of the Expenditure Observer: *[Signature]*

Dr. B. Benmanahalli
DEO AND DC Belagavi Dist

SCRUTINY REPORT OF DEO

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO-03

Name of the State Karnataka, District Belagavi, Parliamentary Election 2019.

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	Shri. Prakash Babanna Hukkeri
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	INC
3	No. and name of Parliamentary Constituency	01ChikodiPC
4	Name of the elected candidate	Shri. Prakash Babanna Hukkeri
5	Date of declaration of result	23.05.2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18.06.2019
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22.06.2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate:	18.06.2019
	(i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	
12	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12 A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	NA
14 A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	NA
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	5319860
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	Yes
17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	NA
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	NA
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	NA
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	NA
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	NA

18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect						NA
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect						NA
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.						NA
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:						Yes
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate	
i	NA						
ii	NA						
TOTAL							
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period						Yes
	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:						NA
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting						NA
21	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice						NA
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?						NA
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply						NA
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation						NA
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO) Date :						Yes
							S B Bommanahalli DEO AND DC Belagavi Dist
23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*							
Date:							
Signature of the Expenditure Observer							
* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.							
** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately							

SCRUTINY REPORT OF DEO

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO-07

Name of the State Karnataka, District Belagavi, Parliamentary Election 2019.

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	Shri. Kallappa Adivappa Gudasi
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	Independent
3	No. and name of Parliamentary Constituency	01ChikodiPC
4	Name of the elected candidate	Shri. Annasaheb Shankar Jolle
5	Date of declaration of result	23.05.2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18.06.2019
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22.06.2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate:	22.06.2019
	(i) original account	
	(ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	
12	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12 A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	NA
14 A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	NA
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	93571
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	Yes
17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	NA
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	NA
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	NA
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	NA
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	NA

18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect	NA				
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect	NA				
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.	NA				
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:	Yes				
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
i	NA					
ii						
TOTAL						
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period	Yes				
21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:	NA				
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	NA				
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	NA				
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?	NA				
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	NA				
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	NA				
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO) Date :	Yes S B Bommanahalli DEO AND DC Belagavi Dist 22/6/2019				
23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer Date: 22/6/19 Signature of the Expenditure Observer						
* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.						
** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately						

SCRUTINY REPORT OF DEO

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO-05

Name of the State Karnataka, District Belagavi, Parliamentary Election 2019.

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	Shri. Balligatti Praveenkumar
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	Uttam Prajakiya Paksh
3	No. and name of Parliamentary Constituency	01ChikodiPC
4	Name of the elected candidate	Shri. Annasaheb Shankar Jolle
5	Date of declaration of result	23.05.2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18.06.2019
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22.06.2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate:	18.06.2019
	(i) original account	
	(ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	
12	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12 A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	NA
14 A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	NA
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	33081
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	Yes
17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	NA
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	NA
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	NA
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	NA
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	NA

18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect	NA				
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect	NA				
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.	NA				
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:	Yes				
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
i	NA					
ii	NA					
TOTAL						
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period	Yes				
	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:	NA				
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	NA				
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	NA				
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?	NA				
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	NA				
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	NA				
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO) Date :	Yes S B Bommanahalli DEO AND DC Belagavi Dist				
23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*						
Date: 18/6/19						
Signature of the Expenditure Observer						
* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.						
** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately						

SCRUTINY REPORT OF DEO

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO-04

Name of the State Karnataka, District Belagavi, Parliamentary Election 2019.

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	Shri. Appasaheb Sripati Kurane
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	Bharip Bahujan Mahasang
3	No. and name of Parliamentary Constituency	01ChikodiPC
4	Name of the elected candidate	Shri. Annasaheb Shankar Jolle
5	Date of declaration of result	23.05.2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18.06.2019
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22.06.2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate:	18.06.2019
	(i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	
12	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12 A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	NA
14 A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	NA
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	110934
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	Yes
17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	NA
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	NA
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	NA
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	NA
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	NA

18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect						NA
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect						NA
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.						NA
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:						Yes
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate	
i	NA						
ii							
TOTAL							
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period						Yes
	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:						NA
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting						NA
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice						NA
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?						NA
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply						NA
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation						NA
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO) Date : 18/06/2019						Yes
23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer* Date: 18/6/2019 Signature of the Expenditure Observer							
* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.							
** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately							

P.V. Praveen
18/6/19



S.B. Bommanahalli
DEO AND DC Belagavi Dist
18.6.19

SCRUTINY REPORT OF DEO

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO-06

Name of the State Karnataka, District Belagavi, Parliamentary Election 2019.

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	Shri. Magadum Ismailmagadum
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	Re Public Paksh
3	No. and name of Parliamentary Constituency	01ChikodiPC
4	Name of the elected candidate	Shri. Annasaheb Shankar Jolle
5	Date of declaration of result	23.05.2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18.06.2019
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22.06.2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	18.06.2019
12	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12 A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	NA
14 A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	NA
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	27825
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	Yes
	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	
17	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	NA
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	NA
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	NA
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	NA
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	NA

18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect						NA
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect						NA
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.						NA
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:						Yes
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate	
	i	NA					
	ii						
	TOTAL						
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period						Yes
	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:						NA
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting						NA
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice						NA
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?						NA
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply						NA
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation						NA
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO) Date :						Yes
							S B Bommanahalli DEO AND DC Belagavi Dist
	23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer* Date: Signature of the Expenditure Observer	 					
	* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.						
	** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately						

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	Shri. Jeetendra Subash Nerle
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	Independent
3	No. and name of Parliamentary Constituency	01ChikodiPC
4	Name of the elected candidate	Shri. Annasaheb Shankar Jolle
5	Date of declaration of result	23.05.2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18.06.2019
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22.06.2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account	18.06.2019
	(ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	
12	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12 A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	NA
14 A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	NA
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	168418
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	Yes
17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	NA
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	NA
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	NA
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	NA
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	NA

18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect				NA	
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect				NA	
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.				NA	
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:				Yes	
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
i	NA					
ii						
TOTAL						
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period				Yes	
	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:				NA	
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting				NA	
21	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice				NA	
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?				NA	
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply				NA	
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation				NA	
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO) Date : 18/6/19				Yes	
<p>23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer* Date: 18/6/19 Signature of the Expenditure Observer</p> <p style="text-align: right;">S B Bommanahalli DEO AND DC Belagavi Dist</p>						
* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.						
** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately						

SCRUTINY REPORT OF DEO

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO-09

Name of the State Karnataka, District Belagavi, Parliamentary Election 2019.

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	Shri. Mohan Gurappa Motannavar
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	Independent
3	No. and name of Parliamentary Constituency	01ChikodiPC
4	Name of the elected candidate	Shri. Annasaheb Shankar Jolle
5	Date of declaration of result	23.05.2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18.06.2019
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22.06.2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	18.06.2019
	12	Whether account lodged in time
12 A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	NA
14 A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	NA
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	23340
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	Yes
17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details (i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	NA
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	NA
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	NA
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	NA
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	NA

18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect				NA	
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect				NA	
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.				NA	
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:				Yes	
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
i	NA					
ii						
TOTAL						
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period				Yes	
21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:				NA	
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting				NA	
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice				NA	
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?				NA	
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply				NA	
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation				NA	
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO) Date : 18/06/2019				Yes S B Bommanahalli DEO AND DC Belagavi Dist	
23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer* Date: 18/06/2019 Signature of the Expenditure Observer						
* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.						
** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately						

SCRUTINY REPORT OF DEO

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO-10

Name of the State Karnataka, District Belagavi, Parliamentary Election 2019.

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	Shri. Srinik Annasaheb Jagante
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	Independent
3	No. and name of Parliamentary Constituency	01ChikodiPC
4	Name of the elected candidate	Shri. Annasaheb Shankar Jolle
5	Date of declaration of result	23.05.2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18.06.2019
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22.06.2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	18.06.2019
	12	Whether account lodged in time
12 A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	NA
14 A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	NA
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	77767 ✓
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	Yes
17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	NA
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	NA
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	NA
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	NA
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	NA

18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect	NA				
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect	NA				
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.	NA				
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:	Yes				
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
i	NA					
ii						
TOTAL						
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period	Yes				
21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:	NA				
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	NA				
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	NA				
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?	NA				
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	NA				
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	NA				
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO) Date :	Yes S B Bommanahalli DEO AND DC Belagavi Dist				
23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer* Date: Signature of the Expenditure Observer						
* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.						
** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately						

Dr. A. P. S. S.
18.6.19

S. B. Bommanahalli
18/6/19

SCRUTINY REPORT OF DEO

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO-10

Name of the State Karnataka, District Belagavi, Parliamentary Election 2019.

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	Shri. Vishwanath Kalloli Vajantri
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	Independent
3	No. and name of Parliamentary Constituency	01ChikodiPC
4	Name of the elected candidate	Shri. Annasaheb Shankar Jolle
5	Date of declaration of result	23.05.2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18.06.2019
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22.06.2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account	18.06.2019
	(ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	
12	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12 A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	NA
14 A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	NA
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs: 61015.00
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	Yes
17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	NA
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	NA
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	NA
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	NA
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	NA

18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect				NA	
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect				NA	
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.				NA	
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:				Yes	
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
i	NA					
ii	NA					
TOTAL						
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period				Yes	
	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:				NA	
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting				NA	
21	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice				NA	
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?				NA	
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply				NA	
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation				NA	
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO) Date : 18/06/2019				Yes	
					S B Bommanahalli DEO AND DC Belagavi Dist	
23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer* Date: 18/6/2019 Signature of the Expenditure Observer						
* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.						
** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately						



SCRUTINY REPORT OF DEO

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO-1

Name of the State Karnataka, District Belagavi, Parliamentary Election 2019.

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	Shri. Annasaheb Shankar Jolle
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	BJP
3	No. and name of Parliamentary Constituency	01ChikodiPC
4	Name of the elected candidate	Shri. Annasaheb Shankar Jolle
5	Date of declaration of result	23.05.2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18.06.2019
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	NO
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22.06.2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate:	22.06.2019
	(i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	
12	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12 A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	NA
14 A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	NA
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	4245464
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	Yes
17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	NA
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	NA
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	NA
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	NA
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	NA
18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect	NA
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect	NA
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.	NA
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:	NO

	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
i	Vehicles Hiring	03.04.2019	04-05	409080	0	409080
ii	Ughar Khurd Function	07.04.2019	01	30405	29775	630
iii	Athani Function	06.04.2019	02-03	153875	113250	40625
iv	Nippani Function	07.04.2019	06-07	53600	47690	5910
v	Sadalaga Function	07.04.2019	08-09	41752	25162	16590
vi	Kadoli Function	08.04.2019	09-11	79053	55793	23260
vii	Hebbal Function	08.04.2019	11-13	31930	29980	1950
viii	Hukkeri Function	08.04.2019	14-15	31420	28480	2940
ix	Raybag Vehicle	09.04.2019	14	10606	5331	5275
x	Raybag Vehicle	10.04.2019	17	10606	9246	1360
xi	Madhabhavi Function	10.04.2019	21	29568	23690	5878
xii	PM Function Vehicles Chikodi	18.04.2019	68-72	635985	0	635985
xiii	Polling/Counting Agents	23.04.2019 & 23.05.2019	98-100	680536	328960	351576
TOTAL						1501059

20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period	Yes
	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:	NA
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	Yes
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	Annexed ,Notices Dated 12/04/2019 ,20/04/2019 18/06/2019 were issued
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?	Yes
21	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	Annexed , Candidate Replies Dated 13/04/2019 , 22/04/2019
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	DEO not accepting the contention of candidate. Accordingly after due process DEMC proceeding dated 17/04/2019 and 18/06/2019(2) were issued. However the candidate, vide letter dated 20/06/2019, did not accept Expenditure booked in shadow observation register for an amount of Rs.1501059/-
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO) Date :	NO S B Bommanahalli DEO AND DC Belagavi Dist
23	Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*  Date: 22.06.2019 Signature of the Expenditure Observer	We agree with the observations/ Comments made by DEO. The candidate is not accepting Expenditure of Rs.1501059 booked in shadow register, inspite of proper adjudication proceedings by DEM on 17-04-2019 and 18-06-2019(2).  22/6/19

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately

SCRUTINY REPORT OF DEO

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO-03

Name of the State Karnataka, District Belagavi, Parliamentary Election 2019.

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	Shri. Prakash Babanna Hukkeri
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	INC
3	No. and name of Parliamentary Constituency	01ChikodiPC
4	Name of the elected candidate	Shri. Prakash Babanna Hukkeri
5	Date of declaration of result	23.05.2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18.06.2019
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22.06.2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account	18.06.2019
	(ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	
12	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12 A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	NA
14 A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	NA
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	5319860
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	Yes
17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	NA
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	NA
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	NA
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	NA
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	NA

8	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect					NA
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect					NA
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.					NA
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:					Yes
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
i	NA					
ii						
TOTAL						
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period					Yes
	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:					NA
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting					NA
21	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice					NA
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?					NA
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply					NA
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation					NA
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO) Date :					Yes
<p style="text-align: right;">S B Bommanahalli DEO AND DC Belagavi Dist</p> <p style="text-align: center;">R. V. P. Raveer 18.6.19</p>						
23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer* Date: Signature of the Expenditure Observer						
* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.						
** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately						