



Government of Karnataka
(Revenue Department)

**OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER &
DISTRICT ELECTION OFFICER, BAGALKOT**

(District Office Complex, Navanagar)

Ph:08354-235091

Fax:08354-235095

E-mail:-deo.bagalkot@gmail.com

No.BKT/ELN/CR-8/18-19

Date:- 26/07/2019

To,
Chief Electoral Officer
Nirvachana Sadhana
Sheshadri road
Bangalore-560001
Sir,


Subject:- Submission of Election Expenditure of candidates-reg

With reference to the above subject, the Scrutiny Report of DEO and Summary report pertaining to the Election Expenditure submitted by the 14 contested candidates pertaining to 03-Bagalkot Parliamentary Constituency is enclosed in 2 sets with this letter for your kind information.

Thanking You

Yours Sincerely

(Note approved by
Deputy Commissioner)


Additional Deputy Commissioner and
Additional District Election Officer,
Bagalkot.

26.07.19

SUMMARY REPORT OF DEO FOR EACH CONTITUENCY ON LODGING OF ELECTION EXPENSES ACCOUNTS BY CANDIDATES

(a) No. and name of the Assembly / Parliamentary Constituency :- 03-BAGALKOT

(c) State and District : KARNATAKA / BAGALKOT

(e) Last date of lodging account : 17-06-2019

Date of Declaration of Result : 23-05-2019

Name and address of Election Agent, if any

(From the date of nomination to the date of declaration of result of election, both dates inclusive)

(b) Total No. of contesting candidates : 14

(d) Date of declaration of result of election 23-05-2019

(f) Name of the elected candidate : SRI P. C. GADDIGOUDAR

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9		10	11	12	13
								Total expenses incurred by the Party (As reported in Part-III of Abstract Statement)	Total expenses incurred by others / entities as reported in Part-III of Abstract Statement				
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	Lumpsum Amount in cash or cheque given to candidate by each Political Party	Grand Total of other expenses in kind by the Political Party	Lumpsum Amount in cash or cheque given to candidate (and mention name of donors)	Grand Total of other expenses in kind incurred for the candidate		Remarks of the Expenditure Observer
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	
	Gaddigoudar Parvatagouda Chandanagouda (Bharatiya Janata Party)	6/17/2019	6/17/2019	Yes	Yes	Rs.4069215/-	No	4000000/-	-	(1) M.M. Handi Rs. 40000/- (2) A.M. Bendigeri Rs. 40000/- (3) V.F. Dandannavar Rs. 40000/- (4) Iranna Yandigeri Rs. 39994.10/- (5) Rajat M. Rs. 40000/- Total Rs. 199994/-	-	-	-
2	Mahammed Husain Mujawar (Bahujan Samaja Party)	6/17/2019	6/17/2019	Yes	Yes	Rs.37000/-	Yes	-	-	-	-	-	-
3	Xeena Kashappanavar (Indian National Congress)	6/17/2019	6/17/2019	Yes	Yes	Rs.4322698/-	No	-	350000	-	-	-	-

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9		10		11
Sl.No.	Name of the candidate and Party Affiliation	Due date of lodging account	Date of lodging of accounts by the candidate	Whether lodged in the prescribed format (Yes or No)	Whether lodged in the manner required by law (Yes or No)	Grand Total of the expenses incurred by the candidate / agent (as mentioned in Part-III of Abstract Statement)	Whether the DEO agrees with the amount shown by the candidate against all items of expenditure (Should be similar to point no.22 of DEOS Scrutiny Report i.e. Annexure-C3)	Total expenses incurred by the Party (As reported in Part-III of Abstract Statement)		Total expenses incurred by others / entities as reported in Part-III of Abstract Statement		Remarks of the Expenditure Observer
								Lumpsum Amount in cash or cheque given to candidate by each Political Party	Grand Total of other expenses in kind by the Political Party	Lumpsum Amount in cash or cheque given to candidate (and mention name of donors)	Grand Total of other expenses in kind incurred for the candidate	
1								9	10	11	12	13
4	Adegal Rajendra (Bahujan Mukti Party)	6/17/2019	6/16/2019	Yes	Yes	Rs.29084/-	Yes	--	--	--	--	--
5	Jamindar Maruti (Karnataka Janata Paksha)	6/17/2019	6/15/2019	Yes	Yes	Rs.26230/-	Yes	--	--	--	--	--
6	Parashuram Laxman Neelanayak (Republican Party of India)	6/17/2019	6/17/2019	Yes	Yes	Rs.58445/-	Yes	--	--	--	--	--
7	Basanagouda Ramnagouda Meti (Secular Democratic Congress)	6/17/2019	6/15/2019	Yes	Yes	Rs.73000/-	Yes	--	--	--	--	--
8	Muttappa Mudakappa Hirekumbi (Raita Bharata Paksha)	6/17/2019	6/17/2019	Yes	Yes	Rs.39900/-	Yes	--	--	--	--	--
9	Ramanagouda S Balawad (Hindustan Janata Party)	6/17/2019	6/16/2019	Yes	Yes	Rs.41990/-	Yes	--	--	--	--	--
10	M. Shashikumar Halepadi Uttama prajakiya Party	6/17/2019	6/17/2019	Yes	Yes	Rs.138069/-	Yes	--	--	--	--	--
11	Pendari Buddesab Makbuisab (Independent)	6/17/2019	6/17/2019	Yes	Yes	Rs.41150/-	Yes	--	--	--	--	--
12	Muttu S Surkod (Madar) (Independent)	6/17/2019	6/17/2019	Yes	Yes	Rs.19450/-	Yes	--	--	--	--	--

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9		10	11	12	13		
Sl.No.	Name of the candidate and Party Affiliation	Due date of lodging account	Date of lodging of accounts by the candidate	Whether lodged in the prescribed format (Yes or No)	Whether lodged in the manner required by law (Yes or No)	Grand Total of the expenses incurred / authorized by the candidate / agent (as mentioned in Part-II of Abstract Statement)	Whether the DEO agrees with the amount shown by the candidate against all items of expenditure (Should be similar to point no.22 of DEOs Scrutiny Report i.e. Annexure-C3)	Total expenses incurred by the Party (As reported in Part-III of Abstract Statement)		Total expenses incurred by others / entities as reported in Part-III of Abstract Statement	Lumpsum Amount in cash or cheque given to candidate by each Political Party	Grand Total of other expenses in kind by the Political Party	Lumpsum Amount in cash or cheque given to candidate (and mention name of donors)	Grand Total of other expenses in kind incurred for the candidate	Remarks of the Expenditure Observer
								Lumpsum Amount in cash or cheque given to candidate by each Political Party	Grand Total of other expenses in kind by the Political Party						
1															
13	Ravi Shivappa Padasalagi (Independent)	17-06-2019	17-06-2019	Yes	Yes	Rs.165000/-	Yes	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
14	Shivarajkumar Ajjappa Talawar (Independent)	17-06-2019	17-06-2019	Yes	Yes	Rs.21190/-	Yes	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Comments of the Expenditure Observer if any : *As per decision taken in the Account Reconciliation meeting, the expenditure towards campaign materials supplied by BJP & INC head office at Bangalore is accounted towards their*

Date : 21.06.19. *request party expenditure and same has been minded.*

Signature of the DEO
(R. Ramachandran IAS)

Signature of the Expenditure Observer
(R.A. Praveenkumar)


SCRUTINY REPORT OF DEO

Serial Number of the Candidate in Summary Report of the DEO 01

Name of the State : KARNATAKA, District : BAGALKOT, Election LOK SABHA 2019

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
S.NO	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & Address of the candidate	Sri Gaddigoudar Parvatagouda Chandanagouda, Anugriha Nivas, Laxmi Nagar, BADAMI-587201, Tq: Badami Dist: Bagalkot.
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	Bharatiya Janata Party
3	No. and name of Assembly / Parliamentary Constituency	03-Parliamentary Constituency, Bagalkot
4	Name of the elected candidate	Sri Gaddigoudar Parvatagouda Chandanagouda (Bharatiya Janata Party)
5	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	21-06-2019
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent has been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No.19)	No
9	Last date prescribed for lodging the account	17-06-2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate :	
	(i) Original account	17-06-2019
	(ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	No
12	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	No
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	No
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	---
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	---
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs.40,69,215 /-

16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961				Yes	
17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details				---	
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged				---	
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate				---	
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted				---	
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election				---	
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account				---	
18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect				Yes	
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect				Yes	
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above i.e. Whether the defect was rectified or not.				---	
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence If No. Then mention the following				No	
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. Of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/ folder of evidence in Rs.	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate in Rs.	Amount understated by the candidate in Rs.
i	Vehicle expenditure	from 3-4-2019 to 23-5-2019	1 to 10	1634311	1199700	434611
ii	Road show, Public meeting & Star Campaigners public meeting etc.,	from 3-4-2019 to 23-4-2019	10 to 20	4665365	2488264	2177101
iii	Expenditure towards campaign materials	from 3-4-2019 to 23-4-2019	20 to 23	464508	381251	83257
TOTAL Rs.				6764184	4069215	2694969
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period.				Yes	

21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details.	No
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	Yes
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	Copies of the Notices Annexet with english translation i.e., date: 10-4-2019, 16-4-2019 & 22-4-2019
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice	Yes
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	Copies of the replies Annexet with english translation.
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	---
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate.	No
	(Should be similar to column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)	
	Date : 21 - 06 - 19.	 Signature District Election Officer and Deputy Commissioner, Bagal Kot

23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer *-

As per the decision taken in the Accounts Reconciliation Meeting, the expenditure towards campaign materials supplied by party head office at Bangalore is accounted towards party expenditure and same has been minuted.

Date : 21.6.19.

Signature of the Expenditure Observer

R.A. PRAVEEN KUMAR
EXPENDITURE OBSERVER

03-BAGALKOTE

PARLIAMENTARY CONSTITUENCY

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.



SCRUTINY REPORT OF DEO

Serial Number of the Candidate in Summary Report of the DEO 02

Name of the State : KARNATAKA, District : BAGALKOT, Election LOK SABHA 2019

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

S.NO	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & Address of the candidate	SRI MAHAMMED HUSAIN MUJAWAR, Ward No. 4, Halepeth, Shahin shawali Darga, Ambedkar Nagar, BAGALKOT-587101.
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	Bahujan Samaja Party
3	No. and name of Assembly / Parliamentary Contituency	03-Parliamentary Constituency, Bagalkot
4	Name of the elected candidate	Sri Gaddigoudar Parvatagouda Chandanagouda (Bharatiya Janata Party)
5	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	21-06-2019
7	(i) Whether he candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No.19)	Yes
9	Last date prescribed for lodging the account	17-06-2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate :	
	(i) Original account	17-06-2019
	(ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	No
12	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	No
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	No
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	---
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	---
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs. 37,000/-

16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961				Yes	
17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details				---	
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged				---	
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate				---	
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted				---	
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election				---	
18	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account				---	
	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying he defect				No	
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect				---	
19	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above i.e. Whether the defect was rectified or not.				---	
	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence If No. Then mention the following				Yes	
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. Of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observatio	Amount per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
	--	--	--	---	--	--
	--	--	--	---	--	--
	--	--	--	---	--	--
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period.				Yes	

21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details.	Yes
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	---
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	→
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice	---
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	---
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	---
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate.	Yes
	(Should be similar to column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)	
	Date : 21-06-19,	<p style="text-align: center;">Signature (R. Ramachandran IAS)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">District Election Officer and Deputy Commissioner, Bagalkot</p>

23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer *-

Date : 21-06-19,

Signature of the Expenditure Observer

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.



SCRUTINY REPORT OF DEO

Serial Number of the Candidate in Summary Report of the DEO 03

Name of the State : KARNATAKA, District : BAGALKOT, Election LOK SABHA 2019

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

S.NO	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & Address of the candidate	SMT. VEENA KASHAPPANAVAR, No. 4521, 'S R K ' Nilaya, Joshigalli, Near I.B. ILKAL Tq: Hungund Dist: Bagalkot
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	INDIAN NATIONAL CONGRESS
3	No. and name of Assembly / Parliamentary Constituency	03-Parliamentary Constituency, Bagalkot
4	Name of the elected candidate	Sri Gaddigoudar Parvatagouda Chandanagouda (Bharatiya Janata Party)
5	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	21-06-2019
7	(i) Whether he candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No.19)	No
9	Last date prescribed for lodging the account	17-06-2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate :	
	(i) Original account	17-06-2019
	(ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	No
12	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	No
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	No
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	---
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	---
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs. 4322698/-

16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961				Yes	
17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details				---	
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged				---	
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate				---	
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted				---	
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election				---	
18	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account				---	
	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect				Yes	
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect				Yes	
19	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above i.e. Whether the defect was rectified or not.				---	
	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence If No. Then mention the following				No	
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. Of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence in Rs.	Amount per the account submitted by the candidate in Rs.	Amount understated by the candidate in Rs.
i	Vehicle expenses for campaigning	from 3-4-19 to 23-5-2019	1 to 8	1898708	999582	899126
ii	Road show & Public Meeting	from 3-4-19 to 21-4-2019	8 to 12	4393352	2885063	1508289
iii	Expenditure towards campaign materials	from 3-4-19 to 20-4-2019	12 to 23	450211	438053	12158
TOTAL				6742271	4322698	2419573
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period.				Yes	

21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details.	No
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	Yes
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	Copies of the Notices Annexed with English translation i.e., date: 10-4-2019, & 22-4-2019
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice	Yes
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	Copies of the replies Annexed with English translation.
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	---
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate.	No
	(Should be similar to column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)	
	Date : 21-06-19.	<p style="text-align: center;">Signature (R. Ramachandran IAS) District Election Officer and Deputy Commissioner, Bagalkote</p>

23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer *-

As per the decision taken in the Account Reconciliation Meeting, the expenditure towards campaign materials supplied by party head office at Bangalore is accounted towards party expenditure and same has been minuted.

Date : 21/6/19

Signature of the Expenditure Observer

R. A. PRAVEEN KUMAR
EXPENDITURE OBSERVER
03-BAGALKOTE
PARLIAMENTARY CONSTITUENCY

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission.
If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.




SCRUTINY REPORT OF DEO

Serial Number of the Candidate in Summary Report of the DEO 06

Name of the State : KARNATAKA, District : BAGALKOT, Election LOK SABHA 2019

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
S.NO	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & Address of the candidate	SRI PARASHURAM LAXMAN NEELANAYAK, Sector No.49, Plot No. 121, Navanagar, Bagalkot.
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	REPUBLICAN PARTY OF INDIA
3	No. and name of Assembly / Parliamentary Contituency	03-Parliamentary Constituency, Bagalkot
4	Name of the elected candidate	Sri Gaddigoudar Parvatagouda Chandanagouda (Bharatiya Janata Party)
5	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	21-06-2019
7	(i) Whether he candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No.19)	Yes
9	Last date prescribed for lodging the account	17-06-2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate :	
	(i) Original account	17-06-2019
	(ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	No
12	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	No
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation fromthe candidate. If not, reason thereof.	No
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	---
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	---
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs.58,445/

16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961				Yes	
17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details				---	
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged				---	
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate				---	
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted				---	
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election				---	
18	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account				---	
	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect				No	
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect				---	
19	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above i.e. Whether the defect was rectified or not.				---	
	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence If No. Then mention the following				Yes	
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. Of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observatio	Amount per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
	i				--	--
	ii, iii				--	--
TOTAL					--	--
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period.				Yes	

21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details.	Yes
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	---
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	---
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice	---
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	---
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	---
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate.	Yes
	(Should be similar to column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)	
Date : 21 - 06 - 19.		 Signature (R. Ramachandran IAS)

District Election Officer

and

Deputy Commissioner, Bagalkote

23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer *-

Date : 21 - 06 - 19.


S. A. PRAVEEN KUMAR
Expenditure Observer
EXPENDITURE OBSERVER

03-BAGALKOTE

PARLIAMENTARY CONSTITUENCY

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the Summary Report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.



SCRUTINY REPORT OF DEO

Serial Number of the Candidate in Summary Report of the DEO 07

Name of the State : KARNATAKA, District : BAGALKOT, Election LOK SABHA 2019

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

S.NO	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & Address of the candidate	SRI BASANAGOUDA RAMANAGOUDA METI, Chittawadagi-587118 Tq: Hungund, Dist: Bagalkot
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	SECULAR DEMOCRATIC CONGRESS
3	No. and name of Assembly / Parliamentary Contituency	03-Parliamentary Constituency, Bagalkot
4	Name of the elected candidate	Sri Gaddigoudar Parvatagouda Chandanagouda (Bharatiya Janata Party)
5	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	21-06-2019
7	(i) Whether he candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No.19)	Yes
9	Last date prescribed for lodging the account	17-06-2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate :	
	(i) Original account	15-06-2019
	(ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Mecting	No
12	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	No
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation fromthe candidate. If not, reason thereof.	No
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	---
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	---
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs. 73000/-

16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961				Yes	
17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details				---	
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged				---	
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate				---	
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted				---	
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election				---	
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account				---	
18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying he defect				No	
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect				---	
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above i.e. Whether the defect was rectified or not.				---	
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence If No. Then mention the following				Yes	
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. Of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observatio	Amount per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
	i				---	---
	ii, iii				---	---
	TOTAL				---	---
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period.				Yes	

21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentoned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details.	Yes
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	---
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the noties issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	---
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice	---
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	---
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	---
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)	Yes
	Date : 21-06-19.	Signature (R. Ramachandran IAS)

**District Election Officer
and
Deputy Commissioner, Bagalkot**

23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer *-

Date : 21-06-19.

Signature of the Expenditure Observer
R. A. PRAVEEN KUMAR

EXPENDITURE OBSERVER

03-BAGALKOTE

PARLIAMENTARY CONSTITUENCY

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.



SCRUTINY REPORT OF DEO

Serial Number of the Candidate in Summary Report of the DEO 05

Name of the State : KARNATAKA, District : BAGALKOT, Election LOK SABHA 2019

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

S.NO	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & Address of the candidate	SRI JAMINDAR MARUTI, Raghapur Post, Hamsanur, Tq: Badami, Dist: Bagalkot.
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	KARNATAKA JANATA PAKSHA
3	No. and name of Assembly / Parliamentary Contituency	03-Parliamentary Constituency, Bagalkot
4	Name of the elected candidate	Sri Gaddigoudar Parvatagouda Chandanagouda (Bharatiya Janata Party)
5	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	21-06-2019
7	(i) Whether he candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No.19)	Yes
9	Last date prescribed for lodging the account	17-06-2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate :	
	(i) Original account	15-06-2019
	(ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	No
12	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	No
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation fromthe candidate. If not, reason thereof.	No
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	---
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	---
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs. 26,230/-

16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961				Yes	
17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details				---	
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged				---	
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate				---	
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted				---	
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election				---	
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account				---	
18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying he defect				No	
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect				---	
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above i.e. Whether the defect was rectified or not.				---	
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence If No. Then mention the following				Yes	
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. Of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observatio	Amount per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
	i				--	--
	ii, iii				--	--
TOTAL					--	--
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period.				Yes	

21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details.	Yes
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	---
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	---
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice	---
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	---
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	---
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate.	Yes
	(Should be similar to column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)	
	Date : 21-06-19.	<p style="text-align: center;">Signature (R. Ramachandran IAS) District Election Officer and Deputy Commissioner, Bagalkot</p>

23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer *-

Date : 21-06-19.

Signature of the Expenditure Observer
PRAVEEN KUMAR
EXPENDITURE OBSERVER
03-BAGALKOTE
PARLIAMENTARY CONSTITUENCY

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately..



SCRUTINY REPORT OF DEO

Serial Number of the Candidate in Summary Report of the DEO 08

Name of the State : KARNATAKA, District : BAGALKOT, Election LOK SABHA 2019

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

S.NO	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & Address of the candidate	MUTTAPPA MUDAKAPPA HIREKUMBI, Post: Shirur, Tq,Dist: Bagalkot.
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	RAITA BHARATA PAKSHA
3	No. and name of Assembly / Parliamentary Constituency	03-Parliamentary Constituency, Bagalkot
4	Name of the elected candidate	Sri Gaddigoudar Parvatagouda Chandanagouda (Bharatiya Janata Party)
5	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	21-06-2019
7	(i) Whether he candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No.19)	Yes
9	Last date prescribed for lodging the account	17-06-2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate :	
	(i) Original account	17-06-2019
	(ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	No
12	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	No
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	No
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	---
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	---
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs. 39,900/-

16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961				Yes	
17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details				---	
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged				---	
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate				---	
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted				---	
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election				---	
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account				---	
18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect				No	
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect				---	
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above i.e. Whether the defect was rectified or not.				---	
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence If No. Then mention the following				Yes	
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. Of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observatio	Amount per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
	i				---	---
	ii, iii				---	---
TOTAL					---	---
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period.				Yes	

21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details.	Yes
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	---
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	---
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice	---
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	---
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	---
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate.	Yes
	(Should be similar to column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)	
	Date : 21-06-19.	<p style="text-align: center;">Signature (R. Ramachandran IAS)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">District Election Officer and Deputy Commissioner, Bagalkot</p>

23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer *-

—

Date : 21-06-19.


B. A. PRAVEEN KUMAR
 EXPENDITURE OBSERVER
 03-BAGALKOTE
 PARLIAMENTARY CONSTITUENCY

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the CEO. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.



SCRUTINY REPORT OF DEO

Serial Number of the Candidate in Summary Report of the DEO 04

Name of the State : KARNATAKA, District : BAGALKOT, Election LOK SABHA 2019

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

S.NO	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & Address of the candidate	Sri Adagal Rajendra, Anand Nagar, Behind Basavanna Temple, BADAMI-587201 Dist: Bagalkot
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	BAHUJAN MUKTI PARTY
3	No. and name of Assembly / Parliamentary Constituency	03-Parliamentary Constituency, Bagalkot
4	Name of the elected candidate	Sri Gaddigoudar Parvatagouda Chandanagouda (Bharatiya Janata Party)
5	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	21-06-2019
7	(i) Whether he candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No) (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No.19)	Yes
9	Last date prescribed for lodging the account	17-06-2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate :	
	(i) Original account	16-06-2019
	(ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	No
12	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	No
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	No
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	---
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	---
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs. 29,084/-

16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961				Yes	
17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details				---	
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged				---	
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate				---	
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted				---	
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election				---	
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account				---	
18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect				No	
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect				---	
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above i.e. Whether the defect was rectified or not.				---	
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence If No. Then mention the following				Yes	
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. Of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observatio	Amount per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
	i	--	--	--	--	--
	ii, iii	--	--	--	--	--
TOTAL					--	--
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period.				Yes	

21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details.	Yes
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	---
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	---
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice	---
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	---
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	---
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate.	Yes
	(Should be similar to column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)	
	Date : 21-06-19.	Signature (R. Ramachandran IAS) District Election Officer and Deputy Commissioner, Bagalkote

23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer *-

Date : 21-06-19.

Signature of Expenditure Observer

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.



SCRUTINY REPORT OF DEO

Serial Number of the Candidate in Summary Report of the DEO 09

Name of the State : KARNATAKA, District : BAGALKOT, Election LOK SABHA 2019

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

S.NO	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & Address of the candidate	RAMANAGOUDA S BALAWAD Kashinakunti-586213, Tq: Muddebihal, Dist: Vijayapur
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	HINDUSTHAN JANATA PARTY
3	No. and name of Assembly / Parliamentary Contituency	03-Parliamentary Constituency, Bagalkot
4	Name of the elected candidate	Sri Gaddigoudar Parvatagouda Chandanagouda (Bharatiya Janata Party)
5	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	21-06-2019
7	(i) Whether he candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No.19)	Yes
9	Last date prescribed for lodging the account	17-06-2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate :	
	(i) Original account	16-06-2019
	(ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	No
12	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	No
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation fromthe candidate. If not, reason thereof.	No
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	---
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	---
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs. 41,900/-

16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961				Yes	
17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details				---	
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged				---	
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate				---	
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted				---	
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election				---	
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account				---	
18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect				No	
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect				---	
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above i.e. Whether the defect was rectified or not.				---	
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence If No. Then mention the following				Yes	
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. Of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observatio	Amount per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
	i				---	---
	ii, iii				---	---
TOTAL					---	---
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period.				Yes	

21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details.	Yes
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	---
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	---
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice	---
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	---
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	---
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate.	Yes
	(Should be similar to column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)	
	Date : 21 - 06 - 19.	<p style="text-align: center;">Signature (R. Ramachandran IAS)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">District Election Officer and Deputy Commissioner, Bagalkot</p>

23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer *-

Date : 21 - 06 - 19.

Signature of the Expenditure Observer

R. A. PRAVEEN KUMAR
EXPENDITURE OBSERVER

03-BAGALKOTE

PARLIAMENTARY CONSTITUENCY

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.



SCRUTINY REPORT OF DEO

Serial Number of the Candidate in Summeary Report of the DEO 10

Name of the State : KARNATAKA, District : BAGALKOT, Election LOK SABHA 2019

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
S.NO	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & Address of the candidate	M SHASHIKUMAR HALEPADI, Upanal S.C., Post: Bhimagadh, Tq: Hungund, Dist: Bagalkot.
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	UTTAMA PRAJAKIYA PARTY
3	No. and name of Assembly / Parliamentary Contituency	03-Parliamentary Constituency, Bagalkot
4	Name of the elected candidate	Sri Gaddigoudar Parvatagouda Chandanagouda (Bharatiya Janata Party)
5	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	21-06-2019
7	(i) Whether he candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No.19)	Yes
9	Last date prescribed for lodging the account	17-06-2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate :	
	(i) Original account	17-06-2019
	(ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	No
12	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	No
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation fromthe candidate. If not, reason thereof.	No
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	---
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	---
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs. 1,38,069/-

16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961				Yes	
17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details				---	
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged				---	
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate				---	
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted				---	
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election				---	
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account				---	
18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect				No	
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect				---	
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above i.e. Whether the defect was rectified or not.				---	
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence If No. Then mention the following				Yes	
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. Of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observatio	Amount per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
	i				--	--
	ii, iii				--	--
TOTAL					--	--
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period.				Yes	

21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details.	Yes
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	---
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	---
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice	---
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	---
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	---
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate.	Yes
	(Should be similar to column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)	
	Date : 21-06-19.	<p style="text-align: center;">Signature (R. Ramachandran IAS)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">District Election Officer and Deputy Commissioner, Bagalkot</p>

23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer *-

—

Date : 21-06-19.

Signature of the Expenditure Observer
P. A. PRAVEEN KUMAR
EXPENDITURE OBSERVER
03-BAGALKOTE
PARLIAMENTARY CONSTITUENCY

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.



SCRUTINY REPORT OF DEO


Serial Number of the Candidate in Summary Report of the DEO 11

Name of the State : KARNATAKA, District : BAGALKOT, Election LOK SABHA 2019

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

S.NO	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & Address of the candidate	PENDARI BUDESAB MAKABULSAB, Ward No.3, Pednari Galli, Kerur, Tq: Badami, Dist: Bagalkot.
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	INDEPENDENT
3	No. and name of Assembly / Parliamentary Contituency	03-Parliamentary Constituency, Bagalkot
4	Name of the elected candidate	Sri Gaddigoudar Parvatagouda Chandanagouda (Bharatiya Janata Party)
5	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	21-06-2019
7	(i) Whether he candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No.19)	Yes
9	Last date prescribed for lodging the account	17-06-2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate :	
	(i) Original account	17-06-2019
	(ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	No
12	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	No
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation fromthe candidate. If not, reason thereof.	No
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	---
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	---
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs. 41,150/-

16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961				Yes	
17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details				---	
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged				---	
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate				---	
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted				---	
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election				---	
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account				---	
18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect				No	
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect				---	
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above i.e. Whether the defect was rectified or not.				---	
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence If No. Then mention the following				Yes	
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. Of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observatio	Amount per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
	i				---	---
	ii, iii				---	---
TOTAL					---	---
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period.				Yes	

21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentoned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details.	Yes
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	---
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the noties issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	---
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice	---
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	---
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	---
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate.	Yes
	(Should be similar to column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)	
	Date : 21-06-19.	 Signature (R. Ramachandran IAS)

District Election Officer
and

Deputy Commissioner, Bagalkot

23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer *-

Date : 21-06-19.


P. A. PRAVEEN KUMAR
 Signature of the Expenditure Observer

EXPENDITURE OBSERVER

03-BAGALKOTE

PARLIAMENTARY CONSTITUENCY

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.




SCRUTINY REPORT OF DEO

Serial Number of the Candidate in Summery Report of the DEO 12

Name of the State : KARNATAKA, District : BAGALKOT, Election LOK SABHA 2019

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
S.NO	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & Address of the candidate	MUTTU S SURKOD (MADAR), 88, 6th cross, Shanti Colony, Dharwad-580008.
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	INDEPENDENT
3	No. and name of Assembly / Parliamentary Contituency	03-Parliamentary Constituency, Bagalkot
4	Name of the elected candidate	Sri Gaddigoudar Parvatagouda Chandanagouda (Bharatiya Janata Party)
5	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	21-06-2019
7	(i) Whether he candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No.19)	Yes
9	Last date prescribed for lodging the account	17-06-2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate :	
	(i) Original account	17-06-2019
	(ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	No
12	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	No
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	No
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	---
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	---
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs. 19,450/-

16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961				Yes	
17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details				---	
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged				---	
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate				---	
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted				---	
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election				---	
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account				---	
18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect				No	
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect				---	
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above i.e. Whether the defect was rectified or not.				---	
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence If No. Then mention the following				Yes	
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. Of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observatio	Amount per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
	i				---	---
	ii, iii				---	---
TOTAL					---	---
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period.				Yes	

21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details.	Yes
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	---
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	---
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice	---
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	---
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	---
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate.	Yes
	(Should be similar to column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)	
	Date : 21-06-19.	 Signature (R. Ramachandran IAS)

District Election Officer

and

Deputy Commissioner, Bagalkot

23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer *-

Date : 21-06-19.


 Signature of the Expenditure Observer

R.A. PRAVEEN KUMAR
EXPENDITURE OBSERVER

03-BAGALKOTE

PARLIAMENTARY CONSTITUENCY

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.



SCRUTINY REPORT OF DEO


Serial Number of the Candidate in Summary Report of the DEO 13

Name of the State : KARNATAKA, District : BAGALKOT, Election LOK SABHA 2019

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

S.NO	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & Address of the candidate	RAVI SHIVAPPA PADASALAGI, #196, 5th main, Singapur Paradise, Varadarajnaragar, Bangalore-560097
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	INDEPENDENT
3	No. and name of Assembly / Parliamentary Contituency	03-Parliamentary Constituency, Bagalkot
4	Name of the elected candidate	Sri Gaddigoudar Parvatagouda Chandanagouda (Bharatiya Janata Party)
5	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	21-06-2019
7	(i) Whether he candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No.19)	Yes
9	Last date prescribed for lodging the account	17-06-2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate :	
	(i) Original account	17-06-2019
	(ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	No
12	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	No
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation fromthe candidate. If not, reason thereof.	No
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	---
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	---
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs. 1,65,000/-
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	Yes

17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details					
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged					
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate					
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted					
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election					
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account					
18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect				No	
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect					
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above i.e. Whether the defect was rectified or not.					
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence If No. Then mention the following				Yes	
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. Of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observatio	Amount per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
	i					
	ii, iii					
TOTAL						
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period.				Yes	
21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details.				Yes	
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting					
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice					
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice					
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply					

21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details.	Yes
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	---
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	---
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice	---
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	---
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	---
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate.	Yes
	(Should be similar to column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)	
Date : <u>21-06-19.</u>		 Signature (R. Ramachandran IAS)

**District Election Officer
and
Deputy Commissioner, Bagalkote**

23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer *-

Date : 21-06-19.


R. A. PRAVEEN KUMAR
 Signature of the Expenditure Observer
EXPENDITURE OBSERVER
03-BAGALKOTE

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission.
If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.



SCRUTINY REPORT OF DEO


Serial Number of the Candidate in Summary Report of the DEO 14

Name of the State : KARNATAKA, District : BAGALKOT, Election LOK SABHA 2019

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

S.NO	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & Address of the candidate	SHIVARAJKUMAR AJJAPPA TALAWAR, Post: Chikka Naragund, Tq: Naragund, Dist: Gadag.
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	INDEPENDENT
3	No. and name of Assembly / Parliamentary Contituency	03-Parliamentary Constituency, Bagalkot
4	Name of the elected candidate	Sri Gaddigoudar Parvatagouda Chandanagouda (Bharatiya Janata Party)
5	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	21-06-2019
7	(i) Whether he candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9	Last date prescribed for lodging the account	17-06-2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate :	
	(i) Original account	17-06-2019
	(ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	No
12	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	No
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation fromthe candidate. If not, reason thereof.	No
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	---
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	---
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs. 21,190/-

16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961				Yes	
17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details				---	
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged				---	
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate				---	
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted				---	
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election				---	
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account				---	
18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect				No	
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect				---	
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above i.e. Whether the defect was rectified or not.				---	
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence If No. Then mention the following				Yes	
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. Of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observatio	Amount per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
	i				---	---
	ii, iii *****				---	---
TOTAL					---	---
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period.				Yes	

21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details.	Yes
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	---
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	---
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice	---
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	---
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	---
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate.	Yes
	(Should be similar to column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)	
	Date : 21-06-19.	 Signature (R. Ramachandran IAS)

District Election Officer

and

Deputy Commissioner, Bagalkot

23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer *-

Date : 21-06-19.


P. PRAVEEN KUMAR
 Expenditure Observer

EXPENDITURE OBSERVER

03-BAGALKOTE

PARLIAMENTARY CONSTITUENCY

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

