



(ಕಂದಾಯ ಇಲಾಖೆ)

ಜಿಲ್ಲಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳ ಹಾಗೂ ಜಿಲ್ಲಾ ಚುನಾವಣಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳ ಕಾರ್ಯಾಲಯ ಮಿನಿ ವಿಧಾನ ಸೌಧ ಸ್ವೇಚ್ಛನ ರೋಡ ಕಲಬುರಗಿ
ದೂರವಾಣಿ ಸಂ: 08472-278606, ಫ್ಯಾಕ್ಸ್ ಸಂ: 08472-278602, ಇ-ಮೇಲ್: deo.gulbarga@gmail.com

ಸಂ:ಕಂ/ಚುನಾ/ಸಾಮಾನ್ಯ/27/2019-20

ದಿನಾಂಕ: 01.07.2019

ಗೆ,

ಮಾನ್ಯ ಮುಖ್ಯ ಚುನಾವಣಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು
ನಿರ್ವಾಚನ ನಿಲಯ ಶೇಷಾದ್ರಿ ರಸ್ತೆ
ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು-560001

ಮಾನ್ಯರೆ,

ವಿಷಯ:- ಲೋಕಸಭಾ ಸಾರ್ವತ್ರಿಕ ಚುನಾವಣೆ- 2019 ರ ಚುನಾವಣೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸ್ಪರ್ಧಿಸಿದ
ಅಭ್ಯರ್ಥಿಗಳ ಚುನಾವಣಾ ಖರ್ಚು ವೆಚ್ಚಗಳ ವಿವರಗಳನ್ನು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸುವ ಬಗ್ಗೆ
ಉಲ್ಲೇಖ:- ತಮ್ಮ ಕಾರ್ಯಾಲಯದ ದೂರವಾಣಿ ಕರೆ ದಿನಾಂಕ: 28.06.2019

~ * ~

ಮೇಲ್ಕಂಡ ವಿಷಯಕ್ಕೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದಂತೆ, ಉಲ್ಲೇಖದನ್ವಯ ಈಗಾಗಲೇ ಜರುಗಿದ ಲೋಕಸಭಾ ಸಾರ್ವತ್ರಿಕ
ಚುನಾವಣೆ-2019 ರ 05-ಗುಲಬರ್ಗಾ (ಪ.ಜಾ) ಲೋಕಸಭಾ ಕ್ಷೇತ್ರದಿಂದ ಸ್ಪರ್ಧಿಸಿದ ಅಭ್ಯರ್ಥಿಗಳ ಚುನಾವಣಾ ಖರ್ಚು ವೆಚ್ಚದ
ವಿವರಗಳನ್ನು ದ್ವಿಪ್ರತಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಲು ನಿರ್ದೇಶಿಸಿರುತ್ತಿರಿ.

ಮಾನ್ಯರ ನಿರ್ದೇಶನದಂತೆ ಲೋಕಸಭಾ ಸಾರ್ವತ್ರಿಕ ಚುನಾವಣೆ-2019 ರ 05-ಗುಲಬರ್ಗಾ (ಪ.ಜಾ) ಲೋಕಸಭಾ
ಕ್ಷೇತ್ರದಿಂದ ಸ್ಪರ್ಧಿಸಿದ ಅಭ್ಯರ್ಥಿಗಳ ಚುನಾವಣಾ ಖರ್ಚು ವೆಚ್ಚದ ವಿವರವನ್ನು ದ್ವಿಪ್ರತಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಈ ಕೆಳಗಿನಂತೆ.
ಈ ಕಾರ್ಯಾಲಯದ ಚುನಾವಣೆ ಶಿರಸ್ತೇದಾರರು ಸಂಜೀವಕುಮಾರ ಹಾಗೂ ಚುನಾವಣೆ ವಿಷಯ ನಿರ್ವಾಹಕ ಶ್ರೀ ಭೋಜರಾಜ
ಇವರಿಂದ ತಮ್ಮ ಕಚೇರಿಗೆ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಲಾಗುತ್ತಿದ್ದು ಸ್ವೀಕರಿಸಲು ಕೋರಲಾಗಿದೆ.

ಕ್ರಮ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ	ಲೋಕಸಭಾ ಕ್ಷೇತ್ರದ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ಮತ್ತು ಹೆಸರು	ಚುನಾವಣೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸ್ಪರ್ಧಿಸಿದ ಅಭ್ಯರ್ಥಿಗಳ ಹೆಸರು	ಚುನಾವಣಾ ಖರ್ಚು ವೆಚ್ಚದ ವಿವರ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿರುವ ಬಗ್ಗೆ
1	2	3	4
1	05-ಗುಲಬರ್ಗಾ (ಪ.ಜಾ)	ಉಮೇಶ ಜಿ ಜಾಧವ	ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ
2		ಮಲ್ಲಿಕಾರ್ಜುನ್ ಖರ್ಗೆ	ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ
3		ಕೆ. ಬಿ. ವಾಸು	ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ
4		ಡಿ. ಕೆ ಕೊಂಕಾಟೆ ಕೇರೂರ	ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ
5		ರಾಜಕೂಮಾರ	ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ
6		ಮಹೇಶ ಲಂಬಾಣಿ	ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ
7		ವಿಜಯ ಜಾಧವ	ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ
8		ಎಸ್. ಎಮ್ ಶರ್ಮಾ	ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ
9		ಶಂಕರ ಜಾಧವ	ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ
10		ಜಿ. ತಿಮ್ಮರಾಜು	ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ
11		ಡಾ. ಎಂ. ಪಿ ದಾರಕೇಶ್ವರಯ್ಯ	ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ
12		ರಮೇಶ ಭೀಮಸಿಂಗ್ ಚವ್ವಣ	ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ

ಲಗತ್ತು:- ಒಟ್ಟು 12 ಅಭ್ಯರ್ಥಿಗಳ ಚುನಾವಣಾ ವೆಚ್ಚಗಳ ವಿವರದ 2 ಮೂಲ ಪ್ರತಿಗಳು



ತಮ್ಮ ವಿಶ್ವಾಸಿ,

ಜಿಲ್ಲಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು ಹಾಗೂ
ಜಿಲ್ಲಾ ಚುನಾವಣಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು
ಕಲಬುರಗಿ

SUMMARY REPORT OF DEO FOR EACH CONSTITUENCY OF LODGING OF ELECTION EXPENSES ACCOUNTS BY CANDIDATES

a) No. and Name of Assembly / Parliamentary Constituency : 05-Gulbarga (SC)

b) Total No. of contesting candidates : 12

c) State and District : Karnataka, Gulbarga District.

d) Date of declaration of result of Election / bye election : 23-05-2019

e) Last date of Lodging accounts : 22-06-2019

f) Name of the elected candidate : Sri Dr. UMESH G JADHAV (BJP)

Sl.No	Name of the candidates and party Affiliation	Due date of lodging of Account	Date of lodging of Accounts by the candidates	Whether lodged in the prescribed format (Yes Or No)	Whether lodged in the manner required by Law (Yes or No)	Grand Total of the expenses incurred / Authorised by the candidates / Agent (As mentioned in part-II of abstract statement)	Whether the DEO agrees with the Account shown by the candidate against all items of expenditure/(Should be similar to point No.22 of DEO's Scrutiny report i.e Annexure C-3)	9		10	11	
								Total Expenses incurred by the party (as reported in part-III of Abstract statement)	Total Expenses incurred by others / entities as reported in Part-III of Abstract Statement			Lump Sum Amount in cash or cheque given to the candidate (and mentioned names of donors)
1	Dr. UMESH G JADHAV Party: BJP	22-06-2019	19-06-2019	Yes	Yes	4248386	Yes	4000000	316000	0	0	
2	MALLIKARJUN KHARGE Party: INC	22-06-2019	19-06-2019	Yes	Yes	6106469	Yes	0	0	0	294376	
3	K.B.VASU Party: BSP	22-06-2019	19-06-2019	Yes	Yes	304021	Yes	0	0	124000	0	
4	D.K.KONKATE KERROOR Party: RSP	22-06-2019	19-06-2019	Yes	Yes	12,500	Yes	0	0	0	0	
5	RAJKUMAR Party: BBKD	22-06-2019	21-06-2019	Yes	Yes	62900	Yes	0	0	0	0	
6	MAHESH LAMBANI Party: UPP	22-06-2019	19-06-2019	Yes	Yes	29,011	Yes	0	0	0	0	
7	VIJAY JADHAV Party: SJP	22-06-2019	19-06-2019	Yes	No	41,300	Yes	0	0	29760	29760	

8	S.M.SHARMA Party:SUCI-C	22-06-2019	19-06-2019	Yes	Yes	71835	Yes	90000	107346	0	0
9	SHANKAR JADHAV Party: BPP	22-06-2019	19-06-2019	Yes	Yes	229319	Yes	0	0	100000	0
10	G.THIMMARAJU Party:Independent	22-06-2019	20-06-2019	Yes	Yes	12,500	Yes	0	0	0	0
11	Dr M.P. DARAKESWARAJAH Party:Independent	22-06-2019	20-06-2019	Yes	Yes	12,500	Yes	0	0	0	0
12	RAMESH BHEEM Singh CHAVAN Party:Independent	22-06-2019	19-06-2019	Yes	Yes	148004	Yes	0	0	0	0


 Signature of the DEO

Comments of the Expenditure Observer, if any,

Date :




Signature of the Expenditure Observer

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the 01
Name of the State Karnataka District Gulbarga 05-Gulbarga (SC)

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Dr.UMESH G JADHAV Plot No.132, H.No.1-1882, NGO Colony, Jewargi Road, Kalaburagi -585102 Contact No.9242211591
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	BHARATIYA JANATA PARTY (BJP)
3.	No.andname of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	05-Gulbarga (SC)
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Dr.UMESH G JADHAV
5.	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18-06-2019
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22-06-2019
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate:	19-06-2019 ----
	(i) original account	
	(ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	----
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	----
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	----
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	No
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	No

15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement				Rs. 45,64,386/-	
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961				Yes	
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details					
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged				Yes	
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate				Yes	
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted				Yes	
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election				Yes	
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account				Yes	
18.	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect (ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect (iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.				Not Applicable	
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:				Yes	
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
i	NIL	NIL	NIL	NIL	NIL	NIL
TOTAL						
20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period				Yes	
21.	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-					
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting				Not Applicable	
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice				-----	
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?				-----	



	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	----
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	----
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO) Date:	Yes  Signature (Name of the DEO)
23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-		
Date:		 Signature of the Expenditure Observer

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the 02
Name of the State Karnataka District Gulbarga 05-Gulbarga (SC)

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1.	Name & address of the candidate	SRI.MALLIKARJUN KHARGE Lumbini Nivas No.1-1165/26A , Plot No.34, Eiwan-E-Shahi, Kalaburagi-585102 Contact No.08472-255555, 9650094444, 9164270000
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	INDIAN NATIONAL CONGRESS (INC)
3.	No.andname of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	05-Gulbarga (SC)
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Dr.UMESH G JADHAV
5.	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18-06-2019
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22-06-2019
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate:	19-06-2019 ----
	(i) original account	
	(ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	----
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason therefor.	----
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	No
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	No

15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement				Rs. 64,00,845/-	
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961				Yes	
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details					
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged				Yes	
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate				Yes	
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted				Yes	
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election				Yes	
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account				Yes	
18.	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect (ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect (iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.				Not Applicable	
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:				Yes	
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
	i	NIL	NIL	NIL	NIL	NIL
	TOTAL					
20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period				Yes	
21.	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-					
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting				Not Applicable	
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice				-----	
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?				-----	

	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	-----
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	-----
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO) Date:	Yes  Signature (Name of the DEO)
23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*- Date: 19/08/19		
		 Signature of the Expenditure Observer

1
2
3
4

Capitulos 10/11

10/11




Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the 03

Name of the State Karnataka District Gulbarga 05-Gulbarga (SC)

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1.	Name & address of the candidate	SRI.K.B.VASU Gadi Mohalla, H.No.1/3/66, Gurumitkal Tq: Gurumitkal, Dist.: Yadgir Contact No.9740722317
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	BAHUMAN SAMAJ PARTY (BSP)
3.	No.andname of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	05-Gulbarga (SC)
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Dr.UMESH G JADHAV
5.	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18-06-2019
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22-06-2019
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate:	
	(i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	19-06-2019 ----
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	----
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	----
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	No
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	No

15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement				Rs. 3,04,021/-	
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961				Yes	
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details					
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged				Yes	
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate				Yes	
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted				Yes	
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election				Yes	
18.	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account				Yes	
	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect (ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect (iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.				Not Applicable	
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:				Yes	
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
i	NIL	NIL	NIL	NIL	NIL	NIL
TOTAL						
20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period				Attended only 2 times (16-04-2019 & 20-04-2019)	
21.	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-					
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting				Not Applicable	
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice				----	
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?				----	

	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	-----
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	-----
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO) Date:	Yes  Signature (Name of the DEO)
23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*- Date: 		
 Signature of the Expenditure Observer		




Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the 04

Name of the State Karnataka District Gulbarga 05-Gulbarga (SC)

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1.	Name & address of the candidate	SRI.D.K.KONKATE KEROOR Saakin Keroor, Post : Dargashiroor, Tq: Aland Dist.Kalaburagi. Contact No.6363111043
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	RASHTRIYA SAMAJ PAKSHA (RSP)
3.	No.andname of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	05-Gulbarga (SC)
4.	Name of the elected candidate	DR UMESH G JADHAV
5.	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18-06-2019
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22-06-2019
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate:	19-06-2019 ----
	(i) original account	
	(ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	----
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	----
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	No
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	No

15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement				Rs. 12,500/-	
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961				Yes	
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details					
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged				Yes	
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate				Yes	
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted				Yes	
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election				Yes	
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account				Yes	
18.	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect (ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect (iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.				Not Applicable	
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:				Yes	
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
i	NIL	NIL	NIL	NIL	NIL	NIL
TOTAL						
20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period				Yes	
21.	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-					
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting				Not Applicable	
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice				-----	
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?				-----	

	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	-----
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	-----
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO) Date:	Yes  Signature (Name of the DEO)
23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*.		
Date:		 Signature of the Expenditure Observer

1

1/2

1/2




Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the 07

Name of the State Karnataka District Gulbarga 05-Gulbarga (SC)

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1.	Name & address of the candidate	SRI.RAJKUMAR Maramanchi Tanda, Post: Maragutti. Tq: kamalapur Dist.: Kalaburagi Contact No.9320307771
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	BHARATIYA BAHUJAN KRANTI DAL
3.	No.andname of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	05-Gulbarga (SC)
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Dr.UMESH G JADHAV
5.	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18-06-2019
7.	(i)Whetherthecandidateorhisagenthadbeeninformed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22-06-2019
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate:	21-06-2019 ----
	(i) original account	
	(ii) revised account after the AccountReconciliation Meeting	
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	----
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	----
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	No
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	No

15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement				Rs. 62,900/-	
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961				Yes	
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details					
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged				Yes	
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate				Yes	
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted				Yes	
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election				Yes	
18.	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account				Yes	
	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect (ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect (iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.				Not Applicable	
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:				Yes	
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
i	NIL	NIL	NIL	NIL	NIL	NIL
TOTAL						
20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period				Attended only 2 times (16-04-2019 & 20-04-2019)	
21.	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-					
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting				Not Applicable	
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice				-----	
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?				-----	

	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	-----
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	-----
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO) Date:	Yes  Signature (Name of the DEO)
23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*- Date: 		
		 Signature of the Expenditure Observer

10



1/2

1/2

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the 06
Name of the State Karnataka District Gulbarga 05-Gulbarga (SC)

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1.	Name & address of the candidate	SRI.MAHESH LAMBANI No.28, Near Sri Sevalal Temple, Marabbihal Tanda, Post : Marabbihal Tq: Hagaribommanahalli Dist.; Bellary -583212 Contact No.9611034918, 8746843451
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	UTTAMA PRAJAAKEEYA PARTY (UPP)
3.	No.andname of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	05-Gulbarga (SC)
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Dr.UMESH G JADHAV
5.	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18-06-2019
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22-06-2019
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate:	19-06-2019 ----
	(i) original account	
	(ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	----
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	----
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	No
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	No

15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement				Rs. 29,011/-	
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961				Yes	
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details					
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged				Yes	
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate				Yes	
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted				Yes	
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election				Yes	
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account				Yes	
18.	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect (ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect (iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.				Not Applicable	
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:				Yes	
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
i	NIL	NIL	NIL	NIL	NIL	NIL
TOTAL						
20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period				Attended only 2 times (12-04-2019 & 20-04-2019)	
21.	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-					
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting				Not Applicable	
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice				-----	
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?				-----	

	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	----
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	----
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO) Date:	Yes  Signature (Name of the DEO)
23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*- Date: 12/06/19		
		 Signature of the Expenditure Observer

1
2
3

ep. 1/2




ep. 1/2

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the 07
Name of the State Karnataka District Gulbarga 05-Gulbarga (SC)

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1.	Name & address of the candidate	SRI.VIJAY JADHAV E/8/2403, Shivaji Nagar, Basawalingeshwar Temple, Kalaburagi -585103 Contact No.9060201111
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	SARVA JANATA PARTY (SJP)
3.	No.andname of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	05-Gulbarga (SC)
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Dr.UMESH G JADHAV
5.	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18-06-2019
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22-06-2019
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	18-06-2019 -----
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	-----
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	-----
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	No
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	No

15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement			Rs. 71,060/-		
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961			Yes		
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details					
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged			Yes		
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate			Yes		
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted			Yes		
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election			Yes		
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account			Yes		
18.	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect (ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect (iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.			Not Applicable		
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:			Yes		
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
i	NIL	NIL	NIL	NIL	NIL	NIL
	TOTAL					
20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period			Yes		
21.	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-					
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting			Not Applicable		
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice			-----		
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?			-----		

	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	-----
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	-----
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO) Date:	Yes  Signature (Name of the DEO)
23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*- Date:   Signature of the Expenditure Observer		

11

11/11/11




11/11/11

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the 08
Name of the State Karnataka District Gulbarga 05-Gulbarga (SC)

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1.	Name & address of the candidate	SRI.S.M. SHARMA H.No.1729, Upper lane, Station Bazar, Behind Yeshodha Childrens Hospital, Jewargi Road, Kalaburagi -585102 Contact No.8618885049, 9740561495
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	SOCIALIST UNITY CENTER OF INDIA (COMMUNIST) (SUCI-C)
3.	No.andname of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	05-Gulbarga (SC)
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Dr.UMESH G JADHAV
5.	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18-06-2019
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22-06-2019
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate:	19-06-2019 ----
	(i) original account	
	(ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	----
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	----
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	No
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	No

15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement				Rs. 1,79,181/-	
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961				Yes	
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details					
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged				Yes	
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate				Yes	
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted				Yes	
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election				Yes	
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account				Yes	
18.	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect (ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect (iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.				Not Applicable	
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:				Yes	
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
i	NIL	NIL	NIL	NIL	NIL	NIL
TOTAL						
20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period				Yes	
21.	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-					
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting				Not Applicable	
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice				-----	
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?				-----	




	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	-----
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	-----
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO) Date:	Yes  Signature (Name of the DEO)
23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*- Date: 		
 Signature of the Expenditure Observer		

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the 09
Name of the State Karnataka District Gulbarga 05-Gulbarga (SC)

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1.	Name & address of the candidate	SRI.SHANKAR JADHAV T-9-595/16, Shivshakti Nagar, Filterbed Area, Syed Chincholi Road, Kalaburagi -585102 Contact No.9448001437
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	BHARATIYA PEOPLES PARTY (BPP)
3.	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	05-Gulbarga (SC)
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Dr.UMESH G JADHAV
5.	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18-06-2019
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22-06-2019
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate:	
	(i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	19-06-2019 ----
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	----
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	----
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	No
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	No




15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement				Rs. 2,29,319/-	
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961				Yes	
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details					
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged				Yes	
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate				Yes	
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted				Yes	
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election				Yes	
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account				Yes	
18.	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect (ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect (iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.				Not Applicable	
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:				Yes	
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
i	NIL	NIL	NIL	NIL	NIL	NIL
TOTAL						
20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period				Attended only 1 time (20-04-2019)	
21.	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-					
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting				Not Applicable	
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice				----	
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?				----	

	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	-----
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	-----
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO) Date:	Yes  Signature (Name of the DEO)
23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*- Date: 		
 Signature of the Expenditure Observer		

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the 10
Name of the State Karnataka District Gulbarga 05-Gulbarga (SC)

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1.	Name & address of the candidate	SRI.G.THIMMARAJU Sarkari Guttahalli Kaba Hobli DoddaGattinabbe Post Tq Hoskote Dist: Bengaluru Rural. Contact No.9980275355
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	INDEPENDENT
3.	No.andname of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	05-Gulbarga (SC)
4.	Name of the elected candidate	DR UMESH G JADHAV
5.	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18-06-2019
7.	(i)Whetherthecandidateorhisagenthadbeeninformed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22-06-2019
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate:	20-06-2019 ----
	(i) original account	
	(ii) revised account after the AccountReconciliation Meeting	
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	----
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	----
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	No
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	No

15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement				Rs. 12,500/-	
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961				Yes	
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details					
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged				Yes	
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate				Yes	
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted				Yes	
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election				Yes	
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account				Yes	
18.	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect (ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect (iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.				Not Applicable	
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:				Yes	
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
i	NIL	NIL	NIL	NIL	NIL	NIL
TOTAL						
20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period				NO	
21.	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-					
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting				Not Applicable	
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice				-----	
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?				-----	

	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	----
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	----
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO) Date:	Yes  Signature (Name of the DEO)
23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*- Date: 		
 Signature of the Expenditure Observer		

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50

1/2




1/2

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the 07

Name of the State Karnataka District Gulbarga 05-Gulbarga (SC)

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1.	Name & address of the candidate	DR M.P. DARAKESWARAIAHE Kanakpur Main Road, Somnalli Gate, Somenahalli, Bengaluru-82 Contact No.9980690231
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	INDEPENDENT
3.	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	05-Gulbarga (SC)
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Dr. UMESH G JADHAV
5.	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18-06-2019
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22-06-2019
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate:	20-06-2019 ----
	(i) original account	
	(ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	----
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	----
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	No
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	No

15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement				Rs. 12,500/-	
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961				Yes	
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details					
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged				Yes	
	(ii) Whether duly sworn affidavit has been submitted by candidate				Yes	
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted				Yes	
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election				Yes	
18.	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account				Yes	
	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect (ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect (iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.				Not Applicable	
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:				Yes	
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
i	NIL	NIL	NIL	NIL	NIL	NIL
TOTAL						
20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period				Attended only 1 time (16-04-2019) <i>but not produced any record</i>	
21.	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-					
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting				Not Applicable	
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice				-----	
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?				-----	

	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	----
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	----
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO) Date:	Yes  Signature (Name of the DEO)
23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*- Date: 		
 Signature of the Expenditure Observer		

Handwritten marks at the top right corner.

Handwritten symbol or initials, possibly 'OK'.

Handwritten scribbles or illegible text.


Handwritten scribbles or illegible text.

Vertical handwritten mark or symbol.

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the 12
Name of the State Karnataka District Gulbarga 05-Gulbarga (SC)

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1.	Name & address of the candidate	SRI.RAMESH BHEEMSINGH CHAVAN At Post : Keroor Bhupal Tegnoor, Tq & Dist : Kalaburagi -585102 Contact No.9945307652, 7349675349
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	INDEPENDENT
3.	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	05-Gulbarga (SC)
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Dr.UMESH G JADHAV
5.	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18-06-2019
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22-06-2019
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate:	19-06-2019 ----
	(i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	----
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	----
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	No
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	No

15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement				Rs. 1,48,004/-	
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961				Yes	
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details					
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged				Yes	
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate				Yes	
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted				Yes	
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election				Yes	
18.	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account				Yes	
	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect (ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect (iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.				Not Applicable	
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:				Yes	
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
i	NIL	NIL	NIL	NIL	NIL	NIL
TOTAL						
20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period				Attended only 2 times (16-04-2019 & 20-04-2019)	
21.	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-					
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting				Not Applicable	
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice				-----	
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?				-----	

	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	----
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	----
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO) Date:	Yes  Signature (Name of the DEO)

23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-

Date:

18/06/19

Signature of the Expenditure Observer

[Handwritten signature in green ink]
18/06/19

10
11
12
13
14

10/20/19

10/20/19