ಜಿಲ್ಲಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳ ಕಾರ್ಯಲಯ ರಾಯಚೂರು ಜಿಲ್ಲೆ, ರಾಯಚೂರು-584101

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER, RAICHUR DISTRICT, RAICHUR-584101

Telephone No: 08532-229011, 225953 Fax: 08532-229012, Email: deo.raichur@gmail.com

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ: ಕಂದಾಯ /ಚುನಾವಣೆ-1/ಲೋಸಚು/2019-20

ದಿನಾಂಕ : \$2 -07-2019

ಇವರಿಗೆ,

ಮಾನ್ಯ ಮುಖ್ಯ ಚುನಾವಣಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು ನಿರ್ವಾಚನಾ ನಿಲಯ ಶೇಷಾದಿ, ರಸ್ತೆ, ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು

ಮಾನ್ಯರೆ,

ಲೋಕಸಭಾ ಸಾರ್ವತ್ರಿಕ ಚುನಾವಣೆ-2019 ರ ಸಂಬಂಧ ಚುನಾವಣೆಗೆ ಸ್ಪರ್ಧಿಸಿದ ಅಭ್ಯರ್ಥಿಗಳ ಚುನಾವಣಾ ವೆಚ್ಚ ವಿವರ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸುವ ಕುರಿತು.

ಲೋಕಸಭಾ ಸಾರ್ವತ್ರಿಕ ಚುನಾವಣೆ-2019 ರ ಸಂಬಂಧ, 6-ರಾಯಚೂರು(ಎಸ್ಟಿ) ಲೋಕಸಭಾ ಕ್ಷೇತ್ರಕ್ಕೆ ಸ್ಪರ್ಧಿಸಿದ್ದ 05 ಜನ ಅಭ್ಯರ್ಥಿಗಳು ನಿಗದಿತ ಅವಧಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಚುನಾವಣಾ ವೆಚ್ಚ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿದ ಮತ್ತು ಚುನಾವಣಾ ವೆಚ್ಚೆ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸದೇ ಇರುವ ಅಭ್ಯರ್ಥಿಗಳ ವಿವರಗಳನ್ನು ನಿಗದಿತ ನಮೂನೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ತಯಾರಿಸಿ ಈ ಪತ್ರದೊಂದಿಗೆ ಅನುಬಂಧಿಸಿ ಮಾನ್ಯರ ಅವಗಾಹನೆಗಾಗಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ.

ತಮ್ಮ ವಿಶ್ವಾಸಿ,

ಅಪರ ಜಿಲ್ಲಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು ರಾಯಚೂರು





SECRETARIAT OF THE ELECTION COMMISSION OF INDIA Nirvachan Sadan, Ashoka Road, New Delhi-110001

Candidate Scrutiny Reports

State: Karnataka

Date of Print: 28.06.2019 12:28 pm

SUMMARY REPORT OF DEO FOR EACH CONSTITUENCY ON LODGING OF ELECTION EXPENSES ACCOUNTS BY CANDIDATES

(a) No. and Name of Parliamentary Constituency: 6-Raichur

(b) Total No. Contesting Candidates: 5

(c) State: Karnataka

(d) Date of Declaration of Result of Election/Bye-election: 23-05-2019

(e) Last Date of Lodging Accounts: 22-06-2019

(f) Name of the Elected Candidate: RAJA AMARESHWARA NAIK

1.	2,	3.	4.	5.	6.	7,	В.		9.	10	١.	11,
					Whether	Grand Total of the	Whether the DEO agrees with the amount shown by the	(As reported	xpenses y the Party ed in Part-fil Statement)	Total Expens by others/e reported in Abstract S	ntitles as Part-III of	
Sr No.	Name of the Candidate and Party Affiliation	Due Date of Lodging of Account	Date of Lodging of Account by the Candidate	Whether Lodged in the Prescribed Format (Yes or No)	Intiped in the manner required by Law (Yes or No)	Fypenses Incurred/Authorized by the Candidate/Agent (as mentioned in Part-II of Abstract Statement)	candidate against all ltems of expenditure (Should be climilar to point no. 22 of DEO's Scrutiny Report i.e. Annexure C3)	Lump Sum Amount In cash or cheque given to candidate by each Political Party	Grand Total of other Expenses kind by the Pullical Party	Lump Sum Amount in cash/chaque given in the candidate (and mention names of donors)	Grand Total of other expenses in kind incurred for the candidate	Remarks of the Expenditure Observer
1	ម, V NAIX-Indian National Cóngress	22-06-2019	22-06-2019	Yes	Yes	4594512	yes	N/A	1340608	N/A	N/A	Vouchers amounting to Rs., 18,21,477/- are riot submitted,
2	RAJA AMARESHWARA NAIK-Bharatiya Janata Party	22-06-2019	21-06-2019	Yes	Yes	5872668	yes	4000000	1949212	665000	N/A	No Comments
	K. SUMASHEKHAR YADAGIRI-SOCIALIST UNITY CENTRE OF INDIA (COMMUNIST)	22-06-2019	21-06-2019	Yes	Yes	263432	yes	N/A	24300	209000	66121	No Comments
	NIRANJAN NAYAK- Uttama Prajaakeeya Party	22-06-2019	21-06-2019	Yes	Yes	25026	yes	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	No Comments



4	2,	3,	4.	5.	6.	7.	8,		9.	10	•	11,
				Whether	Whether	Grand Total of the	Whether the DEO agrees with the amount shown by the	Incurred b (As reporte	xpenses y the Party ed in Part-iji Statement)	Total Expens by others/e reported in Abstract S	ntitles as Part-III of	
Sr .No.	Name of the Candidate and Party Affiliation	Due Date of Lodging of Account	Date of Lodging of Account by the Candidate	Lodged In the Prescribed Farmat (Yes or No)	Lodged in the manner required by Law (Yes or No)	Expenses incurred/Authorized by the Candidate/Agent (as mentioned in Part-II of Abstract Statement)	candidate against all items of expenditure (Should be similar to point no. 22 of DEO's Scrutiny Report i.e. Annexure - C3)	Lump Sum Amount In cash or cheque given to candidate by each Political Party	Grand Total of other Expenses kind by the Political Party	Lump Sum Amount in cash/cheque given to the candidate (and mention names of donors)	Grand Total of other expenses in kind incurred for the candidate	Remarks of the Expenditure Observer
5	8. VENKANAGOUDA NAYAKA-Bahujan Samaj Party	22-06-2019	Not Lodged	No	No	1	no	NIA	NIA	NIA	N/A	The candidate has not lodged the not selection Expenditure Register comprising of the Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Abstract Statement. Therefore, the discrepancies defects in the accounts could not be found out by the DEO. I endorse the comment of the DEO made in column no. 18 of this report column no. 18 of this report of this report of the DEO made in column no. 18 of this report column no. 18 of this

Comments of the Expenditure Observer, If any,

Signature of the DEO







SECRETARIAT OF THE ELECTION COMMISSION OF INDIA Nirvachan Sadan, Ashoka Road, New Delhi-110001

Candidate Scrutiny Report Date Of Submit Scrutiny Form: 26.06.2019 10:15 am

Name of the State: Karnataka Election: General PC

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961				
Sr. No	Description	To be Filled up by the DEO		
1,	Name & address of the candidate:	B. V NAIK 5/34(Post) Arakera Tq:Devadurga		
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Indian National Congress		
3.	No. and name of Parliamentary Constituency	6-Raichur		
4.	Name of the elected candidate	RAJA AMARESHWARA NAIK		
5.	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019		
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18-06-2019		
	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had	Yes		
	been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	N/A		
7.	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the	Yes		
	Meeting	N/A		
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes		
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22-06-2019		

22-06-2019

Yes

Yes

N/A

Not Applicable

Not Applicable

Rs.4594512/-

No

12.

13.

14.

14A.

15.

16.



DEO'S SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961 Sr. No Description To be Filled up by the DEO 10. Whether the candidate has lodged the account Yes If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: 11. (i) original account 22-06-2019

(ii) Revised account after the Account

If account not lodged or not lodged in time,

Explanation, if any, given by the candidate

Comments of the DEO on the explanation if

Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract

Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been

lodged in the manner required by the R.P. Act

whether DEO called for explanation from the

Whether account lodged in time

candidate. If not, reason thereof.

Reconciliation Meeting

any, of the candidate

1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961

Statement



Sr. No	Description	To be Filled up by the DEO
	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of the Day to Day Account	Yes
	Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	N/A
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been	Yes
	submitted by the candidate	N/A
.7.	(iii) IATh alban na mainite march and in magnest of	No
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	Vouchers amounting to Rs. 18,21,477/- are not submitted.
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened	Yes
	by for election	N/A
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty	Yes
	expenditure) routed through bank account	N/A
		Yes
	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect	Download 22-06-2019
18.		No
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect	The candidate has not yet submitted any explanation.
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not	The candidate has not yet submitted any explanation.



DEO's S	DEO'S SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961				
Sr. No	Description	To be Filled up by the DEO			
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence If No then mention the following:	Yes			
20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of the Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period	Yes			
22,	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Reports of DEO	Yes N/A			
	Date:	Signature (Name of the DEO)			
	Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer	*_			
23.	Vouchers amounting to Rs. 18,21,477/- are not something to Rs. 18,21,477/- are not so	tutre of the Expenditure Observer			

^{**} The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like given additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

Fund Given By Political Party				
By Cash	N/A			
By Cheque	N/A			
In Kind	1340608			
Lump Sum Amount Given by Political Party	Rs.1340608/-			

^{*} If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.



Name	Mode of Payment	Amount	
M/s L V Filling Station Rcr	Cheque/DD/RTGS/NEFT/OTHER ELECTRONIC MEDIUM	1500000	
Lump Sum Amount Given By Other Sources	Rs.1500000/-		

Lump Sum Grand Total:Rs.2840608/-

Dated:N/A





Bharatiya Janata Party

SECRETARIAT OF THE **ELECTION COMMISSION OF INDIA** Nirvachan Sadan, Ashoka Road, New Delhi-110001

Candidate Scrutiny Report Date Of Submit Scrutiny Form: 25.06.2019 01:27 pm

Name of the State: Karnataka Election: General PC

DEO'S SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961				
Sr. No	Description	To be Filled up by the DEO		
1.	Name & address of the candidate:	RAJA AMARESHWARA NAIK Gurugunta Village Taluka Lingasugur		
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Bharatiya Janata Party		
3.	No. and name of Parliamentary Constituency	6-Raichur		
4,	Name of the elected candidate	RAJA AMARESHWARA NAIK		
5.	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019		
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18-06-2019		
	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had	Yes		
7	been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	N/A		
7,,	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the	Yes		
	Meeting	N/A		
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes		
9,	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22-06-2019		



Sr. No	Description	To be Filled up by the DEO
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate:	
11.	(i) original account	21-06-2019
	(ii) Revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	21-06-2019
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
4.0	If account not lodged or not lodged in time,	N/A
13	whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	N/A
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	Not Applicable
14A.	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	Not Applicable
15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs.5872668/-
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R.P. Act 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	Yes
	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect	N/A
18.	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect	N/A
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not	Not Applicable

Bharatiya Janata Party

DEO's S	SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 190	
Sr. No	Description	To be Filled up by the DEO
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence If No then mention the following:	Yes
20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of the Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period	Yes
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Reports of DEO	Yes
	Date:	Signature (Name of the DEO)
23.	Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer No Comments	and
	Date: 25 52 2019 Signatu	utre of the Expenditure Observer

^{**} The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like given additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

	Fund Given B	y Political Party	y in the second			
By Cash	N/A					
By Cheque	4000000	2019-04-08	Canara Bank, Bangalore			
In Kind		1	949212			

^{*} If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

Bharatiya Janata Party

Lump Sum Amount Given by Political Party	Rs.5949212/-
---	--------------

Name	Mode of Payment	Amount
Smt. Mohanamba	Cheque	665000
Lump Sum Amount Given By Other Sources	Rs.665000/	-

Lump Sum Grand Total:Rs.6614212/-

Dated:N/A



SECRETARIAT OF THE ELECTION COMMISSION OF INDIA Nirvachan Sadan, Ashoka Road, New Delhi-110001

Candidate Scrutiny Report

Date Of Submit Scrutiny Form: 25.06.2019 02:11 pm

Name of the State: Karnataka District: RAICHUR Election: General PC

Sr. No	Description	To be Filled up by the DEO
1.	Name & address of the candidate:	K. SOMASHEKHAR YADAGIR 4-8-31/B Valmiki Nagar Yadgir
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	SOCIALIST UNITY CENTRE OF INDIA (COMMUNIST)
3.	No. and name of Parliamentary Constituency	6-Raichur
4.	Name of the elected candidate	RAJA AMARESHWARA NAIK
5.	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18-06-2019
	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had	Yes
7.	been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	N/A
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the	Yes
	Meeting	N/A
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22-06-2019

Sr. No	Description	To be Filled up by the DEO
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate:	
11.	(i) original account	21-06-2019
	(ii) Revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	21-06-2019
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the	N/A
	candidate. If not, reason thereof.	N/A
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	Not Applicable
14A.	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	Not Applicable
15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs.263432/-
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R.P. Act 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	Yes
	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect	N/A
18.	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect	N/A
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not	Not Applicable



(Name of the DEO)

Signatutre of the Expenditure Observer

Date:

No Comments

Date: 25

23.

DEO'S SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961 Sr. No Description To be Filled up by the DEO Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation 19. Yes Register and Folder of Evidence If No then mention the following: Did the candidate produce his Register of the Accounting Election Expenditure for 20. Yes inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses Yes are correctly reported by the candidate. N/A (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Reports of DEO 22. Signature

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like given additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-

Fund Given By Political Party	
By Cash	N/A
By Cheque	N/A
In Kind	24300
Lump Sum Amount Given by Political Party	Rs.24300/-



Name | SOCIALIST UNITY CENTRE OF INDIA (COMMUNIST)

Name | Mode of Payment | Amount |

Others | Cash | 140000 |

Others | Cheque | 69000 |

Others | In Kind | 66121

Lump Sum Grand Total:Rs.299421/-

Rs.275121/-

Lump Sum Amount Given By Other Sources

Dated:N/A

Nirvachan Sadan, Ashoka Road, New Delhi- 110001





SECRETARIAT OF THE ELECTION COMMISSION OF INDIA Nirvachan Sadan, Ashoka Road, New Delhi-110001

Candidate Scrutiny Report Date Of Submit Scrutiny Form: 25.06.2019 06:18 pm

Name of the State: Karnataka District: RAICHUR Election: General PC

DEO'S SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
Sr. No	Description	To be Filled up by the DEO
1.	Name & address of the candidate:	B. VENKANAGOUDA NAYAKA K. ERABAGERA AT POST,TQ: DEVADURGA DIST: RAICHUR
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Bahujan Samaj Party
3.	No. and name of Parliamentary Constituency	6-Raichur
4.	Name of the elected candidate	RAJA AMARESHWARA NAIK
5.	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18-06-2019
- 1	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
Park.		N/A
7.	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the Meeting	No
_		Neither the candidate nor his agent attended the meeting.



Sr. No	Description	To be Filled up by the DEO
	Whether all the defects reconciled by the	No
8.	candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Neither the candidate nor his agent attended the meeting. Therefore the defects could not be found and reconciled.
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22-06-2019
		No
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	The candidate has not lodged his final accounts. Notice is issued in this regard
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the	Yes
	candidate. If not, reason thereof.	N/A
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	The candidate has not yet submitted his explanation
14A.	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	The candidate has not yet submitted his explanation
15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs.1/-
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R.P. Act 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	No





Sr. No	Description	To be Filled up by the DEO
	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	
		No
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of the Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	The candidate has not lodged Election Expenditure Register comprising of the Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement.
		No
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by the candidate	The candidate has not submitted duly sworn in affidavit.
17.		No
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	The candidate has not submitted requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure.
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened by for election	Yes
		N/A
		No
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	The candidate has not lodged Election Expenditure Register comprising of the Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement. Therefore, this point could not be verified.



RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
Sr. No	Description	To be Filled up by the DEO
		No
	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect	The candidate has not submitted Election Expenditure Register comprising of the Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement. Therefore, the defect if any, could not be verified.
18.	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect	The candidate has not submitted Election Expenditure Register comprising of the Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement. Therefore, the defect if any, could not be verified and hence, no issue of rectification of defect.
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not	The candidate has not submitted Election Expenditure Register comprising of the Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement. The mandatory column no. 15 accepts only the numerical value not being zero. Therefore, the grand total amount of all election expenses could not be verified and therefore not reported. However, for the technical glitch as above Rs.1 is entered as expenditure to complete the reporting.
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence If No then mention the following:	Yes

6

DEO'S SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER **RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961** Sr. No Description To be Filled up by the DEO Did the candidate produce his Register of the Accounting Election Expenditure for 20. Yes inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period No The candidate has not lodged Election Expenditure Register Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses comprising of the Day to Day are correctly reported by the candidate. Account Register, Cash (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Register, Bank Register. Summary Reports of DEO Abstract Statement, Therefore. 22. the correctness of expenses could not be verified. Date: Signature (Name of the DEO) Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-The candidate has not lodged Election Expenditure Register comprising of the Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement. Therefore, the discrepancies/ defects in the accounts could not be found out by 23. the DEO. I endorse the comment of the DEO made in column no. 18 of this report on filling of column no. 15 of this report. Date: 25 Signatutre of the Expenditure Observer

^{**} The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like given additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

Fund Given By Political Party	
By Cash	N/A
By Cheque	N/A

^{*} If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

×	In Kind	N/A
	Lump Sum Amount Given by Political Party	Rs.0/-

Name	Mode of Payment	Amount
N/A		Int. 1500: Was on
Lump Sum Amount Given By Other Sources	Rs,0/-	ja-

Lump Sum Grand Total:Rs.0/-

Dated:N/A





SECRETARIAT OF THE ELECTION COMMISSION OF INDIA Nirvachan Sadan, Ashoka Road, New Delhi-110001

Candidate Scrutiny Report Date Of Submit Scrutiny Form: 25.06.2019 12:35 pm

Name of the State: Karnataka District: RAICHUR Election: GENERAL

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
Sr. No	Description	To be Filled up by the DEO
1.	Name & address of the candidate:	NIRANJAN NAYAK # 147,ARKERA DEVADURGA
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Uttama Prajaakeeya Party
3.	No. and name of Parliamentary Constituency	6-Raichur
4.	Name of the elected candidate	RAJA AMARESHWARA NAIK
5.	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18-06-2019
	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account	Yes
7.	Reconciliation Meeting in writing	N/A
4	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the	Yes
	Meeting	N/A
3.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
r	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22-06-2019

Sr. No	Description	To be Filled up by the DEO
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate:	
11.	(i) original account	21-06-2019
	(ii) Revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	21-06-2019
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the	N/A
	candidate. If not, reason thereof.	N/A
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	Not Applicable
14A.	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	Not Apllicable
15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs.25026/-
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R.P. Act 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	Yes
	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect	N/A
18.	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect	N/A
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not	Not Applicable

Signatutre of the Expenditure Observer

DEO's	SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES (RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 19	OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER
Sr. No	Description	To be Filled up by the DEO
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence If No then mention the following:	Yes
20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of the Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period	Yes
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Reports of DEO	Yes N/A
	Date:	Signature (Name of the DEO)

^{**} The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like given additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

Fund Given By Political Party	
By Cash	N/A
By Cheque	N/A
In Kind	N/A
Lump Sum Amount Given by Political Party	Rs.0/-

23.

No Comments

^{*} If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

Name	Mode of Payment	Amount
N/A		
Lump Sum Amount Given By Other Sources	Rs.0/-	

Lump Sum Grand Total:Rs.0/-

Dated:N/A





SECRETARIAT OF THE ELECTION COMMISSION OF INDIA Nirvachan Sadan, Ashoka Road, New Delhi-110001

Candidate Scrutiny Reports

State: Karnataka

Date of Print: 28.06.2019 12:28 pm

SUMMARY REPORT OF DEO FOR EACH CONSTITUENCY ON LODGING OF ELECTION EXPENSES ACCOUNTS BY CANDIDATES

(a) No. and Name of Parliamentary Constituency: 6-Raichur

(b) Total No. Contesting Candidates: 5

(c) State: Karnataka

(d) Date of Declaration of Result of Election/Bye-election: 23-05-2019

(e) Last Date of Lodging Accounts: 22-06-2019

(f) Name of the Elected Candidate: RAJA AMARESHWARA NAIK

1.	2.	3.	4,	5.	6.	7.	8.	9		10.		11,		
	-				Whather	Grand Tabal of the	Whether the DEO agrees with the amount shown by	Total Ex Incurred by (As reporte of Abstract	the Party	Total Expense by others/e reported in Abstract St	ntitles as Part-III of			
Sr No.	Name of the Candidate and Party Affiliation	Due Date of Lodging of Account	Date of Lodging of Account by the Candidate	Lodged in the mar requirement by (Yes or No.)	Prescribed Format (Yes or	Lodged in the manner required by Law (Yes or No)	Grand Total of the Expenses Incurred/Authorized by the Candidate/Agent (as mentioned in Part-II of Alistract Statement)	Expenses candidate against all items of the Candidate/Agent (as mentioned in Part-II of Abstract shrillar to	against all items of expenditure (Should be shullar to point no. 22 of DEO's Scrutiny Report i.e. Annexure -	Lump Sum Amount In cash or cheque given to candidate by each Political Party	Grand Total of other Expenses kind by the Political Party	Lump Sum Amount in cash/cheque given to the candidate (and mention names of donors)	Grand Total of other oxpenses in kind incurred for the candidate	Remarks of the Expenditure Observer
1	8. V NAIK-Indian National Congress	22-06-2019	22-06-2019	Yes	Yes	4594512	yes	N/A	1340608	N/A	N/A	Vouchers amounting to Rs. 18,21,477/- are not submitted,		
2	RAJA AMARESHWARA NAIK-Bharatiya Janata Party	22-06-2019	21-06-2019	Yes	Yes	5872668	yes	4000000	1949212	665000	N/A	No Comments		
3	K. SOMASHEKHAR YADAGIRI-SOCIALIST UNITY CENTRE OF INDIA (COMMUNIST)	22-06-2019	21-06-2019	Yes	Yes	263432	yes	N/A	24300	209000	66121	No Comments		
4	NIRANJAN NAYAK- Ultama Prajaakeeya Party	22-06-2019	21-06-2019	Yes	Yes	25026	yes	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	No Comments		



1,	2.	3,	4.	5.	6,	7,	в.	9.		10.		11.
					Whether	Grand Total of the	Whether the DEO agrees with the amount shown by the	Total Ex incurred by (As reporte of Abstract	the Party	Total Expense by others/er reported in Abstract St	ntities as Part-III of	
Sr .No.	Name of the Candidate and Party Affiliation	Due Date of Lodging of Account	Date of Lodging of Account by the Candidate	Whether Lodged In the Prescribed Format (Yes or No)	Lodged In the manner required by Law (Yes or No)	Expenses Incurred/Authorized by the Candidate/Agent (as mentloned in Part-II of Abstract Statement)	candidate against all tems of expenditure (Should be similar to point no. 22 of DEO's Scrutiny Report I.e. Annexure - C3)	Lump Sum Amount in cash or cheque given to candidate by each Political Party	Grand Total of other Expenses kind by the Political Party	Lump Sum Amount in cash/cheque given to the candidate (and mention names of donors)	Grand Total of other expenses In kind Incurred for the candidate	Remarks of the Expenditure Observer
												The candidal has not lodged Election Expenditure Register comprising the Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract
S	B. VENKANAGOUDA NAYAKA-Bahujan Samaj Party	22-06-2019	Not Lodged	No	No	1	no	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Statement. Thierefore, to discrepancie defects in the accounts could not be found out at the DEO. I endorse the comment of the DEO made in
	,				-2.		, e n					column no. of this repo on filling of column no of this repo

Comments of the Expenditure Observer, If any,

Signature of the DEO







SECRETARIAT OF THE ELECTION COMMISSION OF INDIA Nirvachan Sadan, Ashoka Road, New Delhi-110001

Candidate Scrutiny Report

Date Of Submit Scrutiny Form: 26.06.2019 10:15 am

DEO'S SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961				
Sr. No	Description	To be Filled up by the DEO		
1.	Name & address of the candidate:	B. V NAIK 5/34(Post) Arakera Tq:Devadurga		
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Indian National Congress		
3.	No. and name of Parliamentary Constituency	6-Raichur		
4.	Name of the elected candidate	RAJA AMARESHWARA NAIK		
5.	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019		
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18-06-2019		
	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had	Yes		
_	been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	N/A		
7.	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the	Yes		
	Meeting	N/A		
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes		
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22-06-2019		

Sr. No	Description	To be Filled up by the DEO
.0.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate:	
11.	(i) original account	22-06-2019
	(ii) Revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	22-06-2019
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time,	Yes
	whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	N/A
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	Not Applicable
14A.	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	Not Applicable
15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs.4594512/-
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R.P. Act 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	No





GEO'S SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961				
Sr. No	Description	To be Filled up by the DEO		
	If No, then please mention the following defects with details			
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of the Day to Day Account	Yes		
	Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	N/A		
540	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been	Yes		
	submitted by the candidate	N/A		
17.		No		
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	Vouchers amounting to Rs. 18,21,477/- are not submitted.		
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened	Yes		
	by for election	N/A		
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty	Yes		
	expenditure) routed through bank account	N/A		
		Yes		
18.	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect	Download 22-06-2019		
		No		
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect	The candidate has not yet submitted any explanation.		
<i>2.</i>	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not	The candidate has not yet submitted any explanation.		



(90)

. SEO's S	GEO'S SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961					
Sr. No	Description	To be Filled up by the DEO				
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence If No then mention the following:	Yes				
20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of the Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period	Yes				
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Reports of DEO	Yes N/A Signature				
	Date:	(Name of the DEO)				
23.	Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer Vouchers amounting to Rs. 18,21,477/- are not Date: 26/25/25/9 Signature	() (

^{**} The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission.

If the CEO feels like given additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

Fund Given By Political Party	
By Cash	N/A
By Cheque	N/A
In Kind	1340608
Lump Sum Amount Given by Political Party	Rs.1340608/-

^{*} If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.



Name	Mode of Payment	Amount
M/s L V Filling Station Rcr	Cheque/DD/RTGS/NEFT/OTHER ELECTRONIC MEDIUM	1500000
Lump Sum Amount Given By Other Sources	Rs.1500000/-	

Lump Sum Grand Total:Rs.2840608/-

Dated:N/A



SUVIDHA

SECRETARIAT OF THE ELECTION COMMISSION OF INDIA Nirvachan Sadan, Ashoka Road, New Delhi-110001

Candidate Scrutiny Report

Date Of Submit Scrutiny Form: 25.06.2019 01:27 pm

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961					
Description	To be Filled up by the DEO				
Name & address of the candidate:	RAJA AMARESHWARA NAIK Gurugunta Village Taluka Lingasugur				
Political Party affiliation, if any	Bharatiya Janata Party				
No. and name of Parliamentary Constituency	6-Raichur				
Name of the elected candidate	RAJA AMARESHWARA NAIK				
Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019				
Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18-06-2019				
(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes				
	N/A				
(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the Meeting	Yes				
	N/A				
Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes				
Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22-06-2019				
	Description Name & address of the candidate: Political Party affiliation, if any No. and name of Parliamentary Constituency Name of the elected candidate Date of declaration of result Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting (i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing (ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the Meeting Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)				

- intadiornaj



DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961				
Sr. No	Description	To be Filled up by the DEO		
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes		
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate:			
	(i) original account	21-06-2019		
	(ii) Revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	21-06-2019		
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes		
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	N/A		
		N/A		
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	Not Applicable		
14A.	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	Not Applicable		
15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs.5872668/-		
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R.P. Act 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	Yes		
18.	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect	N/A		
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect	N/A		
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not	Not Applicable		

(16)

Bharatiya Janata Party

DEO'S SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961						
Sr. No	Description	To be Filled up by the DEO				
19,	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence If No then mention the following:	Yes				
20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of the Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period	Yes				
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Reports of DEO	Yes N/A				
	Date:	Signature (Name of the DEO)				
23.	Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*- No Comments					
	Date: 25 65 2019 Signat	outre of the Expenditure Observer				

^{**} The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like given additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

	Fund Given B	y Political Part		alfond one of paragraphy	
By Cash	N/A				
By Cheque	4000000	2019-04-08	Canara Bank, Bangalore		
In Kind	1949212				

^{*} If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.



Lump Sum Amount Given by Political Party	Rs.5949212/-
1 Office of the Control of the Contr	

Name	Mode of Payment	Amount
Smt. Mohanamba	Cheque	665000
Lump Sum Amount Given By Other Sources	Rs.665000/	/ <u>-</u>

Lump Sum Grand Total: Rs. 6614212/-

Dated:N/A

Nirvachan Sadan, Ashoka Road, New Delhi- 110001





SECRETARIAT OF THE ELECTION COMMISSION OF INDIA Nirvachan Sadan, Ashoka Road, New Delhi-110001

Candidate Scrutiny Report

Date Of Submit Scrutiny Form: 25.06.2019 02:11 pm

Name of the State: Karnataka District: RAICHUR Election: General PC

Sr. No	Description	To be Filled up by the DEO
1,	Name & address of the candidate:	K. SOMASHEKHAR YADAGIR 4-8-31/B Valmiki Nagar Yadgir
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	SOCIALIST UNITY CENTRE OF INDIA (COMMUNIST)
3.	No. and name of Parliamentary Constituency	6-Raichur
4.	Name of the elected candidate	RAJA AMARESHWARA NAIK
5.	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18-06-2019
	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account	Yes
7.	Reconciliation Meeting in writing	N/A
72	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the	Yes
	Meeting	N/A
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22-06-2019



Sr. No	Description	To be Filled up by the DEO
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate:	
11.	(i) original account	21-06-2019
	(ii) Revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	21-06-2019
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time,	N/A
15.	whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	N/A
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	Not Applicable
14A.	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	Not Applicable
15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs.263432/-
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R.P. Act 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	Yes
	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect	N/A
18.	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect	N/A
,	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not	Not Applicable

(2)

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

Sr. No	Description	To be Filled up by the DEO
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence If No then mention the following:	Yes
20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of the Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period	Yes
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Reports of DEO	Yes N/A
	Date:	Signature (Name of the DEO)
Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-		*-
23.	No Comments	(eng)
Date: 25 06 2019. Signatutre of the Expendit		cutre of the Expenditure Observer

^{**} The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like given additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

Fund Given By Political Party		
By Cash	N/A	
By Cheque	N/A	
In Kind	24300	
Lump Sum Amount Given by Political Party	Rs.24300/-	

^{*} If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.



Name Made of Payment Amount
Others Cash 140000
Others Cheque 69000
Others In Kind 66121
Lump Sum Amount Given By Other Sources Rs.275121/-

Lump Sum Grand Total:Rs.299421/-

Dated:N/A

Nirvachan Sadan, Ashoka Road, New Delhi- 110001





SECRETARIAT OF THE ELECTION COMMISSION OF INDIA Nirvachan Sadan, Ashoka Road, New Delhi-110001

Candidate Scrutiny Report

Date Of Submit Scrutiny Form: 25.06.2019 06:18 pm

Name of the State: Karnataka District: RAICHUR Election: General PC

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
Sr. No	Description	To be Filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate:	B. VENKANAGOUDA NAYAKA K. ERABAGERA AT POST,TQ: DEVADURGA DIST: RAICHUR
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Bahujan Samaj Party
3.	No. and name of Parliamentary Constituency	6-Raichur
4.	Name of the elected candidate	RĄJA AMARESHWARA NAIK
5,	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18-06-2019
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
		N/A
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the Meeting	No
		Neither the candidate nor his agent attended the meeting.

Sr. No	Description	To be Filled up by the DEO
		No
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Neither the candidate nor his agent attended the meeting. Therefore the defects could not be found and reconciled.
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22-06-2019
		No
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	The candidate has not lodged his final accounts. Notice is issued in this regard
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the	Yes
	candidate. If not, reason thereof.	N/A
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	The candidate has not yet submitted his explanation
14A.	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	The candidate has not yet submitted his explanation
15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs.1/-
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R.P. Act 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	No

D

Sr. No	Description	To be Filled up by the DEO
	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	
		No
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of the Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	The candidate has not lodged Election Expenditure Register comprising of the Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement.
		No
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by the candidate	The candidate has not submitted duly sworn in affidavit.
17.		No
ü	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	The candidate has not submitted requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure.
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened	Yes
	by for election	N/A
		No
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	The candidate has not lodged Election Expenditure Register comprising of the Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement. Therefore, this point could not be verified.



Sr. No	Description	To be Filled up by the DEO
	= -	No
	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect	The candidate has not submitted Election Expenditur Register comprising of the Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement. Therefore the defect if any, could not be verified.
		No
18.	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect	The candidate has not submitted Election Expenditur Register comprising of the Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement. Therefore the defect if any, could not be verified and hence, no issue of rectification of defect.
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not	The candidate has not submitted Election Expenditur Register comprising of the Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement. The mandatory column no. 15 accepts only the numerical value not being zero. Therefore, the grand total amount of all election expense could not be verified and therefore not reported. However, for the technical glitch as above Rs.1 is entered as expenditure to complete the reporting.
9.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence If No then mention the following:	Yes

25-06-2019

F1 - N - O



DEO'S SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER **RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961** Sr. No Description To be Filled up by the DEO Did the candidate produce his Register of the Accounting Election Expenditure for 20. Yes inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period No The candidate has not lodged Election Expenditure Register Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses comprising of the Day to Day Account Register, Cash are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Register, Bank Register, Summary Reports of DEO Abstract Statement. Therefore. 22. the correctness of expenses could not be verified. Date: Signature (Name of the DEO) Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-The candidate has not lodged Election Expenditure Register comprising of the Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement. 23. Therefore, the discrepancies/ defects in the accounts could not be found out by the DEO. I endorse the comment of the DEO made in column no. 18 of this report on filling of column no. 15 of this report. Signatutre of the Expenditure Observer

^{**} The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like given additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

Fund Given By Political Party	
By Cash	N/A
By Cheque	N/A

25 06 2010

^{*} If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

		,
3	In Kind	N/A
	Lump Sum Amount Given by Political Party	Rs.0/-

Name	Mode of Payment	Amount
N/A	Committee of the commit	THE PARTY OF THE
Lump Sum Amount Given By Other Sources	Rs.0/-	

Lump Sum Grand Total:Rs.0/-

Dated:N/A

Nirvachan Sadan, Ashoka Road, New Delhi- 110001





SECRETARIAT OF THE ELECTION COMMISSION OF INDIA Nirvachan Sadan, Ashoka Road, New Delhi-110001

Candidate Scrutiny Report

Date Of Submit Scrutiny Form: 25.06.2019 12:35 pm

Name of the State: Karnataka District: RAICHUR Election: GENERAL

DEO'S SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961			
Sr. No	Description	To be Filled up by the DEO	
1.	Name & address of the candidate:	NIRANJAN NAYAK # 147,ARKERA DEVADURGA	
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Uttama Prajaakeeya Party	
3.	No. and name of Parliamentary Constituency	6-Raichur	
4.	Name of the elected candidate	RAJA AMARESHWARA NAIK	
5,	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019	
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18-06-2019	
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes	
		N/A	
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the Meeting	Yes	
		N/A	
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes	
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22-06-2019	

Sr. No	Description	To be Filled up by the DEO
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
¥I	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate:	¥1
11.	(i) original account	21-06-2019
	(ii) Revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	21-06-2019
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	N/A
		N/A
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	Not Applicable
14A.	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	Not Apllicable
15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs.25026/-
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R.P. Act 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	Yes
	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect	N/A
18.	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect	N/A
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not	Not Applicable

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CA RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER
Sr. No	Description	To be Filled up by the DEO
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence If No then mention the following:	Yes
20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of the Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period	Yes
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Reports of DEO	Yes N/A Signature (Name of the DEC)
23.	Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer* No Comments	(Name of the DEO)

^{**} The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission.

If the CEO feels like given additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

Fund Given By Political Party	
By Cash	N/A
By Cheque	N/A
In Kind	N/A
Lump Sum Amount Given by Political Party	Rs.0/-

Signatutre of the Expenditure Observer

^{*} If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may

Name	Mode of Payment	Amount
N/A		
Lump Sum Amount Given By Other Sources	Rs.0/-	

Lump Sum Grand Total:Rs.0/-

Dated:N/A

Nirvachan Sadan, Ashoka Road, New Delhi- 110001

