



Government of Karnataka  
Office of the Deputy Commissioner & District Election Officer Koppal

No:Revenue:Election:Exp:2019-20

Date:21.06.2019

To,  
The Chief Electoral Officer Karnataka  
& Ex-officio Addl. Chief Secretary to Govt.,  
D.P.A.R (Elections), Nirvachan Nilay,  
Sheshadri Road, Bangalore

Respected Sir,

Sub: Submission of Summary report and Scrutiny reports of candidates  
of Lok Sabha Election 2019 - reg


Ref: Election Commission of India letter No.76/Instructions/2015/  
EEPS/Vol. XIV Dated:02.06.2016

\*\*\*\*

With reference to the above cited subject, as per the instructions issued vide  
reference the Summary report and Scrutiny report of all the contesting candidates of  
8-Koppal Parliamentary Constituency for Lok Sabha Election 2019 is herewith attached  
for your kind perusal.



Yours faithfully

  
Deputy Commissioner &  
District Election Officer  
Koppal



**SUMMARY REPORT OF DEO FOR EACH CONSTITUENCY ON LODGING OF ELECTION EXPENSES  
ACCOUNTS BY CANDIDATES**

(a) No. and name of Parliamentary Constituency: 08-KOPPAL											(b) Total No. of contesting candidates: 14	
(c) State and District:- KARNATAKA AND KOPPAL											(d) Date of declaration of result of election/by-election : 23.05.2019	
(e) Last date of lodging accounts: 22/06/2019											(f) Name of the elected candidate: Sri Karadi Sanganna Amarappa	
Sl. No	Name of the candidate and Party Affiliation	Due date of lodging of Account	Date of lodging of accounts by the candidate	Whether lodged in the prescribed format (Yes or No)	Whether lodged in the manner required by law (Yes or No)	Grand Total of the expenses incurred / authorized by the candidate / agent (as mentioned in Part-II of Abstract Statement)	Whether the DEO agrees with the amount shown by the candidate against all items of expenditure (Should be similar to point no 22 of DEO's Scrutiny report i.e. Annexure-C3)	9.		10.	11.	
								Total expenses incurred by the Party / As reported in Part-III of Abstract statement)	Total expenses incurred by other/entities as reported in Part-III of Abstract statement			Lump sum Amount in cash / cheque given to the candidate (and mention names of donors)
1	Sri K.Rajshekar Basavaraj Hitnal (Inidan National Congress)	22-06-2019	19-06-2019	Yes	Yes	5807392/-	Yes	0	0	1. Sahana Associates-3696000 2. Manjunath Yaligar-400000 3. Basavaraj Pattar-199000 4. Corporation Bank kpl-2644	0	
2	Sri Shivaputrappa Gumagera (Bahujan Samaja Party)	22-06-2019	19-06-2019	Yes	Yes	111815/-	Yes	0	0		0	
3	Sri Karadi Sanganna Amarappa (Bharatiya Janata Party)	22-06-2019	20-06-2019	Yes	Yes	5142851/-	Yes	40000000/-	0		0	



Sl. No	Name of the candidate and Party Affiliation	Due date of lodging of Account	Date of lodging of accounts by the candidate	Whether lodged in the prescribed format (Yes or No)	Whether lodged in the manner required by law (Yes or No)	Grand Total of the expenses incurred / authorized by the candidate / agent (as mentioned in Part-II of Abstract Statement)	Whether the DEO agrees with the amount shown by the candidate against all items of expenditure (Should be similar to point no 22 of DEO's Scrutiny report i.e. Annexure-C3)	9.		10.			11.
								Total expenses incurred by the Party (As reported in Part-III of Abstract statement)	Lump Sum Amount in cash or cheque given to candidate by each Political Party	Grand Total of other expenses, in kind by the Political Party	Lump sum Amount in cash / cheque given to the candidate (and mention names of donors)		
1	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	0	0	0	0	0	11.
4	Sri Annoji Rao G (Sarva Janata Party)	22-06-2019	17-06-2019	Yes	Yes	18916/-	Yes	0	0	0	0	0	
5	Comrade B Basavalingappa (Marxist Leninist Party of India - Red Flag)	22-06-2019	17-06-2019	Yes	Yes	22133/-	Yes	0	0	0	0	0	
6	Sri Bandimath Sharanayya (Utama Prajakiya Party)	22-06-2019	17-06-2019	Yes	Yes	43705/-	Yes	0	0	0	0	0	
7	Sri Hemraj Veerapur (Communist Party of India Marxist Leninist Red Star)	22-06-2019	20-06-2019	Yes	Yes	21447/-	Yes	0	0	0	0	0	
8	Sri Pa.Ya. Ganesh (Independent)	22-06-2019	17-06-2019	Yes	Yes	26539/-	Yes	0	0	0	0	0	
9	Sri Nagaraj Kalal (Independent)	22-06-2019	17-06-2019	Yes	Yes	25000/-	Yes	0	0	0	0	0	
10	Sri Balaraj Yadav (Independent)	22-06-2019	17-06-2019	Yes	Yes	25000/-	Yes	0	0	0	0	0	




Sl. No	Name of the candidate and Party Affiliation	Due date of lodging of Account	Date of lodging of accounts by the candidate	Whether lodged in the prescribed format (Yes or No)	Whether lodged in the manner required by law (Yes or No)	Grand Total of the expenses incurred / authorized by the candidate / agent (as mentioned in Part-II of Abstract Statement)	Whether the DEO agrees with the amount shown by the candidate against all items of expenditure (Should be similar to point no 22 of DEO's Scrutiny report i.e. Annexure-C3)	Total expenses incurred by the Party (As reported in Part-III of Abstract statement)		Total expenses incurred by others/entities as reported in Part-III of Abstract statement	Remarks of the Expenditure Observer	
								Lump Sum Amount in cash or cheque given to candidate by each Political Party	Grand Total of other expenses, in kind by the Political Party			
1	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.		10.	11.	
11	Sri Mallikarjun Hadapad (Independent)	22-06-2019	17-06-2019	Yes	Yes	26200/-	Yes	0	0	0	0	
12	Sri Satish Reddy (Independent)	22-06-2019	17-06-2019	Yes	Yes	25750/-	Yes	0	0	0	0	
13	Sri Sureshgouda Mundinamani (Independent)	22-06-2019	17-06-2019	Yes	Yes	31959/-	Yes	0	0	0	0	
14	Sri Suresh H (Independent)	22-06-2019	17-06-2019	Yes	Yes	37444/-	Yes	0	0	0	0	

Comments of the Expenditure Observer, if any,

Date:- 21-06-2019

  
**P. Sunilkumar, IAS**  
 District Election Officer,  
 Koppal

  
**M. Jayaram, IRS**  
 Expenditure Observer  
 (R-15705) 8-Koppal-PC

1  
C

1-1-1

1-1-1



## Expenditure Observer's Final Report (Report-IV)

[To be uploaded on Observer Portal and signed copy to be sent by post to the Commission separately for each Assembly Segment in case of Assembly Election and only one report for one PC in case of Lok Sabha Election after 30 days of declaration of results]

Date of Reporting: 17-06-2019
Observer Name : Sri M.Jayaram, IRS
Observer Code : R-15705
Constituency : 08-Koppal
District : Koppal
Date of Declaration of Result : 23-05-2019
Last date of filling Account of Election Expenditure : 22-06-2019
Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting: 18-06-2019
Total No. Of contesting candidate: 14
Name of winning candidate/Party affiliation, if any: Sri Karadi Sanganna Amarappa, Bharatiya Janata Party

## Summary of Observation

Sl No	Name of the Candidate & party Affiliation	whether notices issued to the candidates on all discrepancies found during inspection or in final accounts 1 of the candidate & reply of the candidates considered (See note 8)	Date of Lodging Account of Election Expenses by the Candidates, (Please see note 1 below)	Whether Account lodged in time (Yes/No)	Amount of Expenditure mentioned in the Accounts of the Candidate.	whether accounts are lodged in format & with all necessary documents	Whether the Observer agrees with the candidate's Submission vis-a-vis the evidence collected (Yes/No), If no, Please annex as per note 2 below	Whether the DEO has cross checked the candidates submission with all information collected during campaign (Yes/No) if, No, Pl. Annex details as per note 3 below	whether the estimated expenditure incurred by the Candidate exceeded the prescribed ceiling (Yes/No). If yes, Pl. Annex details as per note 4 below	whether the candidate mentioned the name of the political party, if any, on behalf of the party as per note 5 below	Amount of expenditure incurred by other entity/ individuals on behalf of the candidate	whether Abstract Statement (Part I to Part IV & schedule 1 to 9) of accounts uploaded on CEO's website (within 3 days of lodging of accounts)	whether cash & other items seized during election process has been released within 7 days excepting the cases (i) where FIR has been lodged (ii) where cash is handed over to IT Dept. If not, whether it is brought to the notice of RO, DEO & SP for urgent action
1	Sri K.Rajshekar Basavaraj Hitnal (Inidan National Congress)	Yes	19-06-19	Yes	5807392/-	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Nil	Nil	Yes	Yes
2	Sri Shivaputrappa Gummagera (Bahujan Samaja Party)	Yes	19-06-19	Yes	111815/-	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Nil	Nil	Yes	Yes







SI No	Name of the Candidate & party Affiliation	whether notices issued to the candidates on all discrepancies found during inspection or in final accounts 1 of the candidate & reply of the candidates considered (See note 8)	Date of Lodging Account of Election Expenses by the Candidates, (Please see note 1 below)	Whether Account lodged in time (Yes/No)	Amount of Expenditure mentioned in the Accounts of the Candidate.	whether accounts are lodged in format & with all necessary documents	Whether the Observer agrees with the candidate's Submission vis-a-vis the evidence collected (Yes/No), if no, Please annex as per note 2 below	Whether the DCO has cross checked the candidates submission with all information collected during campaign (Yes/No) If, No, Pl. Annex details as per note 3 below	Whether the estimated expenditure incurred by the Candidate exceeded the prescribed ceiling (Yes/No). If yes, Pl. Annex details as per note 4 below	Amount of expenditure incurred by the candidate/mentioned the name of the party as per note 5 below	Amount of expenditure incurred by other entity/ individuals on behalf of the candidate	whether Abstract Statement (Part I to Part IV & schedule 1 to 9) of accounts uploaded on CEO's website (within 3 days of lodging of accounts)	whether cash & other items seized during election process has been released within 7 days excepting the cases (i) where FIR has been lodged (ii) where cash is handed over to IT Deptt. If not, whether it is brought to the notice of RO, DEO & SP for urgent action
1													
11	Sri Mallikarjun Hadapad (Independent)	Yes	17-06-19	Yes	26200/-	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Nil	Nil	Yes	Yes
12	Sri Satish Reddy (Independent)	Yes	17-06-19	Yes	25750/-	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Nil	Nil	Yes	Yes
13	Sri Sureshgouda Mundinamani (Independent)	Yes	17-06-19	Yes	31959/-	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Nil	Nil	Yes	Yes
14	Sri Suresh H (Independent)	Yes	17-06-19	Yes	37444/-	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Nil	Nil	Yes	Yes

Date:- 21-06-2019  
Place:- Koppal

  
M. Jayaram, IRS  
Expenditure Observer  
(R-15705) 8-Koppal-PC  
16/6/19

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10

11

12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65  
66  
67  
68  
69  
70  
71  
72  
73  
74  
75  
76  
77  
78  
79  
80  
81  
82  
83  
84  
85  
86  
87  
88  
89  
90  
91  
92  
93  
94  
95  
96  
97  
98  
99  
100

101

102  
103  
104  
105  
106  
107  
108  
109  
110  
111  
112  
113  
114  
115  
116  
117  
118  
119  
120  
121  
122  
123  
124  
125  
126  
127  
128  
129  
130  
131  
132  
133  
134  
135  
136  
137  
138  
139  
140  
141  
142  
143  
144  
145  
146  
147  
148  
149  
150  
151  
152  
153  
154  
155  
156  
157  
158  
159  
160  
161  
162  
163  
164  
165  
166  
167  
168  
169  
170  
171  
172  
173  
174  
175  
176  
177  
178  
179  
180  
181  
182  
183  
184  
185  
186  
187  
188  
189  
190  
191  
192  
193  
194  
195  
196  
197  
198  
199  
200

## Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO-01

Name of the State: Karnataka

District: Koppal

Election: General Election to Lokasabha - 2019



DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
Sl.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Sri Rajshekar Basavaraj Hitnal
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Indian National Congress
3.	No. and name of Parliamentary Constituency	08-KOPPAL
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sri Karadi Sanganna Amarappa
5.	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18-06-2019
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing.	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22-06-2019
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	19-06-2019 Original Account
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	NA
14A.	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	NA





15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement				Rs. 5807392/-	
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961				Yes	
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details					
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged				Yes	
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate				Yes	
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted				Yes	
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election				Yes	
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account				Yes	
18.	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect (ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect (iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. Whether the defect was rectified or not.				i) Instructed in the meeting of account reconciliation. ii) Yes iii) Yes	
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:				Yes	
19A.	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
	NA (NOT APPLICABLE)					
20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period				Yes	



21.	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-	
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	NA
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	NA
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	NA
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	NA
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	NA
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)  Date: 20-06-2019	YES   <b>P. Sunil Kumar, IAS</b> <b>District Election Officer,</b> <b>Koppal</b>
23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-  Date: 20-06-2019		 <b>M. Jayaram, IRS</b> <b>Expenditure Observer (R15705)</b> <b>08-Koppal-PC</b>

\* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

\*\* The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

$\frac{1}{2} \times \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{4}$   
 $\frac{1}{4} \times \frac{1}{4} = \frac{1}{16}$   
 $\frac{1}{16} \times \frac{1}{16} = \frac{1}{256}$

$\frac{1}{256} \times \frac{1}{256} = \frac{1}{65536}$

The probability of getting 6 heads in 6 tosses is  $\frac{1}{65536}$

The probability of getting 6 heads in 6 tosses is  $\frac{1}{65536}$

The probability of getting 6 heads in 6 tosses is  $\frac{1}{65536}$

The probability of getting 6 heads in 6 tosses is  $\frac{1}{65536}$

The probability of getting 6 heads in 6 tosses is  $\frac{1}{65536}$

**Annexure- C3**

**Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO-02**

**Name of the State: Karnataka**

**District: Koppal**

**Election: General Election to Lokasabha - 2019**



<b>DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961</b>		
<b>Sl.No.</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>To be filled up by the DEO</b>
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Sri Shivaputrappa Gumagera
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Bahujan Samaj Party
3.	No. and name of Parliamentary Constituency	08-KOPPAL
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sri Karadi Sanganna Amarappa
5.	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18-06-2019
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing.	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22-06-2019
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	19-06-2019 Original Account
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	NA
14A.	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	NA



15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement				Rs. <b>111815/-</b>	
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961				Yes	
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details					
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged				NA	
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate				NA	
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted				NA	
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election				NA	
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account				NA	
18.	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect				i) Instructed in the meeting of account reconciliation.	
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect				ii) Yes	
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. Whether the defect was rectified or not.				iii) Yes	
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:				Yes	
19A.	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
	NA (NOT APPLICABLE)					
20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period				Yes	





21.	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-	
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	NA
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	NA
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	NA
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	NA
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	NA
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)  Date:20-06-2019	YES   <b>P.Sunil Kumar, IAS</b> <b>District Election Officer,</b> <b>Koppal</b>
23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-  Date: 20-06-2019		 <b>M.Jayaram, IRS</b> <b>Expenditure Observer (R15705)</b> <b>08-Koppal-PC</b>

\* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

\*\* The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.



**Annexure- C3**

**Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO-03**

**Name of the State: Karnataka**

**District: Koppal**



**Election: General Election to Lokasabha - 2019**

<b>DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961</b>		
<b>Sl.No.</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>To be filled up by the DEO</b>
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Sri Karadi Sanganna Amarappa
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Bharatiya Janata Party
3.	No. and name of Parliamentary Constituency	08-KOPPAL
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sri Karadi Sanganna Amarappa
5.	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18-06-2019
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing.	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22-06-2019
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	20-06-2019 Original Account
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	NA
14A.	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	NA



15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement				Rs. 5142851/-	
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961				Yes	
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details					
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged				NA	
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate				NA	
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted				NA	
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election				NA	
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account				NA	
18.	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect				i) Instructed in the meeting of account reconciliation.	
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect				ii) Yes	
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. Whether the defect was rectified or not.				iii) Yes	
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:				Yes	
19A.	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
	NA (NOT APPLICABLE)					
20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period				Yes	



21.	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-	
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	NA
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	NA
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	NA
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	NA
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	NA
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)  Date:20-06-2019	YES   <b>P. Sunil Kumar, IAS</b> <b>District Election Officer,</b> <b>Koppal</b>
23.	Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-  Date: 20-06-2019	 <b>M. Jayaram, IRS</b> <b>Expenditure Observer (R15705)</b> <b>08-Koppal-PC</b>

\* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

\*\* The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.



*[Faint handwritten text]*

*[Faint handwritten text]*

*[Faint handwritten text]*

*[Faint handwritten text]*

*[Faint handwritten text]*

*[Faint handwritten text]*

*[Faint handwritten text]*

*[Faint handwritten text]*

*[Faint handwritten text]*

*[Faint handwritten text]*

*[Faint handwritten text]*

*[Faint handwritten text]*



## Annexure- C3

## Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO-04

Name of the State: Karnataka

District: Koppal

Election: General Election to Lokasabha - 2019



## DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

Sl.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Sri Annoji rao B
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Sarva Janata Party
3.	No. and name of Parliamentary Constituency	08-KOPPAL
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sri Karadi Sanganna Amarappa
5.	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18-06-2019
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing.	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22-06-2019
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	17-06-2019 Original Account
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	NA
14A.	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	NA



15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement				Rs. 18916/-	
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961				Yes	
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details					
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged				NA	
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate				NA	
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted				NA	
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election				NA	
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account				NA	
18.	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect				i) Instructed in the meeting of account reconciliation.	
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect				ii) Yes	
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. Whether the defect was rectified or not.				iii) Yes	
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:				Yes	
19A.	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
	NA (NOT APPLICABLE)					
20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period				Yes	



21.	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-	
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	NA
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	NA
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	NA
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	NA
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	NA
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)  Date:20-06-2019	YES   <b>P. Sunil Kumar, IAS</b> <b>District Election Officer,</b> <b>Koppal</b>
23.	Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*  Date: 20-06-2019	 <b>M. Jayaram, IRS</b> <b>Expenditure Observer (R15705)</b> <b>08-Koppal-PC</b>

\* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

\*\* The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

Handwritten notes or signatures in the lower left quadrant.

Handwritten notes or signatures in the lower middle section.

A vertical line or signature element extending downwards from the upper middle section.

A vertical line or signature element extending downwards from the lower middle section.

**Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO-05****Name of the State: Karnataka****District: Koppal****Election: General Election to Lokasabha - 2019****DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961**



Sl.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Sri Basavalingappa
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Marxist Leninist Party of India - Red Flag
3.	No. and name of Parliamentary Constituency	08-KOPPAL
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sri Karadi Sanganna Amarappa
5.	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18-06-2019
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing.	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22-06-2019
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	17-06-2019 Original Account
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	NA
14A.	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	NA





15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement				Rs. 22133/-	
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961				Yes	
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details					
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged				NA	
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate				NA	
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted				NA	
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election				NA	
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account				NA	
18.	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect (ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect (iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. Whether the defect was rectified or not.				i) Instructed in the meeting of account reconciliation. ii) Yes iii) Yes	
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:				Yes	
19A.	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
	NA (NOT APPLICABLE)					
20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period				Yes	



21.	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-	
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	NA
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	NA
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	NA
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	NA
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	NA
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)  Date:20-06-2019	YES   <b>P. Sunil Kumar, IAS</b> <b>District Election Officer,</b> <b>Koppal</b>
23.	Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-  Date: 20-06-2019	 <b>M. Jayaram, IRS</b> <b>Expenditure Observer (R15705)</b> <b>08-Koppal-PC</b>

\* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

\*\* The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

11/11/11

Faint, illegible text, possibly bleed-through from the reverse side of the page.

## Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO-06

Name of the State: Karnataka

District: Koppal

Election: General Election to Lokasabha - 2019



DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
Sl.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Sri Bandimath Sharanayya
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Uttama Prajaakeeya Party
3.	No. and name of Parliamentary Constituency	08-KOPPAL
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sri Karadi Sanganna Amarappa
5.	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18-06-2019
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing.	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22-06-2019
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	17-06-2019 Original Account
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	NA
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	NA



15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement				Rs. 43705/-	
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961				Yes	
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details					
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged				NA	
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate				NA	
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted				NA	
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election				NA	
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account				NA	
18.	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect				i) Instructed in the meeting of account reconciliation.	
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect				ii) Yes	
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. Whether the defect was rectified or not.				iii) Yes	
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:				Yes	
19A.	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
	NA (NOT APPLICABLE)					
20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period				Yes	





21.	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-	
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	NA
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	NA
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	NA
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	NA
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	NA
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)  Date:20-06-2019	YES   <b>P. Sunil Kumar, IAS</b> <b>District Election Officer,</b> <b>Koppal</b>
23.	Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-  Date: 20-06-2019	 <b>M. Jayaram, IRS</b> <b>Expenditure Observer (R15705)</b> <b>08-Koppal-PC</b>

\* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

\*\* The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

1  
C  
C

Handwritten notes or a signature in the left margin.

Handwritten notes or a signature in the left margin.



**Annexure- C3****Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO-07****Name of the State: Karnataka****District: Koppal****Election: General Election to Lokasabha - 2019****DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961**

Sl.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Sri Hemaraj Veerapur
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Communist Party of INDIA (Marxist - Leninist) Red Star
3.	No. and name of Parliamentary Constituency	08-KOPPAL
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sri Karadi Sanganna Amarappa
5.	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18-06-2019
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing.	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22-06-2019
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	20-06-2019 Original Account
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	NA
14A.	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	NA



15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement				Rs. 21447/-	
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961				Yes	
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details					
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged				NA	
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate				NA	
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted				NA	
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election				NA	
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account				NA	
18.	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect				i) Instructed in the meeting of account reconciliation.	
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect				ii) Yes	
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. Whether the defect was rectified or not.				iii) Yes	
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:				Yes	
19A.	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
	NA (NOT APPLICABLE)					
20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period				Yes	



21.	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-	
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	NA
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	NA
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	NA
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	NA
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	NA
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)  Date:20-06-2019	YES   <b>P. Sunil Kumar, IAS</b> <b>District Election Officer,</b> <b>Koppal</b>
23.	Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-  Date: 20-06-2019	 <b>M. Jayaram, IRS</b> <b>Expenditure Observer (R15705)</b> <b>08-Koppal-PC</b>

\* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

\*\* The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

2011

10-1-11

11-1-11

12-1-11

Faint, illegible text in the right column, possibly bleed-through from the reverse side of the page.





**Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO-08****Name of the State: Karnataka****District: Koppal****Election: General Election to Lokasabha - 2019****DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961**

Sl.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Sri Ganesh Pa.Ya
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Independent
3.	No. and name of Parliamentary Constituency	08-KOPPAL
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sri Karadi Sanganna Amarappa
5.	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18-06-2019
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing.	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22-06-2019
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	17-06-2019 Original Account
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	NA
14A.	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	NA



15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement				Rs. 26539/-	
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961				Yes	
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details					
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged				NA	
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate				NA	
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted				NA	
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election				NA	
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account				NA	
18.	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect (ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect (iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. Whether the defect was rectified or not.				i) Instructed in the meeting of account reconciliation. ii) Yes iii) Yes	
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:				Yes	
19A.	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
	NA (NOT APPLICABLE)					
20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period				Yes	



21.	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-	
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	NA
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	NA
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	NA
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	NA
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	NA
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)  Date:20-06-2019	YES   <b>P. Sunil Kumar, IAS</b> <b>District Election Officer,</b> <b>Koppal</b>
23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-  Date: 20-06-2019		 <b>M. Jayaram, IRS</b> <b>Expenditure Observer (R15705)</b> <b>08-Koppal-PC</b>

\* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

\*\* The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

100

100

100

100

100

100

100

**Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO-09****Name of the State: Karnataka****District: Koppal****Election: General Election to Lokasabha - 2019**



<b>DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961</b>		
<b>Sl.No.</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>To be filled up by the DEO</b>
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Sri Nagaraj Kalal
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Independent
3.	No. and name of Parliamentary Constituency	08-KOPPAL
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sri Karadi Sanganna Amarappa
5.	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18-06-2019
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing.	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22-06-2019
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	17-06-2019 Original Account
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	NA
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	NA





15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement				Rs. 25000/-	
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961				Yes	
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details					
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged				NA	
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate				NA	
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted				NA	
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election				NA	
18.	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account				NA	
	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect (ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect (iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. Whether the defect was rectified or not.				i) Instructed in the meeting of account reconciliation. ii) Yes iii) Yes	
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:				Yes	
19A.	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
	NA.(NOT APPLICABLE)					
20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period				Yes	



21.	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-	
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	NA
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	NA
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	NA
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	NA
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	NA
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)  Date:20-06-2019	YES   <b>P.Sunil Kumar, IAS</b> <b>District Election Officer,</b> <b>Koppal</b>
23.	Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-  Date: 20-06-2019	 <b>M.Jayaram, IRS</b> <b>Expenditure Observer (R15705)</b> <b>08-Koppal-PC</b>

\* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

\*\* The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.



**Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO-10****Name of the State: Karnataka****District: Koppal****Election: General Election to Lokasabha - 2019****DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961**



Sl.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Sri Balaraj Yadav
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Independent
3.	No. and name of Parliamentary Constituency	08-KOPPAL
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sri Karadi Sanganna Amarappa
5.	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18-06-2019
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing.	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22-06-2019
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	17-06-2019 Original Account
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	NA
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	NA



15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement				<b>Rs. 25000/-</b>	
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961				Yes	
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details					
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged				NA	
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate				NA	
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted				NA	
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election				NA	
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account				NA	
18.	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect				i) Instructed in the meeting of account reconciliation.	
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect				ii) Yes	
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. Whether the defect was rectified or not.				iii) Yes	
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:				Yes	
19A.	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
	NA (NOT APPLICABLE)					
20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period				Yes	





21.	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-	
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	NA
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	NA
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	NA
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	NA
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	NA
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)  Date:20-06-2019	YES   <b>P.Sunil Kumar, IAS</b> <b>District Election Officer,</b> <b>Koppal</b>
23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-  Date: 20-06-2019		
 <b>M.Jayaram, IRS</b> <b>Expenditure Observer (R15705)</b> <b>08-Koppal-PC</b>		

\* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

\*\* The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.



## Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO-11

Name of the State: Karnataka

District: Koppal



Election: General Election to Lokasabha - 2019

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
Sl.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Sri Mallikarjun Hadapad
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Independent
3.	No. and name of Parliamentary Constituency	08-KOPPAL
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sri Karadi Sanganna Amarappa
5.	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18-06-2019
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing.	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22-06-2019
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	17-06-2019 Original Account
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	NA
14A.	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	NA



15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement				<b>Rs. 26200/-</b>	
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961				Yes	
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details					
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged				NA	
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate				NA	
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted				NA	
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election				NA	
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account				NA	
18.	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect				i) Instructed in the meeting of account reconciliation.	
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect				ii) Yes	
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. Whether the defect was rectified or not.				iii) Yes	
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:				Yes	
19A.	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
	NA (NOT APPLICABLE)					
20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period				Yes	



21.	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-	
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	NA
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	NA
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	NA
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	NA
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	NA
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)  Date:20-06-2019	YES   <b>P.Sunil Kumar, IAS</b> <b>District Election Officer,</b> <b>Koppal</b>
23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-  Date: 20-06-2019		 <b>M.Jayaram, IRS</b> <b>Expenditure Observer (R15705)</b> <b>08-Koppal-PC</b>

\* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

\*\* The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

Handwritten notes or scribbles on the left side of the page.

Handwritten notes or scribbles on the left side of the page.

Vertical handwritten mark or character.

Vertical handwritten mark or character.



## Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO-12

Name of the State: Karnataka

District: Koppal

Election: General Election to Lokasabha - 2019



## DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

Sl.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Sri Satish Reddy
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Independent
3.	No. and name of Parliamentary Constituency	08-KOPPAL
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sri Karadi Sanganna Amarappa
5.	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18-06-2019
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing.	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22-06-2019
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	17-06-2019 Original Account
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	NA
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	NA



15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement				Rs. 25750/-	
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961				Yes	
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details					
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged				NA	
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate				NA	
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted				NA	
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election				NA	
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account				NA	
18.	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect				i) Instructed in the meeting of account reconciliation.	
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect				ii) Yes	
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. Whether the defect was rectified or not.				iii) Yes	
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:				Yes	
19A.	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
	NA (NOT APPLICABLE)					
20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period				Yes	



21.	<p>If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-</p> <p>(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting</p> <p>(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice</p> <p>(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?</p> <p>(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply</p> <p>(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation</p>	<p>NA</p> <p>NA</p> <p>NA</p> <p>NA</p> <p>NA</p>
22.	<p>Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)</p> <p>Date:20-06-2019</p>	<p>YES</p> <p> <b>P.Sunil Kumar, IAS</b> <b>District Election Officer,</b> <b>Koppal</b></p>
23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-  Date: 20-06-2019		<p> <b>M.Jayaram, IRS</b> <b>Expenditure Observer (R15705)</b> <b>08-Koppal-PC</b></p>

\* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

\*\* The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

Handwritten marks at the top right corner.

Handwritten text, possibly a signature or name, located in the middle left section.

Handwritten text, possibly a signature or name, located in the lower middle left section.

## Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO-13

Name of the State: Karnataka

District: Koppal

## Election: General Election to Lokasabha - 2019

## DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961



Sl.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Sri Suresh Gouda Mundinamani
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Independent
3.	No. and name of Parliamentary Constituency	08-KOPPAL
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sri Karadi Sanganna Amarappa
5.	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18-06-2019
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing.	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22-06-2019
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	17-06-2019 Original Account
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	NA
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	NA





15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement				Rs. 31959/-	
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961				Yes	
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details					
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged				NA	
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate				NA	
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted				NA	
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election				NA	
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account				NA	
18.	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect (ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect (iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. Whether the defect was rectified or not.				i) Instructed in the meeting of account reconciliation. ii) Yes iii) Yes	
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:				Yes	
19A.	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
	NA (NOT APPLICABLE)					
20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period				Yes	



21.	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-	
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	NA
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	NA
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	NA
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	NA
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	NA
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)  Date:20-06-2019	YES   <b>P. Sunil Kumar, IAS</b> <b>District Election Officer,</b> <b>Koppal</b>
23.	Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*  Date: 20-06-2019	 <b>M. Jayaram, IRS</b> <b>Expenditure Observer (R15705)</b> <b>08-Koppal-PC</b>

\* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

\*\* The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

Handwritten marks and scribbles in the top right corner.



Handwritten text, possibly a name or signature, located below the diagram.

Handwritten text, possibly a date or reference number, located below the signature.

## Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO-14

Name of the State: Karnataka

District: Koppal

Election: General Election to Lokasabha - 2019

## DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961



Sl.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Sri Suresh H
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Independent
3.	No. and name of Parliamentary Constituency	08-KOPPAL
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sri Karadi Sanganna Amarappa
5.	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18-06-2019
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing.	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22-06-2019
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	17-06-2019 Original Account
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	NA
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	NA



15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement				Rs. 37444/-	
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961				Yes	
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details					
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged				NA	
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate				NA	
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted				NA	
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election				NA	
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account				NA	
18.	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect (ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect (iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. Whether the defect was rectified or not.				i) Instructed in the meeting of account reconciliation. ii) Yes iii) Yes	
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:				Yes	
19A.	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
	NA (NOT APPLICABLE)					
20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period				Yes	





21.	<p>If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-</p> <p>(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting</p> <p>(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice</p> <p>(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?</p> <p>(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply</p> <p>(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation</p>	<p>NA</p> <p>NA</p> <p>NA</p> <p>NA</p> <p>NA</p>
22.	<p>Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)</p> <p>Date:20-06-2019</p>	<p>YES</p> <p> <b>P.Sunil Kumar, IAS</b> <b>District Election Officer,</b> <b>Koppal</b></p>
23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-  Date: 20-06-2019		<p> <b>M.Jayaram, IRS</b> <b>Expenditure Observer (R15705)</b> <b>08-Koppal-PC</b></p>

\* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

\*\* The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

1. 1. 1.

1. 1. 1.

1. 1. 1.

1. 1. 1.

1. 1. 1.

1. 1. 1.