

Government of Karnataka

Office of the Deputy Commissioner & District Election Officer Koppal

No:Revenue:Election:Exp:2019-20

Date:21.06.2019

To,
The Chief Electoral Officer Karnataka
& Ex-officio Addl. Chief Secretary to Govt.,
D.P.A.R (Elections), Nirvachan Nilay,
Sheshadri Road, Bangalore

Respected Sir,

Sub: Submission of Summary report and Scrutiny reports of candidates of Lok Sabha Election 2019 - reg

Ref: Election Commission of India letter No.76/Instructions/2015/ EEPS/Vol. XIV Dated:02.06.2016

With reference to the above cited subject, as per the instructions issued vide reference the Summary report and Scrutiny report of all the contesting candidates of 8-Koppal Parliamentary Constituency for Lok Sabha Election 2019 is herewith attached for your kind perusal.

18 JUL 2019 Co

Yours faithfully

Deputy Commissioner & District Election Officer Koppa

SUMMARY REPORT OF DEO FOR EACH CONSTITUENCY ON LODGING OF ELECTION EXPENSES ACCOUNTS BY CANDIDATES

ω	2	-	1_	SI No		((a)
Sri Karadi Sanganna Amarappa (Bharatiya Janata Party)	Sri Shivaputrappa Gumagera (Bahujan Samaja Party)	Sri K.Rajshekar Basavaraj Hitnal (Inidan National Congress)	2.	noideilifft Ayred bus odebib	nso off to omsN	(c) has care of longing accounts: 22/00/2019	e) I ast date of ledging accounts 22/06/2010	No. and name of Parliamentary Constituency: 08-KOPPAL
22-06-2019	22-06-2019	22-06-2019		tnuossA to gnigbol to	obs on Q	11113. 22/00/20	ALA ANA LAN	entary Consti
20-06-2019	19-06-2019	19-06-2019	4.	of secounts by the eandidate	gnigbol to 91sU	19	D NOPPAL	tuency: 08-K
Yes	Yes	Yes	iù.	ed in the prescribed format (Yes or No)	Whether lodg			<u>OPPA</u>
Yes	Yes	Yes	6.	se manner required by law (Yes or No)	Whether lodged in th			L
5142851/-	111815/-	5807392/-	7.	penses incurred / authorized by the s mentioned in Part-II of Abstract Statement)	es) Juags: / atsbibnes			
Yes	Yes	Yes	œ	rees with the amount shown by the 11 items of eependiture (Should be 22 of DEO's Serutiny report i.e. Annexure-C3)	e sandidate against a on thiog of tallimis	(f) Nam	(d) Dat	(b) Tot
4000000/-	0	0	,	Lump Sum Amount in cash or cheque given to candidate by each Political Party		nc of the	e of declar	al No. of c
0	0	0	9.	Grand Total of other expenses, in kind by the Political Party	Total expenses incurred by the Party (As reported in Part-III of Abstract statement)	elected car	ration of r	ontesting
0	0	1. Sahana As 2. Manjunath 3. Basavaraj l 4. Corporatio		(s'ionob fo saman	Total expe	ndidate: Sri	esult of elec	(b) Total No. of contesting candidates: 14
0	0	 Sahana Associates-3696000 Manjunath Yaligar-400000 Basavaraj Pattar-199000 Corporation Bank kpl-2644 		Lump sum Amount in eash / cheque gind and moincam of navig	Total expenses incurred by others/entities as reported in Part-III of Abstract statement	ic of the elected candidate: Sri Karadi Sanganna Amarappa	d) Date of declaration of result of election/bye-election: 23.05.2019	14
0	0	0		Grand Total of Other expenses In kind incurred for the candidate	rs/entities as t statement	ia Amarappa	1:23.05.201	
			11.		Remarks of the Expenditure Observer	20	9	

		T	<u> </u>	_	Т		_		*
10	9	∞	7	6	5	4	_	Sl. No	\cap
Sri Balaraj Yadav (Independent)	Sri Nagaraj Kalal (Independent)	Sri Pa.Ya. Ganesh (Independent)	Sri Hemraj Veerapur (Communist Party of India Marxist Leninist Red Star)	Sri Bandimath Sharanayya (Uttama Prajakiya Party)	Comrade B Basavalingappa (Marxist Leninist Party of India - Red Flag)	Sri Annoji Rao G (Sarva Janata Party)	2.	noidsilliffA ydra¶ bna odsbil	Vame of the cand
22-06-2019	22-06-2019	22-06-2019	22-06-2019	22-06-2019	22-06-2019	22-06-2019	.3	dangabol do gaigbol do	otab sud
17-06-2019	17-06-2019	17-06-2019	20-06-2019	17-06-2019	17-06-2019	17-06-2019	4.	of accounts by the candidate	gnigbol to otad
Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	'n	d in the prescribed format (Yes or No)	Whether lodge
Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	6.	e manner required by law (Ves or No)	Whether lodged in th
25000/-	25000/-	26539/-	21447/-	43705/-	22133/-	18916/-	7.	senses incurred / authorized by the mentioned by the Stract Statement)	Orand Total of the ext
Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	.	rees with the amount shown by the l items of eependiture (Should be 22 of DEO's Serutiny report i.e. Annexure-C3)	eanings affactions on this of the contraction of th
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9.	Lump Sum Amount in cash or cheque given to candidate by each Political Party	Total expen by the P reported in Abstract s
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	•	Grand Total of other expenses, in kind by the Political Party	Total expenses incurred by the Party (As reported in Part-III of Abstract statement)
0	0	0	0	0	0	0		(s.ionob to səmsn	Total expen
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10.	aupodo \ dara ni 3momA mus qmu√1 noimem bara (astribara da novig	Total expenses incurred by others/entities as reported in Part-III of Abstract statement
0	0	0	0	0	0	0		Grand Total of Other expenses In kind incurred for the candidate	rs/entities as t statement
							11.		Remarks of the Expenditure Observer

Comments of the Expenditure Observer, if any,

Date:- 21-06-2019

	· -			_		
14	13	12	=	_	Sl. No	n
Sri Suresh H (Independent)	Sri Sureshgouda Mundinamani (Independent)	Sri Satish Reddy (Independent)	Sri Mallikarjun Hadapad (Independent)	2.	noitsillīftA ytra¶ bns ətsbib	пвэ эйз то этвИ
22-06-2019	22-06-2019	22-06-2019	22-06-2019	'n	tnuo22A to gnigbol to	otsb sud
17-06-2019	17-06-2019	17-06-2019	17-06-2019	4.	of accounts by the candidate	gnigbol to otad
Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	5.	tsm robed format (Yes or No)	Whether lodg
Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	6.	e manner required by law (Yes or No)	Mhether lodged in th
37444/-	31959/-	25750/-	26200/-	7.	penses incurred / authorized by the ementioned in Part-11 of Abstract Statement)	ks of the lost of the execution of the execution of the same of th
Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	<u></u>	rees with the amount shown by the 11 items of ecpenditure (Should be 22 of DEO's Scrutiny report i.e. Annexure-C3)	candidate against al on tniog ot relimis
0	0	0	0	9.	Lump Sum Amount in cash or cheque given to candidate by each Political Party	Total expen by the P reported in Abstract
0	0	0	0		Grand Total of other expenses, in kind by the Political Party	Total expenses incurred by the Party (As reported in Part-III of Abstract statement)
0	0	0	0		names of donors)	Total expens
0	0	0	0	10.	aupada \ dasa ni tanomA mus qmu.I noitnam bas) atabibasa adt ot navig	Total expenses incurred by others/entities as reported in Part-III of Abstract statement
0	0	0	0		Grand Total of Other expenses In kind incurred for the candidate	s/entities as
				11.		Remarks of the Expenditure Observer

P. Sunilkumar, IAS
District Election Officer,

Koppal

Toyon RS (6/19

Expenditure Observer (R-15705) 8-Koppal-PC

D
3
3
Ō
×
re
יוי
6
9

2	Ь	Ь	N S		Nan	Tota	Date	Last	Date	Dist	Con	Obs
Sri Shivaputrappa Gumagera (Bahujan Samaja Party)	Sri K.Rajshekar Basavaraj Hitnal (Inidan National Congress)	2	Name of the Candidate & party Affiliation		Name of winning candidate/Party affiliation, if any: Sri Karadi Sanganna Amarappa, Bharatiya Ja	Total No. Of contesting candidate: 14	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting: 18-06-2019	ast date of filling Account of Election Expenditure: 22-06-2019	Date of Declaration of Result: 23-05-2019	District : Koppal	Constituency : 08-Koppal	Observer Code : R-15705
Yes	Yes	ω	whether notices issued to the candidates on all discrepancies found during inspection or in final accounts 1 of the candidate & reply of the candidates		ffiliation, if an	4	ting: 18-06-20	n Expenditure	-2019			
19-06-19	19-06-19	4	Date of Lodging Account of Election Expenses by the Candidates, (Please see note 1 bellow)		y: Sri Karadi Sa		19	: 22-06-2019				
Yes	Yes	5	Whether Account lodged in time (yes/No)		angan							
111815/-	5807392/-	6	Amount of Expenditure mentioned in the Accounts of the Candidate.	Summary of	na Amarappa							
Yes	Yes	7	B semiof ni begged in struocos sahfiedw striemus ארפינים און striemus ארפינים און		, Bharat					State: Karna		Email-ID : ta Mobile No :
Yes	Yes	00	Whether the Observer agrees with the candidate's Submission vis-a-vis the evidence collected (Yes/No), If no, Please worle a per note 2 bellow	Observation	nata					(arnataka		D : taxjaya No : 8547(
Yes	Yes	9	Whether the DEO has cross checked the candidates submission with all information collected during campaign (Yes/No) If, No, PI. Annex details as per note 3 bellow		Party							xjayaram@gmail.com 8547000780
No	No	10	whether the estimated expenditure incurred by the Candidate exceeded the prescribed celling (Yes/No). If yes, Pl. Annex details as per note 4 bellow									.com
N:	Z	11	Amount of expenditure incurred by the Political party, If any, on behalf of the candidate/mentioned the name of the party as per note 5 bellow									
N:	<u>Z</u>	12	Amount of expenditure incurred by other entity/ individuals on behalf of the entity/ individuse									
Yes	Yes	13	whether Abstract Statement (Part I to Part On CEO's website (within 3 days of lodging of accounts)									
Yes	Yes	14	election process has been released within 7 days excepting the cases (i) where FIR has been lodged (ii) where cash is handed over to IT Dept. If not, whether it is brought to the notice of RO, DEO & SP for urgent action									

whether cash & other items seized during

Expenditure Observer's Final Report (Report-IV)

Observer Name : Sri M.Jayaram, IRS Date of Reporting: 17-06-2019

[To be uploaded on Observer Portal and singed copy to be sent by post to the Commission separately for each Assembly Segment in case of Assembly Election and only one report for one PC in case of Lok Sabha Election after 30 days of declaration of results]

10	9	∞	7	6	б	4		ω		1	S S
Sri Balaraj Yadav (Independent)	Sri Nagaraj Kalal (Independent)	Sri Pa.Ya. Ganesh (Independent)	Sri Hemraj Veerapur (Communist Party of India Marxist Leninist Red Star)	Sri Bandimath Sharanayya (Uttama Prajakiya Party)	Comrade B Basavalingappa (Marxist Leninist Party of India - Red Flag)	Sri Annoji Rao G (Sarva Janata Party)	(Bharatiya Janata Party)	Amarappa	Sri Karadi Sanganna	2	Name of the Candidate & party Affiliation
Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes		3	whether notices issued to the candidates on all discrepancies found during inspection or in final accounts 1 of the candidate & reply of the candidates considered (See note 8)
17-06-19	17-06-19	17-06-19	20-06-19	17-06-19	17-06-19	17-06-19		20-06-19		4	Date of Lodging Account of Election Expenses by the Candidates, (Please see note 1 bellow)
Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes		5	(oN\sey) amit in tegbol truocoA rether
25000/-	25000/-	26539/-	21447/-	43705/-	22133/-	18916/-		5142851/-		6	Amount of Expenditure mentioned in the Accounts of the Candidate.
Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes		7	لالمان المانية عنو الموقوط أنا أورسعة لا With all necessary documents
Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes		∞	Whether the Observer agrees with the candidate's Submission vis-a-vis the evidence collected (Yes/No), If no, Please annex as per note Z bellow
Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes		9	Whether the DEO has cross checked the candidates submission with all information collected during campaign (Yes/No) If, No, PI. Annex details as per note 3 bellow
No	No	No	No	No	No	No		No		10	whether the estimated expenditure Incurred by the Candidate exceeded the prescribed ceiling (Yes/No). If yes, Pl. Annex details as per note 4 bellow
<u>Z.</u>	Z:	N:	N _E	N:I	Z::	N:	lakhs	Rs.40	BJP	11	Amount of expenditure incurred by the Political party, If any, on behalf of the candidate/mentioned the name of the party as per note 5 bellow
N.	Z	Nii	N iii	N:	Nii	<u>Z:</u>		Z:		12	Amount of expenditure incurred by other entity/ individuals on behalf of the candidate
Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes		13	whether Abstract Statement (Part I to Part I to Part I to Part I to 9) of accounts uploaded on CEO's website (within 3 days of lodging of accounts)
Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes		14	whether cash & other items seized during election process has been released within \$\times\$ days excepting the cases (i) where EIR has been lodged (ii) where cash is handed over to IT Dept. If not, whether it is brought to the notice of RO, DEO & SP for the action urgent action

(R-15705) 8-Koppal-PC Expenditure Observer

|Mundinamani (Independent) considered (See note 8) candidate & reply of the candidates Yes Yes Yes Yes inspection or in final accounts 1 of the on all discrepancies found during whether notices issued to the candidates 17-06-19 17-06-19 17-06-19 17-06-19 note 1 bellow) Expenses by the Candidates, (Please see Date of Lodging Account of Election Yes Yes Yes Yes Whether Account lodged in time (yes/No) 37444/-31959/-25750/-26200/-Accounts of the Candidate. Amount of Expenditure mentioned in the with all necessary documents Yes Yes Yes Yes Whether accounts are lodged in format & annex as per note 2 bellow evidence collected (Yes/No), If no, Please Yes Yes Yes Yes ∞ candidate's Submission vis-a-vis the Whether the Observer agrees with the Pl. Annex details as per note 3 bellow collected during campaign (Yes/No) If, No, Yes Yes Yes Yes 9 candidates submission with all information Whether the DEO has cross checked the Annex details as per note 4 bellow prescribed ceiling (Yes/No). If yes, Pl. No No No No 10 incurred by the Candidate exceeded the whether the estimated expenditure party as per note 5 bellow candidate/mentioned the name of the 몶 \mathbb{Z} Ξ <u>z</u>. Political party, If any, on behalf of the Amount of expenditure incurred by the candidate Ξ 몶 <u>≅</u> Ξ 12 entity/ individuals on behalf of the Amount of expenditure incurred by other of accounts) on CEO's website (within 3 days of lodging Yes Yes Yes Yes 13 N & schedule 1 to 9) of accounts uploaded whether Abstract Statement (Part I to Part urgent action brought to the notice of RO, DEO & SP for over to IT Dept. If not, whether it is Yes Yes Yes Yes 14 has been lodged (ii) where cash is handed 7 days excepting the cases (i) where FIR election process has been released within whether cash & other items seized during

Place:- Koppal Date:- 21-06-2019

14

(Independent) Sri Suresh H 13

Sri Sureshgouda

12

Sri Satish Reddy

(Independent)

(Independent)

11

Sri Mallikarjun Hadapad

S S

Name of the Candidate & party Affiliation

Name of the State: Karnataka District: Koppal

Election: General Election to Lokasabha - 2019

DEO'S SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

Sl.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1,	Name & address of the candidate	Sri Rajshekar Basavaraj Hitnal
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Indian National Congress
3.	No. and name of Parliamentary Constituency	08-KOPPAL
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sri Karadi Sanganna Amarappa
5,.	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18-06-2019
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing.	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22-06-2019
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	19-06-2019 Original Account
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	NA
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	NA

15.	Grand Total candidate in	al of a Part-I	all election ex I of the Abstra	xpenses reported by the ct Statement	Rs. 5	807392/-	
16.	expenses of	the ca	ındidate has be	, the account of election een lodged in the manner nd C.E. Rules, 1961	Yes		
17.	If No, the details	n pleas	se mention th	e following defects with			
	Day to Da	ıy Acc	on Expenditur count Register Statement has	re Register comprising of r, Cash Register, Bank been lodged		Yes	
	(ii) Wheth submitted b	er du y cand	ıly sworn i idate	n affidavit has been		Yes	
	(iii) Whether election exp	er requenditur	isite vouchers e submitted	s in respect of items of	12	Yes	
	(iv) Whether	r separa	ate Bank Acco	unt opened for election	Yes		
	routed throu	gh banl	k account	xcept petty expenditure)	Yes		
18.	for rectifying (ii) Whether (iii) Comme Whether the	g the de the car ents of defect	efect adidate rectifie the DEO on was rectified o	the above, i.e.	i) Instructed in account reconci ii) Yes iii) Yes	the meeting of liation.	
19.	Whether the candidate con Shadow Obs	items orrespo servatio	of election end with the	xpenses reported by the expenses shown in the nd Folder of Evidence.	Υ	es	
19A.	Items of expenditure	Date	Shadow	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate	
			I	NA (NOT APPLICABLE)			
20.	Election E	Expend	iture for	Register of Accounting inspection by the 3 times during campaign	Y	es	

21.	If DEO 1	
21.	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-	
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	NA
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	NA
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	NA
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	NA
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	NA
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)	YES
	Date: 20-06-2019	P.Sunil Kumar, IAS District Election Officer,
		Koppal
23. Cor	mments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-	DP
Date: 20-		Jey Jy 20019 Lyaram, IRS
	Expenditure	Observer (R15705)
* If 4b - D		ppal-PC

^{*} If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

^{**} The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

Name of the State: Karnataka

District: Koppal

Election: General Election to Lokasabha - 2019

Sl.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Sri Shivaputrappa Gumagera
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Bahujan Samaj Party
3.	No. and name of Parliamentary Constituency	08-KOPPAL
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sri Karadi Sanganna Amarappa
5.	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18-06-2019
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing.	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22-06-2019
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	19-06-2019 Original Account
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	NA
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	NA

15.	Grand Total candidate in	al of 1 Part-I	all election ex I of the Abstra	xpenses reported by the ct Statement	Rs. 1	.11815/-		
16.	expenses of	the ca	DEO's opinion andidate has be P. Act, 1951 a		Yes			
17.	If No, the details	n plea	se mention th					
	Day to Da	ay Ac	on Expenditur count Registe Statement has	NA				
	(ii) Wheth submitted b	ner du by cand	ıly sworn i idate	n affidavit has been]	NA		
	(iii) Whether election exp	er requ	uisite vouchers re submitted	s in respect of items of	1	NA		
	(iv) Whether	r separ	ate Bank Acco	unt opened for election	NA			
	(v) Whether routed throu	r all e gh ban	expenditure (ex k account	NA				
18.	(i) Whether for rectifying (ii) Whether (iii) Comme	the DE g the do the car ents of	O had issued a efect ndidate rectifie	the above, i.e.	i) Instructed in account reconciii) Yes			
19.	Whether the candidate co Shadow Ob	items orrespo servati	of election e	xpenses reported by the expenses shown in the nd Folder of Evidence.		'es		
9A.	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate		
			1	NA (NOT APPLICABLE)			
20.	Election E	expend	iture for	Register of Accounting inspection by the 3 times during campaign	Y	es		

	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice (iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	NA NA
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	NA
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	NA
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)	YES
	Date:20-06-2019	P.Sunil Kumar IAS District Election Officer, Koppal

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

Expenditure Observer (R15705)

Date: 20-06-2019

** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

141.0414-

Name of the State: Karnataka District: Koppal

Election: General Election to Lokasabha - 2019

Sl.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO		
1,	Name & address of the candidate	Sri Karadi Sanganna Amarappa		
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Bharatiya Janata Party		
3	No. and name of Parliamentary Constituency	08-KOPPAL		
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sri Karadi Sanganna Amarappa		
5.	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019		
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18-06-2019		
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing.	Yes		
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes		
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes		
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22-06-2019		
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes		
11,	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	20-06-2019 Original Account		
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes		
12A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA		
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA		
	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	NA		
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	NA		

15.	Grand Tota candidate in	l of a Part-II	Rs. 5142851 /-				
16.	Whether in expenses of required by t	the ca	Yes				
17.	If No, then details	n pleas	se mention the				
	Day to Da	y Acc	on Expenditure ount Register Statement has l	NA			
	(ii) Whether submitted by		ly sworn in date	N	NA		
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted				NA		
	(iv) Whether	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election				NA	
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account				NA		
18.	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect (ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect (iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. Whether the defect was rectified or not.				i) Instructed in the meeting of account reconciliation.ii) Yesiii) Yes		
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:				Yes		
19A.	Items of expenditure	Date	Shadow	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate	
	NA (NOT APPLICABLE)						
20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period Yes				es		

	Date:20-06-2019	PlSunif Kumer, LAS District Election Officer, Koppal
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)	YES
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	NA
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	NA
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	NA
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	NA
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	NA
21.	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-	

23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-

Date: 20-06-2019

Expenditure Observer (R15705) 08-Koppal-PC

^{*} If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

^{**} The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

-bin

1 1 -- 1

Name of the State: Karnataka District: Koppal

Election: General Election to Lokasabha - 2019

Sl.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO		
1,	Name & address of the candidate	Sui Annoi: D		
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Sri Annoji rao B		
3.	No. and name of Parliamentary Constituency	Sarva Janata Party 08-KOPPAL		
4.	Name of the elected candidate	VO-KOPPAL		
5.		Sri Karadi Sanganna Amarappa		
	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019		
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18-06-2019		
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing.	Yes		
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes		
8,	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes		
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22-06-2019		
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes		
11,	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	17-06-2019 Original Account		
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes		
12A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA		
	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA		
	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	NA		
4A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	NA		

15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement Rs. 18916/-					8916/-
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961					es
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects v details					
	Day to Day	y Acc	on Expenditure ount Register, statement has b	NA		
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate				NA	
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted				NA	
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election				NA	
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account				NΛ	
18.	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect (ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect (iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. Whether the defect was rectified or not.				i) Instructed in the meeting of account reconciliation.ii) Yesiii) Yes	
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:				Yes	
19A.	Items of expenditure	Date	Shadow	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
	NA (NOT APPLICABLE)					
20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period				Yes	

00 7

	Date:20-06-2019	P. Sunil Kumar, IAS District Election Officer, Koppal
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)	YES
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	NA
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	NA
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	NA
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	NA
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	NA
21.	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-	

Date: 20-06-2019

M.Jayaram, IRS

Expenditure Observer (R15705)

08-Koppal-PC

^{*} If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

^{**} The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

Name of the State: Karnataka District: Koppal

Election: General Election to Lokasabha - 2019

DEO's SCRUTINY RI	EPORT ON	ELECTION	EXPENSES	OF	THE	CANDIDATE	UNDER
RULE 89 OF C.E. RUL	LES, 1961						

Sl.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Sri Basavalingappa
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Marxist Leninist Party of India - Red Flag
3.	No. and name of Parliamentary Constituency	08-KOPPAL
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sri Karadi Sanganna Amarappa
5,,	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18-06-2019
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing.	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22-06-2019
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11,	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	17-06-2019 Original Account
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	NΛ
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	NA

15.	Grand Total candidate in	l of a Part-Il	all election ex I of the Abstrac	xpenses reported by the ct Statement	Rs. 2	22133/-	
16.	Whether in expenses of required by	the ca	Yes				
17.				e following defects with			
	Day to Da	y Acc	on Expenditur count Register Statement has	1	NA		
	(ii) Wheth submitted b		lly sworn i idate	n affidavit has been	ı	NA	
	(iii) Whether election experience	er requ enditur	isite vouchers e submitted	ı	NA		
	(iv) Whether	separa	ate Bank Accor	NA			
	(v) Whether routed through	all e	xpenditure (ex	NA			
18.	(i) Whether to for rectifying (ii) Whether (iii) Comme	the DE the de the car	O had issued a efect addidate rectifie	the above, i.e.	i) Instructed in the meeting of account reconciliation.ii) Yesiii) Yes		
19.	Whether the candidate co Shadow Obs	items orrespo servatio	of election end with the	xpenses reported by the expenses shown in the nd Folder of Evidence.	Yes		
19A.	Items of expenditure	Date	Shadow	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate	
)			
20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period NA (NOT APPLICABLE) Yes					es	

21.	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against	
	Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-	
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	NA
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	NA
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	NA
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	NA
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	NA
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)	YES
	Date:20-06-2019	P.Sunil Kumar, IAS District Election Officer, Koppal
23. Co	omments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-	Pl

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

Expenditure Observer (R15705)

Date: 20-06-2019

** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

Name of the State: Karnataka District: Koppal

Election: General Election to Lokasabha - 2019

Sl.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO		
1,,•;;	Name & address of the candidate	Sri Bandimath Sharanayya		
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Uttama Prajaakeeya Party		
3.	No. and name of Parliamentary Constituency	08-KOPPAL		
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sri Karadi Sanganna Amarappa		
5.	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019		
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18-06-2019		
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing.	Yes		
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes		
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes		
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22-06-2019		
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes		
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	17-06-2019 Original Account		
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes		
12A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA		
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA -		
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	NA		
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	NA		

candidate



15.			ll election ex of the Abstrac	penses reported by the st Statement	Rs. 4	13705/-		
16.	expenses of	the car	ndidate has be	the account of election en lodged in the manner ad C.E. Rules, 1961	Yes			
17.	If No, then details	n pleas	se mention the	e following defects with				
	Day to Da	у Асс		e Register comprising of c, Cash Register, Bank been lodged	NA			
	(ii) Whether submitted by		ly sworn in idate	n affidavit has been	l l	NA		
	(iii) Whether election experience	er requ enditur	isite vouchers e submitted	N	NA			
	(iv) Whether	separa	ite Bank Accor	NA				
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account				NA			
18.	for rectifying (ii) Whether (iii) Comme	the detented the care of	ndidate rectifie the DEO on	i) Instructed in the meeting of account reconciliation.ii) Yesiii) Yes				
19.	Whether the defect was rectified or not. Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:							
19A.	Items of expenditure	Date	Shadow	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate		
)				
20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period					'es		

0' '

	Date:20-06-2019	P.Sunil Kumar, IAS District Election Officer, Koppal
22,	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)	YES
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	NA
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	NA
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	NA
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	NA
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	NA
21.	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-	

Date: 20-06-2019

Expenditure Observer (R15705) 08-Koppal-PC

^{*} If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

^{**} The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

Name of the State: Karnataka District: Koppal

Election: General Election to Lokasabha - 2019

DEO'S SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

Sl.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Sri Hemaraj Veerapur
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Communist Party of INDIA (Marxist - Leninist) Red Star
3.	No. and name of Parliamentary Constituency	08-KOPPAL
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sri Karadi Sanganna Amarappa
5.	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18-06-2019
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing.	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22-06-2019
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	20-06-2019 Original Account
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	NA
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	NA

15,	1		l election expof the Abstract	penses reported by the Statement	Rs. 22	Rs. 21447/-			
16.	expenses of t	the can	didate has bee	the account of election on lodged in the manner d C.E. Rules, 1961	Yes				
17.	details			following defects with					
	Day to Day	Acco		Register comprising of Cash Register, Bank een lodged	N	ÍΑ			
	(ii) Whether submitted by		y sworn in date	affidavit has been	N	ĪА			
	(iii) Whether election expe	-	site vouchers submitted	N	IA				
	(iv) Whether	separa	te Bank Accou	NA					
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) NA routed through bank account								
18.	for rectifying (ii) Whether (iii) Comme	the de the can nts of	fect didate rectified	the above, i.e.	i) Instructed in the meeting of account reconciliation.ii) Yesiii) Yes				
19.	Whether the candidate co Shadow Obs	items orrespo servatio	of election ex	xpenses reported by the expenses shown in the and Folder of Evidence.	Yes				
19A.	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate			
	NA (NOT APPLICABLE			E)					
20.	Did the can Election I Observer/RC period	Expend	, Y	Yes .					

	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting (ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is	NA NA
	in regional language) and mention the date of notice (iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	NA
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	NA
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	NA
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO) Date:20-06-2019	P.Sumil Kumar, IAS District Election Officer, Koppal

Date: 20-06-2019

M.Jayaram, IRS

Expenditure Observer (R15705)

Expenditure Observer (R15705) 08-Koppal-PC

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.


Name of the State: Karnataka District: Koppal

Election: General Election to Lokasabha - 2019

DEO's S	CRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION	EXPENSES	OF THE	CANDIDATE	UNDER					
RULE 89	RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961									
Sl.No.	Description	To b	e filled up by the	e DEO						

Sl.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO		
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Sri Ganesh Pa. Ya		
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Independent		
3.	No. and name of Parliamentary Constituency	08-KOPPAL		
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sri Karadi Sanganna Amarappa		
5,	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019		
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18-06-2019		
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing.	Yes		
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes		
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes		
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22-06-2019		
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes		
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	17-06-2019 Original Account		
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes		
12A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay NA			
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.			
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	NA		
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	NA		

15.	Grand Total candidate in I		he	Rs. 26539/-			
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961					es	
17.	details		e mention the				
	Day to Day	Acco	n Expenditure ount Register, tatement has b	of nk	NA		
	(ii) Whether submitted by		y sworn in date	affidavit has be	en	N	Ā
		(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted					A
	(iv) Whether	separa	te Bank Accou		N	A	
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account					NA	
18.	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect (ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect (iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. Whether the defect was rectified or not.					i) Instructed in the meeting of account reconciliation.ii) Yesiii) Yes	
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:				the	Yes	
19A.	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as p the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	per	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
	NA (NOT APPLICABLE						
20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period					Yes	




	Report of DEO) Date:20-06-2019	P.Sunil Kumar, IAS District Election Officer, Koppal
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary	YES
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	NA
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	NA
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	NA
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	NA
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	NA
21.	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-	

Date: 20-06-2019

M.Jayaram, IRS
Expenditure Observer (R15705)
08-Koppal-PC

^{*} If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

^{**} The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.



NA

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO-09

Name of the State: Karnataka District: Koppal

Election: General Election to Lokasabha - 2019

Sl.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO Sri Nagaraj Kalal		
1,	Name & address of the candidate			
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Independent		
3.	No. and name of Parliamentary Constituency	08-KOPPAL		
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sri Karadi Sanganna Amarappa		
5.	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019		
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18-06-2019		
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing.	Yes		
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes		
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes		
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22-06-2019		
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes		
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting			
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes		
12A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA		
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA		
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	NA		

Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the

14A

candidate

15.			election exp	Rs. 25000/-		
16.	expenses of t	he can	O's opinion, didate has bee Act, 1951 and	Yes		
17.	If No, then details	please	mention the	following defects with		
	Day to Day	Acco	n Expenditure ount Register, tatement has b	NA		
	(ii) Whethe submitted by		y sworn in late	affidavit has been	N	Ā
		(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted				A
	(iv) Whether	separa	te Bank Accou	nt opened for election	N	A
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure routed through bank account			cept petty expenditure)	NA	
18.	for rectifying (ii) Whether (iii) Comme	the de the can nts of	O had issued a fect didate rectified the DEO on was rectified o	i) Instructed in the meeting of account reconciliation.ii) Yesiii) Yes		
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:					
19A.	Items of expenditure	Date	Shadow	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated b the candidate
20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period				Yes	

	Date:20-06-2019	P.Sunil Kumar, IAS District Election Officer, Koppal
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)	YES
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	NA
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	NA
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	NA
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	NA
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	NA
21.	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-	

Date: 20-06-2019

Expenditure Observer (R15705) 08-Koppal-PC

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.


** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

Name of the State: Karnataka District: Koppal


Election: General Election to Lokasabha - 2019

DEO'S SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

Sl.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO Sri Balaraj Yadav		
1.	Name & address of the candidate			
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Independent		
3.	No. and name of Parliamentary Constituency	08-KOPPAL		
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sri Karadi Sanganna Amarappa		
5.,	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019		
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18-06-2019		
7,	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing.	Yes		
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes		
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes		
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22-06-2019		
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes		
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	17-06-2019 Original Account		
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes		
12A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay NA			
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.			
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	NA		
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	NA		



15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement					5000/-
16.	expenses of	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election yes expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner equired by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961				
17.	details (i) Whether	Election	e mention the on Expenditure ount Register	NA		
	Register, Ab (ii) Whether	stract S er du	Statement has b ly sworn in	N	JA	
	submitted by (iii) Whether election experiences	r requ	isite vouchers	NA		
	(iv) Whether	separa	ite Bank Accou	N	JA	
	(v) Whether routed through		xpenditure (ex	NA		
18.	for rectifying (ii) Whether (iii) Comme	the dethe the carents of	O had issued a efect adidate rectified the DEO on was rectified o	i) Instructed in the meeting of account reconciliation.ii) Yesiii) Yes		
19.	Whether the candidate co Shadow Obs	items orrespo servatio	of election end with the on Register as the following:	Yes		
19Л.	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	the Shadow	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
)		
20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period				Yes	



21.	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following	
	details:-	
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	NA
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	NA
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	NA
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	NA
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	NA
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)	YES
	Date:20-06-2019	P.Sunil Kumar, 1AS District Election Officer Koppal

Expenditure Observer (R15705)

Date: 20-06-2019

^{*} If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

^{**} The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.


Name of the State: Karnataka District: Koppal

Election: General Election to Lokasabha - 2019

Sl.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO		
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Sri Mallikarjun Hadapad		
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Independent		
3.	No. and name of Parliamentary Constituency	08-KOPPAL		
4.,	Name of the elected candidate	Sri Karadi Sanganna Amarappa		
5.	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019		
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18-06-2019		
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing.	Yes		
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes		
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)			
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22-06-2019		
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes		
11,	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	17-06-2019 Original Account		
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes		
12A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA		
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA		
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	NA		
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	NA		

15.			ll election ex of the Abstrac	penses reported by the t Statement	Rs. 2	6200/-
16.	Whether in expenses of required by t	the car	Y	es		
17.	If No, ther details	pleas	e mention the	following defects with		
	Day to Day	у Асс	•	e Register comprising of , Cash Register, Bank been lodged	N	NA .
	(ii) Whether submitted by		ly sworn ir date	n affidavit has been	N	JA.
	(iii) Whethe			in respect of items of	N	IA.
	(iv) Whether	separa	te Bank Accou	N	JA	
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account			NA		
18.	for rectifying (ii) Whether (iii) Comme	the de the car nts of	O had issued a fect didate rectified the DEO on was rectified o	i) Instructed in the meeting of account reconciliation. ii) Yes iii) Yes		
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:					
19Л.	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	the Shadow	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
	NA (NOT APPLICABLE)	111
20.	Did the can Election I Observer/RO period	Expend	Yes			

n.,



	Date:20-06-2019	P.Sunil Kumar, IAS District Election Officer, Koppal
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)	YES
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	NA
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	NA
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	NA
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	NA
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	NA
21.	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-	

23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-

Date: 20-06-2019

M.Jayaram, IRS / Expenditure Observer (R15705) 08-Koppal-PC

^{*} If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.


^{**} The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

policy of the state of


Name of the State: Karnataka District: Koppal

Election: General Election to Lokasabha - 2019

Sl.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO		
1	Name & address of the candidate	Sri Satish Reddy		
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Independent		
3.	No. and name of Parliamentary Constituency	08-KOPPAL		
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sri Karadi Sanganna Amarappa		
5.	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019		
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18-06-2019		
7,	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing.	Yes		
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes		
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes		
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22-06-2019		
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes		
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	17-06-2019 Original Account		
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes		
12A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA		
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NΛ		
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	n NA		
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	NA		



15.		of all election expart-II of the Abstract	penses reported by the Statement	Rs. 25	5750/-
16.	expenses of th	e DEO's opinion, e candidate has bee e R. P. Act, 1951 and	Y	es	
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details				
	Day to Day		Register comprising of Cash Register, Bank een lodged	N	A
	(ii) Whether submitted by	duly sworn in candidate	affidavit has been	N	Ā
		requisite vouchers diture submitted	in respect of items of	N	A
	(iv) Whether se	eparate Bank Accou	N	A	
	(v) Whether a routed through	all expenditure (ex bank account	NA		
18.	for rectifying t (ii) Whether th (iii) Comment		the above, i.e.	i) Instructed in the meeting of account reconciliation.ii) Yesiii) Yes	
19.	Whether the i candidate corn Shadow Obse	tems of election extension with the exercision Register are ention the following:	e		
19A.	Items of expenditure	Date Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
)		
20.	Election Ex	xpenditure for	Register of Accounting inspection by the 3 times during campaign	Y	'es



Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following	
details:-	
(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	NA
(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	NA
(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	NA
(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	NA
(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	NA
Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)	YES
Date:20-06-2019	P.Sunil Kumar, tas District Election Officer, Koppal
	details:- (i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting (ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice (iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice? (iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply (v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)

Date: 20-06-2019

Expenditure Observer (R15705) 08-Koppal-PC

^{*} If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

^{**} The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.


Name of the State: Karnataka District: Koppal

Election: General Election to Lokasabha - 2019

Sl.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO			
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Sri Suresh Gouda Mundinamani			
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Independent			
3.	No. and name of Parliamentary Constituency	08-KOPPAL			
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sri Karadi Sanganna Amarappa			
5.	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019			
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18-06-2019			
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing.	Yes			
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes			
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes			
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22-06-2019			
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes			
11,	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	17-06-2019 Original Account			
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes			
12A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA			
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.				
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	NA			
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	NA			



15.	Grand Total candidate in F	of all	Rs. 31	959/-		
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961					
17.	details			following defects with		
	Day to Day	Acco		Register comprising of Cash Register, Bank een lodged	N	A
	(ii) Whethe submitted by		y sworn in late	affidavit has been	N	A
	(iii) Whether election expe			in respect of items of	N	A
	(iv) Whether	separa	te Bank Accou	N	A	
	(v) Whether routed through		penditure (ex account	NA		
18.	for rectifying (ii) Whether (iii) Comme	the de the can nts of	fect didate rectified	the above, i.e.	i) Instructed in the meeting of account reconciliation.ii) Yesiii) Yes	
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:				Y	/es
19A.	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
20.	Election	Expend	liture for	Register of Accounting inspection by the 3 times during campaign	Y	⁄es



	Date:20-06-2019	P.Sunil Kumar, TAS District Election Koppal
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)	YES O
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	NA
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	NA
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	NA
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	NA
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	NA
21.	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-	

25. Commonts, it may, by the Englanding Commonts

Date: 20-06-2019

M.Jayaram, IRS

Expenditure Observer (R15705)

08-Koppal-PC

^{*} If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

^{**} The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

NIME THE

Name of the State: Karnataka District: Koppal

Election: General Election to Lokasabha - 2019

Sl.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO		
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Sri Suresh H		
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Independent		
3.	No. and name of Parliamentary Constituency	08-KOPPAL		
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sri Karadi Sanganna Amarappa		
5.	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019		
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18-06-2019		
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing.	Yes		
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes		
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes		
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22-06-2019		
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes		
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	17-06-2019 Original Account		
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes		
12A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA		
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA		
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	NA		
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	NA		



15.			election expof the Abstract	penses reported by the Statement	Rs. 3'	7444/-	
16.	expenses of t	he can	O's opinion, didate has bee . Act, 1951 and	Y	es		
17,	details			following defects with			
	Day to Day	Acco		Register comprising of Cash Register, Bank een lodged	N	A	
	(ii) Whethe submitted by		y sworn in late	affidavit has been	N	ÍΑ	
	(iii) Whether election expe			in respect of items of	N	ÍΑ	
	(iv) Whether	separa	te Bank Accou	nt opened for election	N	A	
	1 ' '	Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) ted through bank account			N	NA	
18.	for rectifying (ii) Whether (iii) Comme	the de the can nts of	fect didate rectified	the above, i.e.	i) Instructed in the meeting of account reconciliation.ii) Yesiii) Yes		
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:				Y	es	
19A.	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate	
	NA (NOT APPLICABLE				3)		
20.	Election I	Expend	iture for	Register of Accounting inspection by the 3 times during campaign	λ.	/es	

2.0

21.	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against	
	Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-	
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	NA
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	NA
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	NA
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	NA
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	NA
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)	YES
	Date:20-06-2019	P.Sunil Kumar, 148 District Election Officer Koppal

Date: 20-06-2019

Expenditure Observer (R15705) 08-Koppal-PC

^{*} If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

^{**} The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.