

ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ಸರ್ಕಾರ

ಜಿಲ್ಲಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳ ಹಾಗೂ ಜಿಲ್ಲಾ ಚುನಾವಣಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳ ಕಾರ್ಯಾಲಯ, ಬಳ್ಳಾರಿ

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER & DISTRICT ELELCTION OFFICE, BALLARI-583101

Telephone: 08392-277100.

Fax: 08392-272538

Email: dcbellary@gmail.com

No.Rev.Eln.96/2018-19

Date:22.06.2019

To,

The Chief Electoral Officer

& Ex-Officio Additional Chief Secreatry to Government,

D.P.A.R (Elections)

Sheshadri Road

K.R.Circle

Bangalore

Sir,

Sub:- General Election to LokSabha 2019- Submission of Candidate wise Scrutiny reports and Summary report of the contesting candidates from 9 Bellary (ST) Parliamentary Constituency Reg.

华米米米米

I would like to bring to your kind notice that, all the contesting candidates have submitted their election expenses as per the guidelines prescribed in the handbook for Election Expenditure Monitoring.

In this connection, I enclosed herewith the following contesting candidate's scrutiny reports along with summary report for kind information and necessary action.

SI.No	Name & Party Affiliation of Candidates	Reports Enclosed
1	V. S. UGRAPPA -INDIAN NATIONAL CONGRESS	Annexure-21 & Summary Report
2	K. GULAPPA BAHUJAN SAMAJ PARTY	Annexure-21 & Summary Report

3	Y. DEVENDRAPPA	Annexure-21 & Summary
4	BHARATIYA JANATA PARTY	Report
4	B. ESHWARAPPA SHIV SENA	Annexure-21 & Summary Report
5	A.DEVADAS SOCIALIST UNITY CENTRE OF INDIA (COMMUNIST)	Annexure-21 & Summary Report
6	NAVEEN KUMAR. S BHARAT PRABHAT PARTY	Annexure-21 & Summary Report
7 :=:	NAYAKARA RAMAPPA INDIAN LABOUR PARTY AMBEDKAR PHULE)	Annexure-21 & Summary Report
8	B. RAGHU PYRAMID PARTY OF INDIA	Annexure-21 & Summary Report
9	P. D. RAMANAYAKA REPUBLICAN PARTY OF INDIA (KARNATAKA)	Annexure-21 & Summary Report
10	T. VEERESH SAMAJWADI FORWARD BLOC	Annexure-21 & Summary Report
11	CONDUCTOR PAMPAPATHI INDEPENDENT	Annexure-21 & Summary Report

Encl; As above

Yours Faithfully

Additional Deputy Commissioner Bellary

SUMMARY REPORT OF DEO FOR EACH CONSTITUENCY ON LODGING OF ELECTION EXPENSES ACCOUNTS BY CANDIDATES

(ii) Nd and name of Paglamentary Constituency: Bellary-09 (\$\tilde{\sigma} i)

(e) Last date of lodging accounts: 22.06,2019

(c) State and District. Karnataka - Bellary District

(d) Date of declaration (l) Name of the elected (b) Tatai No, of contesting randidates: 11

Anthe	Dale o
The sh	19
the elected can	i decidiation
candidate: Sr	n or result
F	0
Still Devendrappa	General electron: 23.05
	25 20


Name of the candidate and party Affiliation	2 3 Name of the Candidale and party Affiliation ledging of account	SRI. V.S.UGRA indian National of SRI.K.GULAPP BAHUJANA SA SRI.Y.DEVEND BHARATIYA JA B.ESHWARAP SHIV SENA A. DEVDAS SUCICOMMU NAVER KUM BHARAT PRAB NAYAKARA RA INDIAN LABOI B.RAGHU PYRAMID PAR P.D.RAMANAN P.D.RAMANAN REPUBLICAN F (KARNATAKA) T.VEERESH SAMAJAWADI									1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2		NG S.	,	1	
	Due date of leading of account account 22,05,2019	BHARATIYA JANATHA PARTY B.ESHWARAPPA SHIV SENA A. DEVOAS SUCI(COMMUNIST) SUCI(COMMUNIST) NAVEEN KUMAR. S BHARAT PRABHAT PARTY NAYAKARA RAMAPPA INDIAN LABOUR PARTY B.RAGHU PPRAMID PARTY OF INDIA P.D.RAMANAYAKA REPUBLICAN PARTY OF INDIA (KARNATAKA) T.VEERESH SAMAJAWADI FORWORD BLOC		WARAPPA ENA DAS DAS COMMUNIST) IN KUMAR S IT PRABHAT PARTY GARA RAMAPPA UABOUR PARTY HU HU HU HD PARTY OF INDIA	WARAPPA ENA DAS DAS DAS DAS DIMMUNIST) OMMUNIST) IN KUMAR. S OF PRABHAT PARTY GRA RAMAPPA GLABOUR PARTY	VARAPPA ENA DAS COMMUNIST) IN KUMAR .S VI PRABHAT PARTY	VARAPPA ENA DAS COMMUNIST)	NTIYA JANATHA PARTY NARAPPA ENA	ATIYA JANATHA PARTY	SRI.Y.DEVENDRAPPA	SRI.K.GULAPPA BAHUJANA SAMIAJA PARTY	SRI. V.S.UGRÄPPA Indian National Congress (INC)	Name of the Candidale and party Affiliation		2	
Date of lodging of candidate candidate 12,062,2019 18,062,2019 18,062,2019		į	YES.	SaA	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	XES	lodgee in the prescribed format (Yes or No)	Whether	5	
which or lodgee in the prescribed farmas (res or Ho) YES YES YES	Whether bedgee in the precibled formate (Yes or No) YES YES YES YES YES YES YES YES		YES		SaA	SES	\$3.4 \$3.4	S3A	SEA	YES	YES .	YES	ladged in the manner required by law (Yes o. No)	Whether	(0)	
Whether bodgee in Mre prestribed format in (Yes or No) YES YES YES YES	Whether bodged in the practiced formar manner required by YES YES YES YES YES YES YES YES		42,225	43,363	42,652	97,200	14,300	3,39,482	52,296	56,83,269	3,99,607	40,76,957	incurred/authorized by the candidate/agant (as mentioned in part-il of Abtiract statement)	Grand Total of the expenses	7	
Whether Whether Indiged in the lodged in the prestribed diamet manner required by (Yes or No) Abstract states of YES YES YES YES YES YES YES YES YES	Whether bound in the expelience of the incurred you thou candidately mentioned in Abstract states and seeds are seed to be seed to b		SBA	YES	SäÄ	SEA	SZ	ΥĒS	Sāk	KG.	X3.	X3X	amount shown by the candidate against all items of expenditure	Whether the	ço	
Whether Whether lodged in the prescribed defend in the candidate by the ca	Whether bodged in the process bodged in the capenses incorrect pagent has law (res c. No.) Abstract statement) YES YES YES YES YES S6.63,269 YES 14,300 YES 92,200 YES 42,652	200) <u>4</u> 11	Ħ	세	25	Ħ	170000	Z.F	4000000	MIL	779 427 127	Lampsum Amoust in cach or chequa given to candidate by each political party	Total unponses inco	9	
Whether Whether lodged in the prescribed defarant manner required by I whether the prescribed defarant manner required by I whether the prescribed defarant manner required by Manner of expenditure and idealized appart tare candidate against all with reserved in partial of Abstract statement) Abstract statement) Abstract statement) Rems of expenditure against all with reserved in partial of Abstract statement) Abstract statement) YES YES YES 55,83,289 YES YES 55,83,289 YES YES 52,296 YES YES 3,39,482 YES YES 3,39,482 YES	Whether blogged in the product of the expenses blogged in the candidate/Againt bas candidate/Againt bas incurred by the candidate/Againt bas candidate/Againt bas candidate against adjunction part-til or Abbitract statement) YES 40,76,957 YES YES 56,83,269 YES YES 3,39,482 YES YES 92,200 YES VES 92,200 YES VES 92,200 YES	60	NII	Z	: <u>*</u>	V.	NF.	NF.	Z.	NI.	Ni.	NE	Gread Total of others expanses in kind by the political party	urred by the party of Abstract Stafament)		
Whether Whether lodged in the prescribed defarant manner required by I whether the prescribed defarant manner required by I whether the prescribed defarant manner required by Manner of expenditure and idealized appart tare candidate against all with reserved in partial of Abstract statement) Abstract statement) Abstract statement) Rems of expenditure against all with reserved in partial of Abstract statement) Abstract statement) YES YES YES 55,83,289 YES YES 55,83,289 YES YES 52,296 YES YES 3,39,482 YES YES 3,39,482 YES	Whether blogged in the product of the expenses blogged in the candidate/Againt bas candidate/Againt bas incurred by the candidate/Againt bas candidate/Againt bas candidate against adjunction part-til or Abbitract statement) YES 40,76,957 YES YES 56,83,269 YES YES 3,39,482 YES YES 92,200 YES VES 92,200 YES VES 92,200 YES	Z	NIL	NIC	Ni	NIL	N.F.	NIC	N.F	NE	SELGHNASHYAM Rs. 499000 (ALL RTGS)	Sri Surya Narayan R± 500000 Smt Mythri R5, 300000 (ALL RTGS)	Lunggaum Amount to cash or chaque given to candidate mendon names	Total expenses incurred reported in part-si of	10	
Whether whether the prescrited by the party law (res or No) la	Total appoints in curred by the porty of the expenses incurred by the porty of the porty	~	A	;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;	77	777	**************************************	4	Ä	KIL	Ĭ.	na.	Grand Total of others experies in kind in the incurrent for the candidate	by others extitor as Abstract Statement		
Whichler Whither Industrial Datal of Longian Total of Whither perstribed former required by the personal required by the personal industrial personal required by the personal required by the personal required by the sonal district statement) YES YES VES 3,39,507 YES 40,76,957 YES 42,295 YES 1,7000 NILL NILL NILL NILL NILL NILL NILL N	Condition Cond												of the Capenda Nare Observer		11	

Comments of the Expand to a Observer, if any

Signature of the Expenditure Observer

Date: To be forwarded to ELECTICN COMMISSION of INDIA Through the Chief Electral Officer of the concerned State/UT

File or



Serial Number of the Candidate in Summary Report of the DEO - BALLARI-01

	CANDIDATE WISE SCRUTINY REPORT OF THE DEO ON LODG EXPENSES UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961 TO THE HO	
	(In case of any discrepancy in the account submitted by the candidate Register, copies of all the registers and evidences collected, should be	
S.No	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	Sri.V.S.Ugrappa #1093,3rd sector HSR Layout Bangalor 560102
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	INDIAN NATIONAL CONGRESS
3	No. and name of Parliamentary Constituency	09-Ballari (ST) Lok Sabha Constituency
4	Name of the elected candidate	Sri Y.DEVENDRAPPA
5	Date of declaration of result	23.05.2019
6	Date Account Reconciliation Meeting	18.06.2019
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent has been informed about the date of account Reconciliation meeting in writing	YES
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	YES
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes / No) (if not defects that could not be reconciled be shown in column No- 19)	Yes
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22.06.2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodge the account	YES
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate (i) Original account (ii) Revised account after accont reconcilation meeting	18.06.2019 Original accounts
	Whether account lodged in time	YES
	If not lodged in time period of delay	NOT APPLICABLE
	If account not lodged or not lodged in time whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate if not reason thereof (Yes / No)	NOT APPLICABLE
	Explanation if any given by the candidate	NOT APPLICABLE
	Comment of the DEO on the explanation if any of the candidate	NOT APPLICABLE
15	Grand Total of all election expenses by the candidate as mentioned in part-II of the Abstract Statement, filed by the candidate	Rs,40,76,957/-
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in manner required by the R.P Act 1951 and C.E Rules 1961 (Yes / No)	
17	if no then please mention the following defects with details	NOT APPLICABLE
(i)	Whether election expenditure register comprising of day to day account register , cash register , Bank Register , abstract statement has been lodged (Yes / No)	NOT APPLICABLE
(ii)	Whether duly sworn in Affidavit has been submitted by the candidate	NOT APPLICABLE
	Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenses submitted (Yes / No)	NOT APPLICABLE
(iv)	Whether separate bank account opened for election (Yes / No)	NOT APPLICABLE
	Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through Bank account (Yes / No)	NOT APPLICABLE
10	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defects (Yes/ No)	NOT APPLICABLE

S.No			To be filled up by the DEO				
	(ii) Whether cand	idate rectified	NOT APPLICABLE				
	(iii) Comments o rectified or not	f the DEO on	NOT APPLICABLE				
19	Whether the iten correspond with and Folder of Evi	the expenses	YES				
9	If No, then, men	tion the follow		N.A			
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount under stated by the Candidate	
15.0				Not Applicable			
	The state of the s						
			Total				
20	inspection by the period (Yes or No) If DEO does not ag	observer/RO/A	authorised persons 3	Election Expenditure for 3 times during campaign t row 19 refered to above	YES		
21	give the follwing di	etails	NOTATTEGABLE				
	(i) Were the defect during campaign p						
	(ii) If yes then anne English transalation			ting to discrepancies with	NOT APPLICABLE		
	(iii) Did the candida	ate give the any	reply to the notice ? (Yes / No)	NOT APPLICABLE		
	(lil) Did the candida	ate give the any	reply to the notice?	34			
	(iv) If Yes please transalation of the reply						
	(v) DEO's commen	its/observations	NOT A	APPLICABLE			
22	Whether the DEC candidate (Yes / N (should be similar Date:	la)	orrectly reported by the	Just	Yeso 21619 gnature		

23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*

Signature of the Expenditure Observer

The Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's preport, he may annex separate note to that effect.

The DEO's Scruting Report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or sha may forward the comments separately.

Serial Number of the Candidate in Summary Report of the DEO - BALLARI - 2

	CANDIDATE WISE SCRUTINY REPORT OF THE DEO ON LOD EXPENSES UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961 TO THE H			
	(In case of any discrepancy in the account submitted by the candidal Register, copies of all the registers and evidences collected, should			
S.No	Description	To be filled up by the DEO		
1	Name & address of the candidate	Sri.K.GULAPPA , No:177C ,Shanthi Nilaya, Goolapp Compound, Belagal Road,29th Ward,Ballari.		
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	Bahujana Samaja Paksha		
3	No. and name of Parliamentary Constituency	09-Ballari (ST) Lok Sabha Constituency		
4	Name of the elected candidate	Y.Devendrappa		
5	Date of declaration of result	23.05.2019		
6	Date Account Reconciliation Meeting	18.06.2019		
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent has been informed about the date of account Reconciliation meeting in writing	YES		
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	YES		
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes / No) (if not defects that could not be reconciled be shown in column No-	Yes		
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22.06.2019		
10	Whether the candidate has lodge the account	YES		
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate (i) Original account (ii) Revised account after accont reconcilation meeting	18.06.2019 Original accounts		
	Whether account lodged in time	YES		
12 A	If not lodged in time period of delay	NOT APPLICABLE		
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate if not reason thereof (Yes / No)	NOT APPLICABLE		
14	Explanation if any given by the candidate	NOT APPLICABLE		
14. A	Comment of the DEO on the explanation if any of the candidate	NOT APPLICABLE		
15	Grand Total of all election expenses by the candidate as mentioned in part-II of the Abstract Statement, filed by the candidate	3,99,607/-		
	Whether in the DEO's opinion the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in manner required by the R.P Act 1951 and C.E Rules 1961 (Yes / No)	YES		
17	if no then please mention the following defects with details	NOT APPLICABLE		
(i)	Whether election expenditure register comprising of date to day account register , cash register , Bank Register , abstract statement has been lodged (Yes / No)	NOT APPLICABLE		
(ii)	Whether duly sworn in Affidavit has been submitted by the candidate	NOT APPLICABLE		
	Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenses submitted (Yes / No)	NOT APPLICABLE		
(iv)	Whether separate bank account opened for election (Yes / No)	NOT APPLICABLE		
(v)	Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through Bank account (Yes / No)	NOT APPLICABLE		

up by the DEO	To be filled		cription			S.No			
PPLICABLE	NOT A	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defects (Yes/No)							
PPLICABLE	NOT A	(ii) Whether candidate rectified the defects (Yes/No) (iii) Comments of the DEO on the above that is whether the defect was rectified or not							
PPLICABLE	NOT A								
YES		Whether the items of expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence (Yes or No)							
N.A		If No, then, mention the following							
Amount under stated by the Candidate	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Page No. of Shadow Observation register	Date	Items of expenditure	Dies im			
		Not Applicable	- 1						
			Total						
YES		g Election Expenditure sons 3 times during		the observer/R		20			
PPLICABLE	NOT A	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against row 19 refered to above give the following details							
PPLICABLE	NOT A	(i) Were the defects noticed by the DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Accont Reconcilation meeting (Yes or No)							
PPLICABLE	NOT A	(ii) If yes then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English transalation (if it is in regional language)							
PPLICABLE	NOT A	(iii) Did the candidate give the any reply to the notice ? (Yes / No)							
NOT APPLICABLE		(iii) Did the candidate give the any reply to the notice ?							
PPLICABLE	NOT A	(iv) If Yes please annex copies of such explanation received . (with English transalation of the same if it is in regional language) and mention the date of reply							
PPLICABLE	NOT A	plafnation	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate explanation						
Yes Alb Mature NAKUL)			Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate (Yes / No.) (should be similar to column No. 8 of summary report of DEO) Date:						
سر			of summary report	No) to column No. 8 c	candidate (Yes/fi (should be similar	22 23. Co			

^{*} If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO* is report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

***The DEO* Struting Report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

Serial Number of the Candidate in Summary Report of the DEO - BALLARI - 3

	CANDIDATE WISE SCRUTINY REPORT OF THE DEO ON LOD EXPENSES UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961 TO THE H	
	(In case of any discrepancy in the account submitted by the candidat Register, copies of all the registers and evidences collected, should	
S.No	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
ĵ	Name & address of the candidate	Y.DEVENDRAPPA #74, 1st WARD, ARASIKERE POST, HARAPANAHALLI (Tq), BALLARI (Di) PIN-583125
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	BHARATIYA JAN'ATHA PARTY
3	No. and name of Parliamentary Constituency	09-Ballari (ST) Lok Sabha Constituency
4	Name of the elected candidate	Y.Devendrappa
5	Date of declaration of result	23.05.2019
6	Date Account Reconciliation Meeting	18.06.2019
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent has been informed about the date of account Reconciliation meeting in writing	YES
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	YES
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes./No.) (if not defects that could not be reconciled be shown in column No- 19)	Yės
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22.06.2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodge the account	YES
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate (i) Original account (ii) Revised account after account reconcilation meeting	18.06.2019 Original account
12	Whether account lodged in time	YES
12 A	If not lodged in time period of delay	NOT APPLICABLE
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate if not reason thereof (Yes / No)	NOT APPLICABLE
14	Explanation if any given by the candidate	NOT APPLICABLE
1.5	Grand Total of all election expenses by the candidate as mentioned in part-II of the Abstract Statement, filed by the candidate	NOT APPLICABLE Rs,56,83,289/-
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in manner required by the R.P. Act 1951 and C.E. Rules 1961 (Yes / No)	YES
17	if no then please mention the following defects with details	NOT APPLICABLE
	Whether election expenditure register comprising of day to day account register, cash register, Bank Register, abstract statement has been lodged (Yes / No)	NOT APPLICABLE.
(ii)	Whether duly sworn in Affidavit has been submitted by the candidate	NOT APPLICABLE
(iii)	Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenses submitted (Yes / No)	NOT APPLICABLE
(iv)	Whether separate bank account opened for election (Yes / No.)	NOT APPLICABLE
(V)	Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through Bank account (Yes / No)	NOT APPLICABLE
18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defects (Yes/No)	NOT APPLICABLE
	(ii) Whether candidate rectified the defects (Yes/ No)	NOT APPLICABLE

S.No			escription		To be filled up by the DEO			
	(iii) Comments o rectified or not	f the DEQ on th	ne above that is wh	ether the defect was	NOT APPLICABLE			
19	Whether the iten correspond with Register and Fok	the expense	YES					
	If No, then, men	tion the follow	N.A					
	Items of Date expenditure		Page No. of Shadow Observation register Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence		Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount under stated by the Candidate		
			\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	lot Applicable				
			Total					
20		the observer/		Election Expenditure ions 3 times during				
21	If DEO does not a above give the foll	igree with the fa wing details	acts mentioned agai	nst row 19 refered to	NOT APPLICABLE			
		campaign peri		to the notice of the Accont Reconcilation	NOT APPLICABLE			
			he notices issued rel regional language)	ating to discrepancies	NOT A	APPLICABLE		
	(iii) Did the candida	ate give the any	reply to the notice? ((Yes / No)	NOT A	APPLICABLE		
	(iii) Did the candida	ate give the any	reply to the notice?		NOT APPLICABLE			
				ceived , (with English d mention the date of				
	(v) DEO's commer	nts/observations	on the candidate exp	olanation	NOT APPLICABLE			
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate (Yes / No.) (should be similar to column No. 8 of summary report of DEO) Date: Signature (S.S. NÄKUL)							
	nments, if any, by t	he Expenditure	Observer*	7	()			

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect, ** The DEO's Scrutiny Report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may lorward the comments separately.

Serial Number of the Candidate in Summary Report of the DEO - BALLARI - $\mathbf{4}$

	CANDIDATE WISE SCRUTINY REPORT OF THE DEO ON LOE EXPENSES UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961 TO THE F	
	(In case of any discrepancy in the account submitted by the candida Register, copies of all the registers and evidences collected, should	te and the shadow Observation
S.No		To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	Sri.B.ESHWARAPPA, H.No:103, Ulithipparagudi Road, Kakka Bevinahalli (V), Ballari (Tq & Di
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	Shiv Sena
3	No. and name of Parliamentary Constituency	09-Ballari (ST) Lok Sabha Constituency
4	Name of the elected candidate	Y.Devendrappa
5	Date of declaration of result	23.05.2019
6	Date Account Reconciliation Meeting	18.06.2019
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent has been informed about the date of account Reconciliation meeting in writing	YES
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	YES
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes / No) (if not defects that could not be reconciled be shown in column No-19)	Yes
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22.06.2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodge the account	YES
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate (i) Original account (ii) Revised account after accont reconcilation meeting	18.06.2019 Original account
12	Whether account lodged in time	YES
12 A	If not lodged in time period of delay	NOT APPLICABLE
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate if not reason thereof (Yes / No)	NOT APPLICABLE
14	Explanation if any given by the candidate	NOT APPLICABLE
14. A	Comment of the DEO on the explanation if any of the candidate	NOT APPLICABLE
15	Grand Total of all election expenses by the candidate as mentioned in part-II of the Abstract Statement, filed by the candidate	Rs,52296/-
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in manner required by the R.P Act 1951 and C E Rules 1961 (Yes / No)	YES
17	if no then please mention the following defects with details	NOT APPLICABLE
(i)	Whether election expenditure register comprising of day to day account register , rash register , Bank Register , abstract statement has been lodged (Yes / No)	NOT APPLICABLE
(ii)	Whether duly sworn in Affidavit has been submitted by the candidate	NOT APPLICABLE
(iii)	Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenses submitted (Yes / No)	NOT APPLICABLE
(iv)	Whether separate bank account opened for election (Yes / No)	NOT APPLICABLE
(v)	Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through Bank account (Yes / No)	NOT APPLICABLE

S.No			escription		To be filled up by the DEO		
18	(i) Whether the D the defects (Yes/		NOT A	PPLICABLE			
	(ii) Whether cand	idate rectified	NOT APPLICABLE				
	(iii) Comments of rectified or not	the DEO on th	e above that is wh	nether the defect was	NOT A	PPLICABLE	
19	Whether the item correspond with Register and Fold	the expense:	YES				
	If No, then, ment	tion the followi		N.A			
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount under stated by the Candidate	
			F				
				Vot Applicable			
	À		Total				
20		the observer/		g Election Expenditure sons 3 times during			
21	If DEO does not a above give the follo		NOT APPLICABLE				
	(i) Were the defe candidate during meeting (Yes or No	campaign peri					
	(ii) If yes then anne with English transa	ex copies of all t	NOT APPLICABLE				
	(iii) Did the candida	ite give the any	NOT APPLICABLE				
	(iii) Did the candida	ite give the any	NOT APPLICABLE				
	(iv) If Yes please a transalation of the reply						
	(v) DEO's commen	ts/observations	NOT A	APPLICABLE			
22	candidate (Yes/N (should be similar Date:	lo) to column No. 8	rectly reported by the	Jan Sin	Yes gnature i. NAKUL)		
3. Cor	nments, if any, by t	he Expenditure	Observer*		()	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	

Signature of the Expenditure Observer

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO* sureport, he may annex separate note to that effect.

** The DEO*s Scruting Report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he of shir may forward the comments separately.

Serial Number of the Candidate in Summary Report of the DEO - BALLARI - 5

	CANDIDATE WISE SCRUTINY REPORT OF THE DEO ON LOD EXPENSES UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961 TO THE H	
	(In case of any discrepancy in the account submitted by the candidat Register, copies of all the registers and evidences collected, should	te and the shadow Observation be sent along with this report)
S.No	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	A.DEVADAS, No:319, Goudra Oni , Somasamudra Village, Ballari (Tq & Di)
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	SUCI(COMMUNIST)
3	No. and name of Parliamentary Constituency	09-Ballari (ST) Lok Sabha Constituency
4	Name of the elected candidate	Y.Devendrappa
5	Date of declaration of result	23.05.2019
6	Date Account Reconciliation Meeting	18.06.2019
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent has been informed about the date of account Reconciliation meeting in writing	YES
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	YES
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes / No) (if not defects that could not be reconciled be shown in column No-19)	• Yes
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22,06.2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodge the account	YES
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate (i) Original account (ii) Revised account after accont reconcilation meeting	20.06.2019 Original account
12	Whether account lodged in time	YES
	If not lodged in time period of delay	NOT APPLICABLE
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate if not reason thereof (Yes / No)	NOT APPLICABLE
14	Explanation if any given by the candidate	NOT APPLICABLE
14. A	Comment of the DEO on the explanation if any of the candidate	NOT APPLICABLE
15	Grand Total of all election expenses by the candidate as mentioned in part-II of the Abstract Statement, filed by the candidate	Rs,3,39,482/-
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in manner required by the R.P Act 1951 and C.E Rules 1961 (Yes / No)	YES
	if no then please mention the following defects with details	NOT APPLICABLE
(1)	Whether election expenditure register comprising of date to day account register , cash register , Bank Register , abstract statement has been lodged (Yes / No)	NOT APPLICABLE
(ii)	Whether duly sworn in Affidavit has been submitted by the candidate	NOT APPLICABLE
(iii)	Wigether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenses submitted (Yes / No)	NOT APPLICABLE
(ìv)	Whether separate bank account opened for election (Yes / No)	NOT APPLICABLE
(v)	Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through Bank account (Yes / No)	NOT APPLICABLE

			To be filled up by the DEO			
(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defects (Yes/ No)			NOT A	PPLICABLE		
(ii) Whether candidate rectified the defects (Yes/ No)				NOT APPLICABLE		
(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above that is whether the defect was rectified or not				NOT A	APPLICABLE	
Whether the items of expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence (Yes or No)			YES			
If No, then, ment	tion the followi	ng		N.A		
Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount under stated by the Candidate	
		N	lot Applicable			
		Total				
Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the observer/RO/Authorised persons 3 times during campaign period (Yes or No)				YES		
		NOT APPLICABLE				
candidate during	campaign peri					
		NOT APPLICABLE				
(iii) Did the candida	ate give the any	eply to the notice?	(Yes / No)	NOT APPLICABLE		
(iii) Did the candida	ate give the any	reply to the notice?		NOT APPLICABLE		
					APPLICABLE	
(v) DEO's commen	nts/observations	on the candidate ex	plafnation	NOT A	APPLICABLE	
Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate (Yes / No.) (should be similar to column No. 8 of summary report of DEO) Date:				٨	Yes	
	the defects (Yes, (ii) Whether cand (iii) Comments or rectified or not Whether the iten correspond with Register and Fold If No, then, mental Items of expenditure Did the candidate for inspection by campaign period (If DEO does not a above give the following (Yes or No. (ii) If yes then annowith English transaction of the reply	(ii) Whether the DEO had issued the defects (Yes/ No) (iii) Whether candidate rectified to the defects or not whether the items of expenses correspond with the expenses Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then, mention the following litems of expenditure. Did the candidate produce his Refor inspection by the observer/ campaign period (Yes or No). If DEO does not agree with the fabove give the following details. (i) Were the defects noticed by candidate during campaign perimeeting (Yes or No). (ii) If yes then annex copies of all the with English transalation (if it is in reform the same if it is in reform.	the defects (Yes/ No) (iii) Whether candidate rectified the defects (Yes/ I (iiii) Comments of the DEO on the above that is wherectified or not Whether the items of expenses reported by the cacorrespond with the expenses shown in the Segister and Folder of Evidence (Yes or No) If No, then, mention the following Items of expenditure Date Page No. of Shadow Observation register Date Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting for inspection by the observer/RO/Authorised per campaign period (Yes or No) If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned aga above give the following details (i) Were the defects noticed by the DEO brought candidate during campaign period or during the meeting (Yes or No) (ii) If yes then annex copies of all the notices issued rewith English transalation (if it is in regional language) (iii) Did the candidate give the any reply to the notice? (iv) If Yes please annex copies of such explanation retransalation of the same if it is in regional language) arreply	(ii) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defects (Yes/No) (iii) Whether candidate rectified the defects (Yes/No) (iii) Comments of the DEO on the above that is whether the defect was rectified or not Whether the items of expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence (Yes or No) If No, then, mention the following Page No, of Shadow Observation register Page No, of Shadow Observation register Not Applicable Total Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the observer/RO/Authorised persons 3 times during campaign period (Yes or No) If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against row 19 refered to above give the following details (i) Were the defects noticed by the DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Accont Reconcilation meeting (Yes or No) (ii) If yes then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English transalation (if it is in regional language) (iii) Did the candidate give the any reply to the notice? (Yes / No) (iv) If Yes please annex copies of such explanation received, (with English transalation of the same if it is in regional language) and mention the date of	(ii) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defects (Yes/No) (iii) Whether candidate rectified the defects (Yes/No) NOT A (iii) Comments of the DEO on the above that is whether the defect was rectified or not Whether the items of expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence (Yes or No) If No, then, mention the following Items of expenditure Date Page No. of Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence Not Applicable Not Applicable Not Applicable Total Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the observer/RO/Authorised persons 3 times during campaign period (Yes or No) If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against row 19 refered to above give the following details (i) Were the defects noticed by the DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconcilation meeting (Yes or No) (ii) If yes then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English transalation (if it is in regional language) (iii) Did the candidate give the any reply to the notice? (iv) If Yes please annex copies of such explanation received, (with English transalation of the same if it is in regional language) and mention the date of reply	

^{*} If the expenditure Observes has some more locis that have not been covered in the DEO - sireport, no may annex separate note to mat effect.

The DEO's Scrutiny Report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

Serial Number of the Candidate in Summary Report of the DEO - BALLARI - 6

	CANDIDATE WISE SCRUTINY REPORT OF THE DEO ON LOD EXPENSES UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961 TO THE H	
	(In case of any discrepancy in the account submitted by the candidat Register, copies of all the registers and evidences collected, should	e and the shadow Observation
S.No	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	NAVEEN KUMAR S, #2712,7th Main Road, 7TH Cross, Swimming Pool, RPC Layout, Vijayanagara,Bengaluru-560104
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	BHARATH PRABHAT PARTY
3	No. and name of Parliamentary Constituency	09-Ballari (ST) Lok Sabha Constituenc
4	Name of the elected candidate	Y.Devendrappa
5	Date of declaration of result	23.05.2019
6	Date Account Reconciliation Meeting	18.06.2019
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent has been informed about the date of account Reconciliation meeting in writing	YES
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	NO
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes / No) (if not defects that could not be reconciled be shown in column No-19)	Yes
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22.06.2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodge the account	YES
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate (i) Original account (ii) Revised account after account reconcilation meeting	21.06.2019 Original account
12	Whether account lodged in time	YES
12 A	If not lodged in time period of delay	NOT APPLICABLE
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate if not reason thereof (Yes / No)	NOT APPLICABLE
14	Explanation if any given by the candidate	NOT APPLICABLE
14. A	Comment of the DEO on the explanation if any of the candidate	NOT APPLICABLE
15	Grand Total of all election expenses by the candidate as mentioned in part-II of the Abstract Statement, filed by the candidate	Rs,14,300/-
	Whether in the DEO's opinion the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in manner required by the R.P Act 1951 and C.E Rules 1961 (Yes / No)	YES
17	if no then please mention the following defects with details	NOT APPLICABLE
(i)	Whether election expenditure register comprising of day to day account register, cash register, Bank Register, abstract statement has been lodged (Yes / No)	NOT APPLICABLE
(ii)	Whether duly sworn in Affidavit has been submitted by the candidate	NOT APPLICABLE
	Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenses submitted (Yes / No)	NOT APPLICABLE
(iv)	Whether separate bank account opened for election (Yes / No)	NOT APPLICABLE
(v)	Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through	NOT APPLICABLE

S.No	Description				To be filled up by the DEO		
18	(i) Whether the D the defects (Yes/		a notice to the ca	ndidate for rectifying	NOT APPLICABLE		
	(ii) Whether candidate rectified the defects (Yes/No)				NOT A	PPLICABLE	
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above that is whether the defect was rectified or not				NOT A	PPLICABLE	
19	Whether the items of expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence (Yes or No)		**************************************	YES			
	If No, then, ment	ion the follow	ing		N.A Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate YES YES NOT APPLICABLE		
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	account submitted	under stated by the	
				Not Applicable			
				ior, ppileasio			
			<u> </u>				
			Total				
20	Did the candidate for inspection by campaign period ()	the observer	egister of Accounting RO/Authorised per	g Election Expenditure sons 3 times during		YES	
21	If DEO does not a above give the follo	gree with the f ving details	acts mentioned aga	inst row 19 refered to	NQT A	PPLICABLE	
1		campaign per		to the notice of the Accont Reconcilation		PPLICABLE	
	(ii) If yes then anne with English transal		NOT APPLICABLE				
	(iii) Did the candida	te give the any	reply to the notice ?	(Yes / No)	NOT APPLICABLE		
	(iii) Did the candida	te give the any	reply to the notice ?		NOT A	PPLICABLE	
				ceived , (with English and mention the date of		PPLICABLE	
	(v) DEO's commen	ts/observations	on the candidate ex	plafnation	NOT A	PPLICABLE	
	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate (Yes / No) (should be similar to column No. 8 of summary report of DEO) Date:				Jere STO	Yes 1419 nature NAKUL)	

[&]quot;If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DECC is report, he may annex separate note to that effect in the DECC's Scrutiny Report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

Serial Number of the Candidate in Summary Report of the DEO - BALLARI - 7

	CANDIDATE WISE SCRUTINY REPORT OF THE DEO ON LOD EXPENSES UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961 TO THE H	
	(In case of any discrepancy in the account submitted by the candidat Register, copies of all the registers and evidences collected, should	
S.No	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	NAYAKARA RAMAPPA W,No:6, H.No:222, Kampli Road, Harikrupa Colony, Behind Church, Kurugodu.
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	INDIAN LABOUR PARTY (AMBDEKAR PHULE)
3	No. and name of Parliamentary Constituency	09-Ballari (ST) Lok Sabha Constituency
4	Name of the elected candidate	Y.Devendrappa
5	Date of declaration of result	23.05.2019
6	Date Account Reconciliation Meeting	18.06.2019
	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent has been informed about the date of account Reconciliation meeting in writing	YES
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	YES
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes / No) (if not defects that could not be reconciled be shown in column No-19)	Yes
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22.06.2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodge the account	YES
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate (i) Original account (ii) Revised account after accont reconcilation meeting	18.06.2019 Original account
	Whether account lodged in time	YES
12 A	If not lodged in time period of delay	NOT APPLICABLE
	If account not lodged or not lodged in time whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate if not reason thereof (Yes / No)	NOT APPLICABLE
	Explanation if any given by the candidate	NOT APPLICABLE
	Comment of the DEO on the explanation if any of the candidate	NOT APPLICABLE
15	Grand Total of all election expenses by the candidate as mentioned in part-II of the Abstract Statement, filed by the candidate	Rs,92,200/-
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in manner required by the R.P Act 1951 and C.E Rules 1961 (Yes / No)	YES
17.	if no then please mention the following defects with details	NOT APPLICABLE
(i)	Whether election expenditure register comprising of day to day account register, cash register, Bank Register, abstract statement has been lodged (Yes / No)	NOT APPLICABLE
(ii)	Whether duly sworn in Affidavit has been submitted by the candidate	NOT APPLICABLE
	Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenses submitted (Yes / No)	NOT APPLICABLE
(iv)	Whether separate bank account opened for election (Yes / No)	NOT APPLICABLE
	Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through Bank account (Yes / No)	NOT APPLICABLE

S.No			escription			I up by the DEO	
18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defects (Yes/ No)			NOT APPLICABLE			
	(ii) Whether candidate rectified the defects (Yes/ No)				NOT APPLICABLE		
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above that is whether the defect was rectified or not			NOT A	PPLICABLE		
Whether the items of expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence (Yes or No)			YES				
	If No, then, ment	tion the followi	ng		N.A		
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount under stated by the Candidate	
					· 4	W1122	
			N	lot Applicable			
			Total				
20	for inspection by campaign period ()	the observer/ (es or No)	RO/Authorised per	g Élection Expenditure sons 3 times during	1 12 - 6	YES	
21	If DEO does not a above give the follo		NOT A	PPLICABLE			
	(i) Were the defe candidate during meeting (Yes or No	campaign peri					
	(ii) If yes then anne with English transal		NOT APPLICABLE				
	(iii) Did the candida	ite givê the any i	reply to the notice ?	(Yes / No.)	NOT APPLICABLE		
	(iii) Did the candida	te give the any i	reply to the notice ?		NOT APPLICABLE		
				ceived , (with English ad mention the date of		PPLICABLE	
	(v) DEO's commen	ts/observations	on the candidate ex	plafnation	NOT A	PPLICABLE	
22	candidate (Yes / N	10.)	e expenses are corr of summary report	ectly reported by the	Jenes Jenes	Yes de 19	
	candidate (Yes/N (should be similar t	lo.) to column No. 8	of summary report (Jenes Jenes	yes patur natur NAKL	

^{*} If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's separate note to that effect.

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's separate note to that effect.

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's separate note to the separate note note to the separate note to the separate note not the separate note to the separate note to the separate note to the separate note not the separate note to the separate not not the separate note not the separate

Serial Number of the Candidate in Summary Report of the DEO - BALLARI - 8

	CANDIDATE WISE SCRUTINY REPORT OF THE DEO ON LOD EXPENSES UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961 TO THE H	
	(In case of any discrepancy in the account submitted by the candidat Register, copies of all the registers and evidences collected, should	
S.No	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	B RAGHU W.No:23,H.No:37/24,Kanaka Beedi, Radio Park,Cowl Bazar, Ballari-58310
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	PYRAMID PARTY OF INDIA
3	No. and name of Parliamentary Constituency	09-Ballari (ST) Lok Sabha Constituency
4	Name of the elected candidate	Y.Devendrappa
5	Date of declaration of result	23.05.2019
6	Date Account Reconciliation Meeting	18.06,2019
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent has been informed about the date of account Reconciliation meeting in writing	YES
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	YES
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes / No) (if not defects that could not be reconciled be shown in column No- 19)	Yes
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22.06.2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodge the account	YES
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate (i) Original account (ii) Revised account after accont reconcilation meeting	= 18.06.2019 Original account
12	Whether account lodged in time	YES
12 A	If not lodged in time period of delay	NOT APPLICABLE
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate if not reason thereof (Yes / No)	NOT APPLICABLE
14	Explanation if any given by the candidate	NOT APPLICABLE
14. A	Comment of the DEO on the explanation if any of the candidate	NOT APPLICABLE
15	Grand Total of all election expenses by the candidate as mentioned in part-II of the Abstract Statement, filed by the candidate	Rs,42652/-
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in manner required by the R.P Act 1951 and C.E Rules 1961 (Yes / No)	YES
17-	if no then please mention the following defects with details	NOT APPLICABLE
(i)	Whether election expenditure register comprising of day to day account register, cash register, Bank Register, abstract statement has been lodged (Yes / No)	NOT APPLICABLE
(ii)	Whether duly sworn in Affidavit has been submitted by the candidate	NOT APPLICABLE
(iii)	Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenses submitted (Yes / No)	NOT APPLICABLE
(iv)	Whether separate bank account opened for election (Yes / No)	NOT APPLICABLE
(v)	Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through Bank account (Yes / No)	NOT APPLICABLE

S.No	No Description To			To be filled	up by the DEO			
18	(i) Whether the D the defects (Yes,		a notice to the car	ndidate for rectifying	NOT A	PPLICABLE		
	(II) Whether candidate rectified the defects (Yes/No)			NOT APPLICABLE				
	(iii) Comments of rectified or not	f the DEO on th	e above that is wh	ether the defect was	NOT A	PPLICABLE		
19		the expenses		ndidate hadow Observation	YES			
	If No, then, ment	tion the followir	ng		N.A			
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount under stated by the Candidate		
			N	lot Applicable				
			Total					
20		the observer/F		g Election Expenditure sons 3 times during		YES		
21	If DEO does not a above give the foll		cts mentioned aga	inst row 19 refered to	NOT APPLICABLE			
	(i) Were the defe candidate during meeting (Yes or N	campaign perio						
	(ii) If yes then anne with English transa		NOT APPLICABLE					
	(iii) Did the candida	ate give the any r	eply to the notice ?	(Yes/No)	NOT APPLICABLE			
	(iii) Did the candidate give the any reply to the notice ?				NOT APPLICABLE			
				ceived , (with English ad mention the date of		OT APPLICABLE		
	(v) DEO's commen	its/observations	on the candidate ex	plafnation	NOT A	PPLICABLE		
	Whether the DEO candidate (Yes/N		0	Yes bul				

If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report he may annex separate note to that effect.

The DEO's Southly Reports to be completed by the CEO and forwarded to the communitien. If the CEO feets the giving additional comments, he or storms forward the comments separately.

Signature of the Expenditure Observer

Date 21/06 (2019

Serial Number of the Candidate in Summary Report of the DEO - BALLARI - 9

	CANDIDATE WISE SCRUTINY REPORT OF THE DEO ON LOI EXPENSES UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961 TO THE I	
	(In case of any discrepancy in the account submitted by the candida Register, copies of all the registers and evidences collected, should	te and the shadow Observation be sent along with this report)
s.No	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	P.D.RAMANAYAKA Door No:1, W.No:20, Gopalsamy Road Gandhinagara, Ballari.
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	REPUBLICAN PARTY OF INDIA(KARNATAKA)
3	No. and name of Parliamentary Constituency	09-Ballari (ST) Lok Sabha Constituency
4	Name of the elected candidate	Y.Devendrappa
5	Date of declaration of result	23.05.2019
6	Date Account Reconciliation Meeting	18.06.2019
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent has been informed about the date of account Reconciliation meeting in writing	YES
·	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	NO
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes / No) (if not defects that could not be reconciled be shown in column No-19)	Yes
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22.06.2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodge the account	YES
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate (i) Original account (ii) Revised account after accont reconcilation meeting	20.06.2019 Original account
12	Whether account lodged in time	YES
12 A	If not lodged in time period of delay	NOT APPLICABLE
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate if not reason thereof (Yes / No)	NOT APPLICABLE
14	Explanation if any given by the candidate	NOT APPLICABLE
	Comment of the DEO on the explanation if any of the candidate	NOT APPLICABLE
13	Grand Total of all election expenses by the candidate as mentioned in part-II of the Abstract Statement, filed by the candidate	Rs,43,363/-
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in manner required by the R.P Act 1951 and C.F. Rules 1961 (Yes / No)	YES
17	if no then please mention the following defects with details	NOT APPLICABLE
(i)	Whether election expenditure register comprising of day to day account register, cash register, Bank Register, abstract statement has been lodged (Yes / No)	NOT APPLICABLE
(ii)	Whether duly sworn in Affidavit has been submitted by the candidate	NOT APPLICABLE
(iii)	Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenses submitted (Yes / No)	NOT APPLICABLE
(iv)	Whether separate bank account opened for election (Yes / No)	NOT APPLICABLE
	Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through	

		To be filled up by the DEO					
	the defects (Yes/ No)				APPLICABLE		
(ii) Whether cand					APPLICABLE		
(iii) Comments of rectified or not	f the DEO on ti	ne above that is wh	nether the defect was	NOT A	APPLICABLE		
correspond with	the expense	s shown in the S		YES			
If No, then, ment	tion the follow	ing		N.A			
Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount under stated by the Candidate		
72							
		1	Vot Applicable				
	7	Total					
for inspection by	the observer,				YES		
				NOT A	APPLICABLE		
candidate during	campaign per						
(ii) If yes then anne	ex copies of all		lating to discrepancies	NOT A	NOT APPLICABLE		
(iii) Did the candida	até gîve the amy	reply to the notice ?	(Yes/No)	NOT APPLICABLE			
(iii) Did the candida	ate give the any	reply to the notice?					
transalation of the			eceived , (with English and mention the date of		APPLICABLE		
reply							
	nts/observations	on the candidate ex	planation	NOT A	APPLICABLE		
	the defects (Yes, (ii) Whether cand (iii) Comments of rectified or not Whether the iten correspond with Register and Fold If No, then, men Items of expenditure Did the candidate for inspection by campaign period (If DEO does not a above give the folf (i) Were the defe candidate during meeting (Yes or N (ii) If yes then anno with English transa (iii) Did the candida (iv) If Yes please a	(ii) Whether the DEO had issued the defects (Yes/No) (iii) Whether candidate rectified (iii) Comments of the DEO on the rectified or not Whether the items of expenses correspond with the expense Register and Folder of Evidence If No, then, mention the follow Items of expenditure Date Date Did the candidate produce his Refor inspection by the observer, campaign period (Yes or No) If DEO does not agree with the fabove give the follwing details (i) Were the defects noticed by candidate during campaign permeeting (Yes or No) (ii) If yes then annex copies of all with English transalation (If it is in (iii) Did the candidate give the any) (iv) If Yes please annex copies of	the defects (Yes/No) (iii) Whether candidate rectified the defects (Yes/I (iiii) Comments of the DEO on the above that is wherectified or not Whether the items of expenses reported by the cacorrespond with the expenses shown in the Segister and Folder of Evidence (Yes or No) If No, then, mention the following Items of expenditure Date Page No. of Shadow Observation register Date Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting for inspection by the observer/RO/Authorised per campaign period (Yes or No) If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned aga above give the follwing details (i) Were the defects noticed by the DEO brought candidate during campaign period or during the meeting (Yes or No) (ii) If yes then annex copies of all the notices issued rewith English transalation (if it is in regional language) (iii) Did the candidate give the any reply to the notice? (iv) If Yes please annex copies of such explanation regional language)	(ii) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defects (Yes/No) (iii) Whether candidate rectified the defects (Yes/No) (iii) Comments of the DEO on the above that is whether the defect was rectified or not Whether the items of expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence (Yes or No) If No, then, mention the following Page No. of Shadow Observation register Date Page No. of Shadow Observation register Not Applicable Not Applicable Total Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the observer/RO/Authorised persons 3 times during campaign period (Yes or No) If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against row 19 refered to above give the follwing details (i) Were the defects noticed by the DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Accont Reconcilation meeting (Yes or No) (ii) If yes then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English transalation (if it is in regional language) (iii) Did the candidate give the any reply to the notice? (Yes/No) (iv) If Yes please annex copies of such explanation received, (with English	(ii) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defects (Yes/No) (iii) Whether candidate rectified the defects (Yes/No) NOT A series of the DEO on the above that is whether the defect was rectified or not Whether the items of expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence (Yes or No) If No, then, mention the following Items of expenditure Date Page No, of Shadow Observation Register folder of evidence Not Applicable Not Applicable Total Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the observer/RO/Authorised persons 3 times during campaign period (Yes or No) If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against row 19 refered to above give the follwing details (i) Were the defects noticed by the DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconcilation meeting (Yes or No) (ii) If yes then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English transalation (if it is in regional language) (iv) If Yes please annex copies of such explanation received, (with English		

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.
The DEO's Extrainly Report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the commission. If the CEO lee's the giving additional comments, he or size may forward the comments separately.

Date: 21/06/2019

Serial Number of the Candidate in Summary Report of the DEO - BALLARI - 10

	CANDIDATE WISE SCRUTINY REPORT OF THE DEO ON LOI EXPENSES UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961 TO THE I	
	(In case of any discrepancy in the account submitted by the candida Register, copies of all the registers and evidences collected, should	
S.No	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	T.VEERESH W.No:33,H.No:735, Eranna Tokala, H.Thippannara Oni, Govt Primary school left side, Allipura,Ballari.
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	SAMAJAWADI FORWARD BLOC
3	No. and name of Parliamentary Constituency	09-Ballari (ST) Lok Sabha Constituency
4	Name of the elected candidate	Y.Devendrappa
5	Date of declaration of result	23.05.2019
6	Date Account Reconciliation Meeting	18.06.2019
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent has been informed about the date of account Reconciliation meeting in writing	YES
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	NO
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes / No) (if not defects that could not be reconciled be shown in column No- 19)	Yes
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22.06.2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodge the account	YES
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate (i) Original account (ii) Revised account after accont reconcilation meeting	20.06.2019 Original account
	Whether account lodged in time	YES
	If not lodged in time period of delay	NOT APPLICABLE
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate if not reason thereof (Yes / No)	NOT APPLICABLE
	Explanation if any given by the candidate	NOT APPLICABLE
14. A	Comment of the DEO on the explanation if any of the candidate Grand Total of all election expenses by the candidate as mentioned in part-II of the Abstract Statement, filed by the candidate	NOT APPLICABLE Rs,42,225/-
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in manner required by the R.P Act 1951 and C.E.Rules 1961 (Yes / No.)	
17	if no then please mention the following defects with details	NOT APPLICABLE
(i)	Whether election expenditure register comprising of day to day account register, cash register, Bank Register, abstract statement has been lodged (Yes / No)	
(ii)	Whether duly sworn in Affidavit has been submitted by the candidate	NOT APPLICABLE
	Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenses submitted (Yes / No)	NOT APPLICABLE
(iv)	Whether separate bank account opened for election (Yes / No)	NOT APPLICABLE
	Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through Bank account (Yes / No)	NOT APPLICABLE

5.No					To be filled up by the DEO	
18	(i) Whether the D the defects (Yes/		NOT A	APPLICABLE		
	(ii) Whether cand	idate rectified	NOT A	APPLICABLE		
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above that is whether the defect was rectified or not				NOT A	APPLICABLE
19	Whether the items of expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence (Yes or No)		x	YES		
	If No; then, mention the following N.A					N.A
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount under stated by the Candidate
				(2)		
				Not Applicable		
			Total			
20		the observer/		g Election Expenditure sons 3 times during		YES
21	If DEO does not a above give the follo		NOT APPLICABLE			
	(i) Were the defe candidate during meeting (Yes or N	campaign peri				
	(ii) If yes then anne with English transa		NOT APPLICABLE			
	(iii) Did the candida	ate give the any	NOT APPLICABLE			
	(iii) Did the candidate give the any reply to the notice ?		reply to the notice ?		NOT APPLICABLE	
	(iv) If Yes please annex copies of such explanation received , (with English transalation of the same if it is in regional language) and mention the date of reply					
	transalation of the		egional language) a	nd mention the date of	NOT F	ALLICARTE
	transalation of the reply	same if it is in re	egional language) a			APPLICABLE

Till the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's support, he may annex separate note to that effect, or The DEO's Scribby Report in to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the compression of the CEO leets like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

Serial Number of the Candidate in Summary Report of the DEO - BALLARI - 11

	CANDIDATE WISE SCRUTINY REPORT OF THE DEO ON LOE EXPENSES UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961 TO THE F	HOUSE OF PEOPLE		
	(In case of any discrepancy in the account submitted by the candida Register, copies of all the registers and evidences collected, should	te and the shadow Observation be sent along with this report)		
S.No	Description	To be filled up by the DEO		
1	Name & address of the candidate	Sri.Conductor Pampapathi Pavana Nilaya,3rdCross,9thWard,S.L Chowki,Hospete.Ballari-District.		
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	Independent		
3	No. and name of Parliamentary Constituency	09-Ballari (ST) Lok Sabha Constituency		
4	Name of the elected candidate	Srì Y.DEVENDRAPPA		
5	Date of declaration of result	23.05.2019		
6	Date Account Reconciliation Meeting	18.06.2019		
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent has been informed about the date of account Reconciliation meeting in writing	YES		
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	YES		
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes / No.) (if not defects that could not be reconciled be shown in column No-19) Yes			
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22.06.2019		
10	Whether the candidate has lodge the account	YES		
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate (i) Original account (ii) Revised account after accont reconcilation meeting	ccount by 18.06.2019 Original account		
12	Whether account lodged in time	YES		
12 A	If not lodged in time period of delay	NOT APPLICABLE		
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate if not reason thereof (Yes / No)	NOT APPLICABLE		
14	Explanation if any given by the candidate	NOT APPLICABLE		
	Comment of the DEO on the explanation if any of the candidate	NOT APPLICABLE		
15	Grand Total of all election expenses by the candidate as mentioned in part-II of the Abstract Statement, filed by the candidate	Rs,40,960/-		
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in manner required by the R.P Act 1951 and C.E Rules 1961 (Yes / No)	YES		
17	if no then please mention the following defects with details	NOT APPLICABLE		
(i)	Whether election expenditure register comprising of day to day account register , cash register , Bank Register , abstract statement has been lodged (Yes / No)	NOT APPLICABLE		
(ii)	Whether duly sworn in Affidavit has been submitted by the candidate	NOT APPLICABLE		
(iii)	Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenses submitted (Yes / No)	NOT APPLICABLE		
(iv)	Whether separate bank account opened for election (Yes / No)	NOT APPLICABLE		
(v)	Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through Bank account (Yes / No)	NOT APPLICABLE		

S.No	Description				To be filled up by the DEO	
18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defects (Yes/No)				NOT APPLICABLE	
	(ii) Whether candidate rectified the defects (Yes/ No)				NOT APPLICABLE	
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above that is whether the defect was rectified or not				NOT APPLICABLE	
19	Whether the items of expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence (Yes or No)				YES	
	If No, then, mention the following				N.A	
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount under stated by the Candidate
	Not Applicable					
			Tota!			
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the observer/RO/Authorised persons 3 times during campaign period (Yes or No)					
21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against row 19 refered to above give the follwing details				NOT APPLICABLE	
	(i) Were the defects noticed by the DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Accont Reconcilation meeting (Yes or No)				NOT APPLICABLE	
	(ii) If yes then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English transalation (if it is in regional language)				NOT APPLICABLE	
	(iii) Did the candidate give the any reply to the notice? (Yes / No.)				NOT APPLICABLE	
	(iii) Did the candidate give the any reply to the notice ?				NOT APPLICABLE	
	(iv) If Yes please annex copies of such explanation received , (with English transalation of the same if it is in regional language) and mention the date of reply					
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate explaination				NOT APPLICABLE	
22	Whether the DEO candidate (Yes / N (should be similar Date :	lo)	lu	Yes 1619 gnature		

Date: 21/06/2019

Signature of the Expenditure Observer

If the Expenditure Observer has some more facis that have not been revered in the DEO is report, he may annex separate note to that effect

The DEO's Scriping Report to an his exemptical harby CEO and towarded to the commission. If the CEO fails like giving additional remments, he or ship may forward the comments separately.