



**OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER, HAVERI-581110  
(STATE: KARNATAKA)**

Telephone } 08375-249044  
Fax } : 08375-249088

Email: deo.haveri@gmail.com

No:ELN/CR-56/2018-19

Dated : 21-07-2019

To  
The Under Secretary  
Election Commission of India  
"Nirvachan Sadan"  
Ashoka Road  
New Delhi-110001.

Sir

Subject: Lok Sabha General Elections-2019-Submission of  
Contesting Candidates Election Expenditure reports  
in respect of 10-Haveri Parliamentary Constituency-reg  
\*\*\*

With reference to the subject cited above, we are hereby submitting the abstract of summary report and scrutiny reports in prescribed format pertaining to 10-Haveri Parliamentary Constituency attached along with this letter for your kind perusal.

Yours faithfully

*Sd/-*  
(Krishna Bajai)

Deputy Commissioner Haveri and  
Returning Officer  
10-Haveri Parliamentary Constituency.

**Copy Submitted to:**

The Chief Electoral Officer & Ex-officio additional Chief Secretary to Government, DPAR (Elections), Nirvachan Nilaya, Sheshadri Road, Bengaluru-560 001 for favour of your kind information.

Attached.

1 set original copy.  
1 set Xerox copy.

*K*  
Returning Officer

10-Haveri Parliamentary Constituency.

*B*

*(Signature)*

**SUMMARY REPORT OF DEO FOR EACH CONSTITUENCY ON LODGING OF ELECTION EXPENSES ACCOUNTS BY CANDIDATES**

- (a) No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency: AC-10 HAVERI (b) Total No. of contesting candidates: 10  
 (c) State and District: KARANATAKA / HAVERI (d) Date of declaration of result of election/by-election: 23-05-2019  
 (e) Last date of lodging accounts: 22-06-2019 (f) Name of the elected candidate: Shivakumar udasi

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	
SL NO	Name of the candidate and Party Affiliation	Due date of lodging of account	Date of lodging of accounts by the candidate	Whether lodged in the prescribed format (Yes or No)	Whether lodged in the manner required by law (Yes or No)	Grand Total of the expenses incurred/auth orized by the candidate/age nt (as mentioned in Part-II of Abstract Statement)	Whether the DEO agrees with the amount shown by the candidate against all items of expenditure (Should be similar to point no. 22 of DEO's Scrutiny Report i.e. Annexure- C3)	Total expenses incurred by the Party (As reported in Part-III of Abstract Statement )	Total expenses incurred by others/entities as reported in Part-III of Abstract Statement	Grand total of other expenses in kind incurred for the candidate	Remarks of the Expendit ure Observer
1	Ayubkhan pathan (Bahujan Samaj Party)	22-06-2019	12-06-2019	YES	YES	480457	YES	NIL	NIL	NIL	
2	Dyavanagoud Patil (INC)	22-06-2019	15-06-2019	YES	YES	6436527	YES	NIL	632350	5659802	
3	Shivakumar udasi (BJP)	22-06-2019	17-06-2019	YES	YES	4440238	YES	4000000	NIL	NIL	
4	Ishwar Patil @ Ishwar Hanumegoudra (Utarna Prajakesya Party)	22-06-2019	17-06-2019	YES	YES	67894	YES	NIL	NIL	NIL	
5	Shylesh Nazare Ashok (Indian labour Party)	22-06-2019	13-06-2019	YES	YES	166400	YES	NIL	NIL	NIL	
6	Sri B.S.Desai (Independent)	22-06-2019	18-06-2019	YES	YES	134890	YES	NIL	NIL	NIL	
7	Ramappa bomruji (Independent)	22-06-2019	18-06-2019	YES	YES	25000	YES	NIL	NIL	NIL	
8	Veerabhadrappa Kabbinad (Independent)	22-06-2019	12-06-2019	YES	YES	25000	YES	NIL	NIL	NIL	
9	Shiddappa pujar (Independent)	22-06-2019	12-06-2019	YES	YES	35750	YES	NIL	NIL	NIL	
10	Hannunantappa Kabbar (Independent)	22-06-2019	17-06-2019	YES	YES	20000	YES	NIL	NIL	NIL	

Comments of the Expenditure Observer, if any, *Recommendation as per EC guidelines*

DATE: 24/06/2019


Signature of the DEO *Ko*  
 Signature of the Expenditure Observer *Hanan Ahmed*

**Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO :- 1**

**Name of the State: KARNATAKA District: HAVERI Election: LOKASABHA**

<b>DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961</b>		
<b>S.No.</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>To be filled up by the DEO</b>
1.	Name & address of the candidate	AYUBKHAN PATHAN VIDYA NAGAR WEST, 2ND CROSS HAVERI-58110
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Bahujan Samaj Party
3.	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	10- Haveri Parliamentary Constituency
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Shivakumar Udasi
5.	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18-06-2019
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Not applicable
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22-06-2019
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	12-06-2019 ----
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	Not applicable
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	Not applicable
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	Not applicable
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	Not applicable

15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement				Rs. 480457/-	
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961				Yes	
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details				Not applicable	
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged				Not applicable	
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate				Not applicable	
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted				Not applicable	
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election				Not applicable	
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account				Not applicable	
18.	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect				NO	
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect				Not applicable	
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.				Not applicable	
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:				Yes	
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
	i	NIL	NIL	NIL	NIL	NIL
	ii, iii .....	NIL	NIL	NIL	NIL	NIL
TOTAL				NIL	NIL	NIL
20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period				Yes	

21.	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-	Not applicable
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	Not applicable
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	Not applicable
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?	Not applicable
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	Not applicable
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	Not applicable
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)  Date: 24/06/2019	Yes   Signature (Krishna Bajpai)

23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer\*-

Reconciliation as per EC guidelines  
Date: 24/06/2019  
Signature of the Expenditure  
Hasan Ahmed

\* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.


\*\* The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

**Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO :- 2**

**Name of the State: KARNATAKA District: HAVERI Election: LOKASABHA**

<b>DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961</b>		
<b>S.No.</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>To be filled up by the DEO</b>
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Dyavanagoud Patil DHURGAD ONI HULAKOTI TQ: GADAG
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	INC
3.	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	10- Haveri Parliamentary Constituency
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Shivakumar Udasi
5.	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18-06-2019
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Not applicable
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22-06-2019
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (iii) original account (iv) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	15-06-2019 -----
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	Not applicable
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	Not applicable
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	Not applicable
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	Not applicable

15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement				Rs. 6436527/-	
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961				Yes	
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details				Not applicable	
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged				Not applicable	
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate				Not applicable	
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted				Not applicable	
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election				Not applicable	
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account				Not applicable	
18.	(iv) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect				NO	
	(v) Whether the candidate rectified the defect				Not applicable	
	(vi) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.				Not applicable	
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:				Yes	
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
	i	NIL	NIL	NIL	NIL	NIL
	ii, iii	NIL	NIL	NIL	NIL	NIL
TOTAL				NIL	NIL	NIL
20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period				Yes	

21.	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-	Not applicable
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	Not applicable
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	Not applicable
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?	Not applicable
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	Not applicable
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	Not applicable
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)  Date: 24/06/2019	Yes   Signature (Krishna Bajpai)

23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-  <i>Reconciliation as per EC guidelines</i> Date: 24/06/2019	<i>Hasan Ahmed</i> Signature of the Expenditure
---	--

\* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

\*\* The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CFO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.




**Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO :- 3**

**Name of the State: KARNATAKA District: HAVERI Election: LOKASABHA**

<b>DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961</b>		
<b>S.No.</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>To be filled up by the DEO</b>
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Shivakumar udasi GOULI GALLI TQ:HANAGAL
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	BJP
3.	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	10- Haveri Parliamentary Constituency
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Shivakumar Udasi
5.	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18-06-2019
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Not applicable
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22-06-2019
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (v) original account (vi) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	17-06-2019 ----
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	Not applicable
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	Not applicable
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	Not applicable
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	Not applicable

15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement				Rs. 4440238/-	
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961				Yes	
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details				Not applicable	
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged				Not applicable	
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate				Not applicable	
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted				Not applicable	
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election				Not applicable	
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account				Not applicable	
18.	(vii) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect				NO	
	(viii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect				Not applicable	
	(ix) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.				Not applicable	
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:				Yes	
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
	i	NIL	NIL	NIL	NIL	NIL
	ii, iii .....	NIL	NIL	NIL	NIL	NIL
TOTAL				NIL	NIL	NIL
20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period				Yes	

21.	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-	Not applicable
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	Not applicable
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	Not applicable
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?	Not applicable
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	Not applicable
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	Not applicable
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)  Date: 24/06/2019	Yes   Signature (Krishna Bajpai)

23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*- <i>Reconciliation as per EC guidelines</i> Date: 24/06/2019 <i>Hasan Ahmed</i> Signature of the Expenditure
---


\* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.


\*\* The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

**Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO :- 4**  
**Name of the State: KARNATAKA District: HAVERI Election: LOKASABHA**

<b>DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961</b>		
<b>S.No.</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>To be filled up by the DEO</b>
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Ishwar Patil @ Ishwar Hanumegoudra 117, AT MEDLERI TQ: RANEBENNUR
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Uttama Prajakeeya Party
3.	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	10- Haveri Parliamentary Constituency
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Shivakumar Udasi
5.	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18-06-2019
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Not applicable
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22-06-2019
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (vii) original account (viii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	17-06-2019 ----
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	Not applicable
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	Not applicable
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	Not applicable
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	Not applicable

15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement				Rs. 67894/-	
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961				Yes	
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details				Not applicable	
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged				Not applicable	
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate				Not applicable	
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted				Not applicable	
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election				Not applicable	
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account				Not applicable	
18.	(x) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect				NO	
	(xi) Whether the candidate rectified the defect				Not applicable	
	(xii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.				Not applicable	
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:				Yes	
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
i	NIL	NIL	NIL	NIL	NIL	NIL
ii, iii.....	NIL	NIL	NIL	NIL	NIL	NIL
TOTAL				NIL	NIL	NIL
20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period				Yes	

21.	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-	Not applicable
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	Not applicable
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	Not applicable
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?	Not applicable
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	Not applicable
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	Not applicable
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)  Date: 24/06/2019	Yes   Signature (Krishna Bajpai)

23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-  Reconciliation as per EC guide lines  Date: 24/06/2019	 Signature of the Expenditure
---	---

\* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

\*\* The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CFO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.


Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO :- 5

Name of the State: KARNATAKA District: HAVERI Election: LOKASABHA

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Shylesh Nazare Ashok NO:60, VEERAPILLY SREET, SHIVAJI NAGAR BENGALOR-560001
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Indian labour Party
3.	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	10- Haveri Parliamentary Constituency
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Shivakumar Udasi
5.	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18-06-2019
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Not applicable
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22-06-2019
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (ix) original account (x) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	13-06-2019 ----
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	Not applicable
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	Not applicable
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	Not applicable
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	Not applicable

15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement		Rs. 166400/-			
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961		Yes			
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details		Not applicable			
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged		Not applicable			
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate		Not applicable			
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted		Not applicable			
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election		Not applicable			
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account		Not applicable			
18.	(xiii) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect		NO			
	(xiv) Whether the candidate rectified the defect		Not applicable			
	(xv) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.		Not applicable			
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:		Yes			
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
	i	NIL	NIL	NIL	NIL	NIL
	ii, iii .....	NIL	NIL	NIL	NIL	NIL
TOTAL				NIL	NIL	NIL
20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period		Yes			



21.	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-	Not applicable
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	Not applicable
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	Not applicable
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?	Not applicable
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	Not applicable
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	Not applicable
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)  Date: 24/06/2019	Yes   Signature (Krishna Bajpai)

23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-  Reconciliation as per EC guidelines  Date: 24/06/2019	Hasan Ahmed  Signature of the Expenditure
--	---

\* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.


\*\* The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

**Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO :- 6**

**Name of the State: KARNATAKA District: HAVERI Election: LOKASABHA**

<b>DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961</b>		
<b>S.No.</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>To be filled up by the DEO</b>
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Sri B.S.Desai J.T MATH ROAD MUNDARAGI DIST:GADAG
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Independent
3.	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	10- Haveri Parliamentary Constituency
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Shivakumar Udasi
5.	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18-06-2019
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Not applicable
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22-06-2019
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (xi) original account (xii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	18-06-2019 ----
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	Not applicable
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	Not applicable
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	Not applicable
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	Not applicable

15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement		Rs. 134890/-			
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961		Yes			
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details		Not applicable			
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged		Not applicable			
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate		Not applicable			
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted		Not applicable			
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election		Not applicable			
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account		Not applicable			
18.	(xvi) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect		NO			
	(xvii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect		Not applicable			
	(xviii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.		Not applicable			
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:		Yes			
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
	i	NIL	NIL	NIL	NIL	NIL
	ii, iii	NIL	NIL	NIL	NIL	NIL
TOTAL				NIL	NIL	NIL
20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period		Yes			

21.	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-	Not applicable
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	Not applicable
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	Not applicable
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?	Not applicable
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	Not applicable
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	Not applicable
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)  Date: 24/06/2019	Yes   Signature (Krishna Bajpai)

23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-	
Reconciliation as per EC guidelines Date: 24/06/2019	Hasan Ahmed Signature of the Expenditure

\* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.


\*\* The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

**Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO :- 7**

**Name of the State: KARNATAKA District: HAVERI Election: LOKASABHA**

<b>DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961</b>		
<b>S.No.</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>To be filled up by the DEO</b>
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Ramappa bommoji BHYATANAL POST:KUSANUR TQ:HANAGAL I
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	ndipendent
3.	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	10- Haveri Parliamentary Constituency
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Shivakumar Udasi
5.	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18-06-2019
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Not applicable
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22-06-2019
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (xiii) original account (xiv) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	18-06-2019 ----
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	Not applicable
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	Not applicable
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	Not applicable
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	Not applicable

15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement				Rs. 25000/-	
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961				Yes	
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details				Not applicable	
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged				Not applicable	
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate				Not applicable	
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted				Not applicable	
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election				Not applicable	
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account				Not applicable	
18.	(xix) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect				NO	
	(xx) Whether the candidate rectified the defect				Not applicable	
	(xxi) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.				Not applicable	
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:				Yes	
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
	i	NIL	NIL	NIL	NIL	NIL
	ii, iii .....	NIL	NIL	NIL	NIL	NIL
TOTAL				NIL	NIL	NIL
20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period				Yes	

21.	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-	Not applicable
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	Not applicable
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	Not applicable
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?	Not applicable
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	Not applicable
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	Not applicable
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)  Date: 24/06/2019	Yes   Signature (Krishna Bajpai)

23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer\*-

Reconciliation as per EC guidelines  
Date: 24/06/2019  
Signature of the Expenditure  
Hasan Ahmed

\* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

\*\* The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO :- 8


Name of the State: KARNATAKA District: HAVERI Election: LOKASABHA

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Veerabhadrappa Kabbinad KORALAHALLI TO:MUNDARAGI DT:GADAG
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Indipendent
3.	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	10- Haveri Parliamentary Constituency
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Shivakumar Udasi
5.	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18-06-2019
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Not applicable
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22-06-2019
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (xv) original account (xvi) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	12-06-2019 ----
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	Not applicable
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	Not applicable
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	Not applicable
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	Not applicable



15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement				Rs. 25000/-	
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961				Yes	
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details				Not applicable	
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged				Not applicable	
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate				Not applicable	
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted				Not applicable	
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election				Not applicable	
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account				Not applicable	
18.	(xxii) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect				NO	
	(xxiii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect				Not applicable	
	(xxiv) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.				Not applicable	
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:				Yes	
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
	i	NIL	NIL	NIL	NIL	NIL
	ii, iii .....	NIL	NIL	NIL	NIL	NIL
TOTAL				NIL	NIL	NIL
20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period				Yes	

21.	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-	Not applicable
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	Not applicable
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	Not applicable
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?	Not applicable
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	Not applicable
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	Not applicable
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate.  (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)  Date: 24/06/2019	Yes   Signature (Krishna Bajpai)

23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-  Reconciliation as per EC guidelines  Date: 24/06/2019	Hasan Ahmed  Signature of the Expenditure
--	---

\* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.


\*\* The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO :- 9

Name of the State: KARNATAKA District: HAVERI Election: LOKASABHA

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Shiddappa Pujar BOMMANAHALLI TO HANGAL
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Independent
3.	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	10- Haveri Parliamentary Constituency
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Shivakumar Udasi
5.	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18-06-2019
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Not applicable
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22-06-2019
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (xvii) original account (xviii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	12-06-2019 ----
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	Not applicable
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	Not applicable
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	Not applicable
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	Not applicable

15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement				Rs. 35750/-	
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961				Yes	
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details				Not applicable	
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged				Not applicable	
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate				Not applicable	
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted				Not applicable	
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election				Not applicable	
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account				Not applicable	
18.	(xxv) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect				NO	
	(xxvi) Whether the candidate rectified the defect				Not applicable	
	(xxvii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.				Not applicable	
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:				Yes	
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
	i	NIL	NIL	NIL	NIL	NIL
	ii, iii .....	NIL	NIL	NIL	NIL	NIL
TOTAL				NIL	NIL	NIL
20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period				Yes	

21.	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-	Not applicable
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	Not applicable
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	Not applicable
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?	Not applicable
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	Not applicable
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	Not applicable
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)  Date: 24/06/2019	Yes   Signature (Krishna Bajpai)

23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-  Reconciliation as per EC guidelines  Date: 24/06/2019	Hasan Ahmed.  Signature of the Expenditure
--	--

\* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.


\*\* The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

**Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO :- 10**

**Name of the State: KARNATAKA District: HAVERI Election: LOKASABHA**

<b>DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961</b>		
<b>S.No.</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>To be filled up by the DEO</b>
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Hanumantappa Kabbar MANAKUR TQ RANEBENNUR DIST HAVERI
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Independent
3.	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	10- Haveri Parliamentary Constituency
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Shivakumar Udasi
5.	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18-06-2019
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Not applicable
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22-06-2019
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (xix) original account (xx) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	17-06-2019
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	Not applicable
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	Not applicable
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	Not applicable
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	Not applicable

15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement				Rs. 20000/-	
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961				Yes	
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details				Not applicable	
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged				Not applicable	
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate				Not applicable	
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted				Not applicable	
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election				Not applicable	
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account				Not applicable	
18.	(xxviii) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect				NO	
	(xxix) Whether the candidate rectified the defect				Not applicable	
	(xxx) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.				Not applicable	
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:				Yes	
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
	i	NIL	NIL	NIL	NIL	NIL
	ii, iii .....	NIL	NIL	NIL	NIL	NIL
TOTAL				NIL	NIL	NIL
20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period				Yes	

21.	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-	Not applicable
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	Not applicable
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	Not applicable
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?	Not applicable
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	Not applicable
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	Not applicable
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)  Date: 24/06/2019	Yes   Signature (Krishna Bajpai)

23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-  Reconciliation as per EC guidelines Date: 24/06/2019	Masan Ahmed Signature of the Expenditure
--	---

\* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

\*\* The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.