

RPAD



ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ಸರ್ಕಾರ
Govt. of Karnataka

ಜಿಲ್ಲಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳ ಕಾರ್ಯಾಲಯ, ಧಾರವಾಡ ಜಿಲ್ಲೆ, ಧಾರವಾಡ-580 001

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER, DHARWAD DISTRICT, DHARWAD-580 001

ದೂರವಾಣಿ

Telephone

0836-2233840, 2233880

2447547

ಫ್ಯಾಕ್ಸ್

Fax

0836-2747102

E-mail : deo.dharwad@gmail.com

ನಂ.ಚುನಾವಣೆ/ನಿಆರ್. 62/2018-19

ದಿನಾಂಕ: 26-06-2019

ಮುಖ್ಯ ಚುನಾವಣಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು,

ನಿರ್ವಾಚನ ನಿಲಯ,

ಶೇಷಾದ್ರಿ ರಸ್ತೆ,

ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು-560001

ಇವರಿಗೆ

ಮಾನ್ಯರೇ,

ವಿಷಯ: 11-ಧಾರವಾಡ ಲೋಕಸಭಾ ಸಾರ್ವತ್ರಿಕ ಚುನಾವಣೆ ಹಾಗೂ 70-ಕುಂದಗೋಳ ಉಪಚುನಾವಣೆಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದ ಚುನಾವಣಾ ಅಭ್ಯರ್ಥಿಗಳ ಚುನಾವಣಾ ವೆಚ್ಚಕ್ಕೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದ ಅಂತಿಮ ವರದಿಗಳನ್ನು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸುವ ಕುರಿತು.

ಉಲ್ಲೇಖ: ಮಾನ್ಯ ಭಾರತ ಚುನಾವಣಾ ಆಯೋಗ ನವದೆಹಲಿ ಇವರ ಪತ್ರ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ 76/ಇಸಿಐ/ಐಎನ್‌ಎಸ್‌ಟಿ/ಎಫ್‌ಯುಎನ್‌ಸಿ/ಇಇಎಮ್/ಇಇಪಿಎಸ್/2019/ವಾಲ್ಯೂಮ್-3 ದಿನಾಂಕ : 19.06.2019

ಮೇಲ್ಕಾಣಿಸಿದ ವಿಷಯ ಕುರಿತು ಲೋಕಸಭಾ ಸಾರ್ವತ್ರಿಕ ಚುನಾವಣೆ-2019 ಹಾಗೂ 70-ಕುಂದಗೋಳ ವಿಧಾನಸಭಾ ಉಪಚುನಾವಣೆ-2019 ನೇದಕ್ಕೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದಂತೆ ಚುನಾವಣಾ ಅಭ್ಯರ್ಥಿಗಳ ಚುನಾವಣಾ ವೆಚ್ಚಕ್ಕೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದ ಅಂತಿಮ ವರದಿಗಳನ್ನು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸುವಂತೆ ನಿರ್ದೇಶಿಸಿದ್ದು ಇರುತ್ತದೆ.

ಅದರಂತೆ 11-ಧಾರವಾಡ ಲೋಕಸಭಾ ಸಾರ್ವತ್ರಿಕ ಚುನಾವಣೆ ಹಾಗೂ 70-ಕುಂದಗೋಳ ಉಪಚುನಾವಣೆಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದ ಚುನಾವಣಾ ಅಭ್ಯರ್ಥಿಗಳ ಚುನಾವಣಾ ವೆಚ್ಚಕ್ಕೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದ ಅಂತಿಮ ವರದಿಗಳ ಒಂದು ಪ್ರತಿಯನ್ನು ಈ ಪತ್ರದ ಲಗ್ನ ಇಟ್ಟು ಮಾನ್ಯರ ಅವಗಾಹನೆಗಾಗಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿದೆ.

ತಮ್ಮ ವಿಶ್ವಾಸಿ,

ಅವರ ಜಿಲ್ಲಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು,
ಧಾರವಾಡ ಜಿಲ್ಲೆ, ಧಾರವಾಡ

SUMMARY REPORT OF DEO FOR EACH CONSTITUENCY ON LODGING OF ELECTION EXPENSES ACCOUNTS BY CANDIDATES

(a) No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency: 11 DHARWAD

(c) State and District: DHARWAD

(e) Last date of lodging accounts: 22.6.2019

(b) Total No. of contesting candidates: 19

(d) Date of declaration of result of election/by-election: 23.5.2019

(f) Name of the elected candidate: Prahlad Joshi

S. No.	Name of the candidate and Party Affiliation	Due date of lodging of account	Date of lodging of accounts by the candidate	Whether lodged in the prescribed format (Yes or No)	Whether lodged in the manner required by law (Yes or No)	Grand Total of the expenses incurred/ authorized by the candidate/ agent (as mentioned in Part-II of Abstract Statement)	Whether the DEO agrees with the amount shown by the candidate against all items of expenditure (should be similar to point no. 22 of DEO's Scrutiny Report (i.e. Annexure-C3)	9			11
								Total expenses incurred by the Party (as reported in Part-III of Abstract Statement)	Total expenses incurred by others/entities as reported in Part-III of Abstract Statement.	Remarks of the Expenditure Observer	
1	Irappa Mader	22-06-2019	18-06-2019	YES	YES	1,45,980.00	YES			91,980.00	
	Bahujan Samaj Party										
2	Prahlad Joshi	22-06-2019	18-06-2019	YES	YES	52,63,018.00	YES	46,00,000.00			
	Bharatiya Jantha Party										
3	Vinaya Kulkarni	22-06-2019	18-06-2019	YES	YES	53,39,126.00	YES				
	Indian National Congress										
4	Gangadhar Badiger	22-06-2019	18-06-2019	YES	YES	1,59,566.00	YES			50,500.00	
	Socialist Unit Center of India (Communist)										
5	Madraaj Manavar Madhusudan (Panduranga)	22-06-2019	18-06-2019	YES	YES	94,765.00	YES			44,240.00	
	All India Hindustan Congress Party										
6	Raju Harichandra Kamble	22-06-2019	18-06-2019	YES	YES	13,104.00	YES				
	Azad Mazdoor Kisan Party										
7	Revansiddappa Basavara Talwar	22-06-2019	18-06-2019	YES	YES	17,252.90	YES				
	Bharthiya Prajagal Kalyan Dasha										
8	Vinod D Godake	22-06-2019	18-06-2019	YES	YES	54,245.00	YES			9,000.00	
	Proudhik Dhole Institute										
9	Santosh Nandur	22-06-2019	18-06-2019	YES	YES	31,281.00	YES				
	Uttam Prajaktiya Party										

10	Durdasi Abdul Sahman Mahamad Hanif Independent	22-06-2019	18-06-2019	YES	YES	25,000.00	YES						
11	Udaykumar Ayyappa Ambiger Independent	22-06-2019	18-06-2019	YES	YES	54,630.00	YES						
12	B.G. Sangannavar Independent	22-06-2019	18-06-2019	YES	YES	35,960.00	YES						
13	Makthumkhan Hasanallikhan Saudegal Independent	22-06-2019	18-06-2019	YES	YES	90,751.00	YES						
14	Malikarjun Giriappa gouda Balagoudra Independent	22-06-2019	18-06-2019	YES	YES	1,00,500.00	YES					65,500.00	
15	Raju Anantsa Nayakwadi Independent	22-06-2019	18-06-2019	YES	YES	1,12,168.00	YES						
16	Maradagi Veerappa Gurusihantappa Independent	22-06-2019	18-06-2019	YES	YES	30,320.00	YES						
17	Shakeel Ahmad Abdul Sattar Independent	22-06-2019	18-06-2019	YES	YES	3,59,400.00	YES					1,87,600.00	
18	Somshaker Piyaze Yadav Independent	22-06-2019	18-06-2019	YES	YES	35,000.00	YES					12,500.00	
19	Hasinbanu Tapalwal Independent	22-06-2019	18-06-2019	YES	YES	42,860.00	YES						

Comments of the Expenditure Observer, if any,

Date:

M. ANAND KUMAR, IRS
(R-25586)

Expenditure Observer
11-Dharwad Parliamentary
Constituency

Signature of the Expenditure Observer

V.S. VENKADASHWARAN, IRS
(R-18816)

Expenditure Observer
11-Dharwad Parliamentary
Constituency

Signature of the Expenditure Observer

Signature of the DEO
(Dr) Deepa, IAS
Deputy Commissioner
and District Election Officer,
Dharwad District, Dharwad.




SCRUTINY REPORT OF DEO

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO, 01

Name of the State Karnataka District Dharwad Election GENERAL ELECTION TO LOK SABHA-2019

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	IRAPPA MADAR
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	Bahujan Samaj Party
3	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	11 DHARWAD
4	Name of the elected candidate	Pralhad Joshi
5	Date of declaration of result	23.5.2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18.6.2019
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing.	YES
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting.	YES
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	YES
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22.6.2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	YES
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate:	18.6.2019
	(i) original account	
	(ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	
12	Whether account lodged in time	YES
12 A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	0
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	NA
14 A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	NA
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	145980.00
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961.	YES
	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	
17	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	YES
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	YES

	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	YES																								
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	YES																								
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	YES																								
18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect	NA																								
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect	NA																								
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.	NA																								
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:	YES																								
	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Items of expenditure</th> <th>Date</th> <th>Page No. of Shadow Observation Register</th> <th>Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of</th> <th>Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate</th> <th>Amount understated by the candidate</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>i</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>ii</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>TOTAL</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate	i						ii						TOTAL						
Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate																					
i																										
ii																										
TOTAL																										
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period	YES																								
21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:	NA																								
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	NA																								
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	NA																								
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?	NA																								
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	NA																								
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	NA																								
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO) Date :20-06-2019	Yes.  Signature (Name of the DEO) (M. Deepa, IAS) Deputy Commissioner and District Election Officer, Dharwad District, Dharwad																								
23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer* Date:																										
Signature of the Expenditure Observer  M. ANAND KUMAR, IRS Expenditure Observer 11-Dharwad Parliamentary Constituency		 V. S. VENGADASHWARAN, IRS (R-18816) Expenditure Observer 11-Dharwad Parliamentary Constituency																								
* If the Expenditure Observer has (R-25586) facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate report.																										
** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately																										

SCRUTINY REPORT OF DEO

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO, 02

Name of the State Karnataka District Dharwad Election GENERAL ELECTION TO LOK SABHA-2019


DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	PRALHAD JOSHI "Kamitartha" 122/D, Mayuri estate, Indira colony, Keshwapur, Hubli-23
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	BHARATIYA JANATA PARTY
3	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	11-DHARWAD
4	Name of the elected candidate	PRALHAD JOSHI
5	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18.6.2019
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	YES
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	YES
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	YES
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22.6.2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	YES
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate:	18.6.2019
	(i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	
12	Whether account lodged in time	YES
12 A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	0
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	NA
14 A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	NA
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	5263018.00
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R.P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	YES
17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	YES
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	YES

	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	YES																								
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	YES																								
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	YES																								
18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect	NA																								
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect	NA																								
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.	NA																								
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:	YES																								
	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Items of expenditure</th> <th>Date</th> <th>Page No. of Shadow Observation Register</th> <th>Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of</th> <th>Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate</th> <th>Amount understated by the candidate</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>i</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>ii</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>TOTAL</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate	i						ii						TOTAL						
Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate																					
i																										
ii																										
TOTAL																										

20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period	YES
	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:	NA
21	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	NA
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	NA
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	NA
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	NA
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	NA

22 Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate.
(Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)
Date :20-06-2019

Yes.

Signature (Name of the DEO)
(M. Deepa, IAS)
Deputy Commissioner
and District Election Officer,
Dharwad District, Dharwad

23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*
Date:

Signature of the Expenditure Observer
M. ANAND KUMAR, IRS
(R-25586)


V.S. VENGADASHWARAN, IRS
(R-18816)

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate report to the DEO's report.
11-Dharwad Parliamentary Constituency

** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately

SCRUTINY REPORT OF DEO

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO, 03

Name of the State Karnataka District Dharwad Election GENERAL ELECTION TO LOK SABHA-2019

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	VINAY KULKARNI Plot No. 104, Shivagiri, Barakotri, K.U.D Road Dharwad-580007.
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	INDIAN NATIONAL CONGRESS
3	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	11-DHARWAD
4	Name of the elected candidate	Pralhad Joshi
5	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18.6.2019
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	YES
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	YES
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	YES
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22.6.2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	YES
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate:	18.6.2019
	(i) original account	
	(ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	
12	Whether account lodged in time	YES
12 A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	0
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	NA
14 A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	NA
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	5339126.00
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961.	YES
17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	YES
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	YES

	(ii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	YES
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	YES
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	YES
18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect	NA
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect	NA
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.	NA
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:	YES

	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
i						
ii						
TOTAL						

20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period	YES
21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:	NA
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	NA
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	NA
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?	NA
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	NA
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	NA
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO) Date :20-06-2019	Yes

Signature (Name of the DEO)
(M. Deepa, IAS)
Deputy Commissioner

23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*
Date: _____
Signature of the Expenditure Observer
M. ANAND KUMAR, IRS
(R-25586)
Expenditure Observer
11 Dharwad Parliamentary Constituency

V.S. VENGABASHWARAN, IRS
(R-18816)
Expenditure Observer
11 Dharwad Parliamentary Constituency

* If the Expenditure Observer has more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to the report.
** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately


SCRUTINY REPORT OF DEO

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO - 4

Name of the State Karnatak District Dharwad Election Loka Sabha-2019

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	Gangadhar Badiger
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	Socialist unity centre of india
3	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	11 DHARWAD
4	Name of the elected candidate	Pralhad Joshi
5	Date of declaration of result	23.5.2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18.6.2019
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	YES
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	YES
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	YES
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22-06-2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	YES
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate:	18-06-2019
	(i) original account	
	(ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	
12	Whether account lodged in time	YES
12 A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	0
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	NA
14 A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	NA
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	159566.00
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	YES
17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	YES
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	YES

	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted				YES	
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election				YES	
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account				YES	
18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect				NA	
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect				NA	
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.				NA	
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:				YES	
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
	i					
	ii					
	TOTAL					
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period				YES	
	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:				NA	
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting				NA	
21	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice				NA	
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?				NA	
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply				NA	
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation				NA	
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO) Date :20-06-2019				Yes.	
23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*					 Signature (Name of the DEO) (M. Deepa, IAS) Deputy Commissioner and District Election Officer, Dharwad District, Dharwad	
Date: _____						
Signature of the Expenditure Observer						
M. ANAND KUMAR. IRS (R-25528) V.S. VENGADASHWARAN. IRS (R-18816) Expenditure Observer Expenditure Observer 11-Dharwad Parliamentary Constituency 11-Dharwad Parliamentary Constituency						
** The DEO scrutiny report to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately						




SCRUTINY REPORT OF DEO

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO 5

Name of the State Karnataka District Dharwad Election Loksabha 2019

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	Vadiraj Mannari Madhusudhan (Pandurangi)
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	All India Hindustan Congress Party
3	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	11 DHARWAD
4	Name of the elected candidate	Pralhad Joshi
5	Date of declaration of result	23.5.2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18.6.2019
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	YES
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	YES
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	YES
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22.6.2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	YES
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate:	18.6.2019
	(i) original account	
	(ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	
12	Whether account lodged in time	YES
12 A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	0
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	NA
14 A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	NA
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	84765.00
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	YES
17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register, comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	YES
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	YES

	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted.	YES																								
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	YES																								
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	YES																								
18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect	NA																								
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect	NA																								
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.	NA																								
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:	YES																								
	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Items of expenditure</th> <th>Date</th> <th>Page No. of Shadow Observation Register</th> <th>Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of</th> <th>Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate</th> <th>Amount understated by the candidate</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>I</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>II</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>TOTAL</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate	I						II						TOTAL						
Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate																					
I																										
II																										
TOTAL																										
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period	YES																								
	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:	NA																								
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	NA																								
21	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	NA																								
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?	NA																								
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	NA																								
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	NA																								
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO) Date :20-06-2019	Yes																								
		 Signature (Name of the DEO) (M. Deepa, IAS) Deputy Commissioner and District Election Officer, Dharwad District, Dharwad																								
23.	Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer																									
	Date:  																									
	M. ANAND KUMAR, IRS (R-25586) Expenditure Observer 11 Dharwad Parliamentary Constituency	S. VENGADASHWARAM, IRS (R-18816) Expenditure Observer 11 Dharwad Parliamentary Constituency																								
	* If the Expenditure Observer has more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note.																									
	** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately																									

SCRUTINY REPORT OF DEO

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO-06

Name of the State Karnataka District Dharwad Election Loka Sabha-2019

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	Raju Harichandra Kamble
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	Azad Mazdoor Kissan Party
3	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	11 DHARWAD
4	Name of the elected candidate	Pralhad Joshi
5	Date of declaration of result	23.5.2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18-06-2019
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	YES
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	YES
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	YES
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22-06-2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	YES
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate:	18-06-2019
	(i) original account	
	(ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	
12	Whether account lodged in time	YES
12 A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	0
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	NA
14 A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	NA
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	13104.00
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	YES
17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	YES
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	YES

	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of Items of election expenditure submitted	YES																								
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	YES																								
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	YES																								
18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect	NA																								
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect	NA																								
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.	NA																								
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:	YES																								
	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Items of expenditure</th> <th>Date</th> <th>Page No. of Shadow Observation Register</th> <th>Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of</th> <th>Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate</th> <th>Amount understated by the candidate</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>i</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>ii</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>TOTAL</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate	i						ii						TOTAL						
Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate																					
i																										
ii																										
TOTAL																										
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period	YES																								
	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:	NA																								
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	NA																								
21	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	NA																								
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?	NA																								
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	NA																								
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	NA																								
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO) Date :20-06-2019	Yes																								
23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*																										
Date:																										
Signature of the Expenditure Observer																										
M. ANAND KUMAR IRS (R-25585)	V. S. VENGADASHWARAN. IRS (R-18816)																									
11-Dharwad Parliamentary Constituency	11-Dharwad Parliamentary Constituency																									
* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate comments.																										
** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CE and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately																										

Signature (Name of the DEO)
(M. Deepa, IAS)
Deputy Commissioner
and District Election Officer,
Dharwad District, Dharwad

SCRUTINY REPORT OF DEO

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO -7

Name of the State Karnatak District Dharwad Election Loka Sabha 2019

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	Revansiddappa B Talawar
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	Bharatiya Prajegal Kalyan Paksha
3	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	11 DHARWAD
4	Name of the elected candidate	Pralhad Joshi
5	Date of declaration of result	23.5.2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18.6.2019
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	YES
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	YES
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	YES
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22.6.2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	YES
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate:	YES 18.6.2019
	(i) original account	
	(ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	
12	Whether account lodged in time	YES
12 A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	0
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	NA
14 A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	NA
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	17252.00
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	YES
17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	YES
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	YES

	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	YES																								
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	YES																								
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	YES																								
18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect	NA																								
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect	NA																								
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.	NA																								
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:	YES																								
	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Items of expenditure</th> <th>Date</th> <th>Page No. of Shadow Observation Register</th> <th>Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of</th> <th>Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate</th> <th>Amount understated by the candidate</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>i</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>ii</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>TOTAL</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate	i						ii						TOTAL						
Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate																					
i																										
ii																										
TOTAL																										
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period	YES																								
	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:	NA																								
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	NA																								
21	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	NA																								
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?	NA																								
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	NA																								
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation:	NA																								
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO) Date :	Yes.																								
	Signature (Name of the DEO) (M. Deepa, IAS) Deputy Commissioner and District Election Officer Dharwad District, Dharwad																									
23.	Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer* Date:																									
	Signature of the Expenditure Observer M. ANAND KUMAR, IRS (R-20586) Expenditure Observer 11-Dharwad Parliamentary Constituency	Y.S. VENGADASHWARAN, IRS (R-10318) Expenditure Observer 11-Dharwad Parliamentary Constituency																								
* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate Expenditure Observer's report to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately																										

SCRUTINY REPORT OF DEO

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO -08


Name of the State KARNATAKA District DHARWAD Election LOK SABHA 2019.

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961



S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	VINOD D.GHODAKE
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	Proutist Block India
3	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	11 DHARWAD
4	Name of the elected candidate	Pralhad Joshi
5	Date of declaration of result	23.5.2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18.6.2019
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	YES
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	YES
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	YES
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22.6.2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	YES
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account	18.6.2019
	(ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	
12	Whether account lodged in time	YES
12 A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	0
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	NA
14 A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	NA
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	54245.00
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	YES
17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details (i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	YES
	(ii) Whether duty sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	YES

DEO
 DHARWAD
 KARNATAKA
 18.6.2019

	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	YES				
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	YES				
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	YES				
18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect	NA				
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect	NA				
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.	NA				
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:	YES				
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
	i					
	ii					
	TOTAL					

20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period	YES
	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:	NA
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	NA
21	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	NA
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?	NA
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	NA
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	NA
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO) Date: 20-06-2019	Yes.  Signature (Name of the DEO) (M. Deepa, IAS)

23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*
Date: _____
Deputy Commissioner and District Election Officer, Dharwad District, Dharwad

Signature of the Expenditure Observer

M. ANAND KUMAR, IRS (R-25586)

V.S. VENKATASUBRAMANIAN, IRS

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.
** The Dharwad Parliamentary Constituency compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he/she may forward the comments separately.

SCRUTINY REPORT OF DEO

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO -09

Name of the State KARNATAKA District DHARWAD Election LOK SABHA 2019.

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	Santosh Nandur
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	Uttam Prajakiya Party
3	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	11 DHARWAD
4	Name of the elected candidate	Pralhad Joshi
5	Date of declaration of result	23.5.2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18.6.2019
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	YES
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	YES
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	YES
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22.6.2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	YES
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate:	18.6.2019
	(i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	
12	Whether account lodged in time	YES
12 A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	0
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	NA
14 A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	NA
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	31281.00
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	YES
17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	YES
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	YES

	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	YES
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	YES
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	YES
18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect	NA
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect	NA
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.	NA
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:	YES

	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
i						
ii						
TOTAL						

20 Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period

YES

If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:

(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting

NA

(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice

NA

(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?

NA

(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply


NA

(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation


NA


22 Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO) Date :20-06-2019

Yes .


Signature (Name of the DEO)
(M. Deepa, IAS)
Deputy Commissioner
and District Election Officer,
Dharwad District, Dharwad

23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*
Date:

Signature of the Expenditure Observer

M. ANAND KUMAR, IRS (R-25506)
Expenditure Observer
11-Dharwad Parliamentary Constituency


V.S. VENGADASHWARAN, IRS (R-18816)
Expenditure Observer
11-Dharwad Parliamentary Constituency

* If the Expenditure Observer (R-25506) or facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate Expenditure Observer Report to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately

SCRUTINY REPORT OF DEO

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO -10

Name of the State KARNATAKA District DHARWAD Election LOK SABHA 2019.

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	Dundasi Abdul Rahiman Mohd Hanif
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	Independent
3	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	11 DHARWAD
4	Name of the elected candidate	Pralhad Joshi
5	Date of declaration of result	23.5.2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18.6.2019
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	YES
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	YES
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	YES
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22.6.2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	YES
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate:	18.6.2019
	(i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	
12	Whether account lodged in time	YES
12 A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	0
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	NA
14 A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	NA
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	25000.00
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961.	YES
17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details: (i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	Yes
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	YES

	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	YES
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	YES
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	YES
18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect	NA
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect	NA
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.	NA
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:	YES

	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
i						
ii						
TOTAL						

20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period	YES
	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:	NA
21	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	NA
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	NA
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?	NA
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	NA
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	NA
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO) Date :20-06-2019	Yes. Signature (Name of the DEO) (M. Deepa, IAS)

23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*
Date: _____

Signature of the Expenditure Observer
M. ANAND KUMAR, IRS (R-25586)
Signature of the Expenditure Observer
V.S. VENGADASHWARAN, IRS (R-18916)

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been in the DEO's report, he may annex separately.
11-Dharwad Parliamentary Constituency **11-Dharwad Parliamentary Constituency**

** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and submitted to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately



SCRUTINY REPORT OF DEO.

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO -11

Name of the State KARNATAKA District DHARWAD Election LOK SABHA-2019

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	Udaykumar Ayyappa Ambiger
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	Independent
3	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	11 DHARWAD
4	Name of the elected candidate	Pralhad Joshi
5	Date of declaration of result	23.5.2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18.6.2019
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	YES
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	YES
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	YES
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22.6.2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	YES
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate:	YES, 18.6.2019
	(i) original account	
	(ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	
12	Whether account lodged in time	YES
12 A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	0
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	NA
14 A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	NA
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	54610.00
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	YES
17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	YES
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	YES

	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	YES																								
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	YES																								
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	YES																								
18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect	NA																								
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect	NA																								
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.	NA																								
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:	YES																								
	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Items of expenditure</th> <th>Date</th> <th>Page No. of Shadow Observation Register</th> <th>Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of</th> <th>Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate</th> <th>Amount understated by the candidate</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>i</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>ii</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>TOTAL</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate	i						ii						TOTAL						
Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate																					
i																										
ii																										
TOTAL																										
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period	YES																								
	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:	NA																								
21	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	NA																								
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	NA																								
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?	NA																								
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	NA																								
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	NA																								
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO) Date :20-06-2019	Yes																								
23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer* Date: <u> </u> M. ANAND (R-25586) Expenditure Observer 11-Dharwad Parliamentary Constituency		 Signature (Name of the DEO) (M. Deepa, IAS) Deputy Commissioner and District Election Officer Dharwad District, Dharwad																								
 S. L. N. G. ASHWARI (R-15816) Expenditure Observer 11-Dharwad Parliamentary Constituency																										
* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect. ** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and submitted to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately																										



SCRUTINY REPORT OF DEO

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO -12

Name of the State Karanatak District Dharwad Election Loka Sabha-2019

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	B G Sangannavar
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	Independent
3	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	11 DHARWAD
4	Name of the elected candidate	Pralhad Joshi
5	Date of declaration of result	23.5.2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18.6.2019
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	YES
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	YES
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	YES
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22-06-2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	YES
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate:	18-06-2019
	(i) original account	
	(ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	
12	Whether account lodged in time	YES
12 A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	0
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	NA
14 A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	NA
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	35960.00
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	YES
17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	YES
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	YES

	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	YES																								
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	YES																								
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	YES																								
18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect	NA																								
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect	NA																								
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.	NA																								
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:	YES																								
	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Items of expenditure</th> <th>Date</th> <th>Page No. of Shadow Observation Register</th> <th>Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of</th> <th>Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate</th> <th>Amount understated by the candidate</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>i</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>ii</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>TOTAL</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate	i						ii						TOTAL						
Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate																					
i																										
ii																										
TOTAL																										
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period	YES																								
	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:	NA																								
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	NA																								
21	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	NA																								
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?	NA																								
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	NA																								
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	NA																								
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO) Date :20-06-2019	Yes																								
23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer* Date:		 Signature (Name of the DEO) (M. Urepa, IAS) Deputy Commissioner and District Election Officer, Dharwad District, Dharwad																								
Signature of the Expenditure Observer		 M. ANAND KUMAR, IRS V.S. VENGADASHWARAN, IRS (R-25586) (R-18816) Expenditure Observer Expenditure Observer 11-Dharwad Parliamentary Constituency 11-Dharwad Parliamentary Constituency																								
* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate Expenditure Observer Report to be compiled by the DEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately																										

SCRUTINY REPORT OF DEO

Serial Number of the candidate In Summary Report of the DEO -13

Name of the State KARNATAKA District DHARWAD Election LOK SABHA-2019

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	Mukhtumkhan Hasanalkhan Sirdesai
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	Independent
3	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	11 DHARWAD
4	Name of the elected candidate	Pralhad Joshi
5	Date of declaration of result	23.5.2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18.6.2019
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	YES
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	NO
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	YES
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22.6.2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	YES
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate:	18.6.2019
	(i) original account	
12	(ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	YES
	Whether account lodged in time	
12 A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	0
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	NA
14 A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	NA
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement.	90751.00
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	YES
17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	YES
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	YES

	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	YES																								
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	YES																								
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	YES																								
18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect	NA																								
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect	NA																								
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.	NA																								
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:	YES																								
	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Items of expenditure</th> <th>Date</th> <th>Page No. of Shadow Observation Register</th> <th>Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of</th> <th>Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate</th> <th>Amount understated by the candidate</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>i</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>ii</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>TOTAL</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate	i						ii						TOTAL						
Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate																					
i																										
ii																										
TOTAL																										

20 Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period

YES

21 If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:

(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting

NA

(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice

NA

(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?

NA

(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply


NA


(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation


NA

22 Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate.
(Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)
Date :20-06-2019

Yes.


Signature (Name of the DEO)
(M. Deepa, IAS)
Deputy Commissioner
and District Election Officer,
Dharwad District, Dharwad

23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*
Date: _____
Signature of the Expenditure Observer

M. ANAND KUMAR, IRS
(R-25586)


V.S. VENGADASHWARAN, IRS
(R-18816)
Expenditure Observer

If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.
* 11 Dharwad Parliamentary Constituency compiled by the CEO and submitted to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately

SCRUTINY REPORT OF DEO

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO -14

Name of the State Karnatak District Dharwad Election Loka Sabha-2019

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	Mallikarjungouda Balanagoudar
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	Independent
3	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	11-Dharwad
4	Name of the elected candidate	Pralhad Joshi
5	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18-06-2019
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	YES
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	YES
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	YES
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22-06-2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	YES
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate:	18-06-2019
	(i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	
12	Whether account lodged in time	YES
12 A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	0
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	NA
14 A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	NA
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	100500.00
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	YES
17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	YES
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	YES

	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted		YES			
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election		YES			
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account		YES			
18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect		NA			
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect		NA			
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.		NA			
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:		YES			
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
	i					
	ii					
	TOTAL					
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period					YES
21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:					NA
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting					NA
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice					NA
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?					NA
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply					NA
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation					NA
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO) Date :20-06-2019					Yes
23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer Date:						Signature (Name of the DEO) (M. Deepa, IAS) Deputy Commissioner and District Election Officer, Dharwad District, Dharwad
Signature of the Expenditure Observer M. ANAND KUMAR, IRS V.S. YENGADASHWARAN, IRS						
* If the Expenditure Observer (R-25586) has more facts that have not been mentioned in the DEO's report, he may annex separate Expenditure Observer Report (R-18815)						
** The DEO's scrutiny report is to be compiled by the Expenditure Observer and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately						

SCRUTINY REPORT OF DEO

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO -15


Name of the State KARNATAKA District DHARWAD Election LOK SABHA 2019

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	Raju Anatasa Naikawadi
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	Independent
3	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	11-DHARWAD
4	Name of the elected candidate	Pralhad Joshi
5	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18-06-2019
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	YES
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	YES
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	YES
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22-06-2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	YES
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate:	18-06-2019
	(i) original account	
	(ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	
12	Whether account lodged in time	YES
12 A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	0
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	NA
14 A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	NA
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	112168.00
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	YES
17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	YES
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	YES

	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	YES																								
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	YES																								
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	YES																								
18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect	NA																								
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect	NA																								
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.	NA																								
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:	YES																								
	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Items of expenditure</th> <th>Date</th> <th>Page No. of Shadow Observation Register</th> <th>Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of</th> <th>Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate</th> <th>Amount understated by the candidate</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>i</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>ii</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>TOTAL</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate	i						ii						TOTAL						
Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate																					
i																										
ii																										
TOTAL																										

20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period	YES
21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:	NA
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	NA
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	NA
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?	NA
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	NA
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	NA
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO) Date :20-06-2019	Yes


 Signature (Name of the DEO)
(M. Deepa, IAS)

23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*
 Date: _____
 Deputy Commissioner and District Election Officer, Dharwad District, Dharwad

Signature of the Expenditure Observer 
M. ANAND KUMAR, IRS

* If the Expenditure Observer has more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate Expenditure Observer Report (R-25616) to the DEO's report.
V.S. VENGADASHWARAN, IRS
 Expenditure Observer

** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the DEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.
11-Dharwad Parliamentary Constituency

SCRUTINY REPORT OF DEO

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO -16

Name of the State Karnataka District Dharwad Electionh Loka Sabha-2019

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	Veerappa Maradagi
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	Independent
3	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	11-Dharwad
4	Name of the elected candidate	Pralhad Joshi
5	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18-06-2019
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	YES
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	YES
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	YES
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22-06-2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	YES
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate:	18-06-2019
	(i) original account	
	(ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	
12	Whether account lodged in time	YES
12 A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	0
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	NA
14 A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	NA
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	30320.00
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	YES
	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	
17	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	YES
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	YES

	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	YES																								
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	YES																								
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	YES																								
18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect	NA																								
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect	NA																								
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.	NA																								
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:	YES																								
	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Items of expenditure</th> <th>Date</th> <th>Page No. of Shadow Observation Register</th> <th>Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of</th> <th>Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate</th> <th>Amount understated by the candidate</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>i</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>ii</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>TOTAL</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate	i						ii						TOTAL						
Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate																					
i																										
ii																										
TOTAL																										
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period	YES																								
	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:	NA																								
21	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	NA																								
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	NA																								
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?	NA																								
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	NA																								
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	NA																								
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO) Date :20-06-2019	Yes.																								

Signature (Name of the DEO)
(Dr. Deepa, IAS)
Deputy Commissioner
and District Election Officer,
Dharwad District, Dharwad

23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*
Date: _____
Signature: **M. ANAND KUMAR, IRS (R-25588)** **V.S. VENGADASHWARAN, IRS (R-18816)**

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been mentioned in the DEO's report, he may annex separate notes to the report.
** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission, if the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately

SCRUTINY REPORT OF DEO


Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO -17

Name of the State Karnatak, District Dharwad, Election Loka Sabha-2019


DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961


S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	Shakeel Ahmed Doddawad
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	Independent
3	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	11 DHARWAD
4	Name of the elected candidate	Pralhad Joshi
5	Date of declaration of result	23.5.2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18.6.2019
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	YES
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	YES
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	YES
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22.6.2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	YES
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate:	YES, 18.6.2019
	(i) original account	
	(ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	
12	Whether account lodged in time	YES
12 A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	0
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	NA
14 A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	NA
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	359400.00
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	YES
17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	YES
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	YES

	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	YES																								
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	YES																								
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	YES																								
18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect	YES																								
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect	YES																								
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.	NA																								
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:	NA																								
	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Items of expenditure</th> <th>Date</th> <th>Page No. of Shadow Observation Register</th> <th>Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of</th> <th>Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate</th> <th>Amount understated by the candidate</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>i</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>ii</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>TOTAL</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate	i						ii						TOTAL						
Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate																					
i																										
ii																										
TOTAL																										
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period	YES																								
	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:	NA																								
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	NA																								
21	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	NA																								
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?	NA																								
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	NA																								
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	NA																								
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO) Date :20-06-2019	Yes																								


(M. Deepa) IRS
 Deputy Commissioner
 and District Election Officer
 Dharwad District, Dharwad

23: Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*

Date: 
M. ANAND KUMAR, IRS
 (R-25586)
 Expenditure Observer
 11-Dharwad Parliamentary Constituency


V. S. VENGADASHWARAN, IRS
 (R-18816)
 Expenditure Observer
 11-Dharwad Parliamentary Constituency

* If the DEO has any more facts that have not been mentioned in the DEO's report, he may annex separate not

** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately

SCRUTINY REPORT OF DEO

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO -18

Name of the State Karnataka District Dharwad Election Loka Sabha-2019


DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	Somashekar P Yadav
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	Independent
3	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	11-Dharwad
4	Name of the elected candidate	Pralhad Joshi
5	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18-06-2019
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	YES
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	YES
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	YES
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22-06-2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	YES
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate:	18-06-2019
	(i) original account	
	(ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	
12	Whether account lodged in time	YES
12 A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	0
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	NA
14 A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	NA
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	35000.00
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	YES
17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	YES
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	YES

	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	YES
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	YES
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	YES
18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect	NA
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect	NA
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.	NA
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:	YES

	Items of Expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
i						
ii						
TOTAL						

20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period	YES
21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:	NA
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	NA
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	NA
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?	NA
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	NA
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	NA
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO) Date :20-06-2019	Yes


(Mr. Deepa, IAS)
 Deputy Commissioner
 and District Election Officer,
 Dharwad District, Dharwad

23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*
 Date: _____
 Signature of the Expenditure Observer: **M. ANAND KUMAR, IRS (R-25586)** **V.S. VENGADASHWARAN, IRS (R-18816)**

* If the Expenditure Observer has more facts to be recorded in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.
 ** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.




SCRUTINY REPORT OF DEO

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO, 19

Name of the State Karnataka District Dharwad Election GENERAL ELECTION TO LOK SABHA-2019

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	Hasinabanu Tapalwale
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	Independent
3	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	11-DHARAWAD
4	Name of the elected candidate	Pralhad Joshi
5	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18-06-2019
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	YES
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	YES
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	YES
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22-06-2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	YES
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account	18-06-2019
	(ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	
12	Whether account lodged in time	YES
12 A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	0
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	NA
14 A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	NA
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	42860.00
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	YES
17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details (i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	YES
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	YES

	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted						YES
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election						NO
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account						Separate Bank Account Not Opened
18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect.						Yes, 20-04-2019
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect						YES
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.						10-05-2019 Separate Bank Account Opened
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:					YES	
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate	
	i						
	ii						
	TOTAL						
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period					YES	
	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:					NA	
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting					NA	
21	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice					NA	
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?					NA	
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply					NA	
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation					NA	
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO) Date :20-06-2019					Yes.	
23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*					 (M. Deeba) DEO Deputy Commissioner and District Election Officer, Dharwad District, Dharwad.		
Date: 							
M. ANAND KUMAR. IRS (R-25586) Expenditure Observer 11-Dharwad Parliamentary Constituency					 V.S. VENGADASHWARAN. IRS (R-18816) Expenditure Observer 11-Dharwad Parliamentary Constituency		
* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.							
** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately							