ಕಂದಾಯ ಇಲಾಖೆ ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ಸರ್ಕಾರ

ಜಿಲ್ಲಾಧಿಕಾರಿಯವರ ಕಛೇರಿ, ರಜತಾದ್ರಿ, ಉಡುಪಿ ಜಿಲ್ಲೆ, -576104

Office : 0820 -2574925/(O), 2574926(F), Election :0820-2574920/2574991(O), 2574920(F)

E-Mail: deo.udupi @ gmail.com Website: udupi.nic.in

ನಂ. ಇಎಲ್‌ಎನ್:(1)ಸಿ.ಆರ್: 117 /2018-19, ದಿನಾಂಕ: 26-06-2019

ರಿಗೆ,

ಮುಖ್ಯ ಚುನಾವಣಾಧಿಕಾರಿ ಹಾಗೂ
ಪದನಿಮಿತ್ತ ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಅಪರ ಮುಖ್ಯ ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿ
ಸಿ.ಆ.ಸು.ಇ (ಚುನಾವಣೆಗಳು),
ನಿರ್ವಾಚನ ನಿಲಯ, ಶೇಷಾದ್ರಿ ರಸ್ತೆ,
ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು

ಮಾನ್ಯರೇ,

ವಿಷಯ:- 15-ಉಡುಪಿ ಚಿಕ್ಕಮಗಳೂರು ಲೋಕಸಭಾ ಸಾರ್ವತ್ರಿಕ ಚುನಾವಣೆ – 2019 ಕ್ಕೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದಂತೆ, ಚುನಾವಣೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸ್ಪರ್ಧಿಸಿರುವ ಅಭ್ಯರ್ಥಿಗಳು ಚುನಾವಣಾ ವೆಚ್ಚದ ವಿವರಗಳನ್ನು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿರುವ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಮಾಹಿತಿಯನ್ನು ಒದಗಿಸುವ ಕುರಿತು.

ಉಲ್ಲೇಖ: ತಮ್ಮ ಪತ್ರ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ: DPAR/06/CHUVEBHA (Addl.CEO-1) 2019 ದಿನಾಂಕ: 28-05-2019.

******

ಮೇಲಿನ ವಿಷಯಕ್ಕೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದಂತೆ ಉಲ್ಲೇಖದ ಪತ್ರದಲ್ಲಿ ನೀಡಿರುವ ನಿರ್ದೇಶನದಂತೆ 15-ಉಡುಪಿ ಚಿಕ್ಕಮಗಳೂರು ಲೋಕಸಭಾ ಸಾರ್ವತ್ರಿಕ ಚುನಾವಣೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸ್ಪರ್ಧಿಸಿದ ಅಭ್ಯರ್ಥಿಗಳು ಚುನಾವಣೆಯ ಸಂದರ್ಭದಲ್ಲಿ ಮಾಡಿರುವ ಖರ್ಚು ವೆಚ್ಚಗಳ ದಾಖಲೆಗಳನ್ನು ಲೆಕ್ಕಪತ್ರಗಳನ್ನು ತಪಾಸಣೆ ನಡೆಸಿದ ಅಂತಿಮ ವರದಿ ಹಾಗೂ ಅಭ್ಯರ್ಥಿವಾರು Summary Report of DEO ಮತ್ತು ಲೋಕಸಭಾ ಕ್ಷೇತ್ರಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಸ್ಪರ್ಧಿಸಿದ ಅಭ್ಯರ್ಥಿವಾರು Summary Report ಮತ್ತು ಫೋಟೋರೆಯನ್ನು ನಿಗದಿತ ನಮೂನೆಯನ್ನು ತಯಾರಿಸಿ ಆಯೋಗಕ್ಕೆ ಒದಗಿಸಲು ಈ ಪತ್ರದೊಂದಿಗೆ ಲಗತ್ತಿಸಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿ ತಮ್ಮ ದಯಾಪರ ಅವಗಾಹನೆಗಾಗಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ.

ತಮ್ಮ ವಿಶ್ವಾಸಿ,

ಚುನಾವಣಾಧಿಕಾರಿ ಹಾಗೂ ಜಿಲ್ಲಾಧಿಕಾರಿ,
15 ಉಡುಪಿ ಚಿಕ್ಕಮಗಳೂರು ಲೋಕಸಭಾ ಸಾರ್ವತ್ರಿಕ ಚುನಾವಣೆ
ಉಡುಪಿ ಜಿಲ್ಲೆ, ಉಡುಪಿ

SUMMARY REPORT OF DEO FOR EACH CONSTITUENCY ON LODGING OF ELECTION EXPENSES ACCOUNTS BY CANDIDATES

(a) No. And name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency: No. 15 Udupi-Chikmagalur
(b) Total No. Of contesting candidates: 12
(c) State and District: Karnataka State, Udupi District
(d) Date of declaration of result of election/bye-election:23-05-2019
(e) Last date of lodging accounts: 22-06-2019
(f) Name of the elected candidate:SHOBHA KARANDLAJE

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9		10		11
S. No.	Name of the candidate and Party Affiliation	Due date of lodging of account	Date of lodging of accounts by the candidate	Whether lodged in the prescribed format (Yes or No)	Whether lodged in the manner required by law (Yes or No)	Grand Total of the expenses incurred/authorized by the candidate/ agent (as mentioned in Part-II of Abstract Statement)	Whether the DEO agrees with the amount shown by the candidate against all items of expenditure (Should be similar to point no. 22 of DEO's Scrutiny Report i.e. Annexure-C3)	Total expenses incurred by the Party (As reported in Part-III of Abstract Statement)		Total expenses incurred by others/ entities as reported in Part-III of Abstract Statement		Remarks of the Expenditure Observer
								Lump Sum amount in cash or cheque given to candidate by each Political Party	Grand Total of other expenses in kind by the Political Party	Lumpsum amount in cash/cheque given to the candidate (and mention names of donors)	Grand total of other expenses in kind incurred for the candidate	
1	P. Paramehswara Bahujan Samaj Party	22-06-2019	20-06-2019	Yes	Yes	7,05,090.00	Yes	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
2	Pramod Madhwaraj, Janata Dal (Secular)	22-06-2019	20-06-2019	Yes	Yes	62,68,559.00	Yes	35,00,000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
3	Shobha Karandlaje, Bharatiya Janata Party	22-06-2019	20-06-2019	Yes	Yes	66,46,325.00	Yes	40,00,000.00	0	66,000 (1. Rs.16,000 cash by Umender Alias Umesh, S/o. Nanjappa, Chikkamagalur 2. Rs.50,000 by cheque by Jeevan Kumar Shetty, Brahmavar)	0.00	

4	P. Goutham Prabhu, Shiv Sena	22-06-2019	20-06-2019	Yes	Yes	2,61,685.00	Yes	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
5	M.K. Dayananda, Proutist Sarva Samaj	22-06-2019	20-06-2019	Yes	Yes	77,600.00	Yes	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
6	Vijaya Kumar, Communist Party of India (Marxist-leninist) Red Star	22-06-2019	20-06-2019	Yes	Yes	1,14,336.00	Yes	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
7	Shekar Havanje, Republican Party of India (Karnataka)	22-06-2019	20-06-2019	Yes	Yes	2,39,420.00	Yes	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
8	Suresh Kunder, Uttama Prajaakeeya Party	22-06-2019	20-06-2019	Yes	Yes	37,225.00	Yes	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
9	Abdul Rahman	22-06-2019	20-06-2019	Yes	Yes	36,500.00	Yes	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
10	Amrith Shenoy P.	22-06-2019	20-06-2019	Yes	Yes	8,34,258.00	Yes	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
11	M.K. Ganesh	22-06-2019	20-06-2019	Yes	Yes	1,27,147.00	Yes	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
12	K.C. Prakash	22-06-2019	20-06-2019	Yes	Yes	60,750.00	Yes	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	

Comments of the Expenditure Observer, if any, ----------

23/06/2019

Signature of the DEO

District Election Officer &
Deputy Commissioner
Udupi District, Udupi

Date:

23.06.2019

Signature of the Expenditure Observer

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO Udupi "10"

Name of the State: Karnataka District: Udupi Election: Loksabha Election 2019

DEO`s SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E.RULES 1961		
Sl. No.	Description	To be filled up by th DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	Amrith Shenoy P.
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	Independent
3	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	15-Udupi-Chikmagalur
4	Name of the elected candidate	SHOBHA KARANDLAJE
5	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18-06-2019 & 20-06-2019
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No) (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No.19)	Yes
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22-06-2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate	20-06-2019
	(i) Original account	
	(ii) Revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	-
12	Whether account lodged in time	yes
12A.	If not ledged in time, period of delay	Not applicable
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate If not, reason thereof	Not applicable
14	Explanation, If any, given by the candidate	Not applicable

14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	Not applicable
15	Grand Total of all ection expenses reported by the candidate in Part II of the Abstrct Statement	Rs. 8,34,258.00
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R.P.Act 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	yes
17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	Not applicable
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	Not applicable
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of lection expenditure submitted	Not applicable
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	Not applicable
	(v) whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	Not applicable
18	(i) Whether the DEO has issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect	Not applicable
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect	Not applicable
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, I.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.	Not applicable
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following	Yes

	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. Of Shadow Observation Register	Mention as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidates
i	-	-	-	-	-	-
ii, iii.....	-	-	-	-	-	-
TOTAL					Not applicable	

20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observe/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period	Yes
21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No.19 referred to above, give the following details;-	Not applicable
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brough to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	Not applicable
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) an mention the date of notice	Not applicable
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?	Not applicable
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	Not applicable
	(v) DEO`s comments/observations on the candidate`s explanation	Not applicable
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO) Date:	Yes ***Hephsiba Rani Korlapati*** ***District Election Officer and deputy commissioner, Udupi District.***

23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer* Date: 23.06.2019 **Signature of the Expenditure Observer**

- If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO`s report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission, If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO Udupi "9"

Name of the State: Karnataka District: Udupi Election: Loksabha Election 2019

DEO`s SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E.RULES 1961		
Sl. No.	Description	To be filled up by th DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	Abdul Rahman
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	Independent
3	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	15-Udupi-Chikmagalur
4	Name of the elected candidate	SHOBHA KARANDLAJE
5	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18-06-2019 & 20-06-2019
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No) (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No.19)	Yes
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22-06-2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate	20-06-2019
	(i) Original account	
	(ii) Revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	-
12	Whether account lodged in time	yes
12A.	If not ledged in time, period of delay	Not applicable
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate If not, reason thereof	Not applicable
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	Not applicable

14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	Not applicable
15	Grand Total of all ection expenses reported by the candidate in Part II of the Abstrct Statement	Rs. 36,500.00
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R.P.Act 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	yes
17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	Not applicable
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	Not applicable
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of lection expenditure submitted	Not applicable
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	Not applicable
	(v) whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	Not applicable
18	(i) Whether the DEO has issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect	Not applicable
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect	Not applicable
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.	Not applicable
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following	Yes

	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. Of Shadow Observation Register	Mention as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidates
i	-	-	-	-	-	-
ii, iii.....	-	-	-	-	-	-
TOTAL					Not applicable	

20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observe/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period	Yes
21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No.19 referred to above, give the following details;-	Not applicable
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brough to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	Not applicable
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) an mention the date of notice	Not applicable
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?	Not applicable
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	Not applicable
	(v) DEO`s comments/observations on the candidate`s explanation	Not applicable
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO) Date:	Yes ***Hephsiba Rani Korlapati*** ***District Election Officer and deputy commissioner, Udupi District.***

23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*

Date:

23.06.2019

Signature of the Expenditure Observer

- If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO`s report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission, If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO Udupi "4"

Name of the State: Karnataka District: Udupi Election: Loksabha Election 2019

DEO`s SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E.RULES 1961		
Sl. No.	Description	To be filled up by th DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	P. Goutham Prabhu
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	Shiv Sena
3	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	15-Udupi-Chikmagalur
4	Name of the elected candidate	SHOBHA KARANDLAJE
5	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18-06-2019 & 20-06-2019
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No) (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No.19)	Yes
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22-06-2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate	20-06-2019
	(i) Original account	
	(ii) Revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	-
12	Whether account lodged in time	yes
12A.	If not ledged in time, period of delay	Not applicable
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate If not, reason thereof	Not applicable
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	Not applicable

14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	Not applicable
15	Grand Total of all ection expenses reported by the candidate in Part II of the Abstrct Statement	Rs. 2,61,685.00
16	Whether in the DEO`s opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R.P.Act 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	yes
17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	Not applicable
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	Not applicable
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of lection expenditure submitted	Not applicable
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	Not applicable
	(v) whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	Not applicable
18	(i) Whether the DEO has issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect	Not applicable
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect	Not applicable
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.	Not applicable
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following	Yes

	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. Of Shadow Observation Register	Mention as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidates
i	-	-	-	-	-	-
ii, iii.....	-	-	-	-	-	-
TOTAL					Not applicable	

20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observe/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period	Yes
21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No.19 referred to above, give the following details;-	Not applicable
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brough to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	Not applicable
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) an mention the date of notice	Not applicable
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?	Not applicable
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	Not applicable
	(v) DEO`s comments/observations on the candidate`s explanation	Not applicable
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO) Date:	Yes ***Hephsiba Rani Korlapati*** ***District Election Officer and deputy commissioner, Udupi District.***

23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer* Date: 23.06.2019 **Signature of the Expenditure Observer**

- If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO`s report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission, If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO Udupi "12"

Name of the State: Karnataka District: Udupi Election: Loksabha Election 2019

DEO`s SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E.RULES 1961		
Sl. No.	Description	To be filled up by th DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	K.C. Prakash
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	Independent
3	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	15-Udupi-Chikmagalur
4	Name of the elected candidate	SHOBHA KARANDLAJE
5	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18-06-2019 & 20-06-2019
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No) (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No.19)	Yes
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22-06-2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate	
	(i) Original account	20-06-2019
	(ii) Revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	-
12	Whether account lodged in time	yes
12A.	If not ledged in time, period of delay	Not applicable
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate If not, reason thereof	Not applicable
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	Not applicable

14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	Not applicable
15	Grand Total of all ection expenses reported by the candidate in Part II of the Abstrct Statement	Rs.60,750.00
16	Whether in the DEO`s opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R.P.Act 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	yes
17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	Not applicable
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	Not applicable
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of lection expenditure submitted	Not applicable
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	Not applicable
	(v) whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	Not applicable
18	(i) Whether the DEO has issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect	Not applicable
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect	Not applicable
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.	Not applicable
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following	Yes

	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. Of Shadow Observation Register	Mention as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidates
i	-	-	-	-	-	-
ii, iii.....	-	-	-	-	-	-
TOTAL					Not applicable	

20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observe/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period	Yes
21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No.19 referred to above, give the following details;-	Not applicable
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brough to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	Not applicable
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) an mention the date of notice	Not applicable
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?	Not applicable
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	Not applicable
	(v) DEO`s comments/observations on the candidate`s explanation	Not applicable
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO) Date:	Yes ***Hephsiba Rani Korlapati*** ***District Election Officer and deputy commissioner, Udupi District.***

23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer* 28.06.2019 Date: **Signature of the Expenditure Observer**

- If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO`s report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission, If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO Udupi "5"

Name of the State: Karnataka District: Udupi Election: Loksabha Election 2019

DEO`s SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E.RULES 1961		
Sl. No.	Description	To be filled up by th DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	M.K. Dayananda
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	Proutist Sarva Samaj
3	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	15-Udupi-Chikmagalur
4	Name of the elected candidate	SHOBHA KARANDLAJE
5	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18-06-2019 & 20-06-2019
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No) (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No.19)	Yes
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22-06-2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate	
	(i) Original account	20-06-2019
	(ii) Revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	-
12	Whether account lodged in time	yes
12A.	If not ledged in time, period of delay	Not applicable
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate If not, reason thereof	Not applicable
14	Explanation, If any, given by the candidate	Not applicable

14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	Not applicable
15	Grand Total of all ection expenses reported by the candidate in Part II of the Abstrct Statement	Rs. 77,600.00
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R.P.Act 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	yes
17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	Not applicable
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	Not applicable
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of lection expenditure submitted	Not applicable
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	Not applicable
	(v) whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	Not applicable
18	(i) Whether the DEO has issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect	Not applicable
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect	Not applicable
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.	Not applicable
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following	Yes

	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. Of Shadow Observation Register	Mention as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidates
i	-	-	-	-	-	-
ii, iii.....	-	-	-	-	-	-
TOTAL					Not applicable	

20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observe/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period	Yes
21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No.19 referred to above, give the following details;-	Not applicable
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brough to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	Not applicable
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) an mention the date of notice	Not applicable
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?	Not applicable
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	Not applicable
	(v) DEO`s comments/observations on the candidate`s explanation	Not applicable
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO) Date:	Yes ***Hephsiba Rani Korlapati*** ***District Election Officer and deputy commissioner, Udupi District.***

23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer* 23.06.2019 Date: **Signature of the Expenditure Observer**

- If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO`s report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission, If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO Udupi "11"

Name of the State: Karnataka District: Udupi Election: Loksabha Election 2019

DEO`s SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E.RULES 1961		
Sl. No.	Description	To be filled up by th DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	M.K. Ganesh
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	Independent
3	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	15-Udupi-Chikmagalur
4	Name of the elected candidate	SHOBHA KARANDLAJE
5	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18-06-2019 & 20-06-2019
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No) (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No.19)	Yes
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22-06-2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate	20-06-2019 - -
	(i) Original account	
	(ii) Revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	
12	Whether account lodged in time	yes
12A.	If not ledged in time, period of delay	Not applicable
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate If not, reason thereof	Not applicable
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	Not applicable

14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	Not applicable
15	Grand Total of all ection expenses reported by the candidate in Part II of the Abstrct Statement	Rs.1,27,147.00
16	Whether in the DEO`s opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R.P.Act 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	yes
17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	Not applicable
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	Not applicable
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of lection expenditure submitted	Not applicable
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	Not applicable
	(v) whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	Not applicable
18	(i) Whether the DEO has issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect	Not applicable
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect	Not applicable
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectifled or not.	Not applicable
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following	Yes

	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. Of Shadow Observation Register	Mention as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidates
i	-	-	-	-	-	-
ii, iii.....	-	-	-	-	-	-
TOTAL					Not applicable	

20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observe/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period	Yes
21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No.19 referred to above, give the following details;-	Not applicable
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brough to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	Not applicable
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) an mention the date of notice	Not applicable
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?	Not applicable
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	Not applicable
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	Not applicable
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO) Date:	Yes Hephsiba Rani Korlapati District Election Officer and deputy commissioner, Udupi District.

23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer* 23.06.2019 Date: Signature of the Expenditure Observer

- If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission, If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO Udupi "1"

Name of the State: Karnataka District: Udupi Election: Loksabha Election 2019

DEO`s SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E.RULES 1961		
Sl. No.	**Description**	**To be filled up by th DEO**
1	Name & address of the candidate	P. Parameshwara
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	Bahujan Samaj Party
3	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	15-Udupi-Chikmagalur
4	Name of the elected candidate	SHOBHA KARANDLAJE
5	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18-06-2019 & 20-06-2019
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No) (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No.19)	Yes
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22-06-2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate	20-06-2019
	(i) Original account	
	(ii) Revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	
12	Whether account lodged in time	yes
12A.	If not ledged in time, period of delay	Not applicable
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate If not, reason thereof	Not applicable
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	Not applicable

14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	Not applicable
15	Grand Total of all ection expenses reported by the candidate in Part II of the Abstrct Statement	Rs. 7,05,090.00
16	Whether in the DEO`s opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R.P.Act 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	yes
17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	Not applicable
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	Not applicable
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of lection expenditure submitted	Not applicable
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	Not applicable
	(v) whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	Not applicable
18	(i) Whether the DEO has issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect	Not applicable
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect	Not applicable
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.	Not applicable
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following	Yes

	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. Of Shadow Observation Register	Mention as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidates
i	-	-	-	-	-	-
ii, iii.....	-	-	-	-	-	-
TOTAL					Not applicable	

20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observe/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period	Yes
21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No.19 referred to above, give the following details;-	Not applicable
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brough to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	Not applicable
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) an mention the date of notice	Not applicable
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?	Not applicable
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	Not applicable
	(v) DEO`s comments/observations on the candidate`s explanation	Not applicable
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO) Date:	Yes *Hephsiba Rani Korlapati* ***District Election Officer and deputy commissioner, Udupi District.***

23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer* 23.06.2019 Date: **Signature of the Expenditure Observer**

- If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO`s report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission, If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO Udupi "2"

Name of the State: Karnataka District: Udupi Election: Loksabha Election 2019

DEO`s SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E.RULES 1961		
Sl. No.	Description	To be filled up by th DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	Pramod Madhwaraj
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	Janata Dal (Secular)
3	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	15-Udupi-Chikmagalur
4	Name of the elected candidate	SHOBHA KARANDLAJE
5	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18-06-2019 & 20-06-2019
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No) (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No.19)	Yes
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22-06-2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate	
	(i) Original account	20-06-2019
	(ii) Revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	-
12	Whether account lodged in time	yes
12A.	If not ledged in time, period of delay	Not applicable
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate If not, reason thereof	Not applicable
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	Not applicable

14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	Not applicable
15	Grand Total of all ection expenses reported by the candidate in Part II of the Abstrct Statement	Rs.62,58,559.00
16	Whether in the DEO`s opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R.P.Act 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	yes
17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	Not applicable
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	Not applicable
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of lection expenditure submitted	Not applicable
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	Not applicable
	(v) whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	Not applicable
18	(i) Whether the DEO has issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect	Not applicable
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect	Not applicable
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.	Not applicable
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following	Yes

	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. Of Shadow Observation Register	Mention as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidates
i	-	-	-	-	-	-
ii, iii.....	-	-	-	-	-	-
TOTAL					Not applicable	

20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observe/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period	Yes
21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No.19 referred to above, give the following details;-	Not applicable
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brough to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	Not applicable
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) an mention the date of notice	Not applicable
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?	Not applicable
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	Not applicable
	(v) DEO`s comments/observations on the candidate`s explanation	Not applicable
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO) Date:	Yes ***Hephsiba Rani Korlapati*** ***District Election Officer and deputy commissioner, Udupi District. .***

23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*

Date: 23.06.2019

Signature of the Expenditure Observer

- If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO`s report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission, If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO Udupi "7"

Name of the State: Karnataka District: Udupi Election: Loksabha Election 2019

DEO`s SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E.RULES 1961		
Sl. No.	Description	To be filled up by th DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	Shekar Havanje
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	Republican Party of India (Karnataka)
3	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	15-Udupi-Chikmagalur
4	Name of the elected candidate	SHOBHA KARANDLAJE
5	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18-06-2019 & 20-06-2019
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No) (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No.19)	Yes
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22-06-2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate	20-06-2019
	(i) Original account	
	(ii) Revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	-
12	Whether account lodged in time	yes
12A.	If not ledged in time, period of delay	Not applicable
13	If account not lodged or not lodged In time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate If not, reason thereof	Not applicable
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	Not applicable

14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	Not applicable
15	Grand Total of all ection expenses reported by the candidate in Part II of the Abstrct Statement	Rs. 2,39,420.00
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R.P.Act 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	yes
17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	Not applicable
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	Not applicable
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of lection expenditure submitted	Not applicable
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	Not applicable
	(v) whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	Not applicable
18	(i) Whether the DEO has issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect	Not applicable
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect	Not applicable
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.	Not applicable
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following	Yes

	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. Of Shadow Observation Register	Mention as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidates
i	-	-	-	-	-	-
ii, iii.....	-	-	-	-	-	-
TOTAL					Not applicable	

20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observe/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period	Yes
21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No.19 referred to above, give the following details;-	Not applicable
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brough to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	Not applicable
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) an mention the date of notice	Not applicable
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?	Not applicable
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	Not applicable
	(v) DEO`s comments/observations on the candidate`s explanation	Not applicable
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO) Date:	Yes ***Hephsiba Rani Korlapati*** ***District Election Officer and deputy commissioner, Udupi District.***

23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer* 23.06.2019 Date: **Signature of the Expenditure Observer**

- If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO`s report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission, If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO Udupi "3"

Name of the State: Karnataka District: Udupi Election: Loksabha Election 2019

DEO`s SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E.RULES 1961		
Sl. No.	**Description**	**To be filled up by th DEO**
1	Name & address of the candidate	SHOBHA KARANDLAJE
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	Bharatiya Janata Party
3	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	15-Udupi-Chikmagalur
4	Name of the elected candidate	SHOBHA KARANDLAJE
5	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18-06-2019 & 20-06-2019
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No) (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No.19)	Yes
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22-06-2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate	20-06-2019
	(i) Original account	
	(ii) Revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	-
12	Whether account lodged in time	yes
12A.	If not ledged in time, period of delay	Not applicable
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate If not, reason thereof	Not applicable
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	Not applicable

14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	Not applicable
15	Grand Total of all ection expenses reported by the candidate in Part II of the Abstrct Statement	Rs.66,46,325.00
16	Whether in the DEO`s opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R.P.Act 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	yes
17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	Not applicable
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	Not applicable
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of lection expenditure submitted	Not applicable
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	Not applicable
	(v) whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	Not applicable
18	(i) Whether the DEO has issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect	Not applicable
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect	Not applicable
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.	Not applicable
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following	Yes

	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. Of Shadow Observation Register	Mention as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidates
i	-	-	-	-	-	-
ii, iii.....	-	-	-	-	-	-
TOTAL					Not applicable	

20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observe/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period	Yes
21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No.19 referred to above, give the following details;-	Not applicable
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brough to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	Not applicable
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) an mention the date of notice	Not applicable
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?	Not applicable
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	Not applicable
	(v) DEO`s comments/observations on the candidate`s explanation	Not applicable
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO) Date:	Yes ***Hephsiba Rani Korlapati*** ***District Election Officer and deputy commissioner, Udupi District.***

23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer* Date: 23.06.2019 **Signature of the Expenditure Observer**

- If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission, If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO Udupi "8"

Name of the State: Karnataka District: Udupi Election: Loksabha Election 2019

DEO`s SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E.RULES 1961		
Sl. No.	Description	To be filled up by th DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	Suresh Kunder
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	Uttama Prajaakeeya Party
3	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	15-Udupi-Chikmagalur
4	Name of the elected candidate	SHOBHA KARANDLAJE
5	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18-06-2019 & 20-06-2019
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No) (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No.19)	Yes
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22-06-2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate	
	(i) Original account	20-06-2019
	(ii) Revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	-
12	Whether account lodged in time	yes
12A.	If not ledged in time, period of delay	Not applicable
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate If not, reason thereof	Not applicable
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	Not applicable

14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	Not applicable
15	Grand Total of all ection expenses reported by the candidate in Part II of the Abstrct Statement	Rs. 37,225.00
16	Whether in the DEO`s opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R.P.Act 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	yes
17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	Not applicable
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	Not applicable
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of lection expenditure submitted	Not applicable
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	Not applicable
	(v) whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	Not applicable
18	(i) Whether the DEO has issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect	Not applicable
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect	Not applicable
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.	Not applicable
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following	Yes

	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. Of Shadow Observation Register	Mention as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidates
i	-	-	-	-	-	-
ii, iii.....	-	-	-	-	-	-
TOTAL					Not applicable	

20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observe/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period	Yes
21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No.19 referred to above, give the following details;-	Not applicable
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brough to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	Not applicable
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) an mention the date of notice	Not applicable
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?	Not applicable
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	Not applicable
	(v) DEO`s comments/observations on the candidate`s explanation	Not applicable
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO) Date:	Yes ***Hephsiba Rani Korlapati*** ***District Election Officer and deputy commissioner, Udupi District.***

23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer* 23.06.2019 Date: **Signature of the Expenditure Observer**

- If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO`s report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission, If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO Udupi "6"

Name of the State: Karnataka District: Udupi Election: Loksabha Election 2019

DEO`s SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E.RULES 1961		
Sl. No.	**Description**	**To be filled up by th DEO**
1	Name & address of the candidate	Vijaya Kumar
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	Communist Party of India (Marxist-leninist) Red Star
3	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	15-Udupi-Chikmagalur
4	Name of the elected candidate	SHOBHA KARANDLAJE
5	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18-06-2019 & 20-06-2019
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No) (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No.19)	Yes
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22-06-2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate	20-06-2019
	(i) Original account	
	(ii) Revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	-
12	Whether account lodged in time	yes
12A.	If not ledged in time, period of delay	Not applicable
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate If not, reason thereof	Not applicable
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	Not applicable

14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	Not applicable
15	Grand Total of all ection expenses reported by the candidate in Part II of the Abstrct Statement	Rs.1,14,336.00
16	Whether in the DEO`s opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R.P.Act 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	yes
17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	-
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	Not applicable
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	Not applicable
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of lection expenditure submitted	Not applicable
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	Not applicable
	(v) whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	Not applicable
18	(i) Whether the DEO has issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect	Not applicable
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect	Not applicable
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.	Not applicable
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following	Yes

	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. Of Shadow Observation Register	Mention as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidates
i	-	-	-	-	-	-
ii, iii.....	-	-	-	-	-	-
TOTAL					Not applicable	

20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observe/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period	Yes
21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No.19 referred to above, give the following details;-	Not applicable
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brough to the notice of the candidate during campalgn period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	Not applicable
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) an mention the date of notice	Not applicable
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?	Not applicable
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	Not applicable
	(v) DEO`s comments/observations on the candidate`s explanation	Not applicable
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO) Date:	Yes Hephsiba Rani Korlapati ***District Election Officer and deputy commissioner, Udupi District.***

23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer* Date: 23.06.2019 **Signature of the Expenditure Observer**

- If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO`s report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission, If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.