



ಜಿಲ್ಲಾಧಿಕಾರಿ ಹಾಗೂ ಜಿಲ್ಲಾ ಚುನಾವಣಾಧಿಕಾರಿ ಕಾರ್ಯಾಲಯ, ಹಾಸನ-573201.

ಕೆಲೇರಿ : 08172-267345, 265028, ನಿವಾಸ : 08172-268202, ಫ್ಯಾಕ್ಸ್ : 08172-265418

Website: www.hassan-nic.in

E-Mail: deo.hassan@gmail.com

ಸಂ: ಚುನಾವಣೆ(1) 91/2018-19

ದಿನಾಂಕ: 17/07/2019.

ಮಾನ್ಯ ಮುಖ್ಯ ಚುನಾವಹಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು, ಸಿ.ಆ.ಸು.ಇ. (ಚುನಾವಣೆಗಳು), ನಿರ್ವಾಚನ ನಿಲಯ, ಶೇಷಾದ್ರಿ ರಸ್ತೆ, ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು-560001.

ಮಾನ್ಯರೆ,

General Election to Lok Sabha -2019 list of defaulting candidates in terms of submission of their accounts of election Expenses-reg.

ಉಲ್ಲೇಖ: 1. ಭಾರತ ಚುನಾವಣಾ ಆಯೋಗದ ಪತ್ರ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ: 76/ECI/INST/ FUNC/EEM/EEPS/2019Vol.III, ದಿನಾಂಕ: 09/07/2019.

2. ಮುಖ್ಯ ಚುನಾವಣಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು, ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ರವರ ಪತ್ರ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ: ಸಿಆಸುಇ/18/ಚುವೆಬ/ಅಮುಚುಅ-1/2019, ದಿನಾಂಕ: 12/07/2019.

ಮೇಲ್ಕಂಡ ವಿಷಯಕ್ಕೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದಂತೆ, ಉಲ್ಲೇಖಿತ ಭಾರತ ಚುನಾವಣಾ ಆಯೋಗ ಹಾಗೂ ಕಛೇರಿಯ ನಿರ್ದೇಶನಗಳನ್ನಯ ಲೋಕಸಭೆ ಸಾರ್ವತ್ರಿಕ ಚುನಾವಣೆ-2019ರ ಅಭ್ಯರ್ಥಿಗಳು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿರುವ ಚುನಾವಣಾ ವೆಚ್ಚಗಳ ವಿವರಗಳನ್ನು ನಿಗಧಿತ ನಮೂನೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸುವಂತೆ ನಿರ್ದೇಶಿಸಲಾಗಿರುತ್ತದೆ.

ಅದರಂತೆ, 16-ಹಾಸನ ಲೋಕಸಭಾ ಕ್ಷೇತ್ರದ ಅಭ್ಯರ್ಥಿಗಳು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿರುವ ಚುನಾವಣಾ ವೆಚ್ಚಗಳ ವಿವರಗಳನ್ನು ಪತ್ರದೊಂದಿಗೆ ಅಡಕರವಿಸಿ ಮಾನ್ಯರ ಅವಗಾಹನೆಗಾಗಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿದೆ.

9/1/2/19



E/ Preveen Files/MP RLN 2019/MP ELN 2019 (New)_1 448



GOVERNMENT OF KARNATAKA

16-HASSAN PARLIAMENTRY CONSTITUENCY

FINAL ACCOUNTS & RECONCILED TOTAL

EXPENDITURE OF CANDIDATES

SUMMARY REPORT OF DEO FOR EACH CONSTITUENCY ON LODGING OF ELECTION EXPENSES ACCOUNTS BY CANDIDATES

						2	Name of the candidate and Party Affiliation		Prajwal Revanna Janatha Dal (Seculer)	Manju A Bharathiya Janatha Party	Vinod Raj K.H. Bahujana samaja Party	H. M. Chandre Gowda Uttama Prajakiya Party	M.Mahesh Indipentdent	R.G. Satish Indipentdent
(a)	(c)	(e)	(b)	(d)	(f)	ω	Due date of lodging of account		22.06.2019	22.06.2019	22.06.2019	22.06.2019	22.06.2019	22.06.2019
No. and	State and	Last date	Total No.	Date of o	Name of	4	Date of lodging of accounts by the	candidate	19.06.2019	20.06.2019	19.06.2019	19.06.2019	19.06.2019	19.06.2019
name of Ass	State and District:	Last date of lodging accounts:	Total No. of contesting candidates	leclaration o	Name of the elected candidate:	5	Whether lodged in the prescribed	(Yes or No)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
sembly/Pa		accounts:	ng candic	of result c	candidat	6	Whether lodged in the manner	required by law (Yes or No)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency:			lates:	Date of declaration of result of election/bye-election:	e:	7	Grand Total of the expenses incurred/authorized by	the candidate/agent candidate/agent (as mentioned in <i>Part-II</i> of Abstract Statement)	63,14,197-00	47,32,676-00	5,73,243-00	2,00,150-00	25,000-00	1,13,500-00
onstituency:				-election:		00	Whether the DEO agrees with the amount shown by the candidate	against all items of expenditure (Should be similar to point no. 22 of DEO's Scrutiny Report i.e. Annexure-C3)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
16-Hassa	HASSAN	22.06.2019	06	23.05.2019	PRAJWAL	9	Total expenses incurred by the Party (As reported in Part-III of Abstract Statement)	Lump Sum Amount in cash or cheque given to candidate by each Political Party	40,00,000-00	40,00,000-00	NIC	NIL	2	NIL
n Parliament		9		9	PRAJWAL REVANNA		rred by the Party -III of Abstract	Grand Total Of other expenses in kind by the Political Party	2::	1,85,000-00	NIL	NE	Z	NIL
16-Hassan Parliamentary Constituency						10	Total expenses incurred by others/entities as reported in Part-III of Abstract Statement	Lump sum Amount in cash /cheque given to the candidate (and mention names of donors)	14,00,000-00	Nil	N:	N::	N.	2::
псу			F				urred by reported in	Grand total of other expenses in kind incurred for the candidate	Z ₌	Nii	NI	Nii	N.	Nii
		_				11	Remari of the Expend	Observ	,			·	ě.	

No.

Comments of the Expenditure Observer, if any,

S

4

5

Date:20.06.2019

Signature of the DEO
Signature of the Expenditure Observer

Name of the State Karnataka District Hassan Election 16-Hassan Parliamentary Constituency

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	Prajwal Revanna
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	Janatha Dal (Seculer)
3	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	16-Hassan Lokasaba Constituency
4	Name of the elected candidate	Prajwal Revanna
5	Date of declaration of result	23.05.2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18.06.2019
7	(i)Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No).(If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22.06.2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate:	
	(i) original account	19.06.2019
	(ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	,c
12	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12(A)	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether	NA
	DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	·
14(A)	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	· :

15	Grand Total o	f all election	n expenses repo	rted by the	Rs.	53,14,197-00	
			e Abstract Stater				
16	Whether in th	ne DEO's op	inion, the accou	nt of election			
	1		e has been lodg		Yes		
	manner requi	red by the I	R. P. Act, 1951 a	nd C.E. Rules,			
	1961.						
17	If No, then ple	ease mentic	on the following	defects with	120		
	details						
	1	•	enditure Registe			N/A	
		_	ster, Cash Regist				
	Register, Abst	ract Staten	nent has been lo	agea			
	(ii) Whether o	luly sworn i	n affidavit has b		N/A		
	by candidate						
	(iii) Whether	requisite vo	uchers in respec	ct of items of		N/A	
	election expe	nditure sub	mitted				
	(iv) Whether	separate Ba	ink Account ope	ned for election		N/A	
	(v) Whether a	ıll expendit	ure (except pett	y expenditure)	N/A		
	routed throug	gh bank acc		.,,			
18	(i)Whether th	ne DEO had	issued a notice	to the			
	candidate for	rectifying t	he defect			Yes	
	(ii) Whether t	he candida	te rectified the c	lefect		Yes	
	(iii) Comment	s of the DE	O on the above,	i.e. whether the		Rectified	
	defect was re	ctified or n	ot.				
19				reported by the			
	candidate cor	respond wi	ith the expenses	shown in the	Yes		
	Shadow Obse	ervation Reg	gister and Folder	of Evidence.			
	If No, then m	ention the I	following:				
	Items of	Date	Page No. Of	Mention	Amount as	Amount	
	expenditure		Shadow	amount as per	per the	understated by the candidate	
			Observation Register	the Shadow Observation	account submitted	candidate	
			Register	Register/folder	by the		
	-			of evidence	candidate		
		<u> </u>		NIL			
44.0					-		
20			ce his Register o				
			inspection by th			Yes	
			d persons 3 time	s during	a		
	campaign pe	riod					

Company of the Compan

HOUSE TO

21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-	
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	N/A
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	N/A
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	,
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	N/A
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	N/A
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)	Yes
+1	of BEO)	Signature (Name of the DEO)
	Date:19.06.2019	

23	Comments, if any, by the Expe	nditure Observer*-	- 111	· oll ·
			31	Ome
	Date:19.06.2019		Signature of	the Expenditure Observer

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

Name of the State Karnataka District Hassan Election 16-Hassan Parliamentary Constituency

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	MANJU A
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	Bharathiya Janatha Party
3	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	16-Hassan Lokasaba Constituency
4	Name of the elected candidate	Prajwal Revanna
5	Date of declaration of result	23.05.2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18.06.2019
7	(i)Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No).(If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22.06.2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate:	
	(i) original account	20.06.2019
	(ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	
12	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12(A)	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
13-	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	E
14(A)	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	

0

			register	Register/folder	by the	
			Observation Register	the Shadow Observation	account submitted	candidate
	expenditure		Shadow	amount as per	per the	understated by the
	Items of	Date	Page No. Of	Mention	Amount as	Amount
	If No, then m	ention the f	following:			
			gister and Folder	of Evidence.		Yes
	candidate cor			V		
19				reported by the		
	defect was re					
	(iii) Comment			Rectified		
	(ii) vviictiiei t	are carialda	ic recimed tile (aciett		162
	(ii) Whether t	he candida	te rectified the o	defect		Yes
	candidate for	rectifying t	he defect			
18			issued a notice	to the		Yes
	routed throu					
			ure (except pett	y expenditure)		N/A
				ned for election		N/A
				and for clastic		NI/A
	election expe			ct of items of		IN/ A
	by candidate	requisite vo	ouchers in respe	ct of items of		N/A
		duly sworn i	n affidavit has b	een submitted		N/A
	/**\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	1.1	500 1 10 10			
	Register, Abs	tract Staten	nent has been lo	odged		
	1		ster, Cash Regis			
		lection Exp	enditure Registe	er comprising of		N/A
17	details	ease mentio	on the following	defects with		
17			C-II	1.6.4. 11		
	manner requi	ired by the	R. P. Act, 1951 a	nd C.E. Rules,		
			te has been lode		G.	
16	Whether in the			Yes		
			e Abstract State			
			n expenses repo		ns.	47,32,676-00

20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting	
	Election Expenditure for inspection by the	
	Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during	Yes
	campaign period	
21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against	
	Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-	
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the	N/A
	notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued	N/A
	relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is	,
	in regional language) and mention the date of notice	
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation	N/A
	received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in	
	regional language) and mention date of reply	
2	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	N/A
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate.	Yes
	(Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)	
		Det
	Deta:20.06.2040	Signature (Name of the DEO)
	Date:20.06.2019	

23	Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-	
		· Mill
	D. L. 20.05.2042	Stormer Contract
	Date:20.06.2019	Signature of the Expenditure Observer

^{*} If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

^{**} The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

Name of the State Karnataka District Hassan Election 16-Hassan Parliamentary Constituency

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	Vinod Raj K.H.
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	Bahujana samaja Party
3	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	16-Hassan Lokasaba Constituency
4	Name of the elected candidate	Prajwal Revanna
5	Date of declaration of result	23.05.2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18.06.2019
7	(i)Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No).(If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22.06.2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate:	
	(i) original account	19.06.2019
	(ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	
12	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12(A)	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	:
14(A)	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	

 $B_{\overline{Q}}$

				-2-			
15	t.		on expenses repo ne Abstract State	•	Rs.	. 5,73,243-00	
16			pinion, the accou			Yes	
10	expenses of t			162			
			R. P. Act, 1951 a				
	1961.	ired by the	N. F. ACI, 1931 a	mu Ç.L. Nules,			
17		aasa maanti	ion the fallowing	ما مراجع من المراجع ال			
17	details	ease menti	ion the following	defects with			
		lection Exr	enditure Registe	er comprising of		N/A	
			ister, Cash Regist	. •		NA	
			ment has been lo				
		inder otate.	ment has been te	, u 5 c u			
	(ii) Whether o	duly sworn	in affidavit has b	een submitted		N/A	
	by candidate		4				
			ouchers in respe	ct of items of		N/A	
	election expe	enditure sul	bmitted		N/A N/A		
	(iv) Whether	separate B	ank Account ope	ned for election			
	(v) Whether a	all expendit	ture (except pett	y expenditure)			
	routed throu						
18	(i)Whether t	(i)Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the				Yes	
	candidate for	rectifying	the defect				
	(ii) Whether t	the candida	nte rectified the o	defect		Yes	
	(iii) Comment	ts of the DE	O on the above,	i.e. whether the		Rectified	
	defect was re				- 1º		
19	Whether the	items of el	ection expenses	reported by the		Yes	
	13		shown in the				
	1	•	gister and Folder				
	If No, then m						
	Items of	Date	Page No. Of	Mention	Amount as	Amount	
	expenditure		Shadow	amount as per	per the	understated by th	
			Observation	the Shadow	account	candidate	
			Register	Observation	submitted		
				Register/folder	by the		
1				of evidence	candidate		
12.1				NIL			
			-		-		

20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting	Yes
	Election Expenditure for inspection by the	
	Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during	
	campaign period	
21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against	
	Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-	
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the	N/A
	notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued	N/A
	relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is	
	in regional language) and mention the date of notice	
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation	N/A
	received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in	-
	regional language) and mention date of reply (v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's	N/A
	explanation	I N/A
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly	Yes
	reported by the candidate.	
	(Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report	
	of DEO)	ير ۾
		Signature (Name of the DEO)
	Date:19.06.2019	Signature (Nume of the OLO)

23	Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-	- Ju
		Owen.
	Date:19.06.2019	Signature of the Expenditure Observer

 $^{^{*}}$ If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

^{**} The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

Name of the State Karnataka District Hassan Election 16-Hassan Parliamentary Constituency

	SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDI C.E. RULES, 1961	DATE UNDER RULE
S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	H. M. Chandre Gowda
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	Uttama Prajakiya Party
3	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	16-Hassan Lokasaba Constituency
4	Name of the elected candidate	Prajwal Revanna
5	Date of declaration of result	23.05.2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18.06.2019
7	(i)Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No).(If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22.06.2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate:	
	(i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	19.06.2019
12	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
L2(A)	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	T = 145
4(A)	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	

				-2-		
15			n expenses repo		Rs.	2,00,150-00
			Abstract Stater			
16			inion, the accou			Yes
			e has been lodg			
	manner requi 1961.	ired by the f	R. P. Act, 1951 a	nd C.E. Rules,		
17	If No, then plo	ease mentic	n the following	defects with		
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of				N/A	
	Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank					
	Register, Abs	tract Statem	ent has been lo	dged		
	(ii) Whether of	duly sworn i	n affidavit has b	een submitted		N/A
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of					N/A
	election expenditure submitted					
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election				N/A	
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account				N/A	
18			issued a notice	to the		Yes
	candidate for rectifying the defect (ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect				Yes	
.53	(iii) Comment defect was re			i.e. whether the		Rectified
19	Whether the	items of ele	ction expenses	reported by the		Yes
	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the					
	Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence.					
	If No, then mention the following:					
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. Of Shadow	Mention amount as per	Amount as per the	Amount understated by the
			Observation Register	the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	account submitted by the	candidate
		I		or evidence	candidate	
	NIL					

20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting	Yes
	Election Expenditure for inspection by the	
	Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during	4.
	campaign period	
21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against	
	Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-	
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the	N/A
	notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued	N/A
	relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is	-
	in regional language) and mention the date of notice	
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation	N/A
	received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in	
	regional language) and mention date of reply	
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	N/A
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly	Yes
	reported by the candidate.	
	(Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report	
	of DEO)	N
		D. T.
		Signature (Name of the DEO)
	Date:19.06.2019	

23	Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		Omero.
	Date:19.06.2019	Signature of the Expenditure Observer

^{*} If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

^{**} The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

Name of the State Karnataka District Hassan Election 16-Hassan Parliamentary Constituency

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	M.Mahesh
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	Indipentdent
3	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	16-Hassas Lokasaba Constituency
4	Name of the elected candidate	Prajwal Revanna
5	Date of declaration of result	23.05.2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18.06.2019
7	(i)Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No).(If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22.06.2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate:	
	(i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	19.06.2019
12	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12(A)	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	
14(A)	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	

15			n expenses repo	· ·	R.	s. 25,000-00
			e Abstract State			×
16	i		pinion, the accou		W.	
	expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the					
	manner requ 1961.	ired by the	R. P. Act, 1951 a	and C.E. Rules,		Yes
17		ease menti	on the following	defects with		
	details (i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of					(
				N/A		
			ster, Cash Regis			
	Register, Abs	tract Staten	nent has been lo	odged		
		duly sworn	in affidavit has b	een submitted		N/A
	by candidate					
	(iii) Whether			N/A		
	election expenditure submitted					
	(iv) Whether	separate Ba	N/A			
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure)				N/A	
	routed through bank account					
18	(i)Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the					Yes
	candidate for rectifying the defect					
	(ii) Whether t	the candida		Yes		
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the					Rectified
	defect was rectified or not.					
19	Whether the	items of ele	ection expenses	reported by the		Yes
	candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the					
	Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence.					
	If No, then mention the following:					
	Items of	Date	Page No. Of	Mention	Amount as	Amount
	expenditure		Shadow	amount as per	per the	understated by the
			Observation	the Shadow	account	candidate
			Register	Observation	submitted	
			341	Register/folder	by the	
			-	of evidence	candidate	
	-			NIL		
				IVIL	-	

20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the	
	Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period	Yes
21 *	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-	
11	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	N/A
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	N/A
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	N/A
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation.	N/A
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report	Yes
	of DEO)	Signature (Name of the DEO)
	Date:19.06.2019	

23	Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-		.11
	E	h.	molling.
	-	James O	
	Date:19.06.2019	Signature of the Expenditure Obs	erver

^{*} If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

^{**} The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO-06 Name of the State Karnataka District Hassan Election 16-Hassan Parliamentary Constituency

DEO"S SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE	
89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961	

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	R.G. Satish
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	Indipentdent
3	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	16-Hassan Lokasaba Constituency
4	Name of the elected candidate	
5	Date of declaration of result	Prajwal Revanna
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	23.05.2019 18.06.2019
7	(i)Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No).(If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	
10		22.06.2019
11	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate:	
	(i) original account	19.06.2019
	(ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	23.00.2019
12	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
2(A)	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether	NA
	DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	
(A)	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	

20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period	Yes
21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-	
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	N/A
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	N/A
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	E
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	N/A
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	N/A
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report	Yes
	of DEO) Date:19.06.2019	Signature (Name of the DEO)

23	Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-	built.
	Date:19.06.2019	Signature of the Expenditure Observer

^{*} If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

^{**} The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.