Govt. of Karnataka

Office of the Deputy Commissioner, Dakshina Kannada District, Mangalore

Tele Phone: 0824-2220592
email:deo.dkannada@gmail.com

No.ELN (1) CR-50/2019-20

Date: 30.07.2019.

To,

The Chief Electoral Officer and,
Additional Chief secretary to the Govt, DPAR(Elections),
Nirvachan Nilaya, Sheshadri Road,
Bengaluru -560001.

Sir,

Sub : General Election to Lok Sabha 2019- Submission of Candidates accounts of election expenses-reg

Ref : Chief Electoral Office, Bengaluru Letter No: SIASUE 18 CHULOSA 2019 dated 12-07-2019

@@@

Kindly refer to the letter from Chief Electoral officer, Karnataka, directing this office to furnish Scrutiny Report of Candidates accounts of election expenses of General Election to Lok Sabha 2019. The Scrutiny Report of Candidates accounts of election expenses will be submitted by Sri Vipin, SDA, DC office for MUDHAM. The Scrutiny Report is attached for your kind perusal.

Your's faithfully

For District Election Officer &
Deputy Commissioner
Dakshina Kannada District

SECRETARIAT OF THE
ELECTION COMMISSION OF INDIA
Nirvachan Sadan, Ashoka Road, New Delhi-110001

Candidate Scrutiny Reports **Date of Print:** 27.06.2019 03:24 pm

State: Karnataka

SUMMARY REPORT OF DEO FOR EACH CONSTITUENCY ON LODGING OF ELECTION EXPENSES ACCOUNTS BY CANDIDATES

(a) No. and Name of Parliamentary Constituency: **17-Dakshina Kannada**

(b) Total No. Contesting Candidates: **13**

(c) State : **Karnataka**

(d) Date of Declaration of Result of Election/Bye-election: **23-05-2019**

(e) Last Date of Lodging Accounts: **22-06-2019**

(f) Name of the Elected Candidate:**NALIN KUMAR KATEEL**

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.		10.		11.
Sr .No.	Name of the Candidate and Party Affiliation	Due Date of Lodging of Account	Date of Lodging of Account by the Candidate	Whether Lodged in the Prescribed Format (Yes or No)	Whether Lodged in the manner required by Law (Yes or No)	Grand Total of the Expenses Incurred/Authorized by the Candidate/Agent (as mentioned in *Part-II* of Abstract Statement)	Whether the DEO agrees with the amount shown by the candidate against all items of expenditure (Should be similar to point no. 22 of DEO's Scrutiny Report i.e. Annexure - C3)	Total Expenses Incurred by the Party (As reported in Part-III of Abstract Statement)		Total Expenses Incurred by others/entities as reported in Part-III of Abstract Statement		Remarks of the Expenditure Observer
								Lump Sum Amount in cash or cheque given to candidate by each Political Party	Grand Total of other Expenses kind by the Political Party	Lump Sum Amount in cash/cheque given to the candidate (and mention names of donors)	Grand Total of other expenses in kind incurred for the candidate	
1	SUPREETH KUMAR POOJARY-Hindustan Janta Party	22-06-2019	18-06-2019	Yes	Yes	28111	yes	N/A	N/A	35000	N/A	N/A
2	VIJAY SHREENIVAS .C-Uttama Prajaakeeya Party	22-06-2019	18-06-2019	Yes	Yes	38670	yes	N/A	N/A	38670	N/A	N/A
3	DEEPAK RAJESH COELHO-Independent	22-06-2019	18-06-2019	Yes	Yes	28000	yes	N/A	N/A	28000	N/A	N/A
4	NALIN KUMAR KATEEL-Bharatiya Janata Party	22-06-2019	18-06-2019	Yes	Yes	6073396	yes	4000000	N/A	2074923	N/A	The duly filled the draft C3 has been pursued and found in order. The newly introduced Schedule 10 will be obtained from the candidates and uploaded by the DEO prior to his uploading of this C3.
5	MAXIM PINTO-Independent	22-06-2019	18-06-2019	Yes	Yes	44677	yes	N/A	N/A	44677	N/A	N/A

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.		10.		11.
Sr .No.	Name of the Candidate and Party Affiliation	Due Date of Lodging of Account	Date of Lodging of Account by the Candidate	Whether Lodged in the Prescribed Format (Yes or No)	Whether Lodged in the manner required by Law (Yes or No)	Grand Total of the Expenses Incurred/Authorized by the Candidate/Agent (as mentioned in *Part-II* of Abstract Statement)	Whether the DEO agrees with the amount shown by the candidate against all items of expenditure (Should be similar to point no. 22 of DEO's Scrutiny Report i.e. Annexure - C3)	Total Expenses incurred by the Party (As reported in Part-III of Abstract Statement)		Total Expenses Incurred by others/entities as reported in Part-III of Abstract Statement		Remarks of the Expenditure Observer
								Lump Sum Amount in cash or cheque given to candidate by each Political Party	Grand Total of other Expenses kind by the Political Party	Lump Sum Amount in cash/cheque given to the candidate (and mention names of donors)	Grand Total of other expenses in kind incurred for the candidate	
6	MOHAMMED ELIYAS-SOCIAL DEMOCRATIC PARTY OF INDIA	22-06-2019	18-06-2019	Yes	Yes	1214239	yes	1199926	N/A	40000	N/A	N/A
7	MITHUN M RAI-Indian National Congress	22-06-2019	18-06-2019	Yes	Yes	4921856	yes	N/A	N/A	4921856	N/A	N/A
8	MOHAMMAD KHALID-Independent	22-06-2019	18-06-2019	Yes	Yes	32500	yes	N/A	N/A	32500	N/A	N/A
9	ALEXANDER-Independent	22-06-2019	18-06-2019	Yes	Yes	74302	yes	N/A	N/A	74302	N/A	N/A
10	VENKATESH BENDE-Independent	22-06-2019	18-06-2019	Yes	Yes	26500	yes	N/A	N/A	26500	N/A	N/A
11	S. SATHISH SALIAN-Bahujan Samaj Party	22-06-2019	18-06-2019	Yes	Yes	140135	yes	N/A	N/A	140135	N/A	N/A
12	ABDUL HAMEED-Independent	22-06-2019	18-06-2019	Yes	Yes	25000	yes	N/A	N/A	25000	N/A	N/A
13	H. SURESH POOJARY-Independent	22-06-2019	18-06-2019	Yes	Yes	25000	yes	N/A	N/A	25000	N/A	N/A

Comments of the Expenditure Observer, If any, _____ Signature of the DEO S-M-S

Nirvachan Sadan, Ashoka Road, New Delhi- 110001

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO

Name of the State Karnataka

District Dakshina Kannada

Election Loksabha Election

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
S.No.	**Description**	**To be filled up by the DEO**
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Abdul Hameed
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Independent
3.	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituenc y	17- Loksabha Dakshina Kannada
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Nalin kumar Kateel
5.	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	22-06-2019
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22-06-2019
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes

11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	25.06.2019 25.06.2019
12.	Whether account lodged in time	NO
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	2DAYS
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	YES
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	Explanation accepted as it was found valid
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	NA
15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs. 25000/-.
16.	Whether in the DEO 's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	Yes
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	NA
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	NA
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	NA
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	NA
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	NA
18.	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect (ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect (iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.	NO NA NA

19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:				*Yes*	
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
i	NA					
ii, ii	NA					
TOTAL						
20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period				*No* Candidate has not produced his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for 2nd and 3rd inspection by the Observer.	
21.	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-					
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting				NA	
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice				NA	
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?				NA	
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply				NA	
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation				NA	

22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO) Date: 25-06-2019	*Yes* *Signature (Name of the DEO)*

23. Comments, If any, by the Expenditure Observer: -

The duly filled the draft C3 has been pursued and found in order. The newly introduced schedule 10 will be obtained from the candidates and uploaded by the DEO prior to his uploading of this C3

Date: 25-06-2019

Signature of the Expenditure Observer

EXPENDITURE OBSERVER
17- DAKSHIN
PARLIAMENTARY
17- ದಕ್ಷಿಣ ಕನ್ನಡ

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO

Name of the State Karnataka

District Dakshina Kannada

Election Loksabha Election

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
S.No.	**Description**	**To be filled up by the DEO**
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Nalin Kumar Kateel
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Bharthiya Janatha Party
3.	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituenc y	17- Loksabha Dakshina Kannada
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Nalin Kumar Kateel
5.	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	22-06-2019
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	*Yes*
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	*Yes*
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	*Yes*

11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	1)18-06-2019 2) 22-06-2019
12.	Whether account lodged in time	*Yes*
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	*NA*
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	*No*
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	NA
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	NA
15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	*Rs.60,73,396/-.*
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	*Yes*
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	NA
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	NA
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	NA
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	NA
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	NA
18.	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect (ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect (iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.	*NO* *NA* *NA*

19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence.				Yes	
	If No, then mention the following:					
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
i	NA					
ii, ii	NA					
TOTAL						
20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period				YES	
21.	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-					
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting				NA	
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice				NA	
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?				NA	
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply				NA	
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation				NA	

22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO) Date: 25-06-2019	*Yes* *Signature* *(Name of the DEO)*

23. Comments, If any, by the Expenditure Observer: -

The duly filled the draft C3 has been pursued and found in order. The newly introduced schedule 10 will be obtained from the candidates and uploaded by the DEO prior to his uploading of this C3

Date: 25-06-2019

Signature of the Expenditure Observer

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO

Name of the State Karnataka

District Dakshina Kannada

Election Loksabha Election

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
S.No.	**Description**	**To be filled up by the DEO**
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Supreeth kumar poojary
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Hindustan Janta Party
3.	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituenc y	17- Loksabha Dakshina Kannada
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Nalin kumar Kateel
5.	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	22-06-2019
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22-06-2019
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes

11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	1)18-06-2019 2) 21-06-2019
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NO
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	NA
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	
15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs.28,111/-.
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	Yes
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	NA
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	NA
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	NA
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	NA
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	NA
18.	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect	NO
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect	NA
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.	NA

19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:				*Yes*	
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
i	NA					
ii, ii	NA					
TOTAL						
20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period				*No* Candidate not produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for 3rd inspection by the Observer.	
21.	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-					
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting				NA	
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice				NA	
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?				NA	
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply				NA	
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation				NA	

22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO) Date: 25-06-2019	Yes Signature (Name of the DEO)

23. Comments, If any, by the Expenditure Observer: -

The duly filled the draft C3 has been pursued and found in order. The newly introduced schedule 10 will be obtained from the candidates and uploaded by the DEO prior to his uploading of this C3

Date: 25-06-2019

Signature of the Expenditure Observer

EXPENDITURE OBSERVER
ಲೆಕ್ಕ ಪರಿಶೀಲಕರು
17- DAKSHINA KANNADA
PARLIAMENTARY CONSTITUENCY
17- ದಕ್ಷಿಣ ಕನ್ನಡ ಲೋಕಸಭಾ ಕ್ಷೇತ್ರ

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO

Name of the State Karnataka

District Dakshina Kannada

Election Loksabha Election

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
S.No.	**Description**	**To be filled up by the DEO**
1.	Name & address of the candidate	H Suresh Poojary
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Independent
3.	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituenc y	17- Loksabha Dakshina Kannada
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Nalin kumar Kateel
5.	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	22-06-2019
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22-06-2019
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes

11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	1)18-06-2019 2) 24-06-2019
12.	Whether account lodged in time	No
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	1 Day
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	Yes
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	
15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs25,000/-.
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	Yes
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	NA
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	NA
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	NA
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	NA
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	NA
18.	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect	NO
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect	NA
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.	NA

19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:				Yes	
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
i	NA					
ii, ii	NA					
TOTAL						
20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period				yes	
21.	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-					
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting				NA	
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice				NA	
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?				NA	
	(Iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply				NA	
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation				NA	

22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO) Date: 25-06-2019	*Yes* *Signature (Name of the DEO)*

23. Comments, If any, by the Expenditure Observer: -

The duly filled the draft C3 has been pursued and found in order. The newly introduced schedule 10 will be obtained from the candidates and uploaded by the DEO prior to his uploading of this C3

Date: 25-06-2019

Signature of the Expenditure Observer

EXPENDITURE OBSERVER
ಲೆಕ್ಕ ವೀಕ್ಷಕರು
17- DAKSHINA KANNADA
PARLIAMENTARY CONSTITUENCY
17- ದಕ್ಷಿಣ ಕನ್ನಡ ಲೋಕಸಭಾ ಕ್ಷೇತ್ರ

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO

Name of the State Karnataka

District Dakshina Kannada

Election Loksabha Election

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
S.No.	**Description**	**To be filled up by the DEO**
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Vijaya Shreenivas
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Uttama Prajaakeeya party
3.	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituenc y	17- Loksabha Dakshina Kannada
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Nalin kumar Kateel
5.	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	22-06-2019
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22-06-2019
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes

11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	1)18-06-2019 2) 22-06-2019
12.	Whether account lodged in time	*Yes*
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	*NA*
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	*NO*
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	NA
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	
15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	*Rs.38,670/-.*
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	*Yes*
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	NA
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	NA
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	NA
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	NA
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	NA
18.	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect (ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect (iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.	*NO* *NA* *NA*

19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:				*Yes*	
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
i	NA					
ii, ii	NA					
TOTAL						
20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period				*Yes*	
21.	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-					
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting				NA	
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice				NA	
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?				NA	
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply				NA	
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation				NA	

22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO) Date: 25-06-2019	*Yes* *Signature (Name of the DEO)*

23. Comments, If any, by the Expenditure Observer: -

The duly filled the draft C3 has been pursued and found in order. The newly introduced schedule 10 will be obtained from the candidates and uploaded by the DEO prior to his uploading of this C3

Date: 25-06-2019

Signature of the Expenditure Observer

EXPENDITURE OBSERVER
17-DAKSHINA KANNADA
PARLIAMENTARY CONSTITUENCY
17- ದಕ್ಷಿಣ ಕನ್ನಡ ಲೋಕಸಭಾ ಕ್ಷೇತ್ರ

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO

Name of the State Karnataka

District Dakshina Kannada

Election Loksabha Election

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
S.No.	**Description**	**To be filled up by the DEO**
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Mithun M Rai
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Indian National Congress
3.	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituenc y	17- Loksabha Dakshina Kannada
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Nalin kumar Kateel
5.	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	22-06-2019
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22-06-2019
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes

11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	1)18-06-2019 2) 21-06-2019
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	No
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	NA
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	NA
15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs.49,21,856/-.
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	Yes
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	NA
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	NA
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	NA
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	NA
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	NA
18.	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect (ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect (iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.	NO NA NA

19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:				*Yes*	
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
i	NA					
ii, ii	NA					
TOTAL						
20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period				*YES*	
21.	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-					
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting				NA	
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice				NA	
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?				NA	
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply				NA	
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation				NA	

22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO) Date: 25-06-2019	*Yes* *Signature* *(Name of the DEO)*

23. Comments, If any, by the Expenditure Observer: -

The duly filled the draft C3 has been pursued and found in order. The newly introduced schedule 10 will be obtained from the candidates and uploaded by the DEO prior to his uploading of this C3

Date: 25-06-2019

Signature of the Expenditure Observer

EXPENDITURE OBSERVER
ವೆಚ್ಚ ವೀಕ್ಷಕರು
17- DAKSHINA KANNADA
PARLIAMENTARY CONSTITUENCY
17- ದಕ್ಷಿಣ ಕನ್ನಡ ಲೋಕಸಭಾ

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO

Name of the State Karnataka

District Dakshina Kannada

Election Loksabha Election

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
S.No.	**Description**	**To be filled up by the DEO**
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Maxim Pinto
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Independent
3.	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituenc y	17- Loksabha Dakshina Kannada
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Nalin kumar Kateel
5.	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	22-06-2019
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	*Yes*
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	*Yes*
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). **(If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)**	*Yes*
9.	**Last date prescribed for lodging Account**	22-06-2019
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	*Yes*

11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	1)18-06-2019 2) 21-06-2019
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NO
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	NA
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	
15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs.44,677/-.
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	Yes
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	NA
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	NA
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	NA
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	NA
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	NA
18.	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect (ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect (iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.	NO NA NA

19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:				Yes	
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
i	NA					
ii, ii	NA					
TOTAL						
20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period				YES	
21.	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-					
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting				NA	
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice				NA	
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?				NA	
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply				NA	
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation				NA	

22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO) Date: 25-06-2019	*Yes* *Signature* *(Name of the DEO)*

23. Comments, If any, by the Expenditure Observer: -

The duly filled the draft C3 has been pursued and found in order. The newly introduced schedule 10 will be obtained from the candidates and uploaded by the DEO prior to his uploading of this C3

Date: 25-06-2019

Signature of the Expenditure Observer

EXPENDITURE OBSERVER
17- DAKSHINA KANNADA
PARLIAMENTARY CONSTITUENCY
17- ದಕ್ಷಿಣ ಕನ್ನಡ ಲೋಕಸಭಾ ಕ್ಷೇತ್ರ

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO

Name of the State Karnataka

District Dakshina Kannada

Election Loksabha Election

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
S.No.	**Description**	**To be filled up by the DEO**
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Mohammed khalid
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Independent
3.	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituenc y	17- Loksabha Dakshina Kannada
4.	Namc of the elected candidate	Nalin kumar Kateel
5.	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	22-06-2019
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22-06-2019
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes

11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	1)18-06-2019 2) 21-06-2019
12.	Whether account lodged in time	*Yes*
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	*NA*
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	*NO*
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	NA
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	
15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	*Rs32,500/-.*
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	*Yes*
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	NA
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	NA
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	NA
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	NA
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	NA
18.	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect (ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect (iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.	*NO* *NA* *NA*

19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:				*Yes*	
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
i	NA					
ii, ii	NA					
TOTAL						

20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period	*No* Candidate has not produced his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for 2nd inspection by the Observer.
21.	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-	
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	NA
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	NA
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?	NA
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	NA
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	NA

22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO) Date: 25-06-2019	*Yes* *Signature (Name of the DEO)*

23. Comments, If any, by the Expenditure Observer: -

The duly filled the draft C3 has been pursued and found in order. The newly introduced schedule 10 will be obtained from the candidates and uploaded by the DEO prior to his uploading of this C3

Date: 25-06-2019

Signature of the Expenditure Observer

25/6/19

EXPENDITURE OBSERVER
17- DAKSHINA KANNADA
PARLIAMENTARY CONSTITUENCY
17- ದಕ್ಷಿಣ ಕನ್ನಡ ಲೋಕಸಭಾ ಕ್ಷೇತ್ರ

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO

Name of the State Karnataka

District Dakshina Kannada

Election Loksabha Election

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
S.No.	**Description**	**To be filled up by the DEO**
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Dominic Alexander D souza
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Independent
3.	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituenc y	17- Loksabha Dakshina Kannada
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Nalin kumar Kateel
5.	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	22-06-2019
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	*Yes*
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	*Yes*
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	*Yes*
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22-06-2019
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	*Yes*

11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	1)18-06-2019 2) 21-06-2019
12.	Whether account lodged in time	*Yes*
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	*NA*
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	*NO*
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	NA
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	
15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	*Rs.74,302/-.*
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	*Yes*
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	NA
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	NA
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	NA
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	NA
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	NA
18.	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect (ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect (iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.	*NO* *NA* *NA*

19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:				*Yes*	
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
i	NA					
ii, ii	NA					
TOTAL						
20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period				*No* Candidate not produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for 3rd inspection by the Observer.	
21.	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-					
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting				NA	
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice				NA	
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?				NA	
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply				NA	
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation				NA	

22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO) Date: 25-06-2019	*Yes* *Signature (Name of the DEO)*

23. Comments, If any, by the Expenditure Observer: -

The duly filled the draft C3 has been pursued and found in order. The newly introduced schedule 10 will be obtained from the candidates and uploaded by the DEO prior to his uploading of this C3

Date: 25-06-2019

Signature of the Expenditure Observer

EXPENDITURE OBSERVER
ಖರ್ಚು ವೀಕ್ಷಕರು
17- DAKSHINA KANNADA
PARLIAMENTARY CONSTITUENCY
17- ದಕ್ಷಿಣ ಕನ್ನಡ ಲೋಕಸಭಾ ಕ್ಷೇತ್ರ

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO

Name of the State Karnataka

District Dakshina Kannada

Election Loksabha Election

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
S.No.	**Description**	**To be filled up by the DEO**
1.	Name & address of the candidate	S Sathish Salian
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	BSP
3.	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituenc y	17- Loksabha Dakshina Kannada
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Nalin kumar Kateel
5.	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	22-06-2019
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22-06-2019
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes

11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	1)18-06-2019 2) 21-06-2019
12.	Whether account lodged in time	*Yes*
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	*NA*
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	*NO*
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	NA
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	
15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	*Rs.140135/-.*
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	*Yes*
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	NA
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	NA
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	NA
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	NA
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	NA
18.	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect (ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect (iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.	*NO* *NA* *NA*

19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:				*Yes*	
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
i	NA					
ii, ii	NA					
TOTAL						
20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period				*YES*	
21.	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-					
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting				NA	
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice				NA	
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?				NA	
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply				NA	
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation				NA	

22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO) Date: 25-06-2019	*Yes* *Signature* *(Name of the DEO)*

23. Comments, If any, by the Expenditure Observer: -

The duly filled the draft C3 has been pursued and found in order. The newly introduced schedule 10 will be obtained from the candidates and uploaded by the DEO prior to his uploading of this C3

Date: 25-06-2019

Signature of the Expenditure Observer

EXPENDITURE OBSERVER
17- DAKSHINA KANNADA
PARLIAMENTARY CONSTITUENCY

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO

Name of the State Karnataka

District Dakshina Kannada

Election Loksabha Election

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
S.No.	**Description**	**To be filled up by the DEO**
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Venktesh Bende
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Independent
3.	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituenc y	17- Loksabha Dakshina Kannada
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Nalin kumar Kateel
5.	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	22-06-2019
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22-06-2019
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes

11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	1) 18-06-2019 2) 22-06-2019
12.	Whether account lodged in time	*Yes*
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	*NA*
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	*NO*
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	NA
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	
15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	*Rs26,500/-.*
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	*Yes*
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	NA
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	NA
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	NA
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	NA
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	NA
18.	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect (ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect (iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.	*NO* *NA* *NA*

19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:				*Yes*	
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
i	NA					
ii, ii	NA					
TOTAL						
20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period				*No* candidate has not produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for the 1st and 3rd inspection by the Observer	
21.	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-					
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting				NA	
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice				NA	
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?				NA	
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply				NA	
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation				NA	

22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO) Date: 25-06-2019	*Yes* *Signature (Name of the DEO)*

23. Comments, If any, by the Expenditure Observer: -

The duly filled the draft C3 has been pursued and found in order. The newly introduced schedule 10 will be obtained from the candidates and uploaded by the DEO prior to his uploading of this C3

Date: 25-06-2019

Signature of the Expenditure Observer

EXPENDITURE OBSERVER
17- DAKSHINA KANNADA
PARLIAMENTARY CONSTITUENCY
17- ದಕ್ಷಿಣ ಕನ್ನಡ ಲೋಕಸಭಾ ಕ್ಷೇತ್ರ

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO

Name of the State Karnataka

District Dakshina Kannada

Election Loksabha Election

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
S.No.	**Description**	**To be filled up by the DEO**
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Mohammed Eliyas
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Social Democratic Party of India
3.	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituenc y	17- Loksabha Dakshina Kannada
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Nalin kumar Kateel
5.	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	22-06-2019
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22-06-2019
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes

11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	1)18-06-2019 2) 22-06-2019
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NO
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	NA
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	
15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs. 12,14,239/-.
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	Yes
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	NA
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	NA
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	NA
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	NA
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	NA
18.	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect (ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect (iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.	NO NA NA

19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:				Yes	
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
i	NA					
ii, ii	NA					
TOTAL						
20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period				YES	
21.	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-					
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting				NA	
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice				NA	
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?				NA	
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply				NA	
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation				NA	

22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO) Date: 25-06-2019	*Yes* *Signature (Name of the DEO)*

23. Comments, If any, by the Expenditure Observer: -

The duly filled the draft C3 has been pursued and found in order. The newly introduced schedule 10 will be obtained from the candidates and uploaded by the DEO prior to his uploading of this C3

Date: 25-06-2019

Signature of the Expenditure Observer

EXPENDITURE OBSERVER
17- DAKSHINA KANNADA
PARLIAMENTARY CONSTITUENCY
17- ದಕ್ಷಿಣ ಕನ್ನಡ ಲೋಕಸಭಾ ಕ್ಷೇ

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO

Name of the State Karnataka

District Dakshina Kannada

Election Loksabha Election

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
S.No.	**Description**	**To be filled up by the DEO**
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Deepak Rajesh Coelho
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Independent
3.	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituenc y	17- Loksabha Dakshina Kannada
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Nalin kumar Kateel
5.	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	22-06-2019
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	*Yes*
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	*Yes*
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	*Yes*
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22-06-2019
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	*Yes*

11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	1)18-06-2019 2) 21-06-2019
12.	Whether account lodged in time	*Yes*
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	*NA*
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	*NO*
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	NA
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	
15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	*Rs.28,000/-.*
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	*Yes*
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	NA
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	NA
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	NA
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	NA
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	NA
18.	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect (ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect (iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.	*NO* *NA* *NA*

19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:				*Yes*	
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
i	NA					
ii, ii	NA					
TOTAL						
20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period				*Yes*	
21.	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-					
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting				NA	
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice				NA	
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?				NA	
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply				NA	
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation				NA	

22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO) Date: 25-06-2019	*Yes* *Signature (Name of the DEO)*

23. Comments, If any, by the Expenditure Observer: -

The duly filled the draft C3 has been pursued and found in order. The newly introduced schedule 10 will be obtained from the candidates and uploaded by the DEO prior to his uploading of this C3

Date: 25-06-2019

Signature of the Expenditure Observer

EXPENDITURE OBSERVER
17- DAKSHINA KANNADA
PARLIAMENTARY CONSTITUENCY
17- ದಕ್ಷಿಣ ಕನ್ನಡ ಲೋಕಸಭಾ ಕ್ಷೇತ್ರ