



ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ಸರ್ಕಾರ

ಜಿಲ್ಲಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳ ಕಾರ್ಯಾಲಯ, ಚಿತ್ರದುರ್ಗ ಜಿಲ್ಲೆ, ಚಿತ್ರದುರ್ಗ.

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ:ಚುನಾವಣೆ/ಸಿಆರ್ - 38/19-20

ದಿನಾಂಕ:17.07.2019.

ಇವರಿಗೆ,

ಮುಖ್ಯ ಚುನಾವಣಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು ಹಾಗೂ

ಪದನಿಮಿತ್ತ ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಅಪರ ಮುಖ್ಯ ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿಗಳು

ಡಿ.ಪಿ.ಎ.ಆರ್ (ಚುನಾವಣೆಗಳು)

ನಿರ್ವಾಚನ ನಿಲಯ, ಶೇಷಾದ್ರಿ ರಸ್ತೆ

ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು-560001

ಮಾನ್ಯರೇ,

ವಿಷಯ: ಲೋಕಸಭಾ ಸಾರ್ವತ್ರಿಕ ಚುನಾವಣೆ-2019 ರ ಸಂಬಂಧ ಚಿತ್ರದುರ್ಗ ಲೋಕಸಭಾ ಕ್ಷೇತ್ರದಲ್ಲಿ ಸ್ಪರ್ಧಿಸಿದ ಅಭ್ಯರ್ಥಿಗಳ ಖರ್ಚು ವೆಚ್ಚಗಳನ್ನು ಮಾಹಿತಿಯನ್ನು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸುತ್ತಿರುವ ಬಗ್ಗೆ.

ಉಲ್ಲೇಖ: Compendium of Instructions on Election Expenditure Monitoring(Feb-2019).

ಪೋಲ್ಡಂಡ ವಿಷಯಕ್ಕೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದಂತೆ, ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ಲೋಕಸಭಾ ಸಾರ್ವತ್ರಿಕ ಚುನಾವಣೆ-2019 ರ ಸಂಬಂಧ ಚಿತ್ರದುರ್ಗ ಲೋಕಸಭಾ ಕ್ಷೇತ್ರದಲ್ಲಿ 19 ಅಭ್ಯರ್ಥಿಗಳು ಸ್ಪರ್ಧಿಸಿದ್ದು, ಸ್ಪರ್ಧಿಸಿದ ಎಲ್ಲಾ ಅಭ್ಯರ್ಥಿಗಳು ವೆಚ್ಚ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ. ಸದರಿ ಅಭ್ಯರ್ಥಿಗಳ ವಿವರಗಳು ಈ ಕೆಳಕಂಡಂತಿದ್ದು,

ಪ್ರಯುಕ್ತ ಸದರಿ ಅಭ್ಯರ್ಥಿಗಳ Annexure C-3 & Summary report ಗಳ ಪ್ರತಿಯನ್ನು ಈ ಪತ್ರದೊಂದಿಗೆ ಲಗತ್ತಿಸಿ ತಮ್ಮ ಮುಂದಿನ ಕ್ರಮಕ್ಕಾಗಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿದೆ.

Sl. No.	Name of Candidate	Name of Political Party/Independent	Remarks
1	B. N CHANDRAPPA	INDIAN NATIONAL CONGRESS	SUBMITTED
2	A. NARAYANASWAMY	BHARATHIYA JANATHA PARTY	SUBMITTED
3	MAHANTHESH C.U	BAHUJAN SAMAJ PARTY	SUBMITTED
4	ARUNACHALAM Y	PYRAMID PARTY OF INDIA	SUBMITTED
5	DEVENDRAPPA	UTTAMA PRAJAAKEEYA PARTY	SUBMITTED
6	NIRANJANA A.D CHELANGI	AMBEDKAR SAMAJ PARTY	SUBMITTED
7	S MEETYANAIAK	PRAGATISHIL SAMAJWADI PARTY(LOHIA)	SUBMITTED

8	KUMAR Y	INDEPENDENT	SUBMITTED
9	GANESH	INDEPENDENT	SUBMITTED
10	THIPPESWAMY T	INDEPENDENT	SUBMITTED
11	C.H NARAYANASWAMY	INDEPENDENT	SUBMITTED
12	D PENNAPPA	INDEPENDENT	SUBMITTED
13	V. S BHUTHARAJA	INDEPENDENT	SUBMITTED
14	RAMESII V	INDEPENDENT	SUBMITTED
15	L RANGAPPA(RTD. DDPI)	INDEPENDENT	SUBMITTED
16	LOKESHA M. K	INDEPENDENT	SUBMITTED
17	N. T VIJAYAKUMAR	INDEPENDENT	SUBMITTED
18	L VENUGOPAL	INDEPENDENT	SUBMITTED
19	R HANUMANTHAPPA	INDEPENDENT	SUBMITTED

ತಮ್ಮ ನಂಬುಗೆಯ,

(ಆರ್.ವಿನೋತ್ ಪ್ರಿಯಾ)

ಜಿಲ್ಲಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು ಹಾಗೂ
ಜಿಲ್ಲಾ ಚುನಾವಣಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು
ಚಿತ್ರದುರ್ಗ ಜಿಲ್ಲೆ.





SUMMARY REPORT OF DEO FOR EACH CONSTITUENCY ON LODGING OF ELECTION EXPENSES ACCOUNTS BY CANDIDATES

(a) No. And name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency: 18 Chitradurga (S.C) Parliamentary Constituency

(b) Total No. Of contesting candidate: 19

(c) State and District: Karnataka and Chitradurga Election: Parliamentary Election-2019

(d) Date of declaration of result of election/hyc- 23-05-2019

(e) Name of the elected candidate: A.NARAYANA SWAMY

(c) Last date of lodging accounts: 21-06-2019

Sl. No.	Name of the candidate and Party Affiliation	Due date of lodging of Account	Date of lodging of accounts by the candidate	Whether lodged Prescribed formate (Yes or No)	Whether lodged in the Manner required by law (Yes or No)	Grand Total of the Expenses incurred/authorized by the candidate/agent (as mentioned in Part-II of Abstract Statement) (Rs)	Whether the DEO agrees with the amount shown by the candidate against all items of expenditure (Should be similar to point no 22 of DEO's Scrutiny Report i.e. Annexure-C3) (Rs)	Total Expenses incurred by the Party (As reported in Part-III of Abstract Statement)		Total expenses incurred by others/entities as reported in Part-III of Abstract Statement		Remarks of the Expenditure Observer
								Lump sum Amount in cash or cheque given to candidate by each Political Party (Rs)	Grand Total of other expenses in kind by the Political Party (Rs)	Lump sum Amount in cash/ cheque given to the candidate (and mention names of donors) (Rs)	Grand total of other expenses in kind incurred for the candidate	
1	B.N. CHANDRAPPA INDIAN NATIONAL CONGRESS	21/06/2019	18/06/2019	Yes	Yes	Rs.63,24,503-00	Yes	-	-	-	-	-
2	A. NARAYANASWAMY BHARATIYA JANATHA PARTY	21/06/2019	18/06/2019	Yes	Yes	Rs.57,22,944-00	Yes	Rs.40,00,000-00	-	-	-	-
3	MAHANTHESH. C.É BAHUJAN SAMAJ PARTY	21/06/2019	18/06/2019	Yes	Yes	Rs.3,30,261-00	Yes	-	-	-	-	-
4	ARUNACHALAM. Y PYRAMID PARTY OF INDIA	21/06/2019	18/06/2019	Yes	Yes	Rs.1,87,640-00	Yes	-	-	-	-	-
5	DEVENDRAPPA UTTAMA PRAJAAKHEYA PARTY	21/06/2019	18/06/2019	Yes	Yes	Rs.45,667-00	Yes	-	-	-	-	-
6	NIRANJANA. A.D. CHEELANGI AMBEDKAR SAMAJ PARTY	21/06/2019	18/06/2019	Yes	Yes	Rs.20,299-00	Yes	-	-	-	-	-
7	S. NIETYANAIK PRAGATISHIL SAMAJWADI PARTY (LOHILA)	21/06/2019	18/06/2019	Yes	Yes	Rs.1,16,686-00	Yes	-	-	-	-	-
8	KUMAR Y. INDEPENDENT	21/06/2019	17/06/2019	Yes	Yes	Rs.3,86,010-00	Yes	-	-	-	-	-

9	GANESH INDEPENDENT	21/06/2019	17/06/2019	Yes	Yes	Rs.38,540-00	Yes	-	-	-	-	-
10	THIPPESWAMY T. INDEPENDENT	21/06/2019	17/06/2019	Yes	Yes	Rs.18,046-00	Yes	-	-	-	-	-
11	CH. NARAYANASWAMY INDEPENDENT	21/06/2019	17/06/2019	Yes	Yes	Rs.30,909-00	Yes	-	-	-	-	-
12	D. PENNAPPA INDEPENDENT	21/06/2019	17/06/2019	Yes	Yes	Rs.14,300-00	Yes	-	-	-	-	-
13	V.S. BHUTHARAJA INDEPENDENT	21/06/2019	17/06/2019	Yes	Yes	Rs.13,240-00	Yes	-	-	-	-	-
14	RAMESHA V. INDEPENDENT	21/06/2019	17/06/2019	Yes	Yes	Rs75,490-00	Yes	-	-	-	-	-
15	L. RANGAPPA (RTD. DDPI) INDEPENDENT	21/06/2019	17/06/2019	Yes	Yes	Rs.4,87,469-00	Yes	-	-	-	-	-
16	LOKESHA. M.K. INDEPENDENT	21/06/2019	17/06/2019	Yes	Yes	Rs.52,700-00	Yes	-	-	-	-	-
17	N.T. VIJAYAKUMAR INDEPENDENT	21/06/2019	17/06/2019	Yes	Yes	Rs.31,230-00	Yes	-	-	-	-	-
18	L. VENI GOPAL INDEPENDENT	21/06/2019	17/06/2019	Yes	Yes	Rs.95,510-00	Yes	-	-	-	-	-
19	R. HANU MANTHAPPA INDEPENDENT	21/06/2019	17/06/2019	Yes	Yes	Rs.18,377-00	Yes	-	-	-	-	-

Date:

(R. VINOTH PRIYA)
Deputy Commissioner &
District Election Officer
Chitradurga District

Comments of the Expenditure Observer, if any _____

Date:

Amit Singal
23.06.19
Signature of the Expenditure Observer



Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO

Name of the State: KARNATAKA District : CHITRADURGA Election:Parliamentary Election-2019

DEO's Scrutiny Report on election Expenses of the Candidate Under Rule 89 of C.E. Rules 1961

Sl. No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	B.N. CHANDRAPPA NO.36, SANJANADITYA BHEEMASAMUDRA ROAD DHAVALAGIRI BADAVANE 2ND STAGE, CHITRADURGA-577501
2	Political Party Affiliation, if any	INDIAN NATIONAL CONGRESS
3	No. And name of Assembly-Parliamentary Constituency	18 Chitradurga (S.C) Parliamentary Constituency
4	Name of the elected candidate	A.NARAYANA SWAMY
5	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18/06/2019
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No) (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No.19)	Yes
9	Last date Prescribed has lodged the account	21/06/2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate	18/06/2019
	(i) Original Account	Yes
	(ii) Revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	-
12	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NIL
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, Whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate if Not, reason thereof.	-
14	Explanation, if any given by the candidate	-
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	-
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs.63,24,503-00

16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R.P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961		Yes				
17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details						
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged		Yes				
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate		Yes				
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted		Yes				
	(v) Whether All expenditure (except petty expenditure)		Yes				
18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect		-				
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect		-				
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. Whether the defect was rectified or not.		-				
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence.		Yes				
	If no, then mention the following.						
		Items of Expenditure	Date	Page No. Of Shadow Observation Register	Mention Amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/ folder of evidence	Amount as per the Account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
	i	-	-	-	-	-	-
	ii,iii.....	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
	20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period		Yes			
21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No.19 referred to above, give the following details.						
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting		Yes				
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice		English Version				

	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	Yes
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	-
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	-
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)	Yes
	Date:	 (R.VINOTH PRIYA) Deputy Commissioner & District Election Officer Chitradurga District
23	Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer	
	Date:	 23.06.19 Signature of the Expenditure Observer

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

** The DEO Scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

10/10/10

10/10/10



Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO

Name of the State: KARNATAKA District : CHITRADURGA Election:Parliamentary Election-2019

DEO's Scrutiny Report on election Expenses of the Candidate Under Rule 89 of C.E. Rules 1961

Sl. No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	A. NARAYANASWAMY MUNIVENKATAPPA BADAVANE, CHANDAPURA ROAD, ANEKAL, BENGALURU-562106
2	Political Party Affiliation, if any	BHARATIYA JANATHA PARTY
3	No. And name of Assembly-Parliamentary Constituency	18 Chitradurga (S.C) Parliamentary Constituency
4	Name of the elected candidate	A.NARAYANA SWAMY
5	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18/06/2019
7	(i) Whether he candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No) (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No.19)	Yes
9	Last date Prescribed has lodged the account	21/06/2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate	18/06/2019
	(i) Original Account	Yes
	(ii) Revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	-
12	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12A	If not lodged in time,period of delay	-
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, Whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate if Not, reason thereof.	-
14	Explanation, if any given by the candidate	-
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	-
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs.57,22,944-00

16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R.P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961					Yes	
17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details						
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged					Yes	
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate					Yes	
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted					Yes	
18	(v) Whether All expenditure (except petty expenditure)					Yes	
	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect					-	
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect					-	
19	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. Whether the defect was rectified or not.					-	
	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence.					Yes	
	If no, then mention the following.						
		Items of Expenditure	Date	Page No. Of Shadow Observation Register	Mention Amount as per the Shadow	Amount as per the Account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
	i	-	-	-	-	-	-
ii,iii....	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period					Yes	
21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No.19 referred to above, give the following details.						
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting					Yes	
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice					English Version	
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?					Yes	

	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	-
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	-
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)	Yes
	Date:	 (R.VINOTH PRIYA) Deputy Commissioner & District Election Officer Chitradurga District
23	Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer	
	Date:	 23.06.19 Signature of the Expenditure Observer

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

** The DEO Scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

10/10/10

10/10/10
10/10/10
10/10/10



Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO

Name of the State: KARNATAKA District : CHITRADURGA Election:Parliamentary Election-2019

DEO's Scrutiny Report on election Expenses of the Candidate Under Rule 89 of C.E. Rules 1961

Sl. No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	MAHANTHESH. C.U CHITRANAikanahalli (POST) AND (VILLAGE) CHALLAKERE (TQ) CHITRADURGA (DIST)
2	Political Party Affiliation, if any	BAHujan SAMAJ PARTY
3	No. And name of Assembly-Parliamentary Constituency	18 Chitradurga (S.C) Parliamentary Constituency
4	Name of the elected candidate	A.NARAYANA SWAMY
5	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18/06/2019
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No) (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No.19)	Yes
9	Last date Prescribed has lodged the account	21/06/2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate	18/06/2019
	(i) Original Account	Yes
	(ii) Revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	-
12	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	-
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, Whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate if Not, reason thereof.	-
14	Explanation, if any given by the candidate	-
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	-
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs.3,30,261-00

16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R.P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961					Yes	
17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details						
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged					Yes	
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate					Yes	
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted					Yes	
18	(v) Whether All expenditure (except petty expenditure)					Yes	
	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect					-	
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect					-	
19	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. Whether the defect was rectified or not.					-	
	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence.					Yes	
	If no, then mention the following.						
		Items of Expenditure	Date	Page No. Of Shadow Observation Register	Mention Amount as per the Shadow	Amount as per the Account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
	i	-	-	-	-	-	-
	ii,iii.....	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period					Yes	
21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No.19 referred to above, give the following details.						
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting					Yes	
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice					English Version	
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?					Yes	

	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	-
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	-
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)	Yes
	Date:	 (R.VINOTH PRIYA) Deputy Commissioner & District Election Officer Chitradurga District
23	Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer	
	Date:	 23.06.19 Signature of the Expenditure Observer

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

** The DEO Scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.



Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO

Name of the State: KARNATAKA District : CHITRADURGA Election:Parliamentary Election-2019

DEO's Scrutiny Report on election Expenses of the Candidate Under Rule 89 of C.E. Rules 1961

Sl. No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	ARUNACHALAM. Y WARD NO. 23, AADARSH COLONY CONTONMENT BALLARY-583104
2	Political Party Affiliation, if any	PYRAMID PARTY OF INDIA
3	No. And name of Assembly-Parliamentary Constituency	18 Chitradurga (S.C) Parliamentary Constituency
4	Name of the elected candidate	A.NARAYANA SWAMY
5	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18/06/2019
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No) (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No.19)	Yes
9	Last date Prescribed has lodged the account	21/06/2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate	18/06/2019
	(i) Original Account	Yes
	(ii) Revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	-
12	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	-
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, Whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate if Not, reason thereof.	-
14	Explanation, if any given by the candidate	-
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	-
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs.1,87,640-00

16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R.P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961					Yes	
17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details						
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged					Yes	
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate					Yes	
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted					Yes	
	(v) Whether All expenditure (except petty expenditure)					Yes	
18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect					-	
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect					-	
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. Whether the defect was rectified or not.					-	
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence.					Yes	
	If no, then mention the following.						
		Items of Expenditure	Date	Page No. Of Shadow Obsevation Register	Mention Amount as per the Shadow Obsevation Register/ folder of evidence	Amount as per the Account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
	i	-	-	-	-	-	-
	ii,iii.....	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
	20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period					Yes
21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No.19 referred to above, give the following details.						
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting					Yes	
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice					English Version	
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?					Yes	

	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	-
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	-
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)	Yes
	Date:	 (R.VINOTH PRIYA) Deputy Commissioner & District Election Officer Chitradurga District
23	Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer	
	Date:	 23.06.19 Signature of the Expenditure Observer

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

** The DEO Scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

1
2
C

1-12

1-12



Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO

Name of the State: KARNATAKA District : CHITRADURGA Election:Parliamentary Election-2019

DEO's Scrutiny Report on election Expenses of the Candidate Under Rule 89 of C.E. Rules 1961

Sl. No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	DEVENDRAPPA 94, CHIKKAPANAHALLI, CHITRADURGA, TQ CHITRADURGA
2	Political Party Affiliation, if any	UTTAMA PRAJAAKEEYA PARTY
3	No. And name of Assembly-Parliamentary Constituency	18 Chitradurga (S.C) Parliamentary Constituency
4	Name of the elected candidate	A.NARAYANA SWAMY
5	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18/06/2019
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No) (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No.19)	Yes
9	Last date Prescribed has lodged the account	21/06/2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate	18/06/2019
	(i) Original Account	Yes
	(ii) Revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	-
12	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12A	If not lodged in time,period of delay	-
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, Whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate if Not, reason thereof.	-
14	Explanation, if any given by the candidate	-
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	-

15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement				Rs.45,667-00	
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R.P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961				Yes	
17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details					
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged				Yes	
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate				Yes	
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted				Yes	
	(v) Whether All expenditure (except petty expenditure)				Yes	
18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect				-	
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect				-	
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. Whether the defect was rectified or not.				-	
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence.				Yes	
	If no, then mention the following.					
	Items of Expenditure	Date	Page No. Of Shadow Obsevation Register	Mention Amount as per the Shadow Obsevation Register/ folder of evidence	Amount as per the Account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
i	-	-	-	-	-	-
ii,iii.....	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period				Yes	
21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No.19 referred to above, give the following details.					
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting				Yes	

	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	English Version
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	Yes
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	-
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	-
	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)	Yes
22	Date:	 (R.VINOTH PRIYA) Deputy Commissioner & District Election Officer Chitradurga District
	Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer	
23	Date:	 23.06.19 Signature of the Expenditure Observer

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

** The DEO Scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

2

1. 10/10/10

1. 10/10/10
10/10/10



Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO

Name of the State: KARNATAKA District : CHITRADURGA Election:Parliamentary Election-2019

DEO's Scrutiny Report on election Expenses of the Candidate Under Rule 89 of C.E. Rules 1961

Sl. No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	NIRANJANA. A.D. CHEELANGI CHEELANGI VILLAGE CHIKKABENNURU (POST) BHARAMASAGARA HOBLI CHITRADURGA TALUK DISTRICT
2	Political Party Affiliation, if any	AMBEDKAR SAMAJ PARTY
3	No. And name of Assembly-Parliamentary Constituency	18 Chitradurga (S.C) Parliamentary Constituency
4	Name of the elected candidate	A.NARAYANA SWAMY
5	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18/06/2019
7	(i) Whether he candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No) (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No.19)	Yes
9	Last date Prescribed has lodged the account	21/06/2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate	18/06/2019
	(i) Original Account	Yes
	(ii) Revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	-
12	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12A	If not lodged in time,period of delay	-
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, Whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate if Not, reason thereof.	-

14	Explanation, if any given by the candidate				-		
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate				-		
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement				Rs.20,299-00		
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R.P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961				Yes		
17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details						
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged				Yes		
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate				Yes		
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted				Yes		
	(v) Whether All expenditure (except petty expenditure)				Yes		
18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect				-		
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect				-		
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. Whether the defect was rectified or not.				-		
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence.				Yes		
	If no, then mention the following.						
		Items of Expenditure	Date	Page No. Of Shadow Obsevation Register	Mention Amount as per the Shadow Obsevation Register/ folder of evidence	Amount as per the Account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
	i	-	-	-	-	-	-
	ii,iii.....	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period				Yes		
If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No.19 referred to above, give the following details.							

21	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	Yes
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	English Version
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	Yes
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	-
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	-
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)	Yes
	Date:	 (R.VINOTH PRIYA) Deputy Commissioner & District Election Officer Chitradurga District
23	Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer	
	Date:	 23.06.19 Signature of the Expenditure Observer

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

** The DEO Scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

Handwritten scribbles in the top right corner.

Faint handwritten text, possibly a date or initials.

Faint handwritten text, possibly a name or signature.



Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO

Name of the State: KARNATAKA District : CHITRADURGA Election:Parliamentary Election-2019

DEO's Scrutiny Report on election Expenses of the Candidate Under Rule 89 of C.E. Rules 1961

Sl. No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	S. MEETYANAIAK KUDLAHALLI LAMBANI THANDA, T.NAGENAHALLY (POST) HIRIYUR (TQ) CHITRADURGA (DIST)
2	Political Party Affiliation, if any	PRAGATISHIL SAMAJWADI PARTY (LOHIA)
3	No. And name of Assembly-Parliamentary Constituency	18 Chitradurga (S.C) Parliamentary Constituency
4	Name of the elected candidate	A.NARAYANA SWAMY
5	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18/06/2019
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No) (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No.19)	Yes
9	Last date Prescribed has lodged the account	21/06/2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate	18/06/2019
	(i) Original Account	Yes
	(ii) Revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	-
12	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12A	If not lodged in time,period of delay	-
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, Whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate if Not, reason thereof.	-

14	Explanation, if any given by the candidate				-		
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate				-		
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement				Rs.1,16,686-00		
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R.P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961				Yes		
17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details						
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged				Yes		
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate				Yes		
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted				Yes		
	(v) Whether All expenditure (except petty expenditure)				Yes		
18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect				-		
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect				-		
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. Whether the defect was rectified or not.				-		
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence.				Yes		
	If no, then mention the following.						
		Items of Expenditure	Date	Page No. Of Shadow Obsevation Register	Mention Amount as per the Shadow	Amount as per the Account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
	i	-	-	-	-	-	-
	ii,iii....	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period				Yes		
21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No.19 referred to above, give the following details.						
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting				Yes		

	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	English Version
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	Yes
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	-
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	-
	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)	Yes
22	Date:	 (R.VINOTH PRIYA) Deputy Commissioner & District Election Officer Chitradurga District
	Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer	
23	Date:	 23.06.19 Signature of the Expenditure Observer

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

** The DEO Scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

1875

1875



Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO

Name of the State: KARNATAKA District : CHITRADURGA Election:Parliamentary Election-2019

DEO's Scrutiny Report on election Expenses of the Candidate Under Rule 89 of C.E. Rules 1961

Sl. No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	KUMAR Y. GONUR VILLAGE, KASABA, HOBLI, CHITRADURGA CHITRADURGA TQ & DISTRICT
2	Political Party Affiliation, if any	INDEPENDENT
3	No. And name of Assembly-Parliamentary Constituency	18 Chitradurga (S.C) Parliamentary Constituency
4	Name of the elected candidate	A.NARAYANA SWAMY
5	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	17/06/2019
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No) (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No.19)	Yes
9	Last date Prescribed has lodged the account	21/06/2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate	17/06/2019
	(i) Original Account	Yes
	(ii) Revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	-
12	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	-
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, Whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate if Not, reason thereof.	-
14	Explanation, if any given by the candidate	-

14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate					-	
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement					Rs.3,86,010-00	
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R.P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961					Yes	
17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details						
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged					Yes	
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate					Yes	
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted					Yes	
	(v) Whether All expenditure (except petty expenditure)					Yes	
18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect					-	
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect					-	
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. Whether the defect was rectified or not.					-	
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence.					Yes	
	If no, then mention the following.						
		Items of Expenditure	Date	Page No. Of Shadow Obsevation Register	Mention Amount as per the Shadow Obsevation Register/ folder of evidence	Amount as per the Account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
	i	-	-	-	-	-	-
	ii,iii....	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
	20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period					Yes
21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No.19 referred to above, give the following details.						
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting					Yes	

	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	English Version
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	Yes
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	-
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	-
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)	Yes
	Date:	 (R. VINOTH PRIYA) Deputy Commissioner & District Election Officer Chitradurga District
23	Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer	
	Date:	 23.06.19 Signature of the Expenditure Observer

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

** The DEO Scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

100

100



Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO

Name of the State: KARNATAKA District : CHITRADURGA Election:Parliamentary Election-2019

DEO's Scrutiny Report on election Expenses of the Candidate Under Rule 89 of C.E. Rules 1961

Sl. No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	GANESH NEW SANTHE MAIDANA NEAR VENKATESHWARA TALKIES, CHITRADURGA
2	Political Party Affiliation, if any	INDEPENDENT
3	No. And name of Assembly-Parliamentary Constituency	18 Chitradurga (S.C) Parliamentary Constituency
4	Name of the elected candidate	A.NARAYANA SWAMY
5	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	17/6/2019
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No) (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No.19)	Yes
9	Last date Prescribed has lodged the account	21/6/2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate	17/6/2019
	(i) Original Account	Yes
	(ii) Revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	-
12	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	-
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, Whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate if Not, reason thereof.	-
14	Explanation, if any given by the candidate	-
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	-

15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement				Rs.38,540-00		
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R.P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961				Yes		
17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details						
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged				Yes		
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate				Yes		
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted				Yes		
	(v) Whether All expenditure (except petty expenditure)				Yes		
18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect				-		
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect				-		
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. Whether the defect was rectified or not.				-		
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence.				Yes		
	If no, then mention the following.						
		Items of Expenditure	Date	Page No. Of Shadow Obsevation Register	Mention Amount as per the Shadow Obsevation Register/ folder of evidence	Amount as per the Account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
	i	-	-	-	-	-	-
ii,iii.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period				Yes		
21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No.19 referred to above, give the following details.						
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting				Yes		

	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	English Version
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	Yes
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	-
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	-
	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)	Yes
22	Date:	 (R.VINOTH PRIYA) Deputy Commissioner & District Election Officer Chitradurga District
23	Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer	
	Date:	 23.06.19 Signature of the Expenditure Observer



Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO

Name of the State: KARNATAKA District : CHITRADURGA Election:Parliamentary Election-2019

DEO's Scrutiny Report on election Expenses of the Candidate Under Rule 89 of C.E. Rules 1961

Sl. No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	THIPPESWAMY T. CHIKKANDAVADI VILLAGE KASABA HOBLI HOLALKERE TALUK. CHITRADURGA DISTRICT
2	Political Party Affiliation, if any	INDEPENDENT
3	No. And name of Assembly-Parliamentary Constituency	18 Chitradurga (S.C) Parliamentary Constituency
4	Name of the elected candidate	A.NARAYANA SWAMY
5	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	17/6/2019
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No) (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No.19)	Yes
9	Last date Prescribed has lodged the account	21/6/2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate	17/6/2019
	(i) Original Account	Yes
	(ii) Revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	-
12	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12A	If not lodged in time,period of delay	-
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, Whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate if Not, reason thereof.	-
14	Explanation, if any given by the candidate	-
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	-
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs.18,046-00

16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R.P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961					Yes	
17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details						
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged					Yes	
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate					Yes	
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted					Yes	
	(v) Whether All expenditure (except petty expenditure)					Yes	
18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect					-	
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect					-	
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. Whether the defect was rectified or not.					-	
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence.					Yes	
	If no, then mention the following.						
		Items of Expenditure	Date	Page No. Of Shadow Obsevation Register	Mention Amount as per the Shadow Obsevation Register/ folder of evidence	Amount as per the Account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
	i	-	-	-	-	-	-
ii,iii.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period					No	
21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No.19 referred to above, give the following details.						
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting					Yes	
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice					English Version	

	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	Yes
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	-
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	-
	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)	Yes
22	Date:	 (R.VINOTH PRIYA) Deputy Commissioner & District Election Officer Chitradurga District
	Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer	
23	Date:	 23.06.19 Signature of the Expenditure Observer

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

** The DEO Scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.



Handwritten text or formula, possibly a date or reference number.

Handwritten text or formula, possibly a date or reference number.



Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO

Name of the State: KARNATAKA District : CHITRADURGA Election:Parliamentary Election-2019

DEO's Scrutiny Report on election Expenses of the Candidate Under Rule 89 of C.E. Rules 1961

Sl. No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	C.H. NARAYANASWAMY JOGIMATTI ROAD 5TH CROSS CHITRADURGA
2	Political Party Affiliation, if any	INDEPENDENT
3	No. And name of Assembly-Parliamentary Constituency	18 Chitradurga (S.C) Parliamentary Constituency
4	Name of the elected candidate	A.NARAYANA SWAMY
5	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	17/6/2019
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No) (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No.19)	Yes
9	Last date Prescribed has lodged the account	21/6/2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate	17/6/2019
	(i) Original Account	Yes
	(ii) Revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	-
12	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12A	If not lodged in time,period of delay	-
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, Whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate if Not, reason thereof.	-

14	Explanation, if any given by the candidate					-	
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate					-	
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement					Rs.30,909-00	
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R.P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961					Yes	
17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details						
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged					Yes	
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate					Yes	
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted					Yes	
	(v) Whether All expenditure (except petty expenditure)					Yes	
18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect					-	
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect					-	
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. Whether the defect was rectified or not.					-	
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence.					Yes	
	If no, then mention the following.						
		Items of Expenditure	Date	Page No. Of Shadow Observation Register	Mention Amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/	Amount as per the Account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
	i	-	-	-	-	-	-
ii,iii....	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period					Yes	
21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No.19 referred to above, give the following details.						
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting					Yes	

	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	English Version
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	Yes
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	-
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	-
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)	Yes
	Date:	 (R.VINOTH PRIYA) Deputy Commissioner & District Election Officer Chitradurga District
23	Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer	
	Date:	 23.06.19 Signature of the Expenditure Observer

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

** The DEO Scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

1
C

10/1/19



10/1/19

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO

Name of the State: KARNATAKA District : CHITRADURGA Election:Parliamentary Election-2019

DEO's Scrutiny Report on election Expenses of the Candidate Under Rule 89 of C.E. Rules 1961

Sl. No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	D. PENNAPPA A.K. COLONY, WARD NO.6. TURUVANURU VILLAGE CHITRADURGA TALUK & CHITRADURGA DISTRICT
2	Political Party Affiliation, if any	INDEPENDENT
3	No. And name of Assembly-Parliamentary Constituency	18 Chitradurga (S.C) Parliamentary Constituency
4	Name of the elected candidate	A.NARAYANA SWAMY
5	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	17/6/2019
7	(i) Whether he candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No) (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No.19)	Yes
9	Last date Prescribed has lodged the account	21/6/2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate	17/6/2019
	(i) Original Account	Yes
	(ii) Revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	-
12	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12A	If not lodged in time,period of delay	-
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, Whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate if Not, reason thereof.	-
14	Explanation, if any given by the candidate	-
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	-

	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	Yes
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	-
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	-
	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)	Yes
22	Date:	 (R.VINOTH PRIYA) Deputy Commissioner & District Election Officer Chitradurga District
	Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer	
23	Date:	 23.06.19 Signature of the Expenditure Observer

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

** The DEO Scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

1
11
12

12/1/1911

12/1/1911
12/1/1911
12/1/1911



Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO

Name of the State: KARNATAKA District : CHITRADURGA Election:Parliamentary Election-2019

DEO's Scrutiny Report on election Expenses of the Candidate Under Rule 89 of C.E. Rules 1961

Sl. No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	V.S. BHUTHARAJA V. PALYA VILLAGE, HIREGUNTANUR HOBLI, CHITRADURGA TQ & DISTRICT
2	Political Party Affiliation, if any	INDEPENDENT
3	No. And name of Assembly-Parliamentary Constituency	18 Chitradurga (S.C) Parliamentary Constituency
4	Name of the elected candidate	A.NARAYANA SWAMY
5	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	17/6/2019
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No) (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No.19)	Yes
9	Last date Prescribed has lodged the account	21/6/2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate	17/6/2019
	(i) Original Account	Yes
	(ii) Revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	-
12	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	-
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, Whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate if Not, reason thereof.	-
14	Explanation, if any given by the candidate	-
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	-
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs.13,240-00

16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R.P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961				Yes	
17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details					
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged				Yes	
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate				Yes	
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted				Yes	
	(v) Whether All expenditure (except petty expenditure)				Yes	
18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect				-	
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect				-	
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. Whether the defect was rectified or not.				-	
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence.				Yes	
	If no, then mention the following.					
	Items of Expenditure	Date	Page No. Of Shadow Obsevation Register	Mention Amount as per the Shadow Obsevation Register/ folder of evidence	Amount as per the Account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
i	-	-	-	-	-	-
ii,iii....	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period				Yes	
21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No.19 referred to above, give the following details.					
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting				Yes	
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice				English Version	
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?				Yes	

	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	-
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	-
	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)	Yes
22	Date:	 (R.VINOTH PRIYA) Deputy Commissioner & District Election Officer Chitradurga District
	Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer	
23	Date:	 23.06.19 Signature of the Expenditure Observer

*

If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

**

The DEO Scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.



Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO

Name of the State: KARNATAKA District : CHITRADURGA Election:Parliamentary Election-2019

DEO's Scrutiny Report on election Expenses of the Candidate Under Rule 89 of C.E. Rules 1961

Sl. No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	RAMESHA. V. HANUMANTHANAHALLI VILLAGE MARIDASANAHALLI (POST) YANAHOSAKOTE HOBLI, PAVAGADA TQ TUMKURU DISTRICT
2	Political Party Affiliation, if any	INDEPENDENT
3	No. And name of Assembly-Parliamentary Constituency	18 Chitradurga (S.C) Parliamentary Constituency
4	Name of the elected candidate	A.NARAYANA SWAMY
5	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	17/6/2019
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No) (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No.19)	Yes
9	Last date Prescribed has lodged the account	21/6/2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate	17/6/2019
	(i) Original Account	Yes
	(ii) Revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	-
12	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	-
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, Whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate if Not, reason thereof.	-
14	Explanation, if any given by the candidate	-
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	-
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs.75,490-00

16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R.P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961				Yes	
17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details					
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged				Yes	
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate				Yes	
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted				Yes	
	(v) Whether All expenditure (except petty expenditure)				Yes	
18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect				-	
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect				-	
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. Whether the defect was rectified or not.				-	
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence.				Yes	
	If no, then mention the following.					
	Items of Expenditure	Date	Page No. Of Shadow Obsevation Register	Mention Amount as per the Shadow Obsevation Register/ folder of evidence	Amount as per the Account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
i	-	-	-	-	-	-
ii,iii,....	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period				Yes	
21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No.19 referred to above, give the following details.					
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting				Yes	
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice				English Version	
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?				Yes	

	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	-
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	-
	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)	Yes
22	Date:	 (R.VINOTH PRIYA) Deputy Commissioner & District Election Officer Chitradurga District
	Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer	
23	Date:	 23.06.19 Signature of the Expenditure Observer

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

** The DEO Scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

11
C

11

11
11
11



Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO

Name of the State: KARNATAKA District : CHITRADURGA Election:Parliamentary Election-2019

DEO's Scrutiny Report on election Expenses of the Candidate Under Rule 89 of C.E. Rules 1961

Sl. No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	L. RANGAPPA (RTD. DDPI) YADAGATTE BHOVI HATTI, TANDAGA POST. HOSADURGA TALUK, CHITRADURGA DISTRICT-573114
2	Political Party Affiliation, if any	INDEPENDENT
3	No. And name of Assembly-Parliamentary Constituency	18 Chitradurga (S.C) Parliamentary Constituency
4	Name of the elected candidate	A.NARAYANA SWAMY
5	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	17/6/2019
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No) (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No.19)	Yes
9	Last date Prescribed has lodged the account	21/6/2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate	17/6/2019
	(i) Original Account	Yes
	(ii) Revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	-
12	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12A	If not lodged in time,period of delay	-
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, Whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate if Not, reason thereof.	-
14	Explanation, if any given by the candidate	-
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	-
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs.4,87,469-00

16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R.P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961				Yes	
17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details					
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged				Yes	
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate				Yes	
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted				Yes	
	(v) Whether All expenditure (except petty expenditure)				Yes	
18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect				-	
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect				-	
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. Whether the defect was rectified or not.				-	
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence.				Yes	
	If no, then mention the following.					
	Items of Expenditure	Date	Page No. Of Shadow Observation Register	Mention Amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/ folder of evidence	Amount as per the Account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
i	-	-	-	-	-	-
ii,iii....	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period				Yes	
21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No.19 referred to above, give the following details.					
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting				Yes	
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice				English Version	
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?				Yes	
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply				-	

	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	
	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)	Yes
22	Date:	 (R.VINOTH PRIYA) Deputy Commissioner & District Election Officer Chitradurga District
23	Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer	
	Date:	 23.06.19 Signature of the Expenditure Observer

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

** The DEO Scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

1843

1843
1844
1845

1846

1847

1848



Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO

Name of the State: KARNATAKA District : CHITRADURGA Election:Parliamentary Election-2019

DEO's Scrutiny Report on election Expenses of the Candidate Under Rule 89 of C.E. Rules 1961

Sl. No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	LOKESHA. M.K. 5TH CROSS, JOGIMATTI ROAD, CHITRADURGA
2	Political Party Affiliation, if any	INDEPENDENT
3	No. And name of Assembly-Parliamentary Constituency	18 Chitradurga (S.C) Parliamentary Constituency
4	Name of the elected candidate	A.NARAYANA SWAMY
5	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	17/6/2019
7	(i) Whether he candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No) (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No.19)	Yes
9	Last date Prescribed has lodged the account	21/6/2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate	17/6/2019
	(i) Original Account	Yes
	(ii) Revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	-
12	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12A	If not lodged in time,period of delay	-
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, Whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate if Not, reason thereof.	-
14	Explanation, if any given by the candidate	-
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	-

15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement				Rs.52,700-00	
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R.P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961				Yes	
17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details					
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged				Yes	
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate				Yes	
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted				Yes	
	(v) Whether All expenditure (except petty expenditure)				Yes	
18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect				-	
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect				-	
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. Whether the defect was rectified or not.				-	
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence.				Yes	
	If no, then mention the following.					
	Items of Expenditure	Date	Page No. Of Shadow Obsevation Register	Mention Amount as per the Shadow Obsevation Register/ folder of evidence	Amount as per the Account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
	i	-	-	-	-	-
ii,iii....	-	-	-	-	-	
Total	-	-	-	-	-	
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period				Yes	
21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No.19 referred to above, give the following details.					
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting				Yes	

	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	English Version
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	Yes
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	-
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	-
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)	Yes
	Date:	 (R.VINOTH PRIYA) 23/6/19 Deputy Commissioner & District Election Officer Chitradurga District
23	Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer	
	Date:	 23.06.19 Signature of the Expenditure Observer

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

** The DEO Scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

100

100

100



Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO

Name of the State: KARNATAKA District : CHITRADURGA Election:Parliamentary Election-2019

DEO's Scrutiny Report on election Expenses of the Candidate Under Rule 89 of C.E. Rules 1961

Sl. No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	N.T. VIJAYAKUMAR LIG-110, KALLAHALLI, VINOBANAGARA SHIVAMOGGA-577204
2	Political Party Affiliation, if any	INDEPENDENT
3	No. And name of Assembly-Parliamentary Constituency	18 Chitradurga (S.C) Parliamentary Constituency
4	Name of the elected candidate	A.NARAYANA SWAMY
5	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	17/6/2019
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No) (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No.19)	Yes
9	Last date Prescribed has lodged the account	21/6/2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate	17/6/2019
	(i) Original Account	Yes
	(ii) Revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	-
12	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12A	If not lodged in time,period of delay	-
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, Whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate if Not, reason thereof.	-
14	Explanation, if any given by the candidate	-
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	-
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs.31,230-00

16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R.P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961				Yes	
17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details					
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged				Yes	
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate				Yes	
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted				Yes	
	(v) Whether All expenditure (except petty expenditure)				Yes	
18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect				-	
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect				-	
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. Whether the defect was rectified or not.				-	
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence.					Yes
	If no, then mention the following.					
	Items of Expenditure	Date	Page No. Of Shadow Observation Register	Mention Amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/ folder of evidence	Amount as per the Account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
i	-	-	-	-	-	-
ii,iii....	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period				Yes	
21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No.19 referred to above, give the following details.					
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting				Yes	
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice				English Version	

	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	Yes
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	-
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	-
	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)	Yes
22	Date:	 (R.VINOTH PRIYA) Deputy Commissioner & District Election Officer Chitradurga District
	Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer	
23	Date:	 23.06.19 Signature of the Expenditure Observer

*

If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

**

The DEO Scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

10

10/10/10

10/10/10



Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO

Name of the State: KARNATAKA District : CHITRADURGA Election:Parliamentary Election-2019

DEO's Scrutiny Report on election Expenses of the Candidate Under Rule 89 of C.E. Rules 1961

Sl. No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	L. VENUGOPAL BANK COLONY, V.R.S. LAYOUT CHITRADURGA TOWN
2	Political Party Affiliation, if any	INDEPENDENT
3	No. And name of Assembly-Parliamentary Constituency	18 Chitradurga (S.C) Parliamentary Constituency
4	Name of the elected candidate	A.NARAYANA SWAMY
5	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	17/6/2019
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No) (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No.19)	Yes
9	Last date Prescribed has lodged the account	21/6/2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate	17/6/2019
	(i) Original Account	Yes
	(ii) Revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	-
12	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	-
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, Whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate if Not, reason thereof.	-

14	Explanation, if any given by the candidate				-		
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate				-		
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement				Rs.95,510-00		
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R.P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961				Yes		
17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details						
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged				Yes		
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate				Yes		
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted				Yes		
	(v) Whether All expenditure (except petty expenditure)				Yes		
18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect				-		
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect				-		
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. Whether the defect was rectified or not.				-		
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence.				Yes		
	If no, then mention the following.						
		Items of Expenditure	Date	Page No. Of Shadow Observation Register	Mention Amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/ folder of evidence	Amount as per the Account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
	i	-	-	-	-	-	-
ii,iii....	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period				Yes		
21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No.19 referred to above, give the following details.						

	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	Yes
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	English Version
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	Yes
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	-
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	-
	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)	Yes
22	Date:	 (R.VINOTH PRIYA) Deputy Commissioner & District Election Officer Chitradurga District
23	Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer	
	Date:	 23.06.19 Signature of the Expenditure Observer

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

** The DEO Scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

11



Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO

Name of the State: KARNATAKA District : CHITRADURGA Election:Parliamentary Election-2019

DEO's Scrutiny Report on election Expenses of the Candidate Under Rule 89 of C.E. Rules 1961

Sl. No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	R. HANUMANTHAPPA ANIVALA POST HOSADURGA TQ CHITRADURGA DISTRICT
2	Political Party Affiliation, if any	INDEPENDENT
3	No. And name of Assembly-Parliamentary Constituency	18 Chitradurga (S.C) Parliamentary Constituency
4	Name of the elected candidate	A.NARAYANA SWAMY
5	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	17/6/2019
7	(i) Whether he candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No) (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No.19)	Yes
9	Last date Prescribed has lodged the account	21/6/2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate	17/6/2019
	(i) Original Account	Yes
	(ii) Revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	-
12	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12A	If not lodged in time,period of delay	-
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, Whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate if Not, reason thereof.	-
14	Explanation, if any given by the candidate	-
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	-

15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement				Rs.18,377-00		
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R.P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961				Yes		
17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details						
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged				Yes		
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate				Yes		
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted				Yes		
	(v) Whether All expenditure (except petty expenditure)				Yes		
18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect				-		
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect				-		
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. Whether the defect was rectified or not.				-		
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence.				Yes		
	If no, then mention the following.						
		Items of Expenditure	Date	Page No. Of Shadow Observation Register	Mention Amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/ folder of evidence	Amount as per the Account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
	i	-	-	-	-	-	-
ii,iii....	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period				Yes		
21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No.19 referred to above, give the following details.						
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting				Yes		

	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	English Version
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	Yes
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	-
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	-
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)	Yes
	Date:	 (R.VINOTH PRIYA) Deputy Commissioner & District Election Officer Chitradurga District
23	Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer	
	Date:	 23.06.19 Signature of the Expenditure Observer

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

** The DEO Scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.



Handwritten text, possibly a date or initials, located in the middle left area of the page.

Handwritten text, possibly a signature or name, located in the lower middle area of the page.