R.P.I.D

Revenue Department

Office of the Deputy Commissioner and District Election Officer,Mandya District

Phone No.08232-226074,Fax No.08232-221417, e-mail:deo.mandya@gmail.com

No.ELN(1) 200 /2018-19 Dated:28-06-2019

To,

Principal Secretary,
Election Commission of India ,
Nirvachana Sadan, Ashoka Road,
New Delhi-110001

Sir/Madam,

Sub: Submission of accounts oF Election Expenses by the Candidates in the Genral Election to The 20-Mandya Parliamentary Constituency -2019 Regarding.

****

I am submitting herewith the information regarding submission of accounts Election Expenses by the Candidates in Genral Election to The 20-Mandya Parliamentary Constituency-2019 in the prescribed format for Kind information.

Yours faithfully,

28/6/19.

District Election Officer,
Mandya District,Mandya

copy to;- The Chief Electoral Officer, Karnataka, Nirvachana Nilaya, Sheshadri Road, Bengalure

4518
04 JUL 2019

Annexure - B5

Expenditure Observer's Final Report (Report –IV)

[To be uploaded on Observer Portal and singed copy to be sent by post to the Commission separately for each Assembly Segment in case of Assembly Election and only one report for one PC in case of Lok Sabha Election after 30 days of declaration of results]

Date of Reporting: **17-06-2019**

Observer Name: **Pankaj Kumar Singh**

Observer Code: **24203**

Email – ID: pankaj.ksingh@gov.in
Mobile No: (O) 8277808132

Constituency: **20-Mandya Parliamentary Constituency**

District: **Mandya** State: **Karnataka**

Date of Declaration of Results: **23-05-2019**

Last date of filling Account of Election Expenditure: **22-06-2019**

Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting: **18-06-2019**

Total No. of Contesting Candidate: **22**

Name of winning candidate/Party affiliation, if any: **Smt. Sumalatha Ambareesh, Independent**

(Pankaj Kumar Singh)
IRS-CBIC (R-24203)
Expenditure Observer,
20-Mandya Parliamentary Constituency,
Mandya.

Annexure - B5

Expenditure Observer's Final Report (Report –IV)

[To be uploaded on Observer Portal and singed copy to be sent by post to the Commission separately for each Assembly Segment in case of Assembly Election and only one report for one PC in case of Lok Sabha Election after 30 days of declaration of results]

Date of Reporting: **17-06-2019**

Observer Name: **Hemant Hingonia**

Observer Code: **22998**

Email – ID: hemanthingonia@gmail.com
Mobile No: (O) 8696389000

Constituency: **20-Mandya Parliamentary Constituency**

District: **Mandya** State: **Karnataka**

Date of Declaration of Results: **23-05-2019**

Last date of filling Account of Election Expenditure:**22-06-2019**

Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting:**18-06-2019**

Total No. of Contesting Candidate: **22**

Name of winning candidate/Party affiliation, if any: **Smt. Sumalatha Ambareesh, Independent**

(Hemant Hingonia)
IRS (R-22998)
Expenditure Observer,
20-Mandya Parliamentary Constituency,
Mandya.

SUMMARY REPORT OF DEO FOR EACH CONSTITUENCY ON LODGING OF ELECTION EXPENSES ACCOUNTS BY CANDIDATES (Annexure-C3)

(a) No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency: **20-Mandya Parliamentary Constituency**
(b) Total No. of contesting candidates: **22**
(c) State and District: **Karnataka, Mandya**
(d) Date of declaration of result of election: **23-05-2019**
(e) Last date of lodging accounts: **22-06-2019**
(f) Name of the elected candidate: **Smt. Sumalatha Ambareesh (Independent)**

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9		10		11
Sl. No.	Name of the candidate and Party Affiliation	Due date of lodging of account	Date of lodging of accounts by the candidate	Whether lodged in the prescribed format (Yes or No)	Whether lodged in the manner required by law (Yes or No)	Grand Total of the expenses incurred/ authorized by the candidate/ agent (as mentioned in Part-II of Abstract Statement)	Whether the DEO agrees with the amount shown by the candidate against all items of expenditure (Should be similar to point no. 22 of DEO's Scrutiny Report i.e. Annexure-C3)	Total expenses incurred by the Party (As reported in Part-III of Abstract Statement)		Total expenses incurred by others/ entities as reported in Part-III of Abstract Statement		Remarks of the Expenditure Observer
								Lump Sum Amount in cash or cheque given to candidate by each Political Party	Grand Total of other expenses in kind by the Political Party	Lump sum Amount in cash / cheque given to the candidate (and mention names of donors)	Grand total of other expenses in kind incurred for the candidate	
1	Nikhil Kumaraswamy Janatha Dal (Secular)	22-06-2019	22-06-2019	Yes	Yes	6780388	Yes	6850000	Nil	Nil	Nil	No
2	Nanjundaswamy Bahujan Samaj Party	22-06-2019	22-06-2019	Yes	Yes	504304.64	Yes	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	No
3	Gurulingaiah Indian New Congress Party	22-06-2019	22-06-2019	Yes	Yes	39864	Yes	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	No
4	D.C.Jayashankara Aihra National Party	22-06-2019	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged
5	Divakar.C.P.Gowda Uttama Prajaakeeya Party	22-06-2019	22-06-2019	Yes	Yes	272196	Yes	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	No
6	Santhosh Mandya Gowda Engineers Party	22-06-2019	22-06-2019	Yes	Yes	35464	Yes	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	No
7	Arvind Premanand Independent	22-06-2019	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged
8	Kowdle Channappa Independent	22-06-2019	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged
9	T.K.Dasar Independent	22-06-2019	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged
10	H. Narayana Independent	22-06-2019	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged
11	Puttegowda. N.C. Independent	22-06-2019	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged
12	Premakumara. V.V. Independent	22-06-2019	22-06-2019	Yes	Yes	82436	Yes	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	No
13	Manjunatha. B. Independent	22-06-2019	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged

19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:					Accounts Not Submitted
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
i	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
ii, iii	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
TOTAL				Nil	Nil	Nil
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period					Yes 05-04-2019 Produced 10-04-2019 Produced 16-04-2019 Produced
21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-					Accounts Not Submitted
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting					Accounts Not Submitted
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice					Accounts Not Submitted
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?					Accounts Not Submitted
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply					Accounts Not Submitted
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation					Accounts Not Submitted
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate.(Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO) Date: 24-06-2019					Accounts Not Submitted (Manjushree N., I. A. S.) District Election Officer, 20-Mandya Parliamentary Constituency, Mandya.
23	Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*					No

(Hemant Hingonia)
IRS (R-22998)
Expenditure Observer,
20-Mandya Parliamentary Constituency,
Mandya.

(Pankaj Kumar Singh)
IRS-CBIC (R-24203)
Expenditure Observer,
20-Mandya Parliamentary Constituency,
Mandya.

Date: 24-06-2019

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

Ser' l Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO 04

Name of the State Karnataka District Mandya Election Parliamentary

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

Sl. No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	D.C.Jayashankara, No.84/4A, Deshahalli Village, Maddur Taluk, Mandya District
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	Aihra National Party (ANP)
3	No.and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	20-Mandya Parliamentary Constituency
4	Name of the elected candidate	Smt. Sumalatha Ambareesh
5	Date of declaration of result '	23-05-2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18-06-2019
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22-06-2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Accounts Not Submitted
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate:	Accounts Not Submitted
	(i) Original account	
	(ii) Revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	
12	Whether account lodged in time	Accounts Not Submitted
12A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	Accounts Not Submitted
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	Yes
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	No
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	Reply not submitted by the candidate Hence no Comments
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Accounts Not Submitted
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	Accounts Not Submitted
17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	Accounts Not Submitted
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	Accounts Not Submitted
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	Accounts Not Submitted
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	Accounts Not Submitted
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	Yes
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	Accounts Not Submitted
18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect	Accounts Not Submitted
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect	
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.	

19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:					Candidate expenditure is matching with shadow observation register
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
i	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
ii, iii	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
TOTAL				Nil	Nil	Nil
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period					Yes 05-04-2019 Produced 10-04-2019 Produced 16-04-2019 Produced
21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-					Yes, Agreed
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting					Not Applicable
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice					Not Applicable
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?					Not Applicable
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply					Not Applicable
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation					Not Applicable
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate.(Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO) Date: 24-06-2019					Yes (Manjushree N., I. A. S.) District Election Officer, 20-Mandya Parliamentary Constituency, Mandya.
23	Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*					No

(Hemant Hingonia)
IRS (R-22998)
Expenditure Observer,
20-Mandya Parliamentary Constituency,
Mandya.

(Pankaj Kumar Singh)
IRS-CBIC (R-24203)
Expenditure Observer,
20-Mandya Parliamentary Constituency,
Mandya.

Date: 24-06-2019

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO 03

Name of the State Karnataka District Mandya Election Parliamentary

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

Sl. No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	Gurulingaiah, Mulluru Village, Saraguru Hobli, H.D.Kote Taluk, Mysuru District
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	Indian New Congress Party (INCP)
3	No.and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	20-Mandya Parliamentary Constituency
4	Name of the elected candidate	Smt. Sumalatha Ambareesh
5	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18-06-2019
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22-06-2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate:	22-06-2019
	(i) Original account	
	(ii) Revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	
12	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	Not Applicable
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	Accounts Lodged in Time
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	Not Applicable
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	Not Applicable
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs. 39,864/-
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	Yes
17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	Not Applicable
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	Not Applicable
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	Not Applicable
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	Not Applicable
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	Not Applicable
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	Not Applicable
18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect	No Such Incident Happened
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect	
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.	

19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:					Candidate expenditure is matching with shadow observation register
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
i	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
ii, iii	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
TOTAL				Nil	Nil	Nil
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period					Yes 05-04-2019 Produced 10-04-2019 Produced 16-04-2019 Produced
21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-					Yes, Agreed
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting					Not Applicable
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice					Not Applicable
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?					Not Applicable
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply					Not Applicable
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation					Not Applicable
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate.(Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO) Date: 24-06-2019					Yes (Manjushree N., I. A. S.) District Election Officer, 20-Mandya Parliamentary Constituency, Mandya.
23	Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*					No

(Hemant Hingonia)
IRS (R-22998)
Expenditure Observer,
20-Mandya Parliamentary Constituency,
Mandya.

(Pankaj Kumar Singh)
IRS-CBIC (R-24203)
Expenditure Observer,
20-Mandya Parliamentary Constituency,
Mandya.

Date: 24-06-2019

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

Seri Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO 02

Name of the State Karnataka District Mandya Election Parliamentary

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

Sl. No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	Nanjundaswamy, Gundapura, D.K.Halli Post, Malavalli Taluk,Mandya District.
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	Bahujan Samaj Party (BSP)
3	No.and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	20-Mandya Parliamentary Constituency
4	Name of the elected candidate	Smt. Sumalatha Ambareesh
5	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18-06-2019
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22-06-2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate:	22-06-2019
	(i) Original account	
	(ii) Revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	
12	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	Not Applicable
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	Accounts Lodged in Time
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	Not Applicable
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	Not Applicable
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs. 5,04,304.64/-
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	Yes
17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	Not Applicable
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	Not Applicable
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	Not Applicable
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	Not Applicable
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	Not Applicable
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	Not Applicable
18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect	No Such Incident Happened
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect	
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.	

(Annexure-B5)

Summary of the Observer

Sl. No.	Name of the Candidate and party Affiliation	Whether notices issued to the candidates on all discrepancies found during inspection or in final accounts I of the candidate and reply of candidates considered (See Note 8) and re	Date of Lodging of Account of Election Expenses by the Candidates, (please see note 1 below)	Whether Account lodged in time (Yes/No)	Amount of expenditure mentioned in the accounts of the candidate	Whether accounts are lodged in format and with all necessary documents	Whether the Observer agrees with the candidate's Submission vis-à vis the evidence collected (Yes/No) If no, Please annex as per note 2 below	Whether the DEO has cross checked the candidates submission with all information collected during campaign (Yes/No) If, No, Pl. annex details as per note 3 below	Whether the estimated expenditure incurred by the Candidate exceeded the prescribed ceiling (Yes/No) If, yes, pl. annex details as per note 4 below	Amount of expenditure incurred by the political party, If any, on behalf of the candidate/mentioned the name of the party as per note 5 below	Amount of expenditure incurred by other entity / individuals on behalf of the candidate	Whether Abstract Statement(Part I to Part IV and schedule 1 to 9) of accounts uploaded on CEO's website (within 3 days of of lodging of accounts)	Whether cash and other items seized during election process has been released within 7 days excepting the cases (i) where FIR has been lodged (ii) where cash is handed over to I. T. Deptt.. If not, whether it is brought to the notice of RO, DEO and SP for urgent action
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
1	Nikhil Kumaraswamy Janatha Dal (Secular)	Yes	22-06-2019	Yes	6780388	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	365512 Janatha Dal (Secular)	No	Yes	Not Applicable
2	Nanjundaswamy Bahujan Samaj Party	No	22-06-2019	Yes	504304.64	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	101292.64 Bahujan Samaj Party (BSP)	No	Yes	Not Applicable
3	Gurulingaiah Indian New Congress Party	No	22-06-2019	Yes	39864	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Nil	Nil	Yes	Not Applicable
4	D. C. Jayashankara Aihra National Party	No	Accounts Not Submitted	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Not Applicable
5	Divakar.C.P.Gowda Uttama Prajaakeeya Party	No	22-06-2019	Yes	272196	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Nil	Nil	Yes	Not Applicable
6	Santhosh Mandya Gowda Engineers Party	No	22-06-2019	Yes	35464	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Nil	Nil	Yes	Not Applicable
7	Arvind Premanand Independent	No	Accounts Not Submitted	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Not Applicable
8	Kowdle Channappa Independent	No	Accounts Not Submitted	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Not Applicable
9	T.K.Dasar Independent	No	Accounts Not Submitted	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Not Applicable
10	H. Narayana Independent	No	Accounts Not Submitted	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Not Applicable
11	Puttegowda. N.C. Independent	No	Accounts Not Submitted	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Not Applicable
12	Premakumara. V.V. Independent	No	22-06-2019	Yes	82436	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Nil	Nil	Yes	Not Applicable
13	Manjunatha. B. Independent	No	Accounts Not Submitted	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Not Applicable
14	G. Manjunatha Independent	No	22-06-2019	Yes	12500	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Nil	Nil	Yes	Not Applicable
15	Lingegowda. S.H. Independent	No	Accounts Not Submitted	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Not Applicable
16	C. Lingegowda Independent	No	22-06-2019	Yes	25000	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Nil	Nil	Yes	Not Applicable

Sl. No.	Name of the Candidate and party Affiliation	Whether notices issued to the candidates on all discrepancies found during inspection or in final accounts I of the candidate and reply of candidates considered (See Note 8) and re	Date of Lodging of Account of Election Expenses by the Candidates, (please see note 1 below)	Whether Account lodged in time (Yes/No)	Amount of expenditure mentioned in the accounts of the candidate	Whether accounts are lodged in format and with all necessary documents	Whether the Observer agrees with the candidate's Submission vis-à-vis the evidence collected (Yes/No) If no, Please annex as per note 2 below	Whether the DEO has cross checked the candidates submission with all information collected during campaign (Yes/No) If, No, Pl. annex details as per note 3 below	Whether the estimated expenditure incurred by the Candidate exceeded the prescribed ceiling (Yes/No). If, yes, pl. annex details as per note 4 below	Amount of expenditure incurred by the political party, if any, on behalf of the candidate/mentioned the name of the party as per note 5 below	Amount of expenditure incurred by other entity / individuals on behalf of the candidate	Whether Abstract Statement(Part I to Part IV and schedule 1 to 9) of accounts uploaded on CEO's website (within 3 days of of lodging of accounts)	Whether cash and other items seized during election process has been released within 7 days excepting the cases (i) where FIR has been lodged (ii) where cash is handed over to I. T. Deptt.. If not, whether it is brought to the notice of RO, DEO and SP for urgent action
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
17	M.L. Shashikumar Independent	No	Accounts Not Submitted	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Not Applicable
18	Satheesh Kumar. T.N. Independent	No	22-06-2019	Yes	13812	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Nil	Nil	Yes	Not Applicable
19	Sumalatha Independent	No	Accounts Not Submitted	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Not Applicable
20	Sumalatha Ambareesh Independent	Yes	21-06-2019	Yes	4970748	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Nil	Nil	Yes	Not Applicable
21	M. Sumalatha Independent	No	Accounts Not Submitted	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Not Applicable
22	Sumalatha Independent	No	Accounts Not Submitted	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Not Applicable

Place: Mandya
Date : 24-06-2019

(Hemant Hingonia)
IRS (R-22998)
Expenditure Observer,
20-Mandya Parliamentary Constituency,
Mandya.

(Pankaj Kumar Singh)
IRS-CBIC (R-24203)
Expenditure Observer,
20-Mandya Parliamentary Constituency,
Mandya.

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9		10		11
Sl. No.	Name of the candidate and Party Affiliation	Due date of lodging of account	Date of lodging of accounts by the candidate	Whether lodged in the prescribed format (Yes or No)	Whether lodged in the manner required by law (Yes or No)	Grand Total of the expenses incurred/ authorized by the candidate/ agent (as mentioned in Part-II of Abstract Statement)	Whether the DEO agrees with the amount shown by the candidate against all items of expenditure (Should be similar to point no. 22 of DEO's Scrutiny Report i.e. Annexure-C3)	Total expenses incurred by the Party (As reported in Part-III of Abstract Statement)		Total expenses incurred by others/ entities as reported in Part-III of Abstract Statement		Remarks of the Expenditure Observer
								Lump Sum Amount in cash or cheque given to candidate by each Political Party	Grand Total of other expenses in kind by the Political Party	Lump sum Amount in cash / cheque given to the candidate (and mention names of donors)	Grand total of other expenses in kind incurred for the candidate	
14	G. Manjunatha Independent	22-06-2019	22-06-2019	Yes	Yes	12500	Yes	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	No
15	Lingegowda. S.H. Independent	22-06-2019	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged
16	C. Lingegowda Independent	22-06-2019	22-06-2019	Yes	Yes	25000	Yes	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	No
17	M.L. Shashikumar Independent	22-06-2019	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged
18	Satheesh Kumar. T.N. Independent	22-06-2019	22-06-2019	Yes	Yes	13812	Yes	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	No
19	Sumalatha Independent	22-06-2019	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged
20	Sumalatha Ambareesh Independent	22-06-2019	21-06-2019	Yes	Yes	4970748	Yes	Nil	Nil	59,66350	Nil	No
21	M. Sumalatha Independent	22-06-2019	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged
22	Sumalatha Independent	22-06-2019	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged

(Manjushree N., I. A. S.)
District Election Officer,
20-Mandya Parliamentary Constituency,
Mandya.

Comments of the Expenditure Observer, if any,: No

Date: 24-06-2019

(Hemant Hingonia)
IRS (R-22998)
Expenditure Observer,
20-Mandya Parliamentary Constituency,
Mandya.

(Pankaj Kumar Singh)
IRS-CBIC (R-24203)
Expenditure Observer,
20-Mandya Parliamentary Constituency,
Mandya.

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO 01

Name of the State Karnataka District Mandya Election Parliamentary

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

Sl. No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	Sri. Nikhil Kumaraswamy, No.286, III Main Road, III Phase, J.P.Nagar, Bangalore-560078
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	Janatha Dal (Secular)
3	No.and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	20-Mandya Parliamentary Constituency
4	Name of the elected candidate	Smt. Sumalatha Ambareesh
5	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18-06-2019
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22-06-2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate:	22-06-2019
	(i) Original account	
	(ii) Revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	
12	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	Not Applicable
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	Accounts Lodged in Time
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	Not Applicable
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	Not Applicable
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs. 67,80,388/-
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	Yes
17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	Not Applicable
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	Not Applicable
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	Not Applicable
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	Not Applicable
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	Not Applicable
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	Not Applicable
18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect	Notice has been issued
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect	Yes
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.	No Comments

19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:					Candidate expenditure is matching with shadow observation register
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
i	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
ii, iii	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
TOTAL				Nil	Nil	Nil
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period					Yes 05-04-2019 Produced 10-04-2019 Produced 16-04-2019 Produced
21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-					Yes, Agreed
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting					Not Applicable
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice					Not Applicable
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?					Not Applicable
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply					Not Applicable
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation					Not Applicable
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate.(Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO) Date:24-06-2019					Yes (Manjushree N., I. A. S.) District Election Officer, 20-Mandya Parliamentary Constituency, Mandya.
23	Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*					No

(Hemant Hingonia)
IRS (R-22998)
Expenditure Observer,
20-Mandya Parliamentary Constituency,
Mandya.

(Pankaj Kumar Singh)
IRS-CBIC (R-24203)
Expenditure Observer,
20-Mandya Parliamentary Constituency,
Mandya.

Date: 24-06-2019

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO 05

Name of the State Karnataka District Mandya Election Parliamentary

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

Sl. No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	Divakar.C.P.Gowda, No.133, Kyatamgere, Bannuru Road, Mandya
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	Uttama Prajaakeeya Party (UPP)
3	No.and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	20-Mandya Parliamentary Constituency
4	Name of the elected candidate	Smt. Sumalatha Ambareesh
5	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18-06-2019
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22-06-2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate:	22-06-2019
	(i) Original account	
	(ii) Revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	
12	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	Not Applicable
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	Accounts Lodged in Time
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	Not Applicable
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	Not Applicable
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs. 2,72,196/-
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	Yes
17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	Not Applicable
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	Not Applicable
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	Not Applicable
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	Not Applicable
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	Not Applicable
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	Not Applicable
18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect	No Such Incident Happened
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect	
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.	

19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:					Candidate expenditure is matching with shadow observation register
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
i	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
ii, iii	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
TOTAL				Nil	Nil	Nil
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period					Yes 05-04-2019 Produced 10-04-2019 Produced 16-04-2019 Produced
21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-					Yes, Agreed
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting					Not Applicable
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice					Not Applicable
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?					Not Applicable
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply					Not Applicable
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation					Not Applicable
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate.(Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO) Date: 24-06-2019					Yes (Manjushree N., I. A. S.) District Election Officer, 20-Mandya Parliamentary Constituency, Mandya.
23	Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*					No

(Hemant Hingonia)
IRS (R-22998)
Expenditure Observer,
20-Mandya Parliamentary Constituency,
Mandya.

(Pankaj Kumar Singh)
IRS-CBIC (R-24203)
Expenditure Observer,
20-Mandya Parliamentary Constituency,
Mandya.

Date: 24-06-2019

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO 06

Name of the State Karnataka District Mandya Election Parliamentary

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

Sl. No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	Santhosh Mandya Gowda, Bhuthana Hosuru, Mandya Taluk, Mandya District-571403
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	Engineers Party (EP)
3	No.and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	20-Mandya Parliamentary Constituency
4	Name of the elected candidate	Smt. Sumalatha Ambareesh
5	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18-06-2019
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22-06-2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate:	22-06-2019
	(i) Original account	
	(ii) Revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	
12	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	Not Applicable
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	Accounts Lodged in Time
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	Not Applicable
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	Not Applicable
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs. 35,464/-
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	Yes
17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	Not Applicable
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	Not Applicable
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	Not Applicable
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	Not Applicable
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	Not Applicable
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	Not Applicable
18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect	No Such Incident Happened
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect	
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.	

19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:					Candidate expenditure is matching with shadow observation register
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
i	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
ii, iii	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
TOTAL				Nil	Nil	Nil
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period					Yes 05-04-2019 Produced 10-04-2019 Produced 16-04-2019 Produced
21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-					Yes, Agreed
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting					Not Applicable
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice					Not Applicable
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?					Not Applicable
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply					Not Applicable
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation					Not Applicable
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate.(Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO) Date: 24-06-2019					Yes (Manjushree N., I. A. S.) District Election Officer, 20-Mandya Parliamentary Constituency, Mandya.
23	Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*					No

(Hemant Hingonia)
IRS (R-22998)
Expenditure Observer,
20-Mandya Parliamentary Constituency,
Mandya.

(Pankaj Kumar Singh)
IRS-CBIC (R-24203)
Expenditure Observer,
20-Mandya Parliamentary Constituency,
Mandya.

Date: 24-06-2019

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO 07

Name of the State Karnataka District Mandya Election Parliamentary

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

Sl. No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	Arvind Premanand, No.1, B.M.Road, Hosabudanauru, Mandya Taluk, Mandya District
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	Independent
3	No.and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	20-Mandya Parliamentary Constituency
4	Name of the elected candidate	Smt. Sumalatha Ambareesh
5	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18-06-2019
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	No
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Accounts Not Submitted
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22-06-2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Accounts Not Submitted
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate:	Accounts Not Submitted
	(i) Original account	
	(ii) Revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	
12	Whether account lodged in time	Accounts Not Submitted
12A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	Accounts Not Submitted
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	Yes
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	No
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	Reply not submitted by the candidate Hence no Comments
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Accounts Not Submitted
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	Accounts Not Submitted
17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	Accounts Not Submitted
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	Accounts Not Submitted
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	Accounts Not Submitted
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	Accounts Not Submitted
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	Yes
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	Accounts Not Submitted
18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect	Accounts Not Submitted
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect	
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.	

19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:					Accounts Not Submitted
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
i	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
ii, iii	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
TOTAL				Nil	Nil	Nil
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period					Yes 05-04-2019 Not Produced 10-04-2019 Produced 16-04-2019 Produced
21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-					Accounts Not Submitted
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting					Accounts Not Submitted
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice					Accounts Not Submitted
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?					Accounts Not Submitted
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply					Accounts Not Submitted
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation					Accounts Not Submitted
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate.(Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO) Date: 24-06-2019					Accounts Not Submitted (Manjushree N., I. A. S.) District Election Officer, 20-Mandya Parliamentary Constituency, Mandya.
23	Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*					No

(Hemant Hingonia)
IRS (R-22998)
Expenditure Observer,
20-Mandya Parliamentary Constituency,
Mandya.

(Pankaj Kumar Singh)
IRS-CBIC (R-24203)
Expenditure Observer,
20-Mandya Parliamentary Constituency,
Mandya.

Date: 24-06-2019

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO 08

Name of the State Karnataka District Mandya Election Parliamentary

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

Sl. No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	Kowdle Channappa, Kowdle Village & Post, Koppa Hobli, Maddur TQ.
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	Independent
3	No.and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	20-Mandya Parliamentary Constituency
4	Name of the elected candidate	Smt. Sumalatha Ambareesh
5	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18-06-2019
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	No
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Accounts Not Submitted
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22-06-2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Accounts Not Submitted
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate:	Accounts Not Submitted
	(i) Original account	
	(ii) Revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	
12	Whether account lodged in time	Accounts Not Submitted
12A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	Accounts Not Submitted
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	Yes
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	No
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	Reply not submitted by the candidate Hence no Comments
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Accounts Not Submitted
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	Accounts Not Submitted
17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	Accounts Not Submitted
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	Accounts Not Submitted
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	Accounts Not Submitted
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	Accounts Not Submitted
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	Yes
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	Accounts Not Submitted
18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect	Accounts Not Submitted
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect	
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.	

19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:					Accounts Not Submitted
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
i	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
ii, iii	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
TOTAL				Nil	Nil	Nil
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period					Yes 05-04-2019 Not Produced 10-04-2019 Produced 16-04-2019 Produced
21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-					Accounts Not Submitted
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting					Accounts Not Submitted
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice					Accounts Not Submitted
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?					Accounts Not Submitted
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply					Accounts Not Submitted
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation					Accounts Not Submitted
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate.(Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO) Date: 24-06-2019					Accounts Not Submitted (Manjushree N., I. A. S.) District Election Officer, 20-Mandya Parliamentary Constituency, Mandya.
23	Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*					No

(Hemant Hingonia)
IRS (R-22998)
Expenditure Observer,
20-Mandya Parliamentary Constituency,
Mandya.

(Pankaj Kumar Singh)
IRS-CBIC (R-24203)
Expenditure Observer,
20-Mandya Parliamentary Constituency,
Mandya.

Date: 24-06-2019

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO 09

Name of the State Karnataka District Mandya Election Parliamentary

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

Sl. No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	T.K. Dasar, #13, 3rd Main Road, Hebbala, Bangalore-560032
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	Independent
3	No.and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	20-Mandya Parliamentary Constituency
4	Name of the elected candidate	Smt. Sumalatha Ambareesh
5	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18-06-2019
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	No
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Accounts Not Submitted
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22-06-2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Accounts Not Submitted
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate:	Accounts Not Submitted
	(i) Original account	
	(ii) Revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	
12	Whether account lodged in time	Accounts Not Submitted
12A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	Accounts Not Submitted
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	Yes
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	No
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	Reply not submitted by the candidate Hence no Comments
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Accounts Not Submitted
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	Accounts Not Submitted
17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	Accounts Not Submitted
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	Accounts Not Submitted
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	Accounts Not Submitted
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	Accounts Not Submitted
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	Yes
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	Accounts Not Submitted
18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect	Accounts Not Submitted
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect	
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.	

19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:					Accounts Not Submitted
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
i	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
ii, iii	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
TOTAL				Nil	Nil	Nil
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period					Yes 05-04-2019 Not Produced 10-04-2019 Not Produced 16-04-2019 Not Produced
21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-					Accounts Not Submitted
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting					Accounts Not Submitted
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice					Accounts Not Submitted
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?					Accounts Not Submitted
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply					Accounts Not Submitted
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation					Accounts Not Submitted
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate.(Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO) Date: 24-06-2019					Accounts Not Submitted (Manjushree N., I. A. S.) District Election Officer, 20-Mandya Parliamentary Constituency, Mandya.
23	Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*					No

(Hemant Hingonia)
IRS (R-22998)
Expenditure Observer,
20-Mandya Parliamentary Constituency,
Mandya.

(Pankaj Kumar Singh)
IRS-CBIC (R-24203)
Expenditure Observer,
20-Mandya Parliamentary Constituency,
Mandya.

Date: 24-06-2019

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO 10

Name of the State Karnataka District Mandya Election Parliamentary

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

Sl. No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	H. Narayana, Hireemarali Village & Post, Pandavapura Taluk, Mandya District-571434
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	Independent
3	No.and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	20-Mandya Parliamentary Constituency
4	Name of the elected candidate	Smt. Sumalatha Ambareesh
5	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18-06-2019
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	No
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Accounts Not Submitted
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22-06-2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Accounts Not Submitted
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate:	Accounts Not Submitted
	(i) Original account	
	(ii) Revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	
12	Whether account lodged in time	Accounts Not Submitted
12A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	Accounts Not Submitted
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	Yes
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	No
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	Reply not submitted by the candidate Hence no Comments
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Accounts Not Submitted
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	Accounts Not Submitted
17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	Accounts Not Submitted
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	Accounts Not Submitted
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	Accounts Not Submitted
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	Accounts Not Submitted
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	Yes
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	Accounts Not Submitted
18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect	Accounts Not Submitted
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect	
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.	

19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:					Accounts Not Submitted
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
i	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
ii, iii	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
TOTAL				Nil	Nil	Nil
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period					Yes 05-04-2019 Not Produced 10-04-2019 Produced 16-04-2019 Produced
21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-					Accounts Not Submitted
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting					Accounts Not Submitted
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice					Accounts Not Submitted
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?					Accounts Not Submitted
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply					Accounts Not Submitted
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation					Accounts Not Submitted
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate.(Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO) Date: 24-06-2019					Accounts Not Submitted (Manjushree N., I. A. S.) District Election Officer, 20-Mandya Parliamentary Constituency, Mandya.
23	Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*					No

(Hemant Hingonia)
IRS (R-22998)
Expenditure Observer,
20-Mandya Parliamentary Constituency,
Mandya.

(Pankaj Kumar Singh)
IRS-CBIC (R-24203)
Expenditure Observer,
20-Mandya Parliamentary Constituency,
Mandya.

Date: 24-06-2019

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO 11

Name of the State Karnataka District Mandya Election Parliamentary

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

Sl. No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	Puttegowda. N.C, Neelakantanahalli, Kasaba Hobli, Aluru Post, Maddur Taluk
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	Independent
3	No.and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	20-Mandya Parliamentary Constituency
4	Name of the elected candidate	Smt. Sumalatha Ambareesh
5	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18-06-2019
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	No
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Accounts Not Submitted
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22-06-2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Accounts Not Submitted
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate:	Accounts Not Submitted
	(i) Original account	
	(ii) Revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	
12	Whether account lodged in time	Accounts Not Submitted
12A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	Accounts Not Submitted
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	Yes
14	Explanation, if any; given by the candidate	No
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	Reply not submitted by the candidate Hence no Comments
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Accounts Not Submitted
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	Accounts Not Submitted
17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	Accounts Not Submitted
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	Accounts Not Submitted
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	Accounts Not Submitted
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	Accounts Not Submitted
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	Yes
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	Accounts Not Submitted
18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect	Accounts Not Submitted
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect	
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.	

19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:					Accounts Not Submitted
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
i	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
ii, iii	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
TOTAL				Nil	Nil	Nil
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period					Yes 05-04-2019 Not Produced 10-04-2019 Not Produced 16-04-2019 Not Produced
21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-					Accounts Not Submitted
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting					Accounts Not Submitted
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice					Accounts Not Submitted
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?					Accounts Not Submitted
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply					Accounts Not Submitted
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation					Accounts Not Submitted
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate.(Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO) Date: 24-06-2019					Accounts Not Submitted (Manjushree N., I. A. S.) District Election Officer, 20-Mandya Parliamentary Constituency, Mandya.
23	Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*					No

(Hemant Hingonia)
IRS (R-22998)
Expenditure Observer,
20-Mandya Parliamentary Constituency,
Mandya.

(Pankaj Kumar Singh)
IRS-CBIC (R-24203)
Expenditure Observer,
20-Mandya Parliamentary Constituency,
Mandya.

Date: 24-06-2019

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO 12

Name of the State Karnataka District Mandya Election Parliamentary

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

Sl. No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	Premakumara. V.V, Uyigondanahalli Village, Hunasuru Taluk, Mysore District
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	Independent
3	No.and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	20-Mandya Parliamentary Constituency
4	Name of the elected candidate	Smt. Sumalatha Ambareesh
5	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18-06-2019
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22-06-2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate:	22-06-2019
	(i) Original account	
	(ii) Revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	
12	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	Not Applicable
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	Accounts Lodged in Time
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	Not Applicable
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	Not Applicable
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs. 82,436/-
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	Yes
17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	Not Applicable
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	Not Applicable
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	Not Applicable
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	Not Applicable
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	Not Applicable
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	Not Applicable
18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect	No Such Incident Happened
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect	
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.	

19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:					Candidate expenditure is matching with shadow observation register
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
i	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
ii, iii	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
TOTAL				Nil	Nil	Nil
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period					Yes 05-04-2019 Produced 10-04-2019 Produced 16-04-2019 Produced
21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-					Yes, Agreed
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting					Not Applicable
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice					Not Applicable
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?					Not Applicable
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply					Not Applicable
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation					Not Applicable
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate.(Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO) Date: 24-06-2019					Yes (Manjushree N., I. A. S.) District Election Officer, 20-Mandya Parliamentary Constituency, Mandya.
23	Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*					No

(Hemant Hingonia)
IRS (R-22998)
Expenditure Observer,
20-Mandya Parliamentary Constituency,
Mandya.

(Pankaj Kumar Singh)
IRS-CBIC (R-24203)
Expenditure Observer,
20-Mandya Parliamentary Constituency,
Mandya.

Date: 24-06-2019

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO 13

Name of the State Karnataka District Mandya Election Parliamentary

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

Sl. No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	Manjunath. B, House No.303, 7th Cross, Halahalli, Mandya-571401
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	Independent
3	No.and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	20-Mandya Parliamentary Constituency
4	Name of the elected candidate	Smt. Sumalatha Ambareesh
5	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18-06-2019
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22-06-2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Accounts Not Submitted
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate:	Accounts Not Submitted
	(i) Original account	
	(ii) Revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	
12	Whether account lodged in time	Accounts Not Submitted
12A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	Accounts Not Submitted
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	Yes
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	No
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	Reply not submitted by the candidate Hence no Comments
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Accounts Not Submitted
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	Accounts Not Submitted
17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	Accounts Not Submitted
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	Accounts Not Submitted
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	Accounts Not Submitted
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	Accounts Not Submitted
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	Yes
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	Accounts Not Submitted
18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect	Accounts Not Submitted
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect	
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.	

19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:					Accounts Not Submitted
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
i	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
ii, iii	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
TOTAL				Nil	Nil	Nil
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period					Yes 05-04-2019 Produced 10-04-2019 Produced 16-04-2019 Produced
21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-					Accounts Not Submitted
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting					Accounts Not Submitted
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice					Accounts Not Submitted
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?					Accounts Not Submitted
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply					Accounts Not Submitted
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation					Accounts Not Submitted
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate.(Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO) Date: 24-06-2019					Accounts Not Submitted (Manjushree N., I. A. S.) District Election Officer, 20-Mandya Parliamentary Constituency, Mandya.
23	Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*					No

(Hemant Hingonia)
IRS (R-22998)
Expenditure Observer,
20-Mandya Parliamentary Constituency,
Mandya.

(Pankaj Kumar Singh)
IRS-CBIC (R-24203)
Expenditure Observer,
20-Mandya Parliamentary Constituency,
Mandya.

Date: 24-06-2019

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO 14

Name of the State Karnataka District Mandya Election Parliamentary

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

Sl. No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	G. Manjunatha, Sonnadevanahalli Village, Kasaba Hobli, Hoskote Taluk, Bangalore Rural District-562114
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	Independent
3	No.and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	20-Mandya Parliamentary Constituency
4	Name of the elected candidate	Smt. Sumalatha Ambareesh
5	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18-06-2019
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Not Attended
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22-06-2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate:	22-06-2019
	(i) Original account	
	(ii) Revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	
12	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	Not Applicable
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	Accounts Lodged in Time
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	Not Applicable
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	Not Applicable
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs.12,500/-
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	Yes
17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	Not Applicable
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	Not Applicable
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	Not Applicable
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	Not Applicable
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	Not Applicable
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	Not Applicable
18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect	No Such Incident Happened
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect	
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.	

19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:					Candidate expenditure is matching with shadow observation register
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
i	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
ii, iii	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
TOTAL				Nil	Nil	Nil
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period					Yes 05-04-2019 Not Produced 10-04-2019 Not Produced 16-04-2019 Not Produced
21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-					Yes, Agreed
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting					Not Applicable
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice					Not Applicable
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?					Not Applicable
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply					Not Applicable
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation					Not Applicable
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate.(Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO) Date: 24-06-2019					Yes (Manjushree N., I. A. S.) District Election Officer, 20-Mandya Parliamentary Constituency, Mandya.
23	Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*					No

(Hemant Hingonia)
IRS (R-22998)
Expenditure Observer,
20-Mandya Parliamentary Constituency,
Mandya.

(Pankaj Kumar Singh)
IRS-CBIC (R-24203)
Expenditure Observer,
20-Mandya Parliamentary Constituency,
Mandya.

Date: 24-06-2019

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

Seri Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO 15

Name of the State Karnataka District Mandya Election Parliamentary

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

Sl. No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	Lingegowda. S.H, Sompura, Nagarakere Post, Makkur Taluk, Mandya District
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	Independent
3	No.and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	20-Mandya Parliamentary Constituency
4	Name of the elected candidate	Smt. Sumalatha Ambareesh
5	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18-06-2019
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	No
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Accounts Not Submitted
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22-06-2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Accounts Not Submitted
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate:	Accounts Not Submitted
	(i) Original account	
	(ii) Revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	
12	Whether account lodged in time	Accounts Not Submitted
12A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	Accounts Not Submitted
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	Yes
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	No
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	Reply not submitted by the candidate Hence no Comments
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Accounts Not Submitted
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	Accounts Not Submitted
17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	Accounts Not Submitted
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	Accounts Not Submitted
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	Accounts Not Submitted
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	Accounts Not Submitted
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	Yes
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	Accounts Not Submitted
18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect	Accounts Not Submitted
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect	
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.	

19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:					Accounts Not Submitted
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
i	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
ii, iii	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
TOTAL				Nil	Nil	Nil
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period					Yes 05-04-2019 Produced 10-04-2019 Produced 16-04-2019 Produced
21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-					Accounts Not Submitted
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting					Accounts Not Submitted
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice					Accounts Not Submitted
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?					Accounts Not Submitted
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply					Accounts Not Submitted
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation					Accounts Not Submitted
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate.(Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO) Date: 24-06-2019					Accounts Not Submitted (Manjushree N., I. A. S.) District Election Officer, 20-Mandya Parliamentary Constituency, Mandya.
23	Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*					No

(Hemant Hingonia)
IRS (R-22998)
Expenditure Observer,
20-Mandya Parliamentary Constituency,
Mandya.

(Pankaj Kumar Singh)
IRS-CBIC (R-24203)
Expenditure Observer,
20-Mandya Parliamentary Constituency,
Mandya.

Date: 24-06-2019

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO 16

Name of the State Karnataka District Mandya Election Parliamentary

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

Sl. No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	C. Lingegowda, Karasavadi Village, Santhekasalagere Dakhle, Kottathi Hobli, Mandya Taluk.
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	Independent
3	No.and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	20-Mandya Parliamentary Constituency
4	Name of the elected candidate	Smt. Sumalatha Ambareesh
5	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18-06-2019
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22-06-2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate:	22-06-2019
	(i) Original account	
	(ii) Revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	
12	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	Not Applicable
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	Accounts Lodged in Time
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	Not Applicable
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	Not Applicable
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs. 25,000/-
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	Yes
17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	Not Applicable
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	Not Applicable
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	Not Applicable
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	Not Applicable
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	Not Applicable
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	Not Applicable
18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect	No Such Incident Happened
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect	
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.	

19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:					Candidate expenditure is matching with shadow observation register
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
i	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
ii, iii	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
TOTAL				Nil	Nil	Nil
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period					Yes
						05-04-2019 Not Produced
						10-04-2019 Not Produced
						16-04-2019 Not Produced
21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-					Yes, Agreed
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting					Not Applicable
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice					Not Applicable
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?					Not Applicable
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply					Not Applicable
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation					Not Applicable
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate.(Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO) Date: 24-06-2019					Yes (Manjushree N., I. A. S.) District Election Officer, 20-Mandya Parliamentary Constituency, Mandya.
23	Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*					No

(Hemant Hingonia)
IRS (R-22998)
Expenditure Observer,
20-Mandya Parliamentary Constituency,
Mandya.

(Pankaj Kumar Singh)
IRS-CBIC (R-24203)
Expenditure Observer,
20-Mandya Parliamentary Constituency,
Mandya.

Date: 24-06-2019

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

Seri ' Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO 17

Name of the State Karnataka District Mandya Election Parliamentary

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

Sl. No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	M.L. Shashikumar, Halahalli, Channaiah Park, Opposote Tengina Thota House, Mandya City
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	Independent
3	No.and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	20-Mandya Parliamentary Constituency
4	Name of the elected candidate	Smt. Sumalatha Ambareesh
5	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18-06-2019
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	No
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Accounts Not Submitted
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22-06-2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Accounts Not Submitted
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate:	Accounts Not Submitted
	(i) Original account	
	(ii) Revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	
12	Whether account lodged in time	Accounts Not Submitted
12A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	Accounts Not Submitted
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	Yes
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	No
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	Reply not submitted by the candidate Hence no Comments
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Accounts Not Submitted
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	Accounts Not Submitted
17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	Accounts Not Submitted
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	Accounts Not Submitted
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	Accounts Not Submitted
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	Accounts Not Submitted
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	Yes
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	Accounts Not Submitted
18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect	Accounts Not Submitted
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect	
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.	

19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:					Accounts Not Submitted
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
i	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
ii, iii	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
TOTAL				Nil	Nil	Nil
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period					Yes 05-04-2019 Not Produced 10-04-2019 Not Produced 16-04-2019 Produced
21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-					Accounts Not Submitted
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting					Accounts Not Submitted
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice					Accounts Not Submitted
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?					Accounts Not Submitted
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply					Accounts Not Submitted
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation					Accounts Not Submitted
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate.(Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO) Date: 24-06-2019					Accounts Not Submitted (Manjushree N., I. A. S.) District Election Officer, 20-Mandya Parliamentary Constituency, Mandya.
23	Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*					No

(Hemant Hingonia)
IRS (R-22998)
Expenditure Observer,
20-Mandya Parliamentary Constituency,
Mandya.

(Pankaj Kumar Singh)
IRS-CBIC (R-24203)
Expenditure Observer,
20-Mandya Parliamentary Constituency,
Mandya.

Date: 24-06-2019

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO 18

Name of the State Karnataka District Mandya Election Parliamentary

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

Sl. No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	Satheesh Kumar. T.N, Indira Colony, Torekadanahalli Village & Post, Halaguru Hobli, Malavalli Taluk.
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	Independent
3	No.and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	20-Mandya Parliamentary Constituency
4	Name of the elected candidate	Smt. Sumalatha Ambareesh
5	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18-06-2019
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22-06-2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate:	22-06-2019
	(i) Original account	
	(ii) Revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	
12	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	Not Applicable
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	Accounts Lodged in Time
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	Not Applicable
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	Not Applicable
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs. 13,812/-
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	Yes
17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	Not Applicable
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	Not Applicable
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	Not Applicable
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	Not Applicable
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	Not Applicable
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	Not Applicable
18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect	No Such Incident Happened
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect	
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.	

19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:					Candidate expenditure is matching with shadow observation register
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
i	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
ii, iii	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
TOTAL				Nil	Nil	Nil
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period					Yes 05-04-2019 Not Produced 10-04-2019 Produced 16-04-2019 Produced
21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-					Yes, Agreed
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting					Not Applicable
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice					Not Applicable
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?					Not Applicable
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply					Not Applicable
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation					Not Applicable
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate.(Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO) Date: 24-06-2019					Yes (Manjushree N., I. A.S.) District Election Officer, 20-Mandya Parliamentary Constituency, Mandya.
23	Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*					No

(Hemant Hingonia)
IRS (R-22998)
Expenditure Observer,
20-Mandya Parliamentary Constituency,
Mandya.

(Pankaj Kumar Singh)
IRS-CBIC (R-24203)
Expenditure Observer,
20-Mandya Parliamentary Constituency,
Mandya.

Date: 24-06-2019

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

Seri[illegible] Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO 19

Name of the State Karnataka District Mandya Election Parliamentary

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

Sl. No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	Sumalatha, T.M.Hosuru Village, K.Shettahalli Hobli, Shrirangapattana Taluk, Mandya District.
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	Independent
3	No.and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	20-Mandya Parliamentary Constituency
4	Name of the elected candidate	Smt. Sumalatha Ambareesh
5	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18-06-2019
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	No
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Accounts Not Submitted
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22-06-2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Accounts Not Submitted
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate:	Accounts Not Submitted
	(i) Original account	
	(ii) Revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	
12	Whether account lodged in time	Accounts Not Submitted
12A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	Accounts Not Submitted
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	Yes
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	No
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	Reply not submitted by the candidate Hence no Comments
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Accounts Not Submitted
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	Accounts Not Submitted
17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	Accounts Not Submitted
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	Accounts Not Submitted
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	Accounts Not Submitted
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	Accounts Not Submitted
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	Yes
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	Accounts Not Submitted
18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect	Accounts Not Submitted
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect	
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.	

19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:					Accounts Not Submitted
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
i	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
ii, iii	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
TOTAL				Nil	Nil	Nil
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period					Yes 05-04-2019 Not Produced 10-04-2019 Produced 16-04-2019 Produced
21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-					Accounts Not Submitted
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting					Accounts Not Submitted
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice					Accounts Not Submitted
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?					Accounts Not Submitted
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply					Accounts Not Submitted
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation					Accounts Not Submitted
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate.(Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO) Date: 24-06-2019					Accounts Not Submitted (Manjushree N., I. A. S.) District Election Officer, 20-Mandya Parliamentary Constituency, Mandya.
23	Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*					No

(Hemant Hingonia)
IRS (R-22998)
Expenditure Observer,
20-Mandya Parliamentary Constituency,
Mandya.

(Pankaj Kumar Singh)
IRS-CBIC (R-24203)
Expenditure Observer,
20-Mandya Parliamentary Constituency,
Mandya.

Date: 24-06-2019

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO 20

Name of the State Karnataka District Mandya Election Parliamentary

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

Sl. No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	Sumalatha Ambareesh, Doddaarasinakere Village & Post, C.A.Kere Hobli, Maddur Taluk, Mandya District.
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	Independent
3	No.and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	20-Mandya Parliamentary Constituency
4	Name of the elected candidate	Smt. Sumalatha Ambareesh
5	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18-06-2019
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22-06-2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate:	21-06-2019
	(i) Original account	
	(ii) Revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	
12	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	Not Applicable
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	Accounts Lodged in Time
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	Not Applicable
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	Not Applicable
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs. 49,70,748/-
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	Yes
17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	Not Applicable
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	Not Applicable
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	Not Applicable
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	Not Applicable
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	Not Applicable
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	Not Applicable
18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect	Notice has been issued
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect	Yes
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.	No Comments

19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:					Candidate expenditure is matching with shadow observation register
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
i	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
ii, iii	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
TOTAL				Nil	Nil	Nil
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period					Yes 05-04-2019 Produced 10-04-2019 Produced 16-04-2019 Produced
21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-					Yes, Agreed
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting					Not Applicable
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice					Not Applicable
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?					Not Applicable
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply					Not Applicable
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation					Not Applicable
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate.(Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO) Date: 24-06-2019					Yes (Manjushree N., I. A. S.) District Election Officer, 20-Mandya Parliamentary Constituency, Mandya.
23	Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*					No

(Hemant Hingonia)
IRS (R-22998)
Expenditure Observer,
20-Mandya Parliamentary Constituency,
Mandya.

(Pankaj Kumar Singh)
IRS-CBIC (R-24203)
Expenditure Observer,
20-Mandya Parliamentary Constituency,
Mandya.

Date: 24-06-2019

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO 21

Name of the State Karnataka District Mandya Election Parliamentary

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

Sl. No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	M. Sumalatha, Goravi Village, Billenahalli Post, S.B.Halli Hobli, K.R.Pete Taluk, Mandya District
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	Independent
3	No.and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	20-Mandya Parliamentary Constituency
4	Name of the elected candidate	Smt. Sumalatha Ambareesh
5	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18-06-2019
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	No
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Accounts Not Submitted
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22-06-2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Accounts Not Submitted
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate:	Accounts Not Submitted
	(i) Original account	
	(ii) Revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	
12	Whether account lodged in time	Accounts Not Submitted
12A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	Accounts Not Submitted
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	Yes
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	No
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	Reply not submitted by the candidate Hence no Comments
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Accounts Not Submitted
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	Accounts Not Submitted
17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	Accounts Not Submitted
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	Accounts Not Submitted
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	Accounts Not Submitted
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	Accounts Not Submitted
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	Yes
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	Accounts Not Submitted
18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect	Accounts Not Submitted
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect	
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.	

19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:					Accounts Not Submitted
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
i	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
ii, iii	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
TOTAL				Nil	Nil	Nil
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period					Yes 05-04-2019 Not Produced 10-04-2019 Not Produced 16-04-2019 Not Produced
21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-					Accounts Not Submitted
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting					Accounts Not Submitted
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice					Accounts Not Submitted
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?					Accounts Not Submitted
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply					Accounts Not Submitted
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation					Accounts Not Submitted
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate.(Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO) Date: 24-06-2019					Accounts Not Submitted (Manjushree N., I. A. S.) District Election Officer, 20-Mandya Parliamentary Constituency, Mandya.
23	Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*					No

(Hemant Hingonia)
IRS (R-22998)
Expenditure Observer,
20-Mandya Parliamentary Constituency,
Mandya.

(Pankaj Kumar Singh)
IRS-CBIC (R-24203)
Expenditure Observer,
20-Mandya Parliamentary Constituency,
Mandya.

Date: 24-06-2019

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO 22

Name of the State Karnataka District Mandya Election Parliamentary

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

Sl. No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	Sumalatha, No.77,1st Cross, Ranganatha Badavane, Kanakapura, Ramanagar District.
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	Independent
3	No.and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	20-Mandya Parliamentary Constituency
4	Name of the elected candidate	Smt. Sumalatha Ambareesh
5	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18-06-2019
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	No
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Accounts Not Submitted
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22-06-2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Accounts Not Submitted
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate:	Accounts Not Submitted
	(i) Original account	
	(ii) Revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	
12	Whether account lodged in time	Accounts Not Submitted
12A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	Accounts Not Submitted
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	Yes
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	No
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	Reply not submitted by the candidate Hence no Comments
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Accounts Not Submitted
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	Accounts Not Submitted
17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	Accounts Not Submitted
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	Accounts Not Submitted
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	Accounts Not Submitted
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	Accounts Not Submitted
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	Yes
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	Accounts Not Submitted
18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect	Accounts Not Submitted
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect	
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.	

19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:					Accounts Not Submitted
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
i	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
ii, iii	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
TOTAL				Nil	Nil	Nil
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period					Yes 05-04-2019 Not Produced 10-04-2019 Produced 16-04-2019 Produced
21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-					Accounts Not Submitted
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting					Accounts Not Submitted
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice					Accounts Not Submitted
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?					Accounts Not Submitted
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply					Accounts Not Submitted
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation					Accounts Not Submitted
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate.(Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO) Date: 24-06-2019					Accounts Not Submitted (Manjushree N., I. A. S.) District Election Officer, 20-Mandya Parliamentary Constituency, Mandya.
23	Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*					No

(Hemant Hingonia)
IRS (R-22998)
Expenditure Observer,
20-Mandya Parliamentary Constituency,
Mandya.

(Pankaj Kumar Singh)
IRS-CBIC (R-24203)
Expenditure Observer,
20-Mandya Parliamentary Constituency,
Mandya.

Date: 24-06-2019

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.