



## ಜಿಲ್ಲಾಧಿಕಾರಿ ಹಾಗೂ ಜಿಲ್ಲಾ ಚುನಾವಣಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳ ಕಾರ್ಯಾಲಯ, ಚಾಮರಾಜನಗರ ಜಿಲ್ಲೆ, ಚಾಮರಾಜನಗರ.

## Office of the Deputy Commissioner and District Election Officer Chamarajanagar District, Chamarajanagar.

Phone No. 08226-223160, 08226-223170, Fax: 08226-223180, E-mail deo.cnagar @gmail com

No: ELN(1) CR: 19: 2019-20

Dated: 26.06.2019.

To,

The Chief Electoral Officer and Ex-officeo Principle Secretary to Govt. DPAR (Elections), Nirvachana Nilaya, Sheshadri Road, Bengaluru.

Sir,

Sub:

General Election to the LokSabha – 2019, Submission

of Accounts of Election Expenditure - reg

Ref:

1.

2. Letter No: 76/ECI/INST/FUNC/EEM/EEPS/20/2019/Vol.III, Dated: 31.05.2019 of Election

Commission of India

\*\*\*\*

With reference to above, Please find herewith The Election Expenditure Report of Contesting Candidate of 22-Chamarajanagar (SC) Parliamentary Constituency. The details are shown below.

SI No	Name of the Contesting Candidate Sri / Smt	Party Affilation	Accounts Submitted / Not Submitted	Amount of Election Expenditure (Rs.)
1	2	3	4	5
1	R. Dhruvanarayana	Indian National Congress	Submitted	46,31,424-00
2	Dr. Shivakumara	Bahujan Samaj Party	Submitted	23,69,406-00
3	V. Srinivas Prasad	Bharatiya Janatha Party	Submitted	52,98,306-00
4	Hanur Nagaraju	Uttama Prajaakeeya Party	Submitted	12,950-00
5	Prasanna Kumar B.	Karnataka Praja Party (Raitha Parva)	Submitted	72,810-00
6	Subbaiah	Indian New Congress Party	Submitted	20,650-00

SI No	Name of the Contesting Candidate Sri / Smt	Party Affiliation	Accounts Submitted / Not Submitted	Amount of Election Expenditure (Rs.)
1	2	3	4	5
7	Anand Jivan Ram	Independent	Submitted	14,500-00
8	N. Ambarish	Independent	Not Submitted	-
9	M. Pradeep Kumar	Independent	Submitted	12,500-00
10	G.D. Rajagopal	Independent	Submitted	14,000-00

Out of 10 Contesting Candidates, 9 Candidates have submitted their Accounts with in the stipulated date as on 22.06.2019 duly certified by the Expenditure Observer. One Sri N. Ambarish, Independent Candidate has not submitted his Election Expenditure Accounts.

The Accounts of Election Expenditure of 9 Candidates in Part –I, Part –II, Part-III, Part –IV and Schedule – 1 to 9 are enclosed for your kind perusal and further action.

Yours faithfully

Deputy Commissioner and District Election Officer

Chamarajanagar District, Chamarajanagar.

Name of the State: KARNATAKA, District: CHAMARAJANAGAR, Election: LOKSABHA GENERAL ELECTION 2019

DEO'S SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF

	ULES, 1961	
Sl no.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	R.Dhruvanarayana, Heggavadi Village, Bendaravadi Post, Harave Hobli, Chamarajanagar Taluk and District
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	Indian National Congress
3	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	22-Chamarajanagar (SC)
4	Name of the elected candidate	V.Srinivasprasad
5	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18-06-2019
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22-06-2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
1.1	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate:  (i) original account	10.06.0010
11	(ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	18-06-2019 18-06-2019
12	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12a	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	NA
14a	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	NA
15	Grand Total or all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs.46,31,424/-

Sl no.	De	scription		To be fille	ed up by the	DEO
16	expenses of the candida	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961			Yes	
17	If No, then please menti details	on the following d	lefects with		NA	
	(i) Whether Election Exp of Day to Day Account I Register, Abstract State	Register, Cash Reg	gister, Bank	Yes		
	(ii) Whether duly sworn submitted by candidate		een	" - "g	Yes .	
	(iii) Whether requisite velection expenditure su		t of items of		Yes	
	(iv) Whether separate B election	ank Account oper	ned for		Yes	
	(v) Whether all expendit routed through bank ac		expenditure)		Yes	
18	(i) Whether the DEO ha candidate for rectifying		to the		Yes	
	(ii) Whether the candida	ate rectified the d	efect	Yes		
	(iii) Comments of the D		i.e. whether	The defect was rectified		
19	Whether the items of el the candidate correspo- the Shadow Observation Evidence. If No, then mention the	nd with the expen n Register and Fo	ises shown in	6.	Ÿes G	
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folde r of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understate d by the candidate
			NA			
	Total					
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period			4AV	Yes	
21	If DEO does not agree against Row No. 19 ref following details:-	with the facts me erred to above, gi	ntioned ve the		NA	

O1	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
Sl no.	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the	
4	notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Agrount Reconciliation Meeting	NA
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	NA
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	NA
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	NA
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	NA
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)	Yes
Data	22.06.2019	(B.B.Cauvery).  District Election Officer  Deputy Commissioner,  Chamarajanagara
23	Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer	
		gr <b>EXPENDITURE OF SERVER</b> bserver
	Forwarded to the Election Commission of India by t	he CEO with comprente (if any)
	983 E	Chamarajanagar
	A Comment of the comm	

<sup>\*</sup> If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

<sup>\*\*</sup> The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

CAPPANET AND THE STATE OF THE S

Name of the State: KARNATAKA, District: CHAMARAJANAGAR, Election: LOKSABHA GENERAL ELECTION 2019

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89

OF C.E. RULES, 1961

OF C.E	C. RULES, 1961	
Sl no.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	Dr.Shivakumara, #451, Kandegala Village, Malavalli Taluk, Mandya-571430
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	Bahujan Samaj Party
3	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	22-Chamarajanagar (SC)
4	Name of the elected candidate	V.Srinivasprasad
5	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18-06-2019
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22-06-2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate:	
11	(i) original account	18-06-2019
	(ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	20-06-2019
12	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12a	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NΛ
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	NA
14a	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	NA
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs.23,69,406/-

Sl no.	Description			To be f	illed up by th	ne DEO
16	Whether in the DEO's of election expenses of the in the manner required C.E. Rules, 1961	candidate has	been lodged		Yes	か
17	If No, then please ment details	ion the following	defects with	9	NA	6 2
	(i) Whether Election Exof Day to Day Account Register, Abstract State	Register, Cash R	degister, Bank		Yes	
	(ii) Whether duly sworn submitted by candidate		been		Yes	
	(iii) Whether requisite v election expenditure su		ect of items of		Yes	
	(iv) Whether separate B	ank Account op	ened for		Yes	
	(v) Whether all expendi expenditure) routed the				Yes	
18	(i) Whether the DEO ha		e to the	Yes (		
	(ii) Whether the candid	ate rectified the	defect	Yes		
	(iii) Comments of the D the defect was rectified		e, i.e. whether	The defect was rectified		
19	Whether the items of el the candidate correspo in the Shadow Observa Evidence. If No, then mention the	nd with the expe tion Register an	enses shown	Yes		
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observa tion Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observatio n Register/f older of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understate d by the candidate
			NA		1 // 1	
	Total Total					
20	Did the candidate prod Accounting Election Ex the Observer/RO/Auth campaign period	penditure for in	spection by		Yes	
21	If DEO docs not agree against Row No. 19 refefollowing details:-				NA	

Sl no.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
*	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	NA
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	NA
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	NA
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	NA
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	NA
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)	Yes
		(B.B.Cauvery)
	22.06.2019	(B.B.Cauvery) District Election Officer Deputy Commissioner, Chamarajanagara
23	Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer  O Source & defosit & & 2.00 law on 14.  1's stated to be ful. from Axis Bank. The before with drawal  Date: 22.06.2019  Forwarded to the Election Commission of India by the	ture of the Expenditure Observer
	137   247	
(	2) Payment otherwise than by a c	•
	2 cheques, on 15.4.15, B17,000x1 ch	e fue und is 12,000 X 8 chequ
	On 16.4.19 detected. Do pu	1, , , , , ,
	The DEO may send reply Copy	
	Candidate on the above two issues	with her comments
		PM J22/6/19
		EXPENDITURE OBSERVER  22-Chamarajanagar(S.C)

<sup>\*</sup> If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have note that have note to that effect.

\* Chamarajanagar\*

<sup>\*\*</sup> The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

Sl no.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
	Forwarded to the Election Commission of India by the	ne CEO with comments (if,any)
N): Ng)		
Ų		
	2	

<sup>\*</sup> If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

(ε) = ξ<sub>(k)</sub> , , = Γ<sub>(k)</sub> , ...,

 $W = \{X_1, \dots, x_n\}$ 

<sup>\*\*</sup> The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

( ) is the second of

1 × 1 × 1 × 1 × 1

Name of the State: KARNATAKA, District: CHAMARAJANAGAR, Election: LOKSABHA GENERAL ELECTION 2019

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF

C.15. 10	OLEO, 1901	
Sl no.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	V.Srinivasprasad, #91, Bheemasadana, 7th main, J.L.Puram, Mysore-12
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	Bharatiya Janata Party
3	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	22-Chamarajanagar (SC)
4	Name of the elected candidate	V.Srinivasprasad
5	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18-06-2019
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22-06-2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate:	
11	(i) original account	18-06-2019
	(ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	20-06-2019
12	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12a	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NΛ
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	NA
14a	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	NA

Sl no.	De	scription		To be filled up by the DEO		
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement			Rs	.52,98,306/	-
16	Whether in the DEO's op expenses of the candidat manner required by the Rules, 1961	e has been lodged in	n the	10 H25	Yes	
17	If No, then please mentiodetails	on the following defe	cts with		NA	
	(i) Whether Election Expe Day to Day Account Regi Register, Abstract Staten	ster, Cash Register,	Bank		Yes	
	(ii) Whether duly sworn i by candidate	n affidavit has been	submitted		Yes	
	(iii) Whether requisite vo	-	items of		Yes	
	(iv) Whether separate Ba	nk Account opened	for election		Yes	
	(v) Whether all expenditurouted through bank acc		enditure)		Yes	
18	(i) Whether the DEO had candidate for rectifying t		he	yes Yes		
	(ii) Whether the candidat	e rectified the defec	t	Yes		
	(iii) Comments of the DE the defect was rectified o		whether	The defect was rectified		tified
19	Whether the items of ele- candidate correspond wi Shadow Observation Reg If No, then mention the f	th the expenses sho gister and Folder of l	wn in the	Yes		
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Obser vation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/fold er of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understate d by the candidate
			NA	0 0 8 95 6	ž.,	
	Total			¥ 3		
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period				Yes	
21	If DEO does not agree will Row No. 19 referred to a				NA	

0	El .	
Sl no.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	NA
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	NA 
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	NA
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	NA
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	NA
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)	Yes
Date:	Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer Some on 12.4.19, Ros. oolac on 15.4.19 and Ros. 5.00 Bank. DEO may send copy 3 notice and reply	(B.B.Cauvery) District Election/Officer Deputy Commissioner, Chamarajanagara  or s Cash deposits S los so lac lac on 16.4.19 in Carpenation s Candidate in the Community.
	Date: 12.86. 2019 Signatur	re of the Expenditure Observer
	Forwarded to the Election Commission of India by the	CEO with comments (II, In) URE OBSER
		22- Chamarajanagar(S Parliamenatary Constitue
		ramanenatary constitus Chamakajanasar

<sup>\*</sup> If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

<sup>\*\*</sup> The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

17 ⊛

Name of the State: KARNATAKA, District: CHAMARAJANAGAR, Election: LOKSABHA GENERAL ELECTION 2019
DEO'S SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES,
1961

1961		
Sl no.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	Prasanna kumar.B, #409/3, (Old no.104/1 Galipura, Chamarajanagar-571313
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	Karnataka Praja Party (Raita Parva)
3	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	22-Chamarajanagar (SC)
4	Name of the elected candidate	V.Srinivasprasad
5	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18-06-2019
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22-06-2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate:	
11	(i) original account	18-06-2019
	(ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	22-06-2019
12	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12a	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	NA
14a	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	NA

Sl no.	. Description			To be fill	ed up by the	DEO	
15	Grand Total of all election expens candidate in Part-II of the Abstra	Rs.72,810/-					
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the expenses of the candidate has be manner required by the R. P. Act 1961	gr s	Yes	ş.			
17	If No, then please mention the foldetails	llowing defec	ts with		NA		
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Day to Day Account Register, Cas Register, Abstract Statement has	sh Register, 1	Bank	Yes			
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affiday by candidate	vit has been s	submitted		Yes		
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers is election expenditure submitted	n respect of i	tems of		Yes		
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Acco	unt opened f	or election		Yes		
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account				Yes		
18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued for rectifying the defect	a notice to th	ne candidate	Yes			
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectifie	ed the defect		Yes			
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.			The defect was rectified			
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:				Yes		
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Obser vation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/fold er of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate	
	NA			- 1	× (4)	×	
	Total		y to by				
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period				Yes		
21	If DEO does not agree with the f Row No. 19 referred to above, gi	facts mention ve the follow	ned against ing details:-		NA		

Sl no.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	NA
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	NA
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	NA
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	NA
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	NA
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)	Yes
Date:	Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer	(B.B.Cauvery). District Election Officer Deputy Commissioner, Chamarajanagara
	Date: 22.06.2019 Signa	EXPENDITURE ORSERVE Poserver
	Forwarded to the Election Commission of India by the	re CEO with comments (if any)
	ed to see the see	Chamarajanagar

 $<sup>^*</sup>$  If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

<sup>\*\*</sup> The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

AND STREET STREET, - - - -12) (M) - 10 /25 (5.5) The order of the same

Physics of the installation

----

Name of the State: KARNATAKA, District: CHAMARAJANAGAR, Election: LOKSABHA GENERAL ELECTION 2019

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF

Sl no.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	Hanur Nagaraju, #276, Hanur, Chamarajanagar-571439
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	Uttama Prajakeeya Party
3	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	22-Chamarajanagar (SC)
4	Name of the elected candidate	V.Srinivasprasad
5	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18-06-2019
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
-	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22-06-2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate:	
11	(i) original account	18-06-2019
	(ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	18-06-2019
12	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12a	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	NA
14a	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	NA

Sl no.	Description	To be fill	ed up by the	e DEO		
15	Grand Total of all election expecandidate in Part-II of the Abs	R	s.12,950/-			
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, election expenses of the canding in the manner required by the C.E. Rules, 1961	Yes				
17	If No, then please mention the details	following de	efects with		NA	
	(i) Whether Election Expendituof Day to Day Account Registe Register, Abstract Statement 1	r, Cash Reg	ister, Bank			
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affice submitted by candidate	davit has be	en	i ro	Yes	°è
	(iii) Whether requisite voucher election expenditure submitte		of items of		Yes	
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election				Yes	
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account			Yes		
18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect			No		
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect			NA		
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.				NA	
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence.  If No, then mention the following:			Ē 1	Yes	n.
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Obser vation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/fold er of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
	NA					
	Total					
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period			9	Yes	8
21	If DEO does not agree with the against Row No. 19 referred to following details:-				NA	

Sl no.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	NA
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	NA
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	NA
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	NA
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	NA
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)	Yes
Date:	Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer	(B.B.Cauvery)  District Election Officer  Deputy Commissioner,  Chamarajanagara
	2019	ture of the Expending Observer
	Forwarded to the Election Commission of India by t	he CEO with comments (if, any)
		bariance and contained
	W KET KE :	

<sup>\*</sup> If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

<sup>\*\*</sup> The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO: 06 Name of the State: KARNATAKA, District: CHAMARAJANAGAR, Election: LOKSABHA GENERAL ELECTION 2019

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF

C.E. R	ULES, 1961	
Sl no.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	Subbaiah, Ashokapuram, 4th Cross, Nanjangud Town.
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	Indian New Congress Party
3	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	22-Chamarajanagar (SC)
4	Name of the elected candidate	V.Srinivasprasad
5	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18-06-2019
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22-06-2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate:	
11	(i) original account	18-06-2019
	(ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation  Meeting	18-06-2019
12	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12a	If not lodged in time, period of delay	- NA
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	NA
14a	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	NA
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs.20,650/-

Sl no.	Description			To be fill	led up by th	e DEO
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, election expenses of the candid in the manner required by the C.E. Rules, 1961	ate has bee	n lodged	4	Yes	
17	If No, then please mention the details	following de	fects with		NA	
	(i) Whether Election Expenditus of Day to Day Account Register Register, Abstract Statement h	, Cash Regi	ster, Bank		Yes	
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affid submitted by candidate	avit has bee	en		Yes	ė.
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers election expenditure submitted		of items of	Yes		
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Accelection	count opene	ed for		Yes	
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account				Yes	
18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect			No		
	(ii) Whether the candidate rect	ified the def	ect	NA		
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.			NA		
19	the candidate correspond with in the Shadow Observation Re	er the items of election expenses reported by didate correspond with the expenses shown Shadow Observation Register and Folder of ce. If No, then mention the following:			Yes	<i>i</i> ?
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Obser vation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/fold er of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
	NA					
	Total					
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period				Yes	
21	If DEO does not agree with the against Row No. 19 referred to following details:-	e facts men above, give	tioned e the	ur 69	NA	[Z]

(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting  (ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice  (iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?  (iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply  (v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation  Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate.  (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)  (B.B. Cauvery)  District Election Offlicer Deputy Commissioner, Chamarajanagara  Signatury Forwarded to the Election Commission of India by the Parliamentary Constituency Chamarajanagar	Sl no.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice  (iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?  (iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply  (v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation  Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)  Plate: 22.06.2019  Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer  Forwarded to the Election Commission of India by th 22.00 mentary Constituency		notice of the candidate during campaign period or	NA
(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply  (v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation  Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)  Date: 22.06.2019  Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer  Date: 22.06.2019  Signature of The Street Constitution of India by the 22.00 Marajanagara  Signature of The Street Constitution of India by the 22.00 Marajanagara Constituti		relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of	NA
received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional anguage) and mention date of reply  (v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation  Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)    Comments   Column		(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	NA
whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)  (B.B.Cauvery) District Election Officer Deputy Commissioner, Chamarajanagara  Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer  Date: 22.06.2019  Signature True Observer  Forwarded to the Election Commission of India by th 22.00 who allies as figure of the Election Commission of India by the Parliamentary Constituency		received, (with English translation of the same, if it	NA
correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)  (B.B.Cauvery) District Election Officer Deputy Commissioner, Chamarajanagara  Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer  Forwarded to the Election Commission of India by the Expenditure Constituency  Parliamentary Constituency			NA
Date: 22.06.2019  Date: 22.06.2019  Date: 22.06.2019  Date: 22.06.2019  Signature Forwarded to the Election Commission of India by the Expenditure Constituency  Parliamentary Constituency	22	correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary	Yes
Date: 22.06.20/9  Signature Observer  Forwarded to the Election Commission of India by the Expenditure Constituency  Parliamentary Constituency	Date:	22.06.2019	(B.B.Cauvery)  District Election Officer  Deputy Commissioner,
Parliamanatary Consutterncy		Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer  Date: 22.06.20/9  Signal	th 22: Chamarajana 97. (Sr. Sny)
			DULITATE OF THE COLLEGE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE

<sup>\*</sup> If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

<sup>\*\*</sup> The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

ENCIPPERENT ENGINEERS

.

114.4.4.2

Name of the State: KARNATAKA, District: CHAMARAJANAGAR, Election: LOKSABHA GENERAL ELECTION 2019

DEO'S SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

Sl no.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	Anand Jeevan Ram, Mahadevanagara, Vidyapeeta Post, Nanjangud Taluk, Mysore District.
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	Independent
3	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	22-Chamarajanagar (SC)
4	Name of the elected candidate	V.Srinivasprasad
5	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
. 6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18-06-2019
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22-06-2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate:  (i) original account  (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	18-06-2019 18-06-2019
12	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12a	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	NA
14a	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	NA
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs.14,500/-

Sl no.	Descriptio	n		To be fil	led up by the	DEO	
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, election expenses of the candidin the manner required by the C.E. Rules, 1961	late has bee	n lodged	la la	Yes		
17	If No, then please mention the details	following de	efects with		NA		
	(i) Whether Election Expenditu of Day to Day Account Register Register, Abstract Statement h	r, Cash Regi	ister, Bank	12 =	Yes		
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affic submitted by candidate	lavit has be	en	Yes n			
	(iii) Whether requisite voucher election expenditure submittee	s in respect i	of items of		Yes		
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Ac election	count open	ed for		Yes		
	(v) Whether all expenditure (exexpenditure) routed through b	ccept petty ank accoun	ıt		Yes		
18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect			No			
	(ii) Whether the candidate rect	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect			NA		
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.			NA			
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence.  If No, then mention the following:			orga sec	Yes	;	
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Obser vation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/fold er of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate	
			NA				
	Total						
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period				Yes		
21	If DEO does not agree with the against Row No. 19 referred to following details:-	e facts men o above, giv	tioned e the	4 2 25	NA	Θ°	

Sl no.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
31110.	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	NA
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	NA
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	NA
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	NA
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	NA
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)	Yes
Date:	22.06, 2019	(B.B.Cauvery), District Election Officer Deputy Commissioner, Chamarajanagara
23	Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer  Date: 22.06.2019  Signa	ture of the transfer of the tr
	Forwarded to the Election Commission of India by	the QEChamatel Afficiants (if, any)
	4 CLI N	Chamarajanagar

<sup>\*</sup> If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

<sup>\*\*</sup> The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

1 12 2 2 2 4

in the second of

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO: 09

Name of the State: KARNATAKA, District: CHAMARAJANAGAR, Election: LOKSABHA GENERAL ELECTION 2019

DEO'S SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

C.E. K	OLES, 1901					
Sl no.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO				
1	Name & address of the candidate	M.Pradeepkumar, #A/874, Marutinagar, Hanur town, Hanur Taluk, Chamarajanagar-571439				
. 2	Political Party affiliation, if any	Independent				
3	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	22-Chamarajanagar (SC)				
4	Name of the elected candidate	V.Srinivasprasad				
5	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019				
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18-06-2019				
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes				
	(ii) Whether be or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes				
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes				
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22-06-2019				
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes				
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate:  (i) original account  (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	18-06-2019 18-06-2019				
12	Whether account lodged in time	Yes				
12a	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA				
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA				
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	NA				
14a	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	NA				
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs.12,500/-				

01	Description		To be filled up by the DEO				
Sl no. 16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, election expenses of the candid in the manner required by the C.E. Rules, 1961	the accountate has bee	Yes				
17	If No, then please mention the details	following de	fects with		NA		
	(i) Whether Election Expenditu of Day to Day Account Register Register, Abstract Statement h	r, Cash Regi	Yes				
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affic submitted by candidate	lavit has bee	en		Yes		
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers election expenditure submitted		of items of		Yes		
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Ac election	count opene	ed for		Yes		
	(v) Whether all expenditure (exexpenditure) routed through b		t		Yes		
18	(i) Whether the DEO had issue candidate for rectifying the def		the the		No		
	(ii) Whether the candidate rect	ified the def	ect	NA			
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the defect was rectified or not.		NA				
19	Whether the items of election the candidate correspond with in the Shadow Observation Re Evidence.  If No, then mention the following the state of t	the expens egister and F	es shown	Yes			
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Obser vation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/fold er of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate	
	NA						
	Total						
20	Did the candidate produce his Accounting Election Expendit the Obscrver/RO/Authorized campaign period	ection by		Yes			
21	If DEO does not agree with the against Row No. 19 referred to following details:-			e efg	NA.	7-	

Sl no.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
11.5	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	NA
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	NA
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	NA
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	NA
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	NA
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)	Yes
Date:	22.06.2019	(B.B.Cauvery)  District Election Officer  Deputy Commissioner,  Chamarajanagara
23	Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer	Pund
	Date: 22.06.2019 Signat	ture of the Expenditure Observer
	Forwarded to the Election Commission of India by	the CEQ with commerits (if, any)
		Chargo siunzyar

<sup>\*</sup> If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

<sup>\*\*</sup> The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

e e e the state of r., γ.

Name of the State: KARNATAKA, District: CHAMARAJANAGAR, Election: LOKSABHA GENERAL ELECTION 2019

DEO'S SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF

Sl no.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	G.D.Rajagopal, #448, 5th Ward, Gokula nivas, Ward no.5, Siddappaji, 1st Main road, H.D.Kote town, Mysore-571114
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	Independent
3	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	22-Chamarajanagar (SC)
4	Name of the elected candidate	V.Srinivasprasad
5	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18-06-2019
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
.9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22-06-2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate:  (i) original account  (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation	18-06-2019 18-06-2019
12	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12a	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	NA
14a	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	NA
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs.14,000/-

Sl no.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO					
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, election expenses of the candid the manner required by the R. Rules, 1961		Yes				
17	If No, then please mention the details	NA					
	(i) Whether Election Expenditu of Day to Day Account Register Register, Abstract Statement h	r, Cash Reg	ister, Bank		Yes		
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affic submitted by candidate	lavit has be	een	a	Yes		
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers election expenditure submitted	s in respect l	of items of		Yes	1	
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Ac election	count open	ed for		Yes		
	(v) Whether all expenditure (exrouted through bank account	cept petty of	expenditure)		Yes		
18	(i) Whether the DEO had issue candidate for rectifying the def		to the	No			
	(ii) Whether the candidate rect	NA					
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the defect was rectified or not.		.e. whether	NA NA			
19	Whether the items of election the candidate correspond with in the Shadow Observation ReEvidence.  If No, then mention the follows	the expens gister and l	ses shown		Yes	,	
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observati on Register		Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate	
	Total						
20	Did the candidate produce his Election Expenditure for inspe Observer/RO/Authorized pers campaign period	e	· ·	Yes	2		
21	If DEO does not agree with the against Row No. 19 referred to following details:-	e facts men o above, giv		ΝΛ			

01	Degeription	To be filled up by the DEO
Sl no.	Description	To be filled up by the DEC
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	NA
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	NA
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	NA
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	NA
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	NA
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)	Yes
Date:	22.06.2019	(B.B.Cauvery) District Election Officer Deputy Commissioner, Chamarajanagara
23	Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer	
	Date: 22.06.2019 Signature of	THE THE TORSE SERVET
	Forwarded to the Election Commission of India by	On all o' With confusions (if, any)
	Forwarded to the Election Commission of India by	Chamarajanager Chamarajanager

<sup>\*</sup> If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

<sup>\*\*</sup> The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

METEROPOS BULL SINGE 17.2 Mary mora per sound of the or a substantial season as the second street Chamata to manyer

## SUMMARY REPORT OF DEO FOR EACH CONSTITUENCY ON LODGING OF ELECTION EXPENSES ACCOUNTS BY CANDIDATES

(a) No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency: 22-Chamarajanagar Parliamentary Constituency (S.C) (c) State and District: Chamarajanagar, Karnataka
(e) Last date of lodging accounts: 22-06-2019

(b) Total No. of contesting candidates: 10 (d) Date of declaration of result of election: 23-05-2019 if) Name of the elected candicate: V.Srinivasprasad

4	ω	ю	н	jii.	SI no.
Hanur Nagaraju, Uttama Prajakeeya Party	V.Srinivasprasad, Bharatiya Janata Party	Dr.Shivakumara, Bahujan Samaj Party	R.Dhruvanarayana, Indian National Congress	2	Name of the candidate and Party Affiliation
22-05-2019	22-05-2019	22-05-2019	22-06-2019	3	Due date of lodging of account
18-06-2019	20-06-2019	20-06-2019	18-06-2019	4	Date of lodging of accounts by the candidate
Yes	Yas	Ϋ́es	Yes	Sī	Whether lodged in the prescribed format (Yes or No)
Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	6	Whether lodged in the manner required by law (Yes or No)
Rs.12,950/-	Rs.52,98,306/-	Rs.23,69,406/	Rs.46,31,424/-	7	Grand Total of the expenses incurred/authorized by the candidate/agent (as mentioned in Part-II of Abstract Statement)
Yes	Yes	Yes 4	Yes	80	Whether the DEO agrees with the amount shown by the candidate against all items of expenditure (Should be similar to point no. 22 of DEO's Scrutiny Report i.e.
Ç.	Rs.40,00,000/-	ä.	â	9	Total expenses incurred by the Party (As reported in Part-III of Abstract Statement)  Statement)  Lump Sum Amount Grand Total of in cash or cheque given to candidate by in kind by the each Political Party  Political Party
1	1	Se et a la l	1		red by the Party -III of Abstract nt )  Grand Total of other expenses in kind by the Political Party
4	1	Basavaraju.M (NEFT)  Ananda (IMPS) Shivaprasad.H.K (IMPS) Shivaprasad.H.K (IMPS) Raju Parvathaswamy Basavarna M M.Krishnamurthy Mamatakumari.M Chinnaswamy Venkatesinr:urthy Hemanihraj.P Manoj.N Hagaraju.M.N Madalanbika Prakash.V Maravanaswamy Naravanaswamy Prashanth.R.3 Total	3		Total extenses incurred by others reported in Part-III of Abstract Streported in Part-
		2,00,000.00  1,00,000.00  1,00,000.00  92,000.00  10,000.00		51	Total exgenses incurred by others/entities as reported in Part-III of Abstract Statement reported in Part-III of Abstract Statement Statement of the other other names of donors)  Grand total expenses for the candidate (and mention names of donors)  Grand total expenses for the Candida
6	1		1		entities as tatement Granc total of other expenses in kind incurred for the Candidate
p.	1/12			11	Remarks of the Expenditure Observer

7 7 -

Date: Comments of the Expenditure Observer, if any,

10	9	00	7	6 I	OI	Sl no.
G.D.Rajagopal, Independent	M.Pradeepkumar, Independent	N.Ambarish, Independent	Anand Jivan Ram, Independent	Subbaiah, Indian New Congress Party	Prasannakumar.B, Karnataka Praja PartyRaita parvai	Name of the candidate and Party Affiliation
22-(16-2019	22-06-2019	22-C6-2019	22-C6-2019	22-C6-2019	22-06-2019	Due date of lodging of account
18-06-2019	18-06-2019		18-06-2019	18-06-2019	22-06-2019	Date of lodging of accounts by the candidate
Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes	Whether lodged in the prescribed format (Yes or No)
Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes	Whether lodged in the manner required by law (Yes or No)
Rs.14,000/-	Rs.12,500/-		Rs.14,500/-	Rs.20,650/-	Rs.72,810/-	Grand Total of the expenses incurred/authori zed by the candidate/agent (as mentioned in Part-II of Abstract Statement)
Yes	Yes	Ac	Yes	Yes	Yes	Whether the DEO agrees with the amount shown by the candidate against all items of expenditure (Should be similar to point no. 22 of DEO's Scrutiny Report i.e.
1,0	1	Accounts Not Submitted	l,	ĭ	ï	Whether the DEO agrees with the amount shown by the candidate against all items of expenditure (Should be similar to point no. 22 of DEO's Scrutiny Report i.e. Annexure- C3)  (As reported in Part-III of Abstract Statement)  Grand Total of the expenses of the expenses in kind by the political Party
TE.	3		ı	ı	1	Grand Total of other expenses, in kind by the Political Party
Ĭ	1		E	3	E	reported in Part-III of Abstract Statement reported in Part-III of Abstract Statement Statement Statement Cump sum Amount in cash / cheque expenses given to the candidate (and mention names of donors) Candidate Candidate
						1 7
ā	1		1	4	i	atement Grand total of other expenses in kind incurred for the Candidate
						Remarks of the Expenditure Observer

Total expenses incurred by the Party (As reported in Part-III of Abstract Statement)

Signature of the Deco

EXPENSITURE OBSENCE 22- Chamarajanegar(S.C) Parliamenatury Constituency Chamarajaragar