

ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ಸರ್ಕಾರ

ಸಂ: ರಾಜಿಕಾ/ಚುನಾವಣೆ/ಸಿಆರ್/61/2019-20

ಜಿಲ್ಲಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳವರ ಕಾರ್ಯಾಲಯ

ರಾಮನಗರ ಜಿಲ್ಲೆ

ರಾಮನಗರ, ದಿನಾಂಕ 23-07-2019

ಗೆ,

ಮಾನ್ಯ ಮುಖ್ಯ ಚುನಾವಣಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು

ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ

ನಿರ್ವಾಚನ ನಿಲಯ

ಶೇಷಾದ್ರಿಸ್ತೆ, ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು.

ಮಾನ್ಯರೇ,

ವಿಷಯ: ಲೋಕಸಭಾ ಸಾರ್ವತ್ರಿಕ ಚುನಾವಣೆ ಸಂಬಂಧ
ಅಭ್ಯರ್ಥಿಗಳ ಚುನಾವಣಾ ಖರ್ಚು ವೆಚ್ಚದ ವಿವರ
ಸಲ್ಲಿಸುವ ಕುರಿತು.

ವಿಷಯಕ್ಕೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದಂತೆ, 23-ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ಗ್ರಾಮಾಂತರ ಲೋಕಸಭಾ ಕ್ಷೇತ್ರದ ಸಾರ್ವತ್ರಿಕ ಚುನಾವಣೆ-2019 ಕ್ಕೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದಂತೆ ಚುನಾವಣೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸ್ಪರ್ಧಿಸಿರುವ ಅಭ್ಯರ್ಥಿಗಳ ಚುನಾವಣಾ ಖರ್ಚು ವೆಚ್ಚದ ಮಾಹಿತಿಯನ್ನು ನಿಗದಿತ ನಮೂನೆ-21 (Annexure-21) ಹಾಗೂ ಫೋಷ್ವಾರೆಯ ನಮೂನೆಯನ್ನು ಈ ಪತ್ರದೊಂದಿಗೆ ಲಗತ್ತಿಟ್ಟು ತಮ್ಮ ಅವಗಾಹನೆಗೆ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿದೆ.

ತಮ್ಮ ವಿಶ್ವಾಸಿ,


ಅಪರ ಜಿಲ್ಲಾಧಿಕಾರಿ

ರಾಮನಗರ ಜಿಲ್ಲೆ.ರಾಮನಗರ

SUMMARY REPORT OF DEO FOR EACH CONSTITUENCY ON LODGING OF ELECTION EXPENSES ACCOUNTS BY CANDIDATES

- a) No. And Name of the Parliamentary Constituency: 23 Bangalore Rural Parliamentary Constituency.
 b) Total No. Of contesting Candidates: 15
 d) Date of declaration of result of election: 23-05-2019
 f) Name of the elected candidate : D K SURESH.

Sl. no	Name of the candidates and party Affiliation	Due date of lodging of account	Date of lodging of accounts by the candidate	whether lodged in the prescribed format (Yes or No)	whether lodged in the manner required by Law (Yes or No)	Grand total of the expenses incurred/authorized by the Candidate/agent (as mentioned in Part-II of abstract statement)	Whether the DEO agrees with the amount shown by the candidate against all items of expenditure (should be similar to point No. 22 of DEO's scrutiny Report i.e. annexure-C3)	Total expenses incurred by the party (As reported in Part- III of Abstract statement)		Total expenses incurred by others/entities as reported in Part-III of Abstract statement		Remarks of the Expenditure observer
								Lamp sum Amount in cash or cheque given to candidate by each political party.	Grand total of other expenses in kind by the political party	Lamp sum amount in cash /cheque given to the candidate (and mention names of donors)	Grand total of other expenses in kind incurred for the candidate	
1	ASHWATHNARAYANA GOWDA, BHARATHIYA JANATHA PARTY.	17th June 2019	17th June 2019	Yes	Yes	6282414	Yes	4050000	Nil	Nil	Nil	13
2	DR.CHINAPPA Y CHIKKAGADE, BAHUJANA SAMAJ PARTY.	17th June 2019	17th June 2019	Yes	Yes	663540	Yes	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	
3	D K SURESH, INDIAN NATIONAL CONGRESS.	17th June 2019	17th June 2019	Yes	Yes	4246153	Yes	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	
4	N KRISHNAPPA, PYRAMID PARTY OF INDIA	17th June 2019	17th June 2019	Yes	Yes	84500	Yes	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	
5	D M MADGOWDA, REPUBLICIAN SENA	17th June 2019	17th June 2019	Yes	Yes	Nil	Yes	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	
6	MANUNATHA M, UTTAMI PRAJAKEEYA PARTY	17th June 2019	17th June 2019	Yes	Yes	37500	Yes	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	
7	RAJMA T C, SUCI (Communist)	17th June 2019	17th June 2019	Yes	Yes	177086	Yes	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	
8	Dr. M VENKATA SWAMY, REPUBLICIAN PARTY OF INDIA (A)	17th June 2019	17th June 2019	Yes	Yes	205859	Yes	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	
9	VENKATESHAPPA, SARVA JANATHA PARTY.	17th June 2019	17th June 2019	Yes	Yes	2000	Yes	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	
10	ESHWARA, INDEPENDENT.	17th June 2019	17th June 2019	Yes	Yes	4500	Yes	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	
11	B GOPAL, INDEPENDENT.	17th June 2019	17th June 2019	Yes	Yes	49700	Yes	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	
12	H T CHIKKARAJU, INDEPENDENT.	17th June 2019	17th June 2019	Yes	Yes	269980	Yes	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	
13	M.C.DEVARAJU, INDEPENDENT.	17th June 2019	17th June 2019	Yes	Yes	355660	Yes.	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	
14	J T PRAKASH, INDEPENDENT.	17th June 2019	17th June 2019	Yes	Yes	151940	Yes	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	
15	RAGHU JANAGERE, INDEPENDENT.	17th June 2019	17th June 2019	Yes	Yes	97898	Yes	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	


Signature of the DEO

Comments of the Expenditure Observer-1, if any.

Comments of the Expenditure Observer-2, if any.


Signature of the Expenditure Observer-1

Signature of the Expenditure Observer-2

Date:




ANNEXURE-21

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO , 03

Name of the State: KARNATAKAKA District:RAMANAGARA Election 23-BENGALURU RURAL
LOKASABHA CONSTITUENCY GENERAL ELECTION-2019

DEO's SCRUTINTY ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULES 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
Sl.No	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	Sri D.K.Suresh
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	INDIAN NATIONAL CONGRESS
3	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	23-Bengaluru Rural Lokasbha Constituency General Election-2019
4	Name of the elected candidate	Sri D.K.SURESH
5	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	05-04-2019,10-04-2019 & 16-04-2019
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting on writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes/No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	17-06-2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate:	
	(v) Original account: (vi) Revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting:	Rs.4491499/- Rs.4246153/-
12	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12 A	If not lodged in time period of delay	Nil days
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from candidate. If not, reason thereof.	Yes
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	Yes
14 A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs.4246153/-
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R.P. Act 1951 and C.E Rules, 1961	Yes

17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details					
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of day to Day Account Register, Cash register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged				Yes	
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate				Yes	
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted				Yes	
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election				Yes	
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account				Yes	
18	Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect				No	
	Whether the candidate rectified the defect				Yes	
	Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not				Not Applicable	
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following :				Yes	
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation register	Mention amount as per the shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the Candidate
	I					
	ii.iii...					
TOTAL						
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/ Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period?				Yes	
21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-					
	(i). Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting				Yes	
	(ii). If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice.				No discrepancies found	
	(iii). Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?				No	

	(iv). If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	Not Applicable
	(v). DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	No comments
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no.8 of Summary Report of DEO)	Yes
	Date:17-06-2019	 (Captain Dr. K.Rajendra, IAS.) Deputy Commissioner & DEO. Ramanagaram District
23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-		
	Date:17-06-2019	 Signature of the Expenditure Observer-1
23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-		
	Date:17-06-2019	 Signature of the Expenditure Observer-2

- If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.
- The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission, If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.




ANNEXURE-21

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO , 01

Name of the State: KARNATAKAKA District:RAMANAGARA Election 23-BENGALURU RURAL
LOKASABHACONSTITUENCY GENERAL ELECTION-2019

DEO's SCRUTINTY ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULES 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
Sl.No	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	Sri ASWATHANARAYANA GOWDA
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	BHARATHIYA JANATHA PARTY
3	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	23-Bengaluru Rural Lokasbha Constituency General Election- 2019
4	Name of the elected candidate	Sri D.K.SURESH
5	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	05-04-2019,10-04-2019&16-04- 2019
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting on writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes/No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	17-06-2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate:	Original account
	(i) Original account: (ii) Revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting:	
12	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12 A	If not lodged in time period of delay	Nil days
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from candidate. If not, reason thereof.	No
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	Not Applicable
14 A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	Not Applicable
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs.6282414.00
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R.P. Act 1951 and C.E Rules, 1961	Yes

17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details						
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of day to Day Account Register, Cash register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged					Yes	
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate					Yes	
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted					Yes	
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election					Yes	
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account					Yes	
18	Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect Whether the candidate rectified the defect Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not					No Not Applicable Not Applicable	
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence.If No, then mention the following :					Yes	
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation register	Mention amount as per the shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the Candidate	
I							
ii.iii...							
TOTAL							
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/ Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period?					Yes	
21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-						
	(i). Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting					Yes	
	(ii). If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice.					No discrepancies found	
	(iii). Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?					No	
	(iv). If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply					Not Applicable	

	(v). DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	No comments
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no.8 of Summary Report of DEO)	Yes
	Date:17-06-2019	 (Captain Dr. K.Rajendra, IAS.) Deputy Commissioner & DEO. Ramanagaram District
23.	Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*- <i>There is a difference because of the expenditure incurred in the rally of Star Campaigner.</i> Date:17-06-2019	 Signature of the Expenditure Observer-1
23.	Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*- <i>As above</i> Date:17-06-2019	 Signature of the Expenditure Observer-2

- If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.
- The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission, If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

2




ANNEXURE-21

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO , 02

Name of the State: KARNATAKAKA District:RAMANAGARA Election 23-BENGALURU RURAL
LOKASABHA CONSTITUENCY GENERAL ELECTION-2019

DEO's SCRUTINTY ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULES 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
Sl.No	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	Sri CHINNAPPA Y CHIKKAHAGADE
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	BAHujan SAMAJ PARTY
3	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	23-Bengaluru Rural Lokasbha Constituency General Election- 2019
4	Name of the elected candidate	Sri D.K.SURESH
5	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	05-04-2019,10-04-2019 & 16- 04-2019
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting on writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes/No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	17-06-2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate:	Original account
	(iii) Original account: (iv) Revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting:	
12	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12 A	If not lodged in time period of delay	Nil days
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from candidate. If not, reason thereof.	No
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	Not Applicable
14 A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	Not Applicable
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs.6,63,540/-
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R.P. Act 1951 and C.E Rules, 1961	Yes

17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details					
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of day to Day Account Register, Cash register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged				Yes	
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate				Yes	
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted				Yes	
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election				Yes	
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account				Yes	
18	Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect				No	
	Whether the candidate rectified the defect				Not Applicable	
	Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not				Not Applicable	
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following :				Yes	
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation register	Mention amount as per the shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the Candidate
	I					
	ii.iii...					
TOTAL						
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/ Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period?				Yes	
21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-					
	(i). Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting				Yes	
	(ii). If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice.				No discrepancies found	
	(iii). Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?				No	

	(iv). If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	Not Applicable
	(v). DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	No comments
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no.8 of Summary Report of DEO)	Yes 
	Date:17-06-2019	(Captain Dr. K.Rajendra, IAS.) Deputy Commissioner & DEO. Ramanagaram District
23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-		
	Date:17-06-2019	 Signature of the Expenditure Observer-1
23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-		
	Date:17-06-2019	 Signature of the Expenditure Observer-2

- If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.
- The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission, If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.




ANNEXURE-21

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO , 04

Name of the State: KARNATAKAKA District:RAMANAGARA Election 23-BENGALURU RURAL
LOKASABHA CONSTITUENCY GENERAL ELECTION-2019

DEO's SCRUTINTY ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULES 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
Sl.No	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	Sri N KRISHNAPPA
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	PYRAMID PARTY OF INDIA
3	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	23-Bengaluru Rural Lokasbha Constituency General Election-2019
4	Name of the elected candidate	Sri D.K.SURESH
5	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	05-04-2019,10-04-2019 & 16-04-2019
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting on writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes/No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	17-06-2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate:	Original account
	(vii) Original account: (viii) Revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting:	
12	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12 A	If not lodged in time period of delay	Nil days
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from candidate. If not, reason thereof.	No
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	Not Applicable
14 A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	Not Applicable
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs.84,500/-
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R.P. Act 1951 and C.E Rules, 1961	Yes

17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details					
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of day to Day Account Register, Cash register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged				Yes	
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate				Yes	
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted				Yes	
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election				Yes	
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account				Yes	
18	Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect				No	
	Whether the candidate rectified the defect				Not Applicable	
	Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not				Not Applicable	
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following :				Yes	
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation register	Mention amount as per the shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the Candidate
	I					
	ii.iii...					
TOTAL						
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/ Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period?				Yes	
21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-					
	(i). Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting				Yes	
	(ii). If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice.				No discrepancies found	

	(iii). Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	No
	(iv). If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	Not Applicable
	(v). DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	No comments
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no.8 of Summary Report of DEO)	Yes 
	Date:17-06-2019	(Captain Dr. K.Rajendra,IAS.) Deputy Commissioner & DEO. Ramanagaram District
23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*- Date:17-06-2019  Signature of the Expenditure Observer-1		
23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*- Date:17-06-2019  Signature of the Expenditure Observer-2		

- If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.
- The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission, If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.




ANNEXURE-21

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO , 05

Name of the State: KARNATAKAKA District:RAMANAGARA Election 23-BENGALURU RURAL
LOKASABHA CONSTITUENCY GENERAL ELECTION-2019

DEO's SCRUTINTY ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULES 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
Sl.No	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	Sri D M MADEGOWDA
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	REPUBLICIAN SENA
3	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	23-Bengaluru Rural Lokasbha Constituency General Election-2019
4	Name of the elected candidate	Sri D.K.SURESH
5	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	05-04-2019,10-04-2019 & 16-04-2019
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting on writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes/No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	17-06-2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate:	Original account
	(ix) Original account: (x) Revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting:	
12	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12 A	If not lodged in time period of delay	Nil days
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from candidate. If not, reason thereof.	No
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	Not Applicable
14 A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	Not Applicable
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs.NIL
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R.P. Act 1951 and C.E Rules, 1961	Yes

17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details						
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of day to Day Account Register, Cash register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged				Yes		
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate				Yes		
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted				Yes		
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election				Yes		
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account				Yes		
18	Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect				No		
	Whether the candidate rectified the defect				Not Applicable		
	Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not				Not Applicable		
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following :				Yes		
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation register	Mention amount as per the shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the Candidate	
I							
ii,iii...							
TOTAL							
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/ Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period?				Yes		
21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-						
	(i). Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting				Yes		
	(ii). If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice.				No discrepancies found		
	(iii). Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?				No		

	(iv). If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	Not Applicable
	(v). DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	No comments
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no.8 of Summary Report of DEO)	Yes
	Date:17-06-2019	 (Captain Dr. K.Rajendra, IAS.) Deputy Commissioner & DEO. Ramanagaram District
23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-		
	Date:17-06-2019	 Signature of the Expenditure Observer
23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-		
	Date:17-06-2019	 Signature of the Expenditure Observer

- If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.
- The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission, If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.




ANNEXURE-21

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO , 06

Name of the State: KARNATAKAKA District:RAMANAGARA Election 23-BENGALURU RURAL
LOKASABHA CONSTITUENCY GENERAL ELECTION-2019

DEO's SCRUTINTY ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULES 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
Sl.No	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	Sri MANJUNATHA M
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	UTTAM PRAJAKEEYA PARTY
3	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	23-Bengaluru Rural Lokasbha Constituency General Election-2019
4	Name of the elected candidate	Sri D.K.SURESH
5	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	05-04-2019,10-04-2019 & 16-04-2019
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting on writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes/No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	17-06-2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate:	Original account
	(xi) Original account: (xii) Revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting:	
12	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12 A	If not lodged in time period of delay	Nil days
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from candidate. If not, reason thereof.	No
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	Not Applicable
14 A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	Not Applicable
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs.37,500/-
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R.P. Act 1951 and C.E Rules, 1961	Yes

17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details						
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of day to Day Account Register, Cash register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged					Yes	
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate					Yes	
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted					Yes	
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election					Yes	
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account					Yes	
18	Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect					No	
	Whether the candidate rectified the defect					Not Applicable	
	Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not					Not Applicable	
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following :					Yes	
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation register.	Mention amount as per the shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the Candidate	
I							
ii,iii...							
TOTAL							
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/ Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period?					Yes	
21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-						
	(i). Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting					Yes	
	(ii). If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice.					No discrepancies found	
	(iii). Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?					No	

	(iv). If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	Not Applicable
	(v). DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	No comments
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no.8 of Summary Report of DEO)	Yes 
	Date:17-06-2019	(Captain Dr. K.Rajendra, IAS.) Deputy Commissioner & DEO. Ramanagaram District
23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-		
	Date:17-06-2019	 Signature of the Expenditure Observer
23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-		
	Date:17-06-2019	 Signature of the Expenditure Observer

- If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.
- The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission, If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.




ANNEXURE-21

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO , 07

Name of the State: KARNATAKAKA District:RAMANAGARA Election 23-BENGALURU RURAL
LOKASABHA CONSTITUENCY GENERAL ELECTION-2019

DEO's SCRUTINTY ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULES 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
Sl.No	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	Smt. RAMA T C
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	SUCI (Communist)
3	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	23-Bengaluru Rural Lokasbha Constituency General Election-2019
4	Name of the elected candidate	Sri D.K.SURESH
5	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	05-04-2019,10-04-2019 & 16-04-2019
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting on writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes/No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	17-06-2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (xiii) Original account: (xiv) Revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting:	Original account
12	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12 A	If not lodged in time period of delay	Nil days
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from candidate. If not, reason thereof.	No
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	Not Applicable
14 A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	Not Applicable
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs.1,77,086/-
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R.P. Act 1951 and C.E Rules, 1961	Yes

17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details						
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of day to Day Account Register, Cash register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged				Yes		
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate				Yes		
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted				Yes		
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election				Yes		
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account				Yes		
18	Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect				No		
	Whether the candidate rectified the defect				Not Applicable		
	Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not				Not Applicable		
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence.				Yes		
	If No, then mention the following :						
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation register	Mention amount as per the shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the Candidate	
	I						
	ii,iii...						
	TOTAL						
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/ Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period?				Yes		
21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-						
	(i). Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting				Yes		
	(ii). If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice.				No discrepancies found		
	(iii). Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?				No		

	(iv). If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	Not Applicable
	(v). DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	No comments
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no.8 of Summary Report of DEO)	Yes
	Date:17-06-2019	 (Captain Dr. K.Rajendra, IAS.) Deputy Commissioner & DEO. Ramanagaram District
23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-		
	Date:17-06-2019	 Signature of the Expenditure Observer-1
23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-		
	Date:17-06-2019	 Signature of the Expenditure Observer-2

- If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.
- The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission, If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.




ANNEXURE-21

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO , 08

Name of the State: KARNATAKAKA District:RAMANAGARA Election 23-BENGALURU RURAL
LOKASABHA CONSTITUENCY GENERAL ELECTION-2019

DEO's SCRUTINTY ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULES 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
Sl.No	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	Dr. M VENKATA SWAMY
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	REPUBLICIAN PARTY OF INDIA (A)
3	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	23-Bengaluru Rural Lokasbha Constituency General Election-2019
4	Name of the elected candidate	Sri D.K.SURESH
5	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	05-04-2019,10-04-2019 & 16-04-2019
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting on writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes/No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	17-06-2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate:	Original account
	(xv) Original account: (xvi) Revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting:	
12	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12 A	If not lodged in time period of delay	Nil days
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from candidate. If not, reason thereof.	No
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	Not Applicable
14 A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	Not Applicable
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs.2,05,859/-
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R.P. Act 1951 and C.E Rules, 1961	Yes

17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details					
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of day to Day Account Register, Cash register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged				Yes	
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate				Yes	
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted				Yes	
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election				Yes	
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account				Yes	
18	Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect				No	
	Whether the candidate rectified the defect				Not Applicable	
	Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not				Not Applicable	
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following :				Yes	
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation register	Mention amount as per the shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the Candidate
	I					
	ii,iii...					
TOTAL						
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/ Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period?				Yes	
21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-					
	(i). Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting				Yes	
	(ii). If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice.				No discrepancies found	
	(iii). Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?				No	

	(iv). If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	Not Applicable
	(v). DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	No comments
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no.8 of Summary Report of DEO)	Yes
	Date:17-06-2019	 (Captain Dr. K. Rajendra, IAS.) Deputy Commissioner & DEO. Ramanagaram District
23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-		
Date:17-06-2019	 Signature of the Expenditure Observer-1	
23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-		
Date:17-06-2019	 Signature of the Expenditure Observer-2	

- If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.
- The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission, If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.




ANNEXURE-21

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO , 09

Name of the State: KARNATAKAKA District:RAMANAGARA Election 23-BENGALURU RURAL
LOKASABHA CONSTITUENCY GENERAL ELECTION-2019

DEO's SCRUTINTY ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULES 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
Sl.No	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	Sri VENKATESHAPPA
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	SARVA JANATHA PARTY
3	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	23-Bengaluru Rural Lokasbha Constituency General Election-2019
4	Name of the elected candidate	Sri D.K.SURESH
5	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	05-04-2019,10-04-2019 & 16-04-2019
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting on writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes/No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	17-06-2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (xvii) Original account: (xviii) Revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting:	Original account
12	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12 A	If not lodged in time period of delay	Nil days
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from candidate. If not, reason thereof.	No
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	Not Applicable
14 A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	Not Applicable
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs.2,000/-
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R.P. Act 1951 and C.E Rules, 1961	Yes

17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details					
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of day to Day Account Register, Cash register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged				Yes	
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate				Yes	
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted				Yes	
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election				Yes	
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account				Yes	
18	Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect				No	
	Whether the candidate rectified the defect				Not Applicable	
	Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not				Not Applicable	
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following :				Yes	
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation register	Mention amount as per the shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the Candidate
	I					
	ii.iii...					
TOTAL						
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/ Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period?				Yes	
21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-					
	(i). Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting				Yes	
	(ii). If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice.				No discrepancies found	
	(iii). Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?				No	

	(iv). If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	Not Applicable
	(v). DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	No comments
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no.8 of Summary Report of DEO)	Yes 
	Date:17-06-2019	(Captain Dr. K.Rajendra, IAS.) Deputy Commissioner & DEO. Ramanagaram District
23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-		
	Date:17-06-2019	 Signature of the Expenditure Observer
23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-		
	Date:17-06-2019	 Signature of the Expenditure Observer

- If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.
- The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission, if the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.




ANNEXURE-21

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO , 10

Name of the State: KARNATAKAKA District:RAMANAGARA Election 23-BENGALURU RURAL
LOKASABHA CONSTITUENCY GENERAL ELECTION-2019

DEO's SCRUTINTY ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULES 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
Sl.No	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	Sri ESHWARA
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	INDEPENDENT.
3	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	23-Bengaluru Rural Lokasbha Constituency General Election-2019
4	Name of the elected candidate	Sri D.K.SURESH
5	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	05-04-2019,10-04-2019 & 16-04-2019
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting on writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes/No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	17-06-2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate:	Original account
	(xix) Original account: (xx) Revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting:	
12	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12 A	If not lodged in time period of delay	Nil days
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from candidate. If not, reason thereof.	No
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	Not Applicable
14 A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	Not Applicable
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs.4,500/-
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R.P. Act 1951 and C.E Rules, 1961	Yes

17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details					
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of day to Day Account Register, Cash register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged				Yes	
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate				Yes	
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted				Yes	
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election				Yes	
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account				Yes	
18	Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect				No	
	Whether the candidate rectified the defect				Not Applicable	
	Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not				Not Applicable	
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following :				Yes	
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation register	Mention amount as per the shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the Candidate
I						
ii,iii...						
TOTAL						
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/ Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period?				Yes	
21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-					
	(i). Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting				Yes	
	(ii). If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice.				No discrepancies found	
	(iii). Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?				No	

	(iv). If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	Not Applicable
	(v). DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	No comments
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no.8 of Summary Report of DEO)	Yes
	Date:17-06-2019	 (Captain Dr. K.Rajendra, IAS.) Deputy Commissioner & DEO. Ramanagaram District
23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-		
	Date:17-06-2019	 Signature of the Expenditure Observer
23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-		
	Date:17-06-2019	 Signature of the Expenditure Observer

- If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.
- The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission, If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.




ANNEXURE-21

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO , 11

Name of the State: KARNATAKAKA District:RAMANAGARA Election 23-BENGALURU RURAL
LOKASABHA CONSTITUENCY GENERAL ELECTION-2019

DEO's SCRUTINTY ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULES 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
Sl.No	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	Sri B GOPAL
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	INDEPENDENT.
3	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	23-Bengaluru Rural Lokasbha Constituency General Election-2019
4	Name of the elected candidate	Sri D.K.SURESH
5	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	05-04-2019,10-04-2019 & 16-04-2019
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting on writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes/No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	17-06-2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate:	Original account
	(xxi) Original account: (xxii) Revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting:	
12	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12 A	If not lodged in time period of delay	Nil days
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from candidate. If not, reason thereof.	No
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	Not Applicable
14 A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	Not Applicable
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs.49,700/-
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R.P. Act 1951 and C.E Rules, 1961	Yes

17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details					
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of day to Day Account Register, Cash register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged				Yes	
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate				Yes	
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted				Yes	
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election				Yes	
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account				Yes	
18	Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect				No	
	Whether the candidate rectified the defect				Not Applicable	
	Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not				Not Applicable	
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following :				Yes	
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation register	Mention amount as per the shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the Candidate
	I					
	ii.iii...					
TOTAL						
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/ Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period?				Yes	
21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-					
	(i). Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting				Yes	
	(ii). If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice.				No discrepancies found	
	(iii). Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?				No	

	(iv). If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	Not Applicable
	(v). DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	No comments
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no.8 of Summary Report of DEO)	Yes
	Date:17-06-2019	 (Captain Dr. K. Rajendra, IAS.) Deputy Commissioner & DEO. Ramanagaram District
23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-		
	Date:17-06-2019	 Signature of the Expenditure Observer-1
23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-		
	Date:17-06-2019	 Signature of the Expenditure Observer-2

- If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.
- The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission, If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.




ANNEXURE-21

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO , 12

Name of the State: KARNATAKAKA District:RAMANAGARA Election 23-BENGALURU RURAL
LOKASABHA CONSTITUENCY GENERAL ELECTION-2019

DEO's SCRUTINTY ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULES 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
Sl.No	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	Sri H T CHIKKARAJU
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	INDEPENDENT.
3	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	23-Bengaluru Rural Lokasbha Constituency General Election-2019
4	Name of the elected candidate	Sri D.K.SURESH
5	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	05-04-2019,10-04-2019 & 16-04-2019
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting on writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes/No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	17-06-2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (xxiii) Original account: (xxiv) Revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting:	Original account
12	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12 A	If not lodged in time period of delay	Nil days
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from candidate. If not, reason thereof.	No
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	Not Applicable
14 A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	Not Applicable
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs.2,69,980/-
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R.P. Act 1951 and C.E Rules, 1961	Yes

17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details						
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of day to Day Account Register, Cash register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged				Yes		
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate				Yes		
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted				Yes		
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election				Yes		
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account				Yes		
18	Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect				No		
	Whether the candidate rectified the defect				Not Applicable		
	Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not				Not Applicable		
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following :				Yes		
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation register	Mention amount as per the shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the Candidate	
I							
ii.iii...							
TOTAL							
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/ Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period?				Yes		
21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-						
	(i). Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting				Yes		
	(ii). If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice.				No discrepancies found		
	(iii). Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?				No		

	(iv). If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	Not Applicable
	(v). DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	No comments
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no.8 of Summary Report of DEO)	Yes 
	Date:17-06-2019	(Captain Dr. K.Rajendra, IAS.) Deputy Commissioner & DEO. Ramanagaram District)
23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-		
	Date:17-06-2019	 Signature of the Expenditure Observer-1
23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-		
	Date:17-06-2019	 Signature of the Expenditure Observer-2

- If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.
- The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission, If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.




ANNEXURE-21

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO , 13

Name of the State: KARNATAKAKA District:RAMANAGARA Election 23-BENGALURU RURAL
LOKASABHA CONSTITUENCY GENERAL ELECTION-2019

DEO's SCRUTINTY ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULES 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
Sl.No	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	Sri M.C.DEVARAJU
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	INDEPENDENT.
3	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	23-Bengaluru Rural Lokasbha Constituency General Election-2019
4	Name of the elected candidate	Sri D.K.SURESH
5	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	05-04-2019,10-04-2019 & 16-04-2019
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting on writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes/No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	17-06-2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (xxv) Original account: (xxvi) Revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting:	Original account
12	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12 A	If not lodged in time period of delay	Nil days
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from candidate. If not, reason thereof.	No
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	Not Applicable
14 A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	Not Applicable
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs.3,55,660/-
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R.P. Act 1951 and C.E Rules, 1961	Yes

17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details						
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of day to Day Account Register, Cash register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged				Yes		
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate				Yes		
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted				Yes		
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election				Yes		
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account				Yes		
18	Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect				No		
	Whether the candidate rectified the defect				Not Applicable		
	Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not				Not Applicable		
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following :				Yes		
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation register	Mention amount as per the shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the Candidate	
I							
ii.iii...							
TOTAL							
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/ Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period?				Yes		
21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-						
	(i). Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting				Yes		
	(ii). If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice.				No discrepancies found		
	(iii). Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?				No		

	(iv). If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	Not Applicable
	(v). DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	No comments
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no.8 of Summary Report of DEO)	Yes
	Date:17-06-2019	 (Captain Dr. K.Rajendra, IAS.) Deputy Commissioner & DEO. Ramanagaram District
23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-		
	Date:17-06-2019	 Signature of the Expenditure Observer
23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-		
	Date:17-06-2019	 Signature of the Expenditure Observer

- If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.
- The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission, If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.



ANNEXURE-21

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO , 14

Name of the State: KARNATAKAKA District:RAMANAGARA Election 23-BENGALURU RURAL
LOKASABHA CONSTITUENCY GENERAL ELECTION-2019

DEO's SCRUTINTY ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULES 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
Sl.No	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	Sri J T PRAKASH
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	INDEPENDENT.
3	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	23-Bengaluru Rural Lokasbha Constituency General Election-2019
4	Name of the elected candidate	Sri D.K.SURESH
5	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	05-04-2019,10-04-2019 & 16-04-2019
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting on writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes/No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	17-06-2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (xxvii) Original account: (xxviii) Revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting:	Original account
12	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12 A	If not lodged in time period of delay	Nil days
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from candidate. If not, reason thereof.	No
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	Not Applicable
14 A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	Not Applicable
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate In Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs.1,51,940/-
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R.P. Act 1951 and C.E Rules, 1961	Yes

17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details					
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of day to Day Account Register, Cash register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged				Yes	
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate				Yes	
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted				Yes	
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election				Yes	
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account				Yes	
18	Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect				No	
	Whether the candidate rectified the defect				Not Applicable	
Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not				Not Applicable		
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following :				Yes	
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation register	Mention amount as per the shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the Candidate
I						
ii.iii...						
TOTAL						
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/ Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period?				Yes	
21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-					
	(i). Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting				Yes	
	(ii). If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice.				No discrepancies found	
	(iii). Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?				No	

	(iv). If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	Not Applicable
	(v). DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	No comments
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no.8 of Summary Report of DEO)	Yes
	Date:17-06-2019	(Captain Dr. K.Rajendra, IAS.) Deputy Commissioner & DEO. Ramanagaram District
23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-		
	Date:17-06-2019	 21/6 Signature of the Expenditure Observer
23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-		
	Date:17-06-2019	 21/6/19 Signature of the Expenditure Observer

- If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.
- The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission, If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.




ANNEXURE-21

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO , 15

Name of the State: KARNATAKAKA District:RAMANAGARA Election 23-BENGALURU RURAL
LOKASABHA CONSTITUENCY GENERAL ELECTION-2019

DEO's SCRUTINTY ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULES 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
Sl.No	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	Sri RAGHU JANAGERE
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	INDEPENDENT.
3	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	23-Bengaluru Rural Lokasbha Constituency General Election-2019
4	Name of the elected candidate	Sri D.K.SURESH
5	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	05-04-2019,10-04-2019 & 16-04-2019
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting on writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes/No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	17-06-2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate:	Original account
	(xxix) Original account: (xxx) Revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting:	
12	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12 A	If not lodged in time period of delay	Nil days
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from candidate. If not, reason thereof.	No
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	Not Applicable
14 A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	Not Applicable
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs.97,898/-
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R.P. Act 1951 and C.E Rules, 1961	Yes

17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details					
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of day to Day Account Register, Cash register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged				Yes	
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate				Yes	
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted				Yes	
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election				Yes	
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account				Yes	
18	Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect				No	
	Whether the candidate rectified the defect				Not Applicable	
	Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not				Not Applicable	
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following :				Yes	
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation register	Mention amount as per the shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the Candidate
	I					
	ii.iii...					
TOTAL						
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/ Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period?				Yes	
21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-					
	(i). Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting				Yes	
	(ii). If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice.				No discrepancies found	
	(iii). Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?				No	

	(iv). If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	Not Applicable
	(v). DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	No comments
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no.8 of Summary Report of DEO)	Yes
	Date:17-06-2019	 (Captain Dr. K.Rajendra,IAS.) Deputy Commissioner & DEO. Ramanagaram District
23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-		
	Date:17-06-2019	 Signature of the Expenditure Observer
23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-		
	Date:17-06-2019	 Signature of the Expenditure Observer

- If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.
- The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission, If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.