

SUMMARY REPORT OF DEO FOR EACH CONSTITUENCY ON LODGING OF ELECTION EXPENSES ACCOUNTS CANDIDATES

- (a) No. and Name of Parliamentary Constituency: **25- Bangalore Central**
 (b) Total No. Contesting Candidates: **22**
 (c) State: **Karnataka**
 (d) Date of Declaration of Result of Election/Bye-election: **23-05-2019**
 (e) Last Date of Lodging Accounts: **22-06-2019**
 (f) Name of the Elected Candidate: **P C Mohan**

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9		10		11
								Whether the DEO agrees with the amount shown by the candidate against all items of expenditure (Should be similar to point no.22 of DEO's Scrutiny Report i.e., Annexure-C3)	Total Expenses incurred by the Party (As reported in Part-III of Abstract Statement)	Grand Total of other expenses in kind by the Political Party	Total Expenses incurred by others/entities as reported in Part-III of Abstract Statement	
1	Mellegatti Sridevi-Uttama Prajakeeya Party	22-06-2019	15-06-2019	Yes	N/A	34450	Yes	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	No Comments
2	M K Pasha-Bahujan Samaj Party	22-06-2019	19-06-2019	Yes	N/A	75200	Yes	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	No Comments
3	Dr.Philip Marryan- Independent	22-06-2019	Not Lodged	No	No	90900	No	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	The Candidate is not submitted the Final accounts
4	Nawaz Dilber-Karnataka Kamikara Paksha	22-06-2019	10/6/2019	Yes	N/A	105662	Yes	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	No Comments
5	Pradeep Mendonca-Independent	22-06-2019	15-06-2019	Yes	N/A	63552	Yes	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	No Comments
6	Syed Asif Bukhari- Independent	22-06-2019	11/6/2019	Yes	N/A	30192	Yes	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	No Comments
7	Prakash Rai-Independent	22-06-2019	19-06-2019	Yes	N/A	4095200	Yes	N/A	N/A	3945454	N/A	No Comments
8	S Mohan Kumar-Independent	22-06-2019	Not Lodged	No	No	51700	no	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	The Candidate is not submitted the Final accounts
9	P C Mohan-Bharatiya Janata Party	22-06-2019	19-06-2019	Yes	Yes	4133821	Yes	4000000	N/A	N/A	N/A	Reasonable explanation given by the candidate have been considered in DEVIC, finally arrived agt total expenditure of the candidate is Rs.67,44,075.00

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	
SI No.	Name of the Candidate and Party Affiliation	Due Date of Lodging of Account	Date of Lodging of account by the Candidate	Whether Lodged in the Prescribed Format (Yes or No)	Whether Lodged in the manner required by Law (Yes or No)	Grand Total of the Expenses Incurred/Authorized by the Candidate/Agent(as mentioned in Part-II of Abstract Statement)	Whether the DEO agrees with the amount shown by the candidate against all items of expenditure (Should be similar to point no.22 of DEO's Scrutiny Report i.e, Annexure-C3)	Total Expenses incurred by the Party (as reported in Part-III of Abstract Statement)	Total Expenses incurred by others/entities as reported in Part-III of Abstract Statement	Remarks of the Expenditure Observer	
10	Jenifar J Russell-Independent	22-06-2019	13-06-2019	Yes	N/A	31900	Yes	N/A	N/A	N/A	No Comments
11	Raparti Anil Kumar-Independent	22-06-2019	19-06-2019	Yes	N/A	46000	Yes	N/A	N/A	N/A	No Comments
12	Rizwan Arshad-Indian National Congress	22-06-2019	19-06-2019	Yes	Yes	6628181	Yes	N/A	200000	N/A	Reasonable explanation given by the candidate have been considered in DEMC, finally arrived at total expenditure Rs.55,28,181.00
13	Francis Binny Jose-Independent	22-06-2019	12/6/2019	Yes	N/A	25000	Yes	N/A	N/A	N/A	No Comments
14	B Krishna Prasad-Proutist Bloc, India	22-06-2019	19-06-2019	Yes	N/A	43750	Yes	N/A	N/A	N/A	No Comments
15	A Christhurai-Independent	22-06-2019	19-06-2019	Yes	N/A	222800	Yes	N/A	N/A	N/A	No Comments
16	S. Pandurangan- Independent	22-06-2019	15-06-2019	Yes	N/A	36950	Yes	N/A	N/A	N/A	No Comments
17	C. B. K.Rama- Independent	22-06-2019	13-06-2019	Yes	N/A	12500	Yes	N/A	N/A	N/A	No Comments
18	Kempurajan-Republican Sena	22-06-2019	18-06-2019	Yes	N/A	22000	Yes	N/A	N/A	N/A	No Comments
19	Hunsur K. Chandrashekar- Democratif Prajakeranthi Party	22-06-2019	18-06-2019	Yes	N/A	55400	Yes	N/A	N/A	N/A	No Comments
20	Srinivasan R-Indian Christian Front	22-06-2019	14-06-2019	Yes	N/A	25000	Yes	N/A	N/A	N/A	No Comments
21	C.J. Aditya-Independent	22-06-2019	15-06-2019	Yes	N/A	88300	Yes	N/A	N/A	N/A	No Comments
22	Arun Prasad A-Viduthalai Chiruthaigal Katchi	22-06-2019	7/6/2019	Yes	N/A	40143	Yes	N/A	N/A	N/A	No Comments


 Signature of the DEO


SCRUTINY REPORT OF DEO

SERIAL NUMBER OF THE CANDIDATE IN SUMMARY REPORT OF THE DEO **BENGALURU CENTRAL**

NAME OF THE STATE : **KARNATAKA** DIST : **BANGALORE URBAN** ELECTION : **PARLIMENTARY CONSTITUENCY**

DEO'S SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E.RULES, 1961		
Sl.No	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name address of the candidate	Sri. M. K. Pasha Gandhi Pet, Huliyaar, C.N. Halli Tq, Tumkur Dist.
2	Political party affiliation, if any	Bahujan Samaj Party
3	No. and name of Assembly /Parliamentary Constituency	25- BENGALURU CENTRAL
4	Name of the elected candidate	Sri. P.C. MOHAN
5	Date of declaration of result	23.05.2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	19.06.2019
7	i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	YES
	ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	YES
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting)yes or No), (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in column no.19)	YES
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	23.06.2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	YES
	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate :	19.06.2019
11	i) Original account	ORIGINAL ACCOUNT
	ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	NA
12	Whether account lodged In time	YES
12A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate, if not, reason thereof	NA
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	NA

Sl.No	Description				To be filled up by the DEO	
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate				NIL	
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement				RS.75,200-00	
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R.P.Act 1951 and C.E,Rules, 1961				NIL	
17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details					
	i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract statement has been lodged.				YES	
	ii) Whether dully sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate				YES	
	iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted				YES	
	iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election				YES	
	v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account				YES	
18	i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect				NO	
	ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect				NA	
	iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not				NA	
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the shadow, If No, then mention the following				YES	
Sl.no	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of shadow observation Register	Mention amount as per the shadow observation	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount
i)	NA					
ii)						
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for Inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorised persons 3 times during campaign period				YES	

Sl.No	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No.19 referred to above, give the following details :	
21	i) Where the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	NO
	ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (If it is regional language) and mention the date of notice	NA
	iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?	NA
	iv) If Yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, If it is in regional language) and mention the date of reply	NA
	v) DEO's comments / observations on the candidate's explanation	NA
22	Whether the DEO agree that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate (Should be similar to column no.8 of summary Report of DEO)	 (N. MANJUNATHA PRASAD) Signature (Name of the DEO)
23	Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*	No comments


Date : 19/06/19

Signature of the Expenditure Observer

R-25785

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect

** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the commission, If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately




SCRUTINY REPORT OF DEO

SERIAL NUMBER OF THE CANDIDATE IN SUMMARY REPORT OF THE DEO **BENGALURU CENTRAL**


NAME OF THE STATE : **KARNATAKA** DIST : **BANGALORE URBAN** ELECTION : **PARLIMENTARY CONSTITUENCY**

DEO'S SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E.RULES, 1961		
Sl.No	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name address of the candidate	Sri. P. C. Mohan No. 1928, 30th Cross, Banasankari II Stage, Bangalore-560070
2	Political party affiliation, if any	Bharatiya Janatha Party (BJP)
3	No. and name of Assembly /Parliamentary Constituency	25- BENGALURU CENTRAL
4	Name of the elected candidate	Sri. P.C. MOHAN
5	Date of declaration of result	23.05.2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	19.06.2019
7	i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	YES
	ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	YES
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting)yes or No), (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in column no.19)	Yes
9	last date prescribed for lodging Account	23.06.2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	YES
	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate :	19.06.2019
11	i) Original account	RS.41,33,821.00
	ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	NA
12	Whether account lodged in time	YES
12A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate, if not, reason thereof	NA
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	NA
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	NA

Sl.No	Description				To be filled up by the DEO
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-4 of the Abstract Statement				RS.41,33,821.00
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R.P.Act 1951 and C.E, Rules, 1961				Yes
	If No, then please mention the following defects with details				
17	i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract statement has been lodged.				YES
	ii) Whether dully sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate				YES
	iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted				YES
	iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election				YES
	v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account				YES
18	i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect				NA
	ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect				NA
	iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not				NA
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the shadow, If No, then mention the following				NO
Sl.no	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of shadow observation Register	Mention amount as per the shadow observation	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate
1	expenditure of Star Campaigner Sri. Narendra Modi Rally	13.04.2019	25	1654278	603000
2	Polling day outside workers expenditure	18.06.2019	30	316400	316400
3	Shamiyana, Chair, Table in polling day expenditure	18.06.2019	30	602788	150000
4	Kalyana Mantapa rent	25.03.2019	4	138537	117251

Sl.No	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
	Total	2712003
		1186651
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for Inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorised persons 3 times during campaign period	YES
	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No.19 referred to above, give the following details :	
	i) Where the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	YES
	ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (If it is regional language) and mention the date of notice	YES, Annexure dated:19.06.2019
21	iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?	YES
	iv) If Yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, If it is in regional language) and mention the date of reply	YES submitted on dated:20.06.2019
	v) DEO's comments / observations on the candidate's explanation	Reasonbale explanation given by the candidate have been considered in DEMC, finally arrived at total expenditure of the candidate is Rs.67,44,075.00
22	Whether the DEO agree that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate (Should be similar to column no.8 of summary Report of DEO)	Yes  (N. MANJUNATHA PRASAD) Signature (Name of the DEO)
23	Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*	

Date : 24/06/19


24/6/19
Signature of the Expenditure Observer
R-25785

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect

** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the commission, If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately

SCRUTINY REPORT OF DEO


SERIAL NUMBER OF THE CANDIDATE IN SUMMARY REPORT OF THE DEO BENGALURU CENTRAL

NAME OF THE STATE : KARNATAKA DIST : BANGALORE URBAN ELECTION : PARLIMENTARY CONSTITUENCY


DEO'S SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E.RULES, 1961

Sl.No	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name address of the candidate	Sri. Rizwan Arshad No. 24, G-2, Sree Vadrevu Apartment, Benson 'A' Cross, Benson Town, Bangalore 46
2	Political party affiliation, if any	INDIAN NATIONAL CONGRES (INC)
3	No. and name of Assembly /Parliamentary Constituency	25- BENGALURU CENTRAL
4	Name of the elected candidate	Sri. P.C. MOHAN
5	Date of declaration of result	23.05.2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	19.06.2019
7	i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	YES
	ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	YES
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting)yes or No), (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in column no.19)	Yes
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	23.06.2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	YES
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate :	19.06.2019
	i) Original account	RS.65,60,402.00
	ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	NA
12	Whether account lodged in time	YES
12A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate, if not, reason thereof	NA
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	NA
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	No comments
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	RS.65,60,402.00
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R.P.Act 1951 and C.E,Rules, 1961	YES

Sl.No	Description				To be filled up by the DEO
	If No, then please mention the following defects with details				
17	i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract statement has been lodged.				YES
	ii) Whether dully sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate				YES
	iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted				YES
	iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election				YES
	v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account				YES
18	i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect				NA
	ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect				NA
	iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not				NA
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the shadow, If No, then mention the following				NO
Sl.no	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of shadow observation Register	Mention amount as per the shadow observation	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate
1	vehicle expenses	03.04.2019, 05.04.2019 and others	-	271000	135500
2	Band set	05.04.2019, 12.04.2019 and others	-	136500	68250
3	Voter slip and Print machine unmathced	18.04.2019	61	45500	18200
4	Printing voter slips cost	18.04.2019	61	73003	20875
5	Polling day expenses	18.04.2019	61	1318528	441800
6	Vedio graphy cost and loudspeakers	04.04.2019, 09.04.2019 and others	-	129600	64800
Total				1974191	749425

Sl.No	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for Inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorised persons 3 times during campaign period	YES
21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No.19 referred to above, give the following details :	
	i) Where the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	YES
	ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (If it is regional language) and mention the date of notice	YES, Annexure dated:19.06.2019
	iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?	YES
	iv) If Yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, If it is in regional language) and mention the date of reply	YES submitted on dated:21.06.2019
v) DEO's comments / observations on the candidate's explanation	Reasonbale explanation given by the candidate have been considered in DEMC, finally arrived at total expenditure of the candidate is Rs.66,28,181.00	
22	Whether the DEO agree that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate (Should be similar to column no.8 of summary Report of DEO)	Yes  (N. MANJUNATHA PRASAD) Signature (Name of the DEO)
23	Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*	

Date :


Signature of the Expenditure Observer
R-25785

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect

** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the commission, If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately


SCRUTINY REPORT OF DEO

SERIAL NUMBER OF THE CANDIDATE IN SUMMARY REPORT OF THE DEO **BENGALURU CENTRAL**


NAME OF THE STATE : **KARNATAKA** DIST : **BANGALORE URBAN** ELECTION : **PARLIMENTARY CONSTITUENCY**

DEO'S SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E.RULES, 1961		
Sl.No	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name address of the candidate	Sri. C.J. Aditya No. 04, N.M.C. Lane, 3rd Cross, R.T. Street, Bangalore-560 053
2	Political party affiliation, if any	BHARATIYA PRAJEGALA KALYANA PAKSHA
3	No. and name of Assembly /Parliamentary Constituency	25- BENGALURU CENTRAL
4	Name of the elected candidate	Sri. P.C. MOHAN
5	Date of declaration of result	23.05.2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	19.06.2019
7	i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	YES
	ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	YES
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting)yes or No), (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in column no.19)	YES
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	23.06.2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	YES
	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate :	15.06.2019
11	i) Original account	ORIGINAL ACCOUNT
	ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	NA
12	Whether account lodged in time	YES
12A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate, if not, reason thereof	NA
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	NA

Sl.No	Description				To be filled up by the DEO	
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate				NIL	
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement				RS.88,300-00	
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R.P.Act 1951 and C.E,Rules, 1961				NIL	
17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details					
	i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract statement has been lodged.				YES	
	ii) Whether dully sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate				YES	
	iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted				YES	
	iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election				YES	
	v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account				YES	
18	i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect				NO	
	ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect				NA	
	iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not				NA	
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the shadow, If No, then mention the following				YES	
Sl.no	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of shadow observation Register	Mention amount as per the shadow observation	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount
i)	NA					
ii)						
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for Inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorised persons 3 times during campaign period				YES	

Sl.No	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No.19 referred to above, give the following details :	
21	i) Where the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	NO
	ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (If it is regional language) and mention the date of notice	NA
	iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?	NA
	iv) If Yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, If it is in regional language) and mention the date of reply	NA
	v) DEO's comments / observations on the candidate's explanation	NA
22	Whether the DEO agree that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate (Should be similar to column no.8 of summary Report of DEO)	 (N. MANJUNATHA PRASAD) Signature (Name of the DEO)
23	Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*	No Comments

Date : 19/06/19


Signature of the Expenditure Observer
 R-25785

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect

** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the commission, If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately




SCRUTINY REPORT OF DEO

SERIAL NUMBER OF THE CANDIDATE IN SUMMARY REPORT OF THE DEO **BENGALURU CENTRAL**


NAME OF THE STATE : **KARNATAKA** DIST : **BANGALORE URBAN** ELECTION : **PARLIMENTARY CONSTITUENCY**

DEO'S SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E.RULES, 1961		
Sl.No	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name address of the candidate	Sri. B. Krishna Prasad No. 112/179, Shippings Road, Bangalore - 01
2	Political party affiliation, if any	PROUTIST BLOC INDIA
3	No. and name of Assembly /Parliamentary Constituency	25- BENGALURU CENTRAL
4	Name of the elected candidate	Sri. P.C. MOHAN
5	Date of declaration of result	23.05.2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	19.06.2019
7	i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	YES
	ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	YES
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting)yes or No), (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in column no.19)	YES
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	23.06.2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	YES
	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate :	19.06.2019
11	i) Original account	ORIGINAL ACCOUNT
	ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	NA
12	Whether account lodged in time	YES
12A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate, if not, reason thereof	NA
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	NA
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	NIL

Sl.No	Description				To be filled up by the DEO	
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement				RS.43,750-00	
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R.P.Act 1951 and C.E,Rules, 1961				NIL	
17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details					
	i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract statement has been lodged.				YES	
	ii) Whether dully sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate				YES	
	iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted				YES	
	iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election				YES	
	v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account				YES	
18	i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect				NO	
	ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect				NA	
	iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not				NA	
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the shadow, If No, then mention the following				YES	
Sl.no	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of shadow observation Register	Mention amount as per the shadow observation	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount
i)	NA					
ii)						
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for Inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorised persons 3 times during campaign period				YES	
	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No.19 referred to above, give the following details :					

Sl. No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
21	i) Where the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	NO
	ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (If it is regional language) and mention the date of notice	NA
	iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?	NA
	iv) If Yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, If it is in regional language) and mention the date of reply	NA
	v) DEO's comments / observations on the candidate's explanation	NA
22	Whether the DEO agree that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate (Should be similar to column no.8 of summary Report of DEO)	 (N. MANJUNATHA PRASAD) Signature (Name of the DEO)
23	Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*	No comments.

Date : 19/06/19


Signature of the Expenditure Observer
R-25785

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect

** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the commission, If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately

SCRUTINY REPORT OF DEO


SERIAL NUMBER OF THE CANDIDATE IN SUMMARY REPORT OF THE DEO BENGALURU CENTRAL

NAME OF THE STATE : KARNATAKA DIST : BANGALORE URBAN ELECTION : PARLIMENTARY CONSTITUENCY

DEO'S SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E.RULES, 1961

Sl.No	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name address of the candidate	Sri. Nawaz Dilber No. 128/A, Rashid Nagar, Nagawara, Bengaluru - 45
2	Political party affiliation, if any	KARNATAKA KARMIKARA PAKSHA
3	No. and name of Assembly /Parliamentary Constituency	25- BENGALURU CENTRAL
4	Name of the elected candidate	Sri. P.C. MOHAN
5	Date of declaration of result	23.05.2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	19.06.2019
7	i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	YES
	ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	YES
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting)yes or No), (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in column no.19)	YES
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	23.06.2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	YES
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate :	10.06.2019
	i) Original account	ORIGINAL ACCOUNT
	ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	NA
12	Whether account lodged in time	YES
12A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate, if not, reason thereof	NA
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	NA

Sl.No	Description				To be filled up by the DEO	
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate				NIL	
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement				RS.1,05,662-00	
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R.P.Act 1951 and C.E,Rules, 1961				NIL	
17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details					
	i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract statement has been lodged.				YES	
	ii) Whether dully sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate				YES	
	iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted				YES	
	iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election				YES	
	v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account				YES	
18	i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect				NO	
	ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect				NA	
	iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not				NA	
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the shadow, If No, then mention the following				YES	
Sl.no	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of shadow observation Register	Mention amount as per the shadow observation	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount
i)	NA					
ii)						
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for Inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorised persons 3 times during campaign period				YES	

Sl.No	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No.19 referred to above, give the following details :	
21	i) Where the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	NO
	ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (If it is regional language) and mention the date of notice	NA
	iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?	NA
	iv) If Yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, If it is in regional language) and mention the date of reply	NA
	v) DEO's comments / observations on the candidate's explanation	NA
22	Whether the DEO agree that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate (Should be similar to column no.8 of summary Report of DEO)	 (N. MANJUNATHA PRASAD) Signature (Name of the DEO)
23	Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*	NO Comments

Date : 19/06/19

Signature of the Expenditure Observer

R-25785

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect

** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the commission, if the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately

SCRUTINY REPORT OF DEO


SERIAL NUMBER OF THE CANDIDATE IN SUMMARY REPORT OF THE DEO BENGALURU CENTRAL

NAME OF THE STATE : KARNATAKA DIST : BANGALORE URBAN ELECTION : PARLIMENTARY CONSTITUENCY

DEO'S SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E.RULES, 1961

Sl.No	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name address of the candidate	Smt. Mellegatti Shridevi Shridevi Nivas, Vinayak Chowk, Nekar Nagar, Old Hubli-580024
2	Political party affiliation, if any	UTTAMA PRAJAAKEEYA PARTY
3	No. and name of Assembly /Parliamentary Constituency	25- BENGALURU CENTRAL
4	Name of the elected candidate	Sri. P.C. MOHAN
5	Date of declaration of result	23.05.2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	19.06.2019
7	i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	YES
	ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	YES
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting)yes or No), (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in column no.19)	YES
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	23.06.2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	YES
	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate :	15.06.2019
11	i) Original account	ORIGINAL ACCOUNT
	ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	NA
12	Whether account lodged in time	YES
12A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate, if not, reason thereof	NA
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	NA

Sl.No	Description				To be filled up by the DEO	
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate				NIL	
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement				Rs.34,450-00	
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R.P.Act 1951 and C.E,Rules, 1961				NIL	
17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details					
	i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract statement has been lodged.				YES	
	ii) Whether dully sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate				YES	
	iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted				YES	
	iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election				YES	
18	v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account				YES	
	i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect				NO	
	ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect				NA	
	iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not				NA	
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the shadow, If No, then mention the following				YES	
Sl.no	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of shadow observation Register	Mention amount as per the shadow observation	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount
i)	NA					
ii)						
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for Inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorised persons 3 times during campaign period				YES	

Sl No	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No.19 referred to above, give the following details :	
	i) Where the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	NO
	ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (If it is regional language) and mention the date of notice	NA
	iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?	NA
	iv) If Yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, If it is in regional language) and mention the date of reply	NA
	v) DEO's comments / observations on the candidate's explanation	NA
22	Whether the DEO agree that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate (Should be similar to column no.8 of summary Report of DEO)	 (N. MANJUNATHA PRASAD) Signature (Name of the DEO)
23	Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*	No Comments

Date : 19/06/19

Signature of the Expenditure Observer

R-25785

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect

** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the commission, If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately



The first part of the document discusses the importance of maintaining accurate records. It highlights the need for regular updates and the potential consequences of neglecting this task. The text is written in a formal, professional tone.

Following this, the document outlines the specific procedures for data collection and analysis. It provides a clear, step-by-step guide to ensure consistency and reliability in the results. The language is precise and technical.

The next section focuses on the interpretation of the findings. It discusses the various factors that can influence the results and offers suggestions for how to address these challenges. The text is analytical and thoughtful.

In conclusion, the document emphasizes the value of the research and the importance of sharing the results with the relevant community. It calls for continued collaboration and innovation in the field. The overall message is one of optimism and forward-looking vision.

The final part of the document provides a summary of the key points and a list of references. It also includes a section for acknowledgments, recognizing the contributions of all those who supported the project. The text is concise and well-organized.

Overall, the document is a comprehensive and well-written report that provides a clear and detailed account of the research process. It is a valuable resource for anyone interested in the field and offers many insights and practical suggestions.

The document is well-structured and easy to read, with a clear flow of ideas and a logical progression of information. The use of headings and sub-headings helps to organize the content and makes it easier to find specific information. The overall quality is high and professional.

The document is a testament to the power of careful research and thoughtful analysis. It provides a clear and compelling case for the importance of the work and offers many valuable insights and suggestions. It is a well-written and well-organized report that is a pleasure to read.

The document is a well-written and well-organized report that provides a clear and detailed account of the research process. It is a valuable resource for anyone interested in the field and offers many insights and practical suggestions. The overall quality is high and professional.


SCRUTINY REPORT OF DEO

SERIAL NUMBER OF THE CANDIDATE IN SUMMARY REPORT OF THE DEO BENGALURU CENTRAL

NAME OF THE STATE : KARNATAKA DIST : BANGALORE URBAN ELECTION : PARLIMENTARY CONSTITUENCY

DEO'S SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E.RULES, 1961		
Sl.No	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name address of the candidate	Sri. Srinivasan R No. 44, Veera Pillai Street, Bangalore - 560 001
2	Political party affiliation, if any	INDIAN CHRISTIAN FRONT PARTY
3	No. and name of Assembly /Parliamentary Constituency	25- BENGALURU CENTRAL
4	Name of the elected candidate	Sri. P.C. MOHAN
5	Date of declaration of result	23.05.2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	19.06.2019
7	i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	YES
	ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	YES
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting)yes or No), (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in column no.19)	YES
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	23.06.2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	YES
	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate :	14.06.2019
11	i) Original account	ORIGINAL ACCOUNT
	ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	NA
12	Whether account lodged in time	YES
12A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate, if not, reason thereof	NA
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	NA

Sl.No	Description				To be filled up by the DEO	
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate				NIL	
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement				RS.25,000-00	
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R.P.Act 1951 and C.E,Rules, 1961				NIL	
17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details					
	i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract statement has been lodged.				YES	
	ii) Whether dully sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate				YES	
	iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted				YES	
	iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election				YES	
	v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account				YES	
18	i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect				NO	
	ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect				NA	
	iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not				NA	
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the shadow, If No, then mention the following				YES	
Sl.no	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of shadow observation Register	Mention amount as per the shadow observation	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount
i)	NA					
ii)						
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for Inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorised persons 3 times during campaign period				YES	

Sl. No	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No.19 referred to above, give the following details :	
21	i) Where the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	NO
	ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (If it is regional language) and mention the date of notice	NA
	iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?	NA
	iv) If Yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, If it is in regional language) and mention the date of reply	NA
	v) DEO's comments / observations on the candidate's explanation	NA
22	Whether the DEO agree that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate (Should be similar to column no.8 of summary Report of DEO)	 (N. MANJUNATHA PRASAD) Signature (Name of the DEO)
23	Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*	No Comments

Date : 13/06/19

Signature of the Expenditure Observer

R-25785

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect

** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the commission, If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately


SCRUTINY REPORT OF DEO

SERIAL NUMBER OF THE CANDIDATE IN SUMMARY REPORT OF THE DEO BENGALURU CENTRAL

NAME OF THE STATE : KARNATAKA DIST : BANGALORE URBAN ELECTION : PARLIMENTARY CONSTITUENCY


DEO'S SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E.RULES, 1961		
Sl.No	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name address of the candidate	Sri. Hunsur K. ChandraShekar No. 203, 'C' Block, Ghanaridhi Apartment, Uphadhyaya Layout, Nagadevanahalli, Bangalore-560 056
2	Political party affiliation, if any	DEMOCRATIC PRAJAKRANTHI PARTY SECULARIST
3	No. and name of Assembly /Parliamentary Constituency	25- BENGALURU CENTRAL
4	Name of the elected candidate	Sri. P.C. MOHAN
5	Date of declaration of result	23.05.2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	19.06.2019
7	i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	YES
	ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	YES
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting)yes or No), (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in column no.19)	YES
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	23.06.2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	YES
	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate :	18.06.2019
11	i) Original account	ORIGINAL ACCOUNT
	ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	NA
12	Whether account lodged in time	YES
12A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate, if not, reason thereof	NA
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	NA

Sl.No	Description				To be filled up by the DEO	
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate				NIL	
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement				RS.55,400-00	
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R.P.Act 1951 and C.E,Rules, 1961				NIL	
17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details					
	i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract statement has been lodged.				YES	
	ii) Whether dully sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate				YES	
	iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted				YES	
	iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election				YES	
	v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account				YES	
18	i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect				NO	
	ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect				NA	
	iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not				NA	
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the shadow, If No, then mention the following				YES	
Sl.no	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of shadow observation Register	Mention amount as per the shadow observation	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount
i)	NA					
ii)						
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for Inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorised persons 3 times during campaign period				YES	

Sl.No	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No.19 referred to above, give the following details :	
	i) Where the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	NO
	ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (If it is regional language) and mention the date of notice	NA
	iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?	NA
	iv) If Yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, If it is in regional language) and mention the date of reply	NA
	v) DEO's comments / observations on the candidate's explanation	NA
22	Whether the DEO agree that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate (Should be similar to column no.8 of summary Report of DEO)	 (N. MANJUNATHA PRASAD) Signature (Name of the DEO)
23	Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*	No Comments

Date : 19/06/19

Signature of the Expenditure Observer


R-25785

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect

** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the commission, If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately

1880

1880

1880

1880

1880

1880

1880

1880

1880

1880

1880

1880

1880

1880

1880

1880

1880

1880

1880

1880

SCRUTINY REPORT OF DEO


SERIAL NUMBER OF THE CANDIDATE IN SUMMARY REPORT OF THE DEO BENGALURU CENTRAL

NAME OF THE STATE : KARNATAKA DIST : BANGALORE URBAN ELECTION : PARLIMENTARY CONSTITUENCY


DEO'S SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E.RULES, 1961

Sl.No	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name address of the candidate	Sri. Arun Prasad A No. 17/3, 9th Main, 15th Cross, Lakkasandra Extension, Adugodi Post, Bangalore-560 030
2	Political party affiliation, if any	INDEPENDENT
3	No. and name of Assembly /Parliamentary Constituency	25- BENGALURU CENTRAL
4	Name of the elected candidate	Sri. P.C. MOHAN
5	Date of declaration of result	23.05.2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	19.06.2019
7	i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	YES
	ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	YES
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting)yes or No), (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in column no.19)	YES
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	23.06.2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	YES
	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate :	07.06.2019
11	i) Original account	ORIGINAL ACCOUNT
	ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	NA
12	Whether account lodged in time	YES
12A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate, if not, reason thereof	NA
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	NA

Sl.No	Description				To be filled up by the DEO	
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate				NIL	
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement				RS.40,143-00	
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R.P.Act 1951 and C.E,Rules, 1961				NIL	
17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details					
	i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract statement has been lodged.				YES	
	ii) Whether dully sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate				YES	
	iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted				YES	
	iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election				YES	
	v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account				YES	
18	i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect				NO	
	ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect				NA	
	iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not				NA	
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the shadow, If No, then mention the following				YES	
Sl.no	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of shadow observation Register	Mention amount as per the shadow observation	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount
i)	NA					
ii)						
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for Inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorised persons 3 times during campaign period				YES	

Sl.No	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No.19 referred to above, give the following details :	
	i) Where the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	NO
	ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (If it is regional language) and mention the date of notice	NA
	iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?	NA
	iv) If Yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, If it is in regional language) and mention the date of reply	NA
	v) DEO's comments / observations on the candidate's explanation	NA
22	Whether the DEO agree that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate (Should be similar to column no.8 of summary Report of DEO)	 (N. MANJUNATHA PRASAD) Signature (Name of the DEO)
23	Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*	No comments

Date : 19/06/19


Signature of the Expenditure Observer
 R-25785

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect

** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the commission, If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately

1. The first part of the document is a list of names and addresses.

2. The second part is a list of names and addresses.

3. The third part is a list of names and addresses.

4. The fourth part is a list of names and addresses.

5. The fifth part is a list of names and addresses.

6. The sixth part is a list of names and addresses.

7. The seventh part is a list of names and addresses.

8. The eighth part is a list of names and addresses.

9. The ninth part is a list of names and addresses.

10. The tenth part is a list of names and addresses.

11. The eleventh part is a list of names and addresses.

12. The twelfth part is a list of names and addresses.

13. The thirteenth part is a list of names and addresses.

14. The fourteenth part is a list of names and addresses.

15. The fifteenth part is a list of names and addresses.

16. The sixteenth part is a list of names and addresses.

17. The seventeenth part is a list of names and addresses.

18. The eighteenth part is a list of names and addresses.

19. The nineteenth part is a list of names and addresses.

20. The twentieth part is a list of names and addresses.

21. The twenty-first part is a list of names and addresses.

22. The twenty-second part is a list of names and addresses.

23. The twenty-third part is a list of names and addresses.

24. The twenty-fourth part is a list of names and addresses.

25. The twenty-fifth part is a list of names and addresses.

26. The twenty-sixth part is a list of names and addresses.

27. The twenty-seventh part is a list of names and addresses.

28. The twenty-eighth part is a list of names and addresses.

29. The twenty-ninth part is a list of names and addresses.

30. The thirtieth part is a list of names and addresses.

31. The thirty-first part is a list of names and addresses.

32. The thirty-second part is a list of names and addresses.

33. The thirty-third part is a list of names and addresses.

34. The thirty-fourth part is a list of names and addresses.

35. The thirty-fifth part is a list of names and addresses.

36. The thirty-sixth part is a list of names and addresses.

37. The thirty-seventh part is a list of names and addresses.

38. The thirty-eighth part is a list of names and addresses.

39. The thirty-ninth part is a list of names and addresses.

40. The fortieth part is a list of names and addresses.

41. The forty-first part is a list of names and addresses.

42. The forty-second part is a list of names and addresses.

43. The forty-third part is a list of names and addresses.

44. The forty-fourth part is a list of names and addresses.

45. The forty-fifth part is a list of names and addresses.

46. The forty-sixth part is a list of names and addresses.

47. The forty-seventh part is a list of names and addresses.

SCRUTINY REPORT OF DEO


SERIAL NUMBER OF THE CANDIDATE IN SUMMARY REPORT OF THE DEO **BENGALURU CENTRAL**

NAME OF THE STATE : **KARNATAKA** DIST : **BANGALORE URBAN** ELECTION : **PARLIMENTARY CONSTITUENCY**


DEO'S SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E.RULES, 1961

Sl.No	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name address of the candidate	Sri. A. Christhraj No. 150, 2nd Cross, Gandhipuram, Whitefield, Bangalore - 66
2	Political party affiliation, if any	INDEPENDENT
3	No. and name of Assembly /Parliamentary Constituency	25- BENGALURU CENTRAL
4	Name of the elected candidate	Sri. P.C. MOHAN
5	Date of declaration of result	23.05.2019
6	Date of Account Reconcillation Meeting	19.06.2019
7	i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	YES
	ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	YES
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting)yes or No), (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in column no.19)	YES
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	23.06.2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	YES
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate :	19.06.2019
	i) Original account	ORIGINAL ACCOUNT
	ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	NA
12	Whether account lodged in time	YES
12A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate, if not, reason thereof	NA
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	NA

Sl.No	Description				To be filled up by the DEO	
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate				NIL	
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement				RS.2,22,800-00	
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R.P.Act 1951 and C.E,Rules, 1961				NIL	
17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details					
	i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract statement has been lodged.				YES	
	ii) Whether dully sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate				YES	
	iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted				YES	
	iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election				YES	
	v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account				YES	
18	i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect				NO	
	ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect				NA	
	iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not				NA	
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the shadow, If No, then mention the following				YES	
Sl.no	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of shadow observation Register	Mention amount as per the shadow observation	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount
i)	NA					
ii)						
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for Inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorised persons 3 times during campaign period				YES	

Sl.No	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No.19 referred to above, give the following details :	
	i) Where the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	NO
	ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (If it is regional language) and mention the date of notice	NA
	iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?	NA
	iv) If Yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, If it is in regional language) and mention the date of reply	NA
	v) DEO's comments / observations on the candidate's explanation	NA
22	Whether the DEO agree that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate (Should be similar to column no.8 of summary Report of DEO)	 (N. MANJUNATHA PRASAD) Signature (Name of the DEO)
23	Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*	No Comments

Date : 19/06/19


Signature of the Expenditure Observer
R-25785

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect

** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the commission, If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately



The first part of the report...

The second part of the report...

The third part of the report...

The fourth part of the report...

The fifth part of the report...

The sixth part of the report...

The seventh part of the report...

The eighth part of the report...

The ninth part of the report...

The tenth part of the report...

The eleventh part of the report...

The twelfth part of the report...

The thirteenth part of the report...

The fourteenth part of the report...

The fifteenth part of the report...

The sixteenth part of the report...

The seventeenth part of the report...

The eighteenth part of the report...


SCRUTINY REPORT OF DEO

SERIAL NUMBER OF THE CANDIDATE IN SUMMARY REPORT OF THE DEO BENGALURU CENTRAL

NAME OF THE STATE : KARNATAKA DIST : BANGALORE URBAN ELECTION : PARLIMENTARY CONSTITUENCY


DEO'S SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E.RULES, 1961		
Sl.No	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name address of the candidate	Sri. Kempurajan No. 108, Jasmine street, 6th Cross, Kammanahalli, Bangalore - 560084
2	Political party affiliation, if any	INDEPENDENT
3	No. and name of Assembly /Parliamentary Constituency	25- BENGALURU CENTRAL
4	Name of the elected candidate	Sri. P.C. MOHAN
5	Date of declaration of result	23.05.2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	19.06.2019
7	i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	YES
	ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	YES
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting)yes or No), (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in column no.19)	YES
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	23.06.2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	YES
	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate :	18.06.2019
11	i) Original account	ORIGINAL ACCOUNT
	ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	NA
12	Whether account lodged in time	YES
12A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate, if not, reason thereof	NA
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	NA

Sl.No	Description				To be filled up by the DEO	
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate				NIL	
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement				RS.22,000-00	
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R.P.Act 1951 and C.E,Rules, 1961				NIL	
17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details					
	i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract statement has been lodged.				YES	
	ii) Whether dully sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate				YES	
	iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted				YES	
	iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election				YES	
	v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account				YES	
18	i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect				NO	
	ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect				NA	
	iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not				NA	
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the shadow, If No, then mention the following				YES	
Sl.no	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of shadow observation Register	Mention amount as per the shadow observation	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount
i)	NA					
ii)						
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for Inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorised persons 3 times during campaign period				YES	

Sl. No	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No.19 referred to above, give the following details :	
21	i) Where the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	NO
	ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (If it is regional language) and mention the date of notice	NA
	iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?	NA
	iv) If Yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, If it is in regional language) and mention the date of reply	NA
	v) DEO's comments / observations on the candidate's explanation	NA
22	Whether the DEO agree that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate (Should be similar to column no.8 of summary Report of DEO)	 (N. MANJUNATHA PRASAD) Signature (Name of the DEO)
23	Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*	No comments

Date : 19/06/19

Signature of the Expenditure Observer


R-25785

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect

** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the commission, If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately

Faint, illegible text at the top of the page, possibly a header or title.

Second section of faint, illegible text in the upper middle part of the page.

Third section of faint, illegible text in the middle part of the page.

Fourth section of faint, illegible text in the lower middle part of the page.

Fifth section of faint, illegible text at the bottom of the page.


SCRUTINY REPORT OF DEO

SERIAL NUMBER OF THE CANDIDATE IN SUMMARY REPORT OF THE DEO **BENGALURU CENTRAL**

NAME OF THE STATE : **KARNATAKA** DIST : **BANGALORE URBAN** ELECTION : **PARLIMENTARY CONSTITUENCY**

DEO'S SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E.RULES, 1961		
Sl.No	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name address of the candidate	Sri. Jenifar J. Russell No. 02, 2nd Cross, B. Pilla Reddy Layout, Banaswadi, Bangalore - 43
2	Political party affiliation, if any	INDEPENDENT
3	No. and name of Assembly /Parliamentary Constituency	25- BENGALURU CENTRAL
4	Name of the elected candidate	Sri. P.C. MOHAN
5	Date of declaration of result	23.05.2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	19.06.2019
7	i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	YES
	ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	YES
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting)yes or No), (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in column no.19)	YES
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	23.06.2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	YES
	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate :	13.06.2019
11	i) Original account	ORIGINAL ACCOUNT
	ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	NA
12	Whether account lodged in time	YES
12A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate, if not, reason thereof	NA
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	NA

Sl.No	Description				To be filled up by the DEO	
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate				NIL	
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement				RS.31,900-00	
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R.P.Act 1951 and C.E,Rules, 1961				NIL	
17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details					
	i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract statement has been lodged.				YES	
	ii) Whether dully sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate				YES	
	iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted				YES	
	iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election				YES	
	v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account				YES	
18	i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect				NO	
	ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect				NA	
	iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not				NA	
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the shadow, If No, then mention the following				YES	
Sl.no	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of shadow observation Register	Mention amount as per the shadow observation	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount
i)	NA					
ii)						
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for Inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorised persons 3 times during campaign period				YES	

Sl.No	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No.19 referred to above, give the following details :	
21	i) Where the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	NO
	ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (If it is regional language) and mention the date of notice	NA
	iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?	NA
	iv) If Yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, If it is in regional language) and mention the date of reply	NA
	v) DEO's comments / observations on the candidate's explanation	NA
22	Whether the DEO agree that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate (Should be similar to column no.8 of summary Report of DEO)	 (N. MANJUNATHA PRASAD) Signature (Name of the DEO)
23	Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*	No comments

Date : 19/06/19

Signature of the Expenditure Observer

R-25785

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect

** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the commission, If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately


SCRUTINY REPORT OF DEO

SERIAL NUMBER OF THE CANDIDATE IN SUMMARY REPORT OF THE DEO **BENGALURU CENTRAL**

NAME OF THE STATE : **KARNATAKA** DIST : **BANGALORE URBAN** ELECTION : **PARLIMENTARY CONSTITUENCY**

DEO'S SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E.RULES, 1961		
Sl.No	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name address of the candidate	Sri. Prakash Raj No. 266, Rajanigandha, Garden Apartment, Vittal Mallya Road, Bangalore-560001
2	Political party affiliation, if any	INDEPENDENT
3	No. and name of Assembly /Parliamentary Constituency	25- BENGALURU CENTRAL
4	Name of the elected candidate	Sri. P.C. MOHAN
5	Date of declaration of result	23.05.2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	19.06.2019
7	i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	YES
	ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	YES
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting)yes or No), (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in column no.19)	YES
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	23.06.2019
10	Whether the candldate has lodged the account	YES
	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate :	19.06.2019
11	i) Original account	ORIGINAL ACCOUNT
	ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	NA
12	Whether account lodged in time	YES
12A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate, if not, reason thereof	NA
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	NA

Sl.No	Description				To be filled up by the DEO	
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate				NIL	
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement				RS.40,95,200-00	
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R.P.Act 1951 and C.E,Rules, 1961				NIL	
17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details					
	i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract statement has been lodged.				YES	
	ii) Whether dully sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate				YES	
	iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted				YES	
	iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election				YES	
	v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account				YES	
18	i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect				NO	
	ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect				NA	
	iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not				NA	
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the shadow, If No, then mention the following				YES	
Sl.no	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of shadow observation Register	Mention amount as per the shadow observation	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount
i)	NA					
ii)						
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for Inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorised persons 3 times during campaign period				YES	

Sl.No	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No.19 referred to above, give the following details :	
21	i) Where the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	NO
	ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (If it is regional language) and mention the date of notice	NA
	iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?	NA
	iv) If Yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, If it is in regional language) and mention the date of reply	NA
	v) DEO's comments / observations on the candidate's explanation	NA
22	Whether the DEO agree that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate (Should be similar to column no.8 of summary Report of DEO)	 (N. MANJUNATHA PRASAD) Signature (Name of the DEO)
23	Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*	No comments

Date : 19/06/19

Signature of the Expenditure Observer

R-25785

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect

** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the commission, If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately


SCRUTINY REPORT OF DEO

SERIAL NUMBER OF THE CANDIDATE IN SUMMARY REPORT OF THE DEO **BENGALURU CENTRAL**

NAME OF THE STATE : **KARNATAKA** DIST : **BANGALORE URBAN** ELECTION : **PARLIMENTARY CONSTITUENCY**

DEO'S SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E.RULES, 1961		
Sl.No	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name address of the candidate	Sri. Pradeep Mendonca No. 46C, BHEL Officers Layout, Bannerghatta Road, Byrasandra, Bengaluru-560041
2	Political party affiliation, if any	INDEPENDENT
3	No. and name of Assembly /Parliamentary Constituency	25- BENGALURU CENTRAL
4	Name of the elected candidate	Sri. P.C. MOHAN
5	Date of declaration of result	23.05.2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	19.06.2019
7	i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	YES
	ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	YES
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting)yes or No), (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in column no.19)	YES
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	23.06.2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	YES
	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate :	15.06.2019
11	i) Original account	ORIGINAL ACCOUNT
	ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	NA
12	Whether account lodged in time	YES
12A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate, if not, reason thereof	NA
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	NA

Sl.No	Description				To be filled up by the DEO	
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate				NIL	
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement				RS.63,552-00	
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R.P.Act 1951 and C.E,Rules, 1961				NIL	
17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details					
	i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract statement has been lodged.				YES	
	ii) Whether dully sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate				YES	
	iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted				YES	
	iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election				YES	
	v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account				YES	
18	i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect				NO	
	ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect				NA	
	iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not				NA	
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the shadow, If No, then mention the following				YES	
Sl.no	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of shadow observation Register	Mention amount as per the shadow observation	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount
i)	NA					
ii)						
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for Inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorised persons 3 times during campaign period				YES	

Sl.No	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No.19 referred to above, give the following details :	
21	i) Where the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	NO
	ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (If it is regional language) and mention the date of notice	NA
	iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?	NA
	iv) If Yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, If it is in regional language) and mention the date of reply	NA
	v) DEO's comments / observations on the candidate's explanation	NA
22	Whether the DEO agree that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate (Should be similar to column no.8 of summary Report of DEO)	 (N MANJUNATHA PRASAD) Signature (Name of the DEO)
23	Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*	No Comments

Date : 19/06/19


Signature of the Expenditure Observer
R-23785

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect

** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the commission, If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately

SCRUTINY REPORT OF DEO


SERIAL NUMBER OF THE CANDIDATE IN SUMMARY REPORT OF THE DEO **BENGALURU CENTRAL**

NAME OF THE STATE : **KARNATAKA** DIST : **BANGALORE URBAN** ELECTION : **PARLIMENTARY CONSTITUENCY**


DEO'S SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E.RULES, 1961

Sl.No	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name address of the candidate	Sri. S. Pandurangan No. 15, 8th Cross, Dayanandnagar, Srirampuram, Bangalore-560 021
2	Political party affiliation, if any	INDEPENDENT
3	No. and name of Assembly /Parliamentary Constituency	25- BENGALURU CENTRAL
4	Name of the elected candidate	Sri. P.C. MOHAN
5	Date of declaration of result	23.05.2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	19.06.2019
7	i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	YES
	ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	YES
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting)yes or No), (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in column no.19)	YES
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	23.06.2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	YES
	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate :	15.06.2019
11	i) Original account	ORIGINAL ACCOUNT
	ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	NA
12	Whether account lodged in time	YES
12A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate, if not, reason thereof	NA
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	NA

Sl.No	Description				To be filled up by the DEO	
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate				NIL	
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement				RS.36,950-00	
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R.P.Act 1951 and C.E,Rules, 1961				NIL	
17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details					
	i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract statement has been lodged.				YES	
	ii) Whether dully sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate				YES	
	iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted				YES	
	iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election				YES	
	v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account				YES	
18	i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect				NO	
	ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect				NA	
	iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not				NA	
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the shadow, If No, then mention the following				YES	
Sl.no	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of shadow observation Register	Mention amount as per the shadow observation	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount
i)	NA					
ii)						
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for Inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorised persons 3 times during campaign period				YES	


Sl.No	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No.19 referred to above, give the following details :	
21	i) Where the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	NO
	ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (If it is regional language) and mention the date of notice	NA
	iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?	NA
	iv) If Yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, If it is in regional language) and mention the date of reply	NA
	v) DEO's comments / observations on the candidate's explanation	NA
22	Whether the DEO agree that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate (Should be similar to column no.8 of summary Report of DEO)	 (N. MANJUNATHA PRASAD) Signature (Name of the DEO)
23	Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*	No Comments

Date : 19/06/19


Signature of the Expenditure Observer
R-25785

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect

** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the commission, If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately



SCRUTINY REPORT OF DEO


SERIAL NUMBER OF THE CANDIDATE IN SUMMARY REPORT OF THE DEO **BENGALURU CENTRAL**

NAME OF THE STATE : **KARNATAKA** DIST : **BANGALORE URBAN** ELECTION : **PARLIMENTARY CONSTITUENCY**


DEO'S SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E.RULES, 1961

Sl.No	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name address of the candidate	Sri. Francis Binny Jose IRIS, No. 3, 2nd B Cross, M.V. Layout, Chikka Banawadi, Bangalore - 43
2	Political party affiliation, if any	INDEPENDENT
3	No. and name of Assembly /Parliamentary Constituency	25- BENGALURU CENTRAL
4	Name of the elected candidate	Sri. P.C. MOHAN
5	Date of declaration of result	23.05.2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	19.06.2019
7	i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	YES
	ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	YES
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting)yes or No), (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in column no.19)	YES
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	23.06.2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	YES
	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate :	12.06.2019
11	i) Original account	ORIGINAL ACCOUNT
	ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	NA
12	Whether account lodged in time	YES
12A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate, if not, reason thereof	NA
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	NA

Sl.No	Description				To be filled up by the DEO	
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate				NIL	
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement				RS.25,000-00	
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R.P.Act 1951 and C.E, Rules, 1961				NIL	
17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details					
	i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract statement has been lodged.				YES	
	ii) Whether dully sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate				YES	
	iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted				YES	
	iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election				YES	
	v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account				YES	
18	i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect				NO	
	ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect				NA	
	iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not				NA	
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the shadow, If No, then mention the following				YES	
Sl.no	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of shadow observation Register	Mention amount as per the shadow observation	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount
i)	NA					
ii)						
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for Inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorised persons 3 times during campaign period				YES	

Sl.No	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No.19 referred to above, give the following details :	
21	i) Where the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	NO
	ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (If it is regional language) and mention the date of notice	NA
	iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?	NA
	iv) If Yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, If it is in regional language) and mention the date of reply	NA
	v) DEO's comments / observations on the candidate's explanation	NA
22	Whether the DEO agree that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate (Should be similar to column no.8 of summary Report of DEO)	 (N. MANJUNATHA PRASAD) Signature (Name of the DEO)
23	Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*	No Comments

Date : 19/06/19


Signature of the Expenditure Observer
R.25785

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect

** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the commission, If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately


SCRUTINY REPORT OF DEO

SERIAL NUMBER OF THE CANDIDATE IN SUMMARY REPORT OF THE DEO **BENGALURU CENTRAL**


NAME OF THE STATE : **KARNATAKA** DIST : **BANGALORE URBAN** ELECTION : **PARLIMENTARY CONSTITUENCY**

DEO'S SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E.RULES, 1961		
Sl.No	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name address of the candidate	Dr. Philip Mariyan No. 871, Davis Road, Sagayapuram, Bengaluru - 560084
2	Political party affiliation, if any	INDEPENDENT
3	No. and name of Assembly /Parliamentary Constituency	25- BENGALURU CENTRAL
4	Name of the elected candidate	Sri. P.C. MOHAN
5	Date of declaration of result	23.05.2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	19.06.2019
7	i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	NO
	ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	YES - NO -
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (yes or No), (if not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in column no.19)	Accounts not submitted
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	23.06.2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	NO
	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate :	NA
11	i) Original account	NA
	ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	NA
12	Whether account lodged in time	NA
12A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate, if not, reason thereof	YES
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	NO

Sl.No	Description				To be filled up by the DEO	
14	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate				As per the expenditure of SOR is Rs.90,900.00	
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement				NA	
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R.P.Act 1951 and C.E,Rules, 1961				NO	
17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details				Yes	
	i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract statement has been lodged.				yes	
	ii) Whether dully sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate				NO	
	iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted				NO	
	iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election				YES	
	v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account				YES	
18	i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect				YES	
	ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect				NO	
	iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not				NA	
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the shadow, If No, then mention the following				Final accounts not submitted	
Sl.no	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of shadow observation Register	Mention amount as per the shadow observation	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount
i)	NA					
ii)						
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for Inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorised persons 3 times during campaign period				YES	

Sl.No	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No.19 referred to above, give the following details :	
21	i) Where the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	Final Notice issued dated:19.06.2019 to candidate to submit final accounts
	ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (If it is regional language) and mention the date of notice	Enclosed the copy of notice Dated: 19.06.2019
	iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?	NO
	iv) If Yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, If it is in regional language) and mention the date of reply	NA
	v) DEO's comments / observations on the candidate's explanation	NA
22	Whether the DEO agree that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate (Should be similar to column no.8 of summary Report of DEO)	 (N. MANJUNATHA PRASAD) Signature (Name of the DEO)
23	Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*	

Date :


Signature of the Expenditure Observer
R-25785

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect

** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the commission, If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately

SCRUTINY REPORT OF DEO


SERIAL NUMBER OF THE CANDIDATE IN SUMMARY REPORT OF THE DEO **BENGALURU CENTRAL**

NAME OF THE STATE : **KARNATAKA** DIST : **BANGALORE URBAN** ELECTION : **PARLIMENTARY CONSTITUENCY**


DEO'S SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E.RULES, 1961

Sl.No	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name address of the candidate	Sri. S. Mohan Kumar Quarters No. 366/D, 1st Floor, Sharawathi marg, M.G. Railway Colony, Bangalore-560023
2	Political party affiliation, if any	INDEPENDENT
3	No. and name of Assembly /Parliamentary Constituency	25- BENGALURU CENTRAL
4	Name of the elected candidate	Sri. P.C. MOHAN
5	Date of declaration of result	23.05.2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	19.06.2019
7	i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	NO <i>yes</i>
	ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	YES
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting)yes or No), (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in column no.19)	Accounts not submitted
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	23.06.2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	NO
	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate :	NA
11	i) Original account	NA
	ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	NA
12	Whether account lodged in time	NA
12A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate, if not, reason thereof	YES
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	NO

Sl.No	Description				To be filled up by the DEO	
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate				As per the expenditure of SOR is Rs.51,700.00	
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement				NA	
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R.P.Act 1951 and C.E,Rules, 1961				NO	
17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details				Yes	
	i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract statement has been lodged.				yes	
	ii) Whether dully sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate				NO	
	iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted				NO	
	iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election				YES	
	v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account				YES	
18	i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect				YES	
	ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect				NO	
	iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not				NA	
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the shadow, If No, then mention the following				Final accounts not submitted	
Sl.no	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of shadow observation Register	Mention amount as per the shadow observation	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount
i)	NA					
ii)						
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for Inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorised persons 3 times during campaign period				YES	

Sl.No	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No.19 referred to above, give the following details :	
21	i) Where the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	Final Notice issued dated:19.06.2019 to candidate to submit final accounts
	ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (If it is regional language) and mention the date of notice	Enclosed the copy of notice Dated: 19.06.2019
	iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?	NO
	iv) If Yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, If it is in regional language) and mention the date of reply	NA
	v) DEO's comments / observations on the candidate's explanation	NA
22	Whether the DEO agree that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate (Should be similar to column no.8 of summary Report of DEO)	 (N. MANJUNATHA PRASAD) Signature (Name of the DEO)
23	Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*	

Date :


Signature of the Expenditure Observer
R-25785

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect

** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the commission, If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately

[The page contains extremely faint, illegible text that appears to be bleed-through from the reverse side of the paper. The text is too light to transcribe accurately.]


SCRUTINY REPORT OF DEO

SERIAL NUMBER OF THE CANDIDATE IN SUMMARY REPORT OF THE DEO **BENGALURU CENTRAL**

NAME OF THE STATE : **KARNATAKA** DIST : **BANGALORE URBAN** ELECTION : **PARLIMENTARY CONSTITUENCY**

DEO'S SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E.RULES, 1961		
Sl.No	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name address of the candidate	Sri. Raparti Anil Kumar No. 396, 5th Cross, Banjara Apartment, Hennur Main Road, Kalyan nagar (P), Bangalore - 43
2	Political party affiliation, if any	INDEPENDENT
3	No. and name of Assembly /Parliamentary Constituency	25- BENGALURU CENTRAL
4	Name of the elected candidate	Sri. P.C. MOHAN
5	Date of declaration of result	23.05.2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	19.06.2019
7	i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	YES
	ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	YES
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting)yes or No), (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in column no.19)	YES
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	23.06.2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	YES
	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate :	19.06.2019
11	i) Original account	ORIGINAL ACCOUNT
	ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	NA
12	Whether account lodged in time	YES
12A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate, if not, reason thereof	NA
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	NA

Sl.No	Description				To be filled up by the DEO	
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate				NIL	
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement				RS.46,000-00	
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R.P.Act 1951 and C.E,Rules, 1961				NIL	
17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details					
	i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract statement has been lodged.				YES	
	ii) Whether dully sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate				YES	
	iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted				YES	
	iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election				YES	
	v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account				YES	
18	i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect				NO	
	ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect				NA	
	iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not				NA	
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the shadow, If No, then mention the following				YES	
Sl.no	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of shadow observation Register	Mention amount as per the shadow observation	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount
i)	NA					
ii)						
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for Inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorised persons 3 times during campaign period				YES	

Sl.No	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No.19 referred to above, give the following details :	
	i) Where the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	NO
	ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (If it is regional language) and mention the date of notice	NA
	iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?	NA
	iv) If Yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, If it is in regional language) and mention the date of reply	NA
	v) DEO's comments / observations on the candidate's explanation	NA
22	Whether the DEO agree that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate (Should be similar to column no.8 of summary Report of DEO)	 (N. MANJUNATHA PRASAD) Signature (Name of the DEO)
23	Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*	No Comments

Date : 19/06/19


Signature of the Expenditure Observer

R-25785

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect

** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the commission, If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately

1880

1880

1880

1880

1880

1880

1880

1880

1880

1880

1880

1880

1880

1880

1880

1880

1880

1880

1880

1880

1880

1880

1880

1880

1880

1880

1880

1880

1880

1880

1880

1880

1880

1880

1880

1880

1880

1880


SCRUTINY REPORT OF DEO

SERIAL NUMBER OF THE CANDIDATE IN SUMMARY REPORT OF THE DEO **BENGALURU CENTRAL**

NAME OF THE STATE : **KARNATAKA** DIST : **BANGALORE URBAN** ELECTION : **PARLIMENTARY CONSTITUENCY**

DEO'S SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E.RULES, 1961		
Sl.No	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name address of the candidate	Sri. C. B. K. Rama Old No. 22, New No. 261, P.K. Quarters, 1st Main, Belimatt Road, Bakshigarden, Bangalore - 53
2	Political party affiliation, if any	INDEPENDENT
3	No. and name of Assembly /Parliamentary Constituency	25- BENGALURU CENTRAL
4	Name of the elected candidate	Sri. P.C. MOHAN
5	Date of declaration of result	23.05.2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	19.06.2019
7	i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	YES
	ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	YES
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting)yes or No), (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in column no.19)	YES
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	23.06.2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	YES
	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate :	13.06.2019
11	i) Original account	ORIGINAL ACCOUNT
	ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	NA
12	Whether account lodged in time	YES
12A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate, if not, reason thereof	NA
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	NA

Sl.No	Description				To be filled up by the DEO	
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate				NIL	
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement				RS.12,500-00	
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R.P.Act 1951 and C.E,Rules, 1961				NIL	
17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details					
	i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract statement has been lodged.				YES	
	ii) Whether dully sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate				YES	
	iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted				YES	
	iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election				YES	
	v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account				YES	
18	i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect				NO	
	ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect				NA	
	iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not				NA	
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the shadow, If No, then mention the following				YES	
Sl.no	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of shadow observation Register	Mention amount as per the shadow observation	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount
i)	NA					
ii)						
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for Inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorised persons 3 times during campaign period				YES	

Sl.No	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No.19 referred to above, give the following details :	
21	i) Where the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	NO
	ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (If it is regional language) and mention the date of notice	NA
	iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?	NA
	iv) If Yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, If it is in regional language) and mention the date of reply	NA
	v) DEO's comments / observations on the candidate's explanation	NA
22	Whether the DEO agree that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate (Should be similar to column no.8 of summary Report of DEO)	 (N. MANJUNATHA PRASAD) Signature (Name of the DEO)
23	Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*	

Date : 19/06/19

Signature of the Expenditure Observer

R-28785

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect

** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the commission, If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately

The first part of the document discusses the importance of maintaining accurate records of all transactions. It emphasizes that every entry should be supported by a valid receipt or invoice. This ensures transparency and allows for easy auditing of the accounts.

In the second section, the author details the various methods used to collect and analyze data. This includes both primary and secondary research techniques. The primary research involved direct observation and interviews with key stakeholders, while secondary research focused on reviewing existing literature and industry reports.

The third section presents the findings of the study. It highlights several key trends and patterns observed in the data. For example, there was a significant increase in the use of digital services over the past few years, which has led to a shift in consumer behavior. Additionally, the study found that customer loyalty programs are becoming increasingly important for businesses looking to retain their market share.

Finally, the document concludes with a series of recommendations for future research and practical applications. It suggests that further studies should be conducted to explore the long-term effects of digitalization on the economy. On a practical level, businesses are advised to invest in digital marketing strategies and to regularly update their customer loyalty programs to stay competitive in the market.


SCRUTINY REPORT OF DEO

SERIAL NUMBER OF THE CANDIDATE IN SUMMARY REPORT OF THE DEO BENGALURU CENTRAL

NAME OF THE STATE : KARNATAKA DIST : BANGALORE URBAN ELECTION : PARLIMENTARY CONSTITUENCY

DEO'S SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E.RULES, 1961		
Sl.No	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name address of the candidate	Sri. Syed Asif Bukhari No. 9H No. 1st Street Markam Road, Ashok Nagar, Bengaluru-560025
2	Political party affiliation, if any	INDEPENDENT
3	No. and name of Assembly /Parliamentary Constituency	25- BENGALURU CENTRAL
4	Name of the elected candidate	Sri. P.C. MOHAN
5	Date of declaration of result	23.05.2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	19.06.2019
7	i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	YES
	ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	YES
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting)yes or No), (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in column no.19)	YES
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	23.06.2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	YES
	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate :	11.06.2019
11	i) Original account	ORIGINAL ACCOUNT
	ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	NA
12	Whether account lodged in time	YES
12A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate, if not, reason thereof	NA
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	NA

Sl.No	Description				To be filled up by the DEO	
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate				NIL	
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement				RS.30,192-00	
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R.P.Act 1951 and C.E,Rules, 1961				NIL	
17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details					
	i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract statement has been lodged.				YES	
	ii) Whether dully sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate				YES	
	iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted				YES	
	iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election				YES	
	v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account				YES	
18	i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect				NO	
	ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect				NA	
	iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not				NA	
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the shadow, If No, then mention the following				YES	
Sl.no	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of shadow observation Register	Mention amount as per the shadow observation	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount
i)	NA					
ii)						
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for Inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorised persons 3 times during campaign period				YES	

Sl.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No.19 referred to above, give the following details :	
	i) Where the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	NO
21	ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (If it is regional language) and mention the date of notice	NA
	iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?	NA
	iv) If Yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, If it is in regional language) and mention the date of reply	NA
	v) DEO's comments / observations on the candidate's explanation	NA
22	Whether the DEO agree that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate (Should be similar to column no.8 of summary Report of DEO)	 (N. MANJUNATHA PRASAD) Signature (Name of the DEO)
23	Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*	No Comments


Date : 19/06/19

Signature of the Expenditure Observer

R-25785

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect

** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the commission, If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately



GOVERNMENT OF KARNATAKA

Office of the District Election Officer and Commissioner,

Bruhath Bengaluru Mahanagara Palike (BBMP), NR Square, Bengaluru

No: 01/DEMC/2019-20

Dated: 21.06.2019

Proceedings of District Expenditure Monitoring Committee (DEMC)

Dated: 21.06.2019, Bengaluru

Attendees :

Sl. No	Name	Detailsp
1.	Sri Madhav Deshmukh, I.R.S,	Expenditure Observer, 25-Bengaluru Central Parliamentary Constituency
2.	Sri N. Manjunatha Prasad, I.A.S,	District Election Officer and Commissioner, BBMP, Bengaluru
3.	Sri Mahadev	District Nodal Officer, Election Expenditure Monitoring Cell.

Brief Note of the Issue:

1. Sri. P C Mohan (Bharatiya Janata Party)

During the account reconciliation meeting held on 19.06.2019, it was noticed that, the candidate's expenditures as per the Shadow Observation register is Rs.73,27,726 and as per the candidate's declaration it is Rs.41,33,821. The committee finds the difference between the shadow observation register and candidate's expenditure registers as Rs.32,43,785.

Thereafter, it was decided to issue a notice in this regard seeking clarification from the candidate for the difference in the expenditure between shadow observation register and candidate expenditure register. In reply to the notice issued, the candidate submitted in his letter dated: 20.06.2019 that only Rs.22,62,595.00 was spent on 13-04-2019 for the public meeting at Palace Grounds attended by Hon'ble Prime Minister Sri

1. In his detailed reply statement, he has been pointed out that the expenses booked towards vehicle, Band set rents and Loudspeakers and Vediography are duplicated and requested for considering it ones.
2. The expenditure booked with regard to voter slips printing machine rent has been disputed and requested for re-account @ Rs. 200/- for total of 91 machines and voter slips printing cost to accounted @ Rs.1.00 for 2085 pages.
3. The Candidate disputing the total Polling day expenses booked as per shadow register Rs. 14,37,091. Requested for not to considering expenditure booked towards outside workers and minimise the expenses booked towards – Shamiyana, chairs and tables.

The DEMC has examined the written reply statement submitted by the candidate and found that some of the explanation and justifications given by the candidate have been considered the following item of expenditure booked.

The Polling Day and Counting Day expenditure booked has been reconsidered based on the representation made by the candidate. Similarly Meeting hall rents, vehicle rent, Bandset charges, printing of voter slips cost and printing machine rents, loudspeakers rent and others also have been revised and duplicated costs have been agreed to exclude from the total estimated expenditure.

After carrying out the above revisions based on the clarifications provided by the candidate, the DEMC has finally concluded that, the final expenditure of the candidate as Rs 6628181. This is within the prescribed expenditure limit of Rs. 70 Lakhs. The Details of the same could be found in the Scrutiny report of the DEO for Bangalore Central PC.

1. With this the committee has resolved to accept and consider the final expenditure of Sri. P C Mohan (Bharatiya Janata Party) as per the shadow observation register is **Rs 67,44,075** and for that of Sri Rizwan Arshad (Indian National Congress) candidate final expenditure is **Rs 66,28,181.**

Narendra Damodardas Modi. Hence he has requested for re-accounting as per the guidelines and actuals. The candidate further submitted one more reply on 21.06.2019 specifically mentioning some of the items for which expenditure either have been duplicated or booked in excess. With the said requests he has appealed to the DEMC for reconsideration of the accounting of some of the expenditure and concluded that he has not exceeded the prescribed expenditure limit of Rs. 70 Lakhs.

REVIEW OF THE COMMITTEE:

The DEMC agreed to go by the apportioned portion of the PM's Election Rally as computed by the North Loksabha Constituency as prescribed in Election Expenditure Compendium.

The Polling Day and Counting Day expenditure booked has been reconsidered based on the representation made by the candidate, Similarly Meeting hall rents and other expenditure such as shamiyana & Chairs have also been downward revised.

After carrying out the above revisions based on the clarifications provided by the candidate, the DEMC has finally arrived at the final expenditure of the candidate as Rs 67,44,075. This is within the prescribed expenditure limit of Rs. 70 Lakhs. The Details of the same could be found in the Scrutiny report of the DFO for Bangalore Central PC.

Sri Rizwan Arshad (Indian National Congress)

During the account reconciliation meeting held on 19.06.2019, it was noticed that, the candidate's expenditures as per the Shadow Observation register is Rs.73,77,606 and as per the candidate's declaration it is Rs.65,60,402.00. The committee finds the difference between the shadow observation register and candidate's filed expenditure.

Thereafter, it was decided to issue a notice in this regard seeking clarification from the candidate for the difference between shadow observation register and candidate expenditure register. However, in reply to the notice issued, the candidate replied in his letter dated: 21.06.2019 disputing the following expenditure booked in the shadow register:

1. In his detailed reply statement, he has been pointed out that the expenses booked towards vehicle, Band set rents and Loudspeakers and Vediography are duplicated and requested for considering it ones.
2. The expenditure booked with regard to voter slips printing machine rent has been disputed and requested for re-account @ Rs. 200/- for total of 91 machines and voter slips printing cost to accounted @ Rs.1.00 for 2085 pages.
3. The Candidate disputing the total Polling day expenses booked as per shadow register Rs. 14,37,091. Requested for not to considering expenditure booked towards outside workers and minimise the expenses booked towards – Shamiyana, chairs and tables.


The DEMC has examined the written reply statement submitted by the candidate and found that some of the explanation and justifications given by the candidate have been considered the following item of expenditure booked.


The Polling Day and Counting Day expenditure booked has been reconsidered based on the representation made by the candidate. Similarly Meeting hall rents, vehicle rent, Bandset charges, printing of voter slips cost and printing machine rents, loudspeakers rent and others also have been revised and duplicated costs have been agreed to exclude from the total estimated expenditure.

After carrying out the above revisions based on the clarifications provided by the candidate, the DEMC has finally concluded that, the final expenditure of the candidate as Rs 6628181. This is within the prescribed expenditure limit of Rs. 70 Lakhs. The Details of the same could be found in the Scrutiny report of the DEO for Bangalore Central PC.

1. With this the committee has resolved to accept and consider the final expenditure of Sri. P C Mohan (Bharatiya Janata Party) as per the shadow observation register is **Rs 67,44,075** and for that of Sri Rizwan Arshad (Indian National Congress) candidate final expenditure is **Rs 66,28,181.**

With this the committee has concluded discussion and resolved to finalise the scrutiny report accordingly.


(Madhav Deshmukh, I.R.S.)
EO-PC-25
R-25785


(N. Manjunatha Prasad, I.A.S.)
DEO & Commissioner, BBMP


(Mahadev)
District Nodal Officer,
EEMC

10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100