



Bruhat Bengaluru Mahanagara Palike

Office of the District Election Officer and Commissioner, N R Square 560 002

No. DEO/PR/ 01 /2019-20

Dated:05-08-2019

To,
Additional CEO-1
Office of the Chief Electoral Officer,
Second floor
Khanij bhavan
Race Course Road
Bengaluru

Sir,

**Sub: Submission of scrutiny reports and summary reports of
Bengaluru Central and Bengaluru South Parliamentary
Constituencies – Reg.**

With respect to the subject cited above, we are submitting herewith the Scrutiny Reports of 24 candidates in original and in duplicate and summary report in original pertaining to 25 candidates of Bengaluru South Parliamentary Constituency and Scrutiny Reports and Summary Reports of 22 candidates in original and in duplicate pertaining to Bengaluru Central Parliamentary Constituency for your kind perusal and further needful.

Further, you are requested to issue the acknowledgement for having received the same.

Yours faithfully


District Election Officer and
Commissioner
BBMP, Bengaluru

**SUMMARY REPORT OF DEO FOR EACH CONSTITUENCY ON LODGING OF
ELECTION EXPENSES ACCOUNTS BY CANDIDATES**

- a) No. and Name of Parliamentary Constituency: **26- Bangalore South**
- b) Total No. Contesting Candidates: **25**
- c) State: **Karnataka**
- d) Date of Declaration of Result of Election/Bye-election: **23-05-2019**
- e) Last Date of Lodging Accounts: **22-06-2019**
- f) Name of the Elected Candidate: **TEJASVI SURYA**

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9		10	11	
Sr. No.	Name of the Candidate and Party Affiliation	Due Date of Lodging of Account	Date of Lodging of Account by the Candidate	Whether Lodged in the Prescribed Format (Yes or No)	Whether Lodged in the manner required by Law (Yes or No)	Grand Total of the Expenses Incurred/Authorized by the Candidate/Age nt (as mentioned in Part-II of Abstract Statement)	Whether the DEO agrees with the amount shown by the candidate against all items of expenditure (Should be similar to point no.22 of DEO's Scrutiny Report i.e. Annexure-C3)	Total Expenses incurred by the Party (As reported in Part-III of Abstract Statement)		Total Expenses incurred by others/entities as reported in Part-III of Abstract Statement		Remarks of the Expenditure Observer
								Lump Sum Amount in cash or cheque given to candidate by each Political Party	Grand Total of other Expenses kind by the Polity Party	Lump Sum Amount in cash or cheque given to candidate (and mention names of	Grand Total of other expenses incurred for the candidate	
1	AMBROSE D' MELLO - Independent	22-06-2019	17-06-2019	Yes	Yes	25100	Yes	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	NIL
2	AHORATRA NATESHA POLEPALLI - Utama Prajaakeeva Party	22-06-2019	17-06-2019	Yes	Yes	118768	Yes	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	NIL
3	G VENKATESH BHOVI - Independent	22-06-2019	17-06-2019	Yes	Yes	52475	Yes	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	NIL
4	DR. BHANUPRAKASH A.S. SATHYA YUGA - Pyramid Party of India	22-06-2019	17-06-2019	Yes	Yes	122120	Yes	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	NIL

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11		
Sr. No.	Name of the Candidate and Party Affiliation	Due Date of Lodging of Account	Date of Lodging of Account by the Candidate	Whether Lodged in the Prescribed Format (Yes or No)	Whether Lodged in the manner required by Law (Yes or No)	Grand Total of the Expenses Incurred/Authorized by the Candidate/Age mentioned in Part-II of Abstract Statement)	Whether the DEO agrees with the amount shown by the candidate against all items of expenditure (Should be similar to point no.22 of DEO's Scrutiny Report i.e. Annexure-C3)	Total Expenses incurred by the Party (As reported in Part-III of Abstract Statement)	Total Expenses incurred by others/entities as reported in Part-III of Abstract Statement	Remarks of the Expenditure Observer		
								Lump Sum Amount in cash or cheque given to candidate by each Political Party	Grand Total of other Expenses kind by the Party	Lump Sum Amount in cash or cheque given to candidate (and mention names of candidate)	Grand Total of other expenses incurred for the candidate	
5	SANTHOSH MIN. B - Independent	22-06-2019	Not Lodged	Yes	Yes	25000	Yes	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	NIL
6	K.C. NAGABHUSHANA REDDY - Independent	22-06-2019	17-06-2019	No	No	498339	No	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	No Comments
7	RAVIKUMARA.T - Pratishil Samaiwadi Party (Lohial)	22-06-2019	17-06-2019	Yes	Yes	78720	Yes	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	NIL
8	R. SHEKAR - Viduthalai Chiruthaigal Katchi	22-06-2019	17-06-2019	Yes	Yes	115754	Yes	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	NIL
9	PRAASHANTHA.C - Independent	22-06-2019	17-06-2019	Yes	Yes	57020	Yes	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	NIL
10	A. RAJU - Bahujan Samaj Party	22-06-2019	17-06-2019	Yes	Yes	439850	Yes	5445	N/A	N/A	N/A	NIL
11	THYAGARAJU - Republican Sena	22-06-2019	17-06-2019	Yes	Yes	57000	Yes	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	NIL
12	MADESH. V - Independent	22-06-2019	17-06-2019	Yes	Yes	39110	Yes	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	NIL
13	YOGESH NAGARAJU - Independent	22-06-2019	17-06-2019	Yes	Yes	25000	Yes	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	NIL
14	BRAHMANAND.N - Independent	22-06-2019	17-06-2019	Yes	Yes	89700	Yes	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	NIL
15	MANOHAR IYER - Independent	22-06-2019	17-06-2019	Yes	Yes	1447790	Yes	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	NIL
16	B. CHIKKA NAGESHA - Independent	22-06-2019	17-06-2019	Yes	Yes	29952	Yes	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	NIL
17	BHAGYA - Independent	22-06-2019	17-06-2019	Yes	Yes	28750	Yes	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	NIL

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11		
Sr. No.	Name of the Candidate and Party Affiliation	Due Date of Lodging of Account	Date of Lodging of Account by the Candidate	Whether Lodged in the Prescribed Format (Yes or No)	Whether Lodged in the manner required by Law (Yes or No)	Grand Total of the Expenses Incurred/Authorized by the Candidate/Age mentioned in Part-II of Abstract Statement)	Whether the DEO agrees with the amount shown by the candidate against all items of expenditure (Should be similar to point no.22 of DEO's Scrutiny Report i.e. Annexure-C3)	Total Expenses Incurred by the Party (As reported in Part-III of Abstract Statement)		Total Expenses incurred by others/entities as reported in Part-III of Abstract Statement		Remarks of the Expenditure Observer
								Lump Sum Amount in cash or cheque given to candidate by each Political Party	Grand Total of other Expenses kind by the Polity Party	Lump Sum Amount in cash or cheque given to candidate (and mention names of others)	Grand Total of other expenses incurred for the candidate	
18	SYED SADIQ PASHA - Karnataka Karmikara Paksha	22-06-2019	17-06-2019	Yes	Yes	63400	Yes	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	NIL
19	KOLLUR MANJUNATHA NAIK - Independent	22-06-2019	17-06-2019	Yes	Yes	12900	Yes	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	NIL
20	B.K. HARIPRASAD - Indian National Congress	22-06-2019	17-06-2019	Yes	Yes	6615803	Yes	388202	N/A	N/A	N/A	NIL
21	B. SREENIVASULU - Independent	22-06-2019	17-06-2019	Yes	Yes	27388	Yes	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	NIL
22	DR. JOHN BASCO PHILIPS - Indian Christian Front	22-06-2019	17-06-2019	Yes	Yes	59900	Yes	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	NIL
23	TEJASVI SURYA - Bharatiya Janata Party	22-06-2019	17-06-2019	Yes	Yes	5211811	Yes	2022134	N/A	N/A	N/A	NIL
24	VATAL NAGARAJ - Kannada Chalavali Vatal Paksha	22-06-2019	17-06-2019	Yes	Yes	936289	Yes	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	NIL
25	CHEZHIAN CHAMAN - Independent	22-06-2019	17-06-2019	Yes	Yes	178200	Yes	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	NIL

Signature of the DEO



Scrutiny Report of DEO



①

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO: 01

Name of the State: Karnataka District: Bengaluru Election: Lok Sabha

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

Sl. No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & Address of the Candidate	Tejasvi Surya #380, 1ST 'A' MAIN ROAD, GIRINAGAR 1ST PHASE, BANGALORE 560085
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	Bharatiya Janata Party
3	No. and name of Assembly / Parliamentary Constituency	26-Bangalore South
4	Name of elected candidate	Sri. Tejasvi Surya
5	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	19-06-2019
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No.19)	Yes
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	17-06-2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account	17-06-2019
12	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA
14	Explanation, if any given by the candidate	NIL
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	NIL
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part II of the Abstract Statement	Rs. 52,11,811
16	Whether the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R.P. Act, 1951 and Rules 1961	Yes
	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	NA
17	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	Yes
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	Yes
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	Yes
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	Yes
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	Yes
18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect	NIL
	(ii) Whether the Candidate rectified the defect	NA
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.	NA
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence.	No

If No, then mention the following:						
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation	Amount as per the Account submitted by the candidate	Amount
I	Star Campaign Expenditure	13.04.2019		1658825	658675	1000150
II	Star Campaign Expenditure	02.04.2019		611750	60000	551750
III	Handy's Fosters	All Days		545974	545974	0
IV						
	TOTAL			2816549	1264649	
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer / RO / Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period					Yes
21	IF DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:					
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting					Yes
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice					19/06/2019
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?					Yes
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply					20-06-19
	(v) DEO's comments / observations on the candidate's explanation					6748014
	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate					No details are annexed
	(Should be similar to Column No 8 of Summary Report of DEO)					
22	Date					 Signature (Name of the DEO)
23	Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*					
	<i>No further comments</i>					
	Date					
	Signature of the Expenditure Observer - 1					 <i>P. Rouman Pate</i>
	Signature of the Expenditure Observer - 2					
* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.						
** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.						

Scrutiny Report of DEO




2

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO: 02

Name of the State: Karnataka District: Bengaluru Election: Lok Sabha

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

Sl. No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & Address of the Candidate	A. Raju #3084, 9TH CROSS, GAYATHRINAGAR, BANGALORE-21
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	Bahujan Samaj Party
3	No. and name of Assembly / Parliamentary Constituency	26-Bangalore South
4	Name of elected candidate	SRI, TEJASVI SURYA
5	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	19 06 2019
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No.19)	Yes
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	17-06-2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account	17-06-2019
12	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA
14	Explanation, if any given by the candidate	NIL
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	NIL
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs. 4,39,850/-
16	Whether the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R.P.Act,1951 and Rules1961	Yes
	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	NA
17	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	Yes
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	Yes
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	Yes
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	Yes
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	Yes
18	(i) Whether the DEO had Issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect	NIL
	(ii) Whether the Candidate rectified the defect	NA

	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.					NA
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence.					Yes
	If No, then mention the following:					
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation	Amount as per the Account submitted by the candidate	Amount
	i					Nil
	ii					Nil
	TOTAL					Nil
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer / RO / Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period.					Yes
21	IF DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:					NA
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting					NA
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice					No
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?					NA
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply					NA
	(v) DEO's comments / observations on the candidate's explanation					NIL
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate.					
	(Should be similar to Column No.8 of Summary Report of DEO)					
	Date: 21/06/2019					
	 (N. MANJUNATHA PRASAD) Signature (Name of the DEO)					
23.	Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*					
	No comments					
	Date: 21/06/2019					
	Signature of the Expenditure Observer - 1					
	 T. Ramesh Prasad					
	Signature of the Expenditure Observer - 2					
	21/6/19  P. Muthusankar					
* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.						
** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.						




Scrutiny Report of DEO

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO: 04

Name of the State: Karnataka District: Bengaluru Election: Lok Sabha

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

Sl. No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & Address of the Candidate	Dr. John Basco Philips NO.51, 1ST FLOOR, 'B' CROSS, 1ST MAIN ROAD, N.G.HALLI.
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	Indian Christian Front
3	No. and name of Assembly / Parliamentary Constituency	26-Bangalore South
4	Name of elected candidate	SRI TEJASVI SURYA
5	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	19-06-2019
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No.19)	Yes
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	17-06-2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account	17-06-2019
12	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA
14	Explanation, if any given by the candidate	NIL
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	NIL
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs. 59,900/-
16	Whether the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R.P.Act,1951 and Rules1961	Yes
	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	NA
17	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	Yes
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	Yes
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	Yes
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	Yes
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	Yes
18	(i) Whether the DEO had Issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect	NIL
	(ii) Whether the Candidate rectified the defect	NA

	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.	NA																								
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence.	Yes																								
	If No, then mention the following:																									
	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Items of expenditure</th> <th>Date</th> <th>Page No. of Shadow Observation Register</th> <th>Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation</th> <th>Amount as per the Account submitted by the candidate</th> <th>Amount</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>i</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>Nil</td> </tr> <tr> <td>ii</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>Nil</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="5" style="text-align: center;">TOTAL</td> <td>Nil</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation	Amount as per the Account submitted by the candidate	Amount	i					Nil	ii					Nil	TOTAL					Nil	
Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation	Amount as per the Account submitted by the candidate	Amount																					
i					Nil																					
ii					Nil																					
TOTAL					Nil																					
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer / RO / Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period.	Yes																								
21	IF DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:	NA																								
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	NA																								
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	No																								
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	NA																								
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	NA																								
	(v) DEO's comments / observations on the candidate's explanation	NIL																								
	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate.																									
	(Should be similar to Column No.8 of Summary Report of DEO)																									
22	Date: 21/06/2019	 (N. MANJUNATHA PRASAD) Signature (Name of the DEO)																								
23. Comments, If any, by the Expenditure Observer*																										
No comments																										
Date: 21/06/2019																										
Signature of the Expenditure Observer - 1																										
 T. Renuka Paite																										
Signature of the Expenditure Observer - 2																										
21/6/19  P. Muthushankar																										
* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.																										
** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.																										




Scrutiny Report of DEO

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO: 03

Name of the State: Karnataka District: Bengaluru Election: Lok Sabha

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

Sl. No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & Address of the Candidate	B.K. Hariprasad #84, 6th Main, Malleshwaram, Bangalore-560055
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	Indian National Congress
3	No. and name of Assembly / Parliamentary Constituency	26-Bangalore South
4	Name of elected candidate	SRI. TEJASVI SURYA
5	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	19-06-2019
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No.19)	Yes
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	17-06-2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account	17-06-2019
12	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA
14	Explanation, if any given by the candidate	NIL
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	NIL
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs. 66,15,803/-
16	Whether the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R.P.Act,1951 and Rules1961	Yes
	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	NA
17	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	Yes
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	Yes
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	Yes
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	Yes
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	Yes
18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect	NIL
	(ii) Whether the Candidate rectified the defect	NA

	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.					NA
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence.					Yes
	If No, then mention the following:					
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation	Amount as per the Account submitted by the candidate	Amount
	i					
	ii					
	TOTAL					
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer / RO / Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period.					Yes
21	IF DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:					NA
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting					NA
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice					No
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?					NA
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply					NA
	(v) DEO's comments / observations on the candidate's explanation					NIL
	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate					
	(Should be similar to Column No.8 of Summary Report of DEO)					
22	Date:					 Signature (Name of the DEO)
23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*						
No comments						
Date: 21/06/2019						
Signature of the Expenditure Observer - 1						
 T. Rouman Parite						
Signature of the Expenditure Observer - 2						
21/6/19  P. Muthusankar						
* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.						
** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.						




Scrutiny Report of DEO

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO: 05

Name of the State: Karnataka District: Bengaluru Election: Lok Sabha

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

Sl. No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & Address of the Candidate	Sri. Thyagaraj #177, B CHANDRAPANAGAR, 3RD MAIN ROAD, 4TH CROSS ROAD, ADUGODI POST, BANNERGATTA ROAD, BANGALORE-30
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	Republican Sena
3	No. and name of Assembly / Parliamentary Constituency	26-Bangalore South
4	Name of elected candidate	SRI. TEJASVI SURYA
5	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	19-06-2019
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Sri. Thyagaraj
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No.19)	Yes
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	17-06-2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account	17-06-2019
12	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA
14	Explanation, if any given by the candidate	NIL
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	NIL
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs. 57,000/-
16	Whether the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R.P.Act,1951 and Rules1961	Yes
	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	NA
17	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	Yes
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	Yes
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	Yes
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	Yes
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	Yes
	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect	NIL

18	(ii) Whether the Candidate rectified the defect					NA
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.					NA
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence.					Yes
	If No, then mention the following:					
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation	Amount as per the Account submitted by the candidate	Amount
	i					Nil
	ii					Nil
	TOTAL					Nil
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer / RO / Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period.					Yes
21	IF DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:					NA
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting					NA
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice					No
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?					NA
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply					NA
	(v) DEO's comments / observations on the candidate's explanation					NIL
	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate.					
	(Should be similar to Column No.8 of Summary Report of DEO)					
22	Date: 21/06/2019					
	 (N. MANJUNATHA PRASAD) Signature (Name of the DEO)					
23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*						
No comments						
Date: 21/06/2019						
Signature of the Expenditure Observer - 1						
 T. Rouman Paite						
Signature of the Expenditure Observer - 2						
21/6/19  P. Muthusankar						
* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.						
** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.						

Scrutiny Report of DEO




6

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO: 06

Name of the State: Karnataka District: Bengaluru Election: Lok Sabha

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

Sl. No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & Address of the Candidate	Sri. Ahoratra Natesha Polepalli 903-904/A WING, WHISPERING PALMS, EXCLUSIVE LOKHANDWALA TOWNSHIP, KANDIVALI (EAST), MUMBAI, MAHARASTRA-400101
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	Uttama Prajaakeeya Party
3	No. and name of Assembly / Parliamentary Constituency	26-Bangalore South
4	Name of elected candidate	SRI. TEJASVI SURYA
5	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	19-06-2019
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Sri.Sri. Ahoratra Natesha Polepalli
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No.19)	Yes
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	17-06-2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	17-06-2019
12	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA
14	Explanation, if any given by the candidate	NIL
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	NIL
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs. 1,18,768/-
16	Whether the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R.P.Act,1951 and Rules1961	Yes
	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	NA
17	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	Yes
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	Yes
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	Yes
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	Yes
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	Yes

18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect					NIL
	(ii) Whether the Candidate rectified the defect					NA
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.					NA
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence.					Yes
	If No, then mention the following:					
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation	Amount as per the Account submitted by the candidate	Amount
	i					Nil
	ii					Nil
	TOTAL					Nil
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer / RO / Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period.					Yes
21	IF DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:					NA
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting					NA
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice					No
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?					NA
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply					NA
	(v) DEO's comments / observations on the candidate's explanation					NIL
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate.					
	(Should be similar to Column No.8 of Summary Report of DEO)					
	Date:	21/06/2019				 (N. MANJUNATHA PRASAD) Signature (Name of the DEO)
23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*						
No comments						
Date: 21/06/2019						
Signature of the Expenditure Observer - 1						
 T. Ramesh Babu						
Signature of the Expenditure Observer - 2						
21/6/19  P. Mathurshankar						
* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.						
** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.						

Scrutiny Report of DEO




7

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO: 07

Name of the State: Karnataka District: Bengaluru Election: Lok Sabha

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

Sl. No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & Address of the Candidate	DR.BANU PRAKASH.A.S SATHYAYUGA SHREYAS,160, 10TH MAIN ROAD, BIKASIPURA, ISRO LAYOUT POST OFFICE, BANGALORE - 560111
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	Pyramid Party of India
3	No. and name of Assembly / Parliamentary Constituency	26-Bangalore South
4	Name of elected candidate	SRI. TEJASVI SURYA
5	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	19-06-2019
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No.19)	Yes
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	17-06-2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	17-06-2019
12	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA
14	Explanation, if any given by the candidate	NIL
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	NIL
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs. 1,22,120/-
16	Whether the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R.P.Act,1951 and Rules1961	Yes
	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	NA
17	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	Yes
	(ii) Whether duly sworn In affidavit has been submitted by candidate	Yes
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	Yes
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	Yes
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	Yes

18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect					NIL
	(ii) Whether the Candidate rectified the defect					NA
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.					NA
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence.					Yes
	If No, then mention the following:					
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation	Amount as per the Account submitted by the candidate	Amount
	i					Nil
	ii					Nil
	TOTAL					Nil
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer / RO / Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period.					Yes
21	IF DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:					NA
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting					NA
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice					No
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?					NA
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply					NA
	(v) DEO's comments / observations on the candidate's explanation					NIL
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate.					
	(Should be similar to Column No.8 of Summary Report of DEO)					
	Date:	21/06/2019				 CN. MANTUNATHA PRASAD Signature (Name of the DEO)
23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*						
No Comments						
Date: 21/06/2019						
Signature of the Expenditure Observer - 1						
 T. Rouman Parth						
Signature of the Expenditure Observer - 2						
21/6/19  P. Muthushankar						
* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.						
** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.						




Scrutiny Report of DEO

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO: 08

Name of the State: Karnataka District: Bengaluru Election: Lok Sabha

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

Sl. No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & Address of the Candidate	RAVIKUMARA T #29, FIRST FLOOR, VASANTHA NILAYA, 15TH CROSS, ASHOK NAGAR, BSK 1ST STAGE, BANGALORE-560050
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	PRAGATISHIL SAMAJWADI PARTY (LOHIA)
3	No. and name of Assembly / Parliamentary Constituency	26-Bangalore South
4	Name of elected candidate	SRI. TEJASVI SURYA
5	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	19-06-2019
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No.19)	Yes
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	17-06-2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	17-06-2019
12	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA
14	Explanation, if any given by the candidate	NIL
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	NIL
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs. 78,720/-
16	Whether the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R.P.Act,1951 and Rules1961	Yes
	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	NA
17	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	Yes
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	Yes
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	Yes
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	Yes
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	Yes
	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect	NIL

18	(ii) Whether the Candidate rectified the defect					NA
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.					NA
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence.					Yes
	If No, then mention the following:					
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation	Amount as per the Account submitted by the candidate	Amount
	i					Nil
	ii					Nil
	TOTAL					Nil
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer / RO / Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period.					Yes
21	IF DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:					NA
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting					NA
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice					No
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?					NA
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply					NA
	(v) DEO's comments / observations on the candidate's explanation					NIL
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column No.8 of Summary Report of DEO)					
	Date: 21/04/2019					
	 (N. MANJUNATHA PRASAD)					Signature (Name of the DEO)
23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*						
No Comments						
Date: 21/06/2019						
Signature of the Expenditure Observer - 1						
 R. Raman Prate						
Signature of the Expenditure Observer - 2						
21/6/19  P. Muthushankar						
* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.						
** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.						






Scrutiny Report of DEO

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO: 09

Name of the State: Karnataka District: Bengaluru Election: Lok Sabha

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

Sl. No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & Address of the Candidate	VATAL NAGARAJ NO.329, 2ND BLOCK, 2ND PHASE, RMV, BANGALORE- 560094
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	KANNADA CHALUVALI VATAL PARTY
3	No. and name of Assembly / Parliamentary Constituency	26-Bangalore South
4	Name of elected candidate	SRI. TEJASVI SURYA
5	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	19-06-2019
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No.19)	Yes
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	17-06-2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	17-06-2019
12	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA
14	Explanation, if any given by the candidate	NIL
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	NIL
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs. 9,36,289/-
16	Whether the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R.P.Act,1951 and Rules1961	Yes
	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	NA
17	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	Yes
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	Yes
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	Yes
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	Yes
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	Yes
	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect	NIL

18	(ii) Whether the Candidate rectified the defect					NA
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.					NA
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence.					Yes
	If No, then mention the following:					
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation	Amount as per the Account submitted by the candidate	Amount
	i					Nil
	ii					Nil
	TOTAL					Nil
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer / RO / Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period.					Yes
21	IF DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:					NA
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting					NA
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice					No
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?					NA
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply					NA
	(v) DEO's comments / observations on the candidate's explanation					NIL
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate.					
	(Should be similar to Column No.8 of Summary Report of DEO)					
	Date: 21/06/2019	 (N. MANJUNATHA PRASAD) Signature (Name of the DEO)				
23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*						
No comments						
Date: 21/06/2019						
Signature of the Expenditure Observer - 1						
 						
Signature of the Expenditure Observer - 2						
 						
* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.						
** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.						

Scrutiny Report of DEO

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO: 10

Name of the State: Karnataka District: Bengaluru Election: Lok Sabha

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

Sl. No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & Address of the Candidate	R.SHEKAR NO.84, 21ST C MAIN ROAD KHB COLONY BTB AREA, TILAKNAGAR, BANGALORE- 560041
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	VIDUTHALAI CHIRUTHAIGAL KATCHI
3	No. and name of Assembly / Parliamentary Constituency	26-Bangalore South
4	Name of elected candidate	SRI. TEJASVI SURYA
5	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	19-06-2019
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No.19)	Yes
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	17-06-2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	17-06-2019
12	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA
14	Explanation, if any given by the candidate	NIL
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	NIL
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs. 1,15,754/-
16	Whether the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R.P.Act,1951 and Rules1961	Yes
	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	NA
17	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	Yes
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	Yes
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	Yes
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	Yes
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	Yes
	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect	NIL

18	(ii) Whether the Candidate rectified the defect					NA
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.					NA
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence.					Yes
	If No, then mention the following:					
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation	Amount as per the Account submitted by the candidate	Amount
	i					Nil
	ii					Nil
	TOTAL					Nil
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer / RO / Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period.					Yes
21	IF DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:					NA
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting					NA
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice					No
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?					NA
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply					NA
	(v) DEO's comments / observations on the candidate's explanation					NIL
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column No.8 of Summary Report of DEO)					
	Date: 21/06/2019					
						(N. MANJUNATHA PRASAD) Signature (Name of the DEO)

23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*

No comments

Date: 21/06/19

Signature of the Expenditure Observer - 1

Ultimate

T. Rouman Patel

Signature of the Expenditure Observer - 2

21/6/19

M/S

P. Muthu Shankar

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

Scrutiny Report of DEO



(11)

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO: 11

Name of the State: Karnataka District: Bengaluru Election: Lok Sabha

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

Sl. No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & Address of the Candidate	SYED SADIQ PASHA #1/38, BISMILAH NAGAR, 1ST CROSS, 3RD MAIN, BANGALORE. 560029
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	KARNATAKA KARMIKARA PAKSHA
3	No. and name of Assembly / Parliamentary Constituency	26-Bangalore South
4	Name of elected candidate	SRI. TEJASVI SURYA
5	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	19-06-2019
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No.19)	Yes
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	17-06-2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	17-06-2019
12	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA
14	Explanation, if any given by the candidate	NIL
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation If any, of the candidate	NIL
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs. 63,400/-
16	Whether the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R.P.Act,1951 and Rules1961	Yes
	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	NA
17	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	Yes
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	Yes
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of Items of election expenditure submitted	Yes
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	Yes
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	Yes
	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect	NIL

18	(ii) Whether the Candidate rectified the defect					NA
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.					NA
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence.					Yes
	If No, then mention the following:					
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation	Amount as per the Account submitted by the candidate	Amount
i						Nil
ii						Nil
	TOTAL					Nil
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer / RO / Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period.					Yes
21	IF DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:					NA
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting					NA
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice					No
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?					NA
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply					NA
	(v) DEO's comments / observations on the candidate's explanation					NIL
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column No.8 of Summary Report of DEO)					
	Date: 21/06/2019					
						(N. MANJUNATHA PRAJAO) Signature (Name of the DEO)
23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*						
No comments.						
Date: 21/06/2019						
Signature of the Expenditure Observer - 1						
 T. Rouman Paiti						
Signature of the Expenditure Observer - 2						
 P. Muthusankar 21/6/19						
* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.						
** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.						

(12)

Scrutiny Report of DEO

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO: 12

Name of the State: Karnataka District: Bengaluru Election: Lok Sabha

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

Sl. No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & Address of the Candidate	AMBROSE D' MELLO #16, 1ST CROSS, JC ROAD, NEW MISSION ROAD, BANGALORE- 560027
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	INDEPENDENT
3	No. and name of Assembly / Parliamentary Constituency	26-Bangalore South
4	Name of elected candidate	SRI. TEJASVI SURYA
5	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	19-06-2019
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No.19)	Yes
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	17-06-2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	17-06-2019
12	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA
14	Explanation, if any given by the candidate	NIL
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	NIL
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs. 25,100/-
16	Whether the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R.P.Act,1951 and Rules1961	Yes
	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	NA
17	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	Yes
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	Yes
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	Yes
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	Yes
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	Yes
18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect	NIL
	(ii) Whether the Candidate rectified the defect	NA

	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.	NA																								
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:	Yes																								
	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Items of expenditure</th> <th>Date</th> <th>Page No. of Shadow Observation Register</th> <th>Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register</th> <th>Amount as per the Account submitted by the candidate</th> <th>Amount</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>i</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>Nil</td> </tr> <tr> <td>ii</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>Nil</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="5" style="text-align: center;">TOTAL</td> <td>Nil</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register	Amount as per the Account submitted by the candidate	Amount	i					Nil	ii					Nil	TOTAL					Nil	
Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register	Amount as per the Account submitted by the candidate	Amount																					
i					Nil																					
ii					Nil																					
TOTAL					Nil																					
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer / RO / Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period.	Yes																								
21	IF DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:	NA																								
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	NA																								
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	No																								
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	NA																								
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	NA																								
	(v) DEO's comments / observations on the candidate's explanation	NIL																								
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column No.8 of Summary Report of DEO) Date: 21/06/2019	Signature (Name of the DEO) <i>(N. MANJUNATHA PRASAD)</i>																								
	23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*	No comments																								
	Date: 21/06/2019																									
	Signature of the Expenditure Observer - 1	<i>Ullinnate</i> T. Rouman Parthi																								
	Signature of the Expenditure Observer - 2	21/6/19 <i>M. Ganesh</i> P. Muthushankar																								
	* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.																									
	** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.																									

13




Scrutiny Report of DEO

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO: 13

Name of the State: Karnataka District: Bengaluru Election: Lok Sabha

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

Sl. No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & Address of the Candidate	B.CHIKKA NAGESHA #2, 3RD MAIN ROAD, KM COLONY, SIDDAPURA, 1ST BLOCK, JAYANAGARA, BANGALORE-560011
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	INDEPENDENT
3	No. and name of Assembly / Parliamentary Constituency	26-Bangalore South
4	Name of elected candidate	SRI. TEJASVI SURYA
5	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	19-06-2019
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No.19)	Yes
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	17-06-2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	17-06-2019
12	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA
14	Explanation, if any given by the candidate	NIL
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	NIL
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs. 29,952/-
16	Whether the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R.P.Act,1951 and Rules1961	Yes
	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	NA
17	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	Yes
	(ii) Whether duly sworn In affidavit has been submitted by candidate	Yes
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	Yes
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	Yes
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	Yes

18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect					NIL
	(ii) Whether the Candidate rectified the defect					NA
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.					NA
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence.					Yes
	If No, then mention the following:					
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation	Amount as per the Account submitted by the candidate	Amount
	i					Nil
	ii					Nil
	TOTAL					Nil
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer / RO / Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period.					Yes
21	IF DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:					NA
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting					NA
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice					No
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?					NA
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply					NA
	(v) DEO's comments / observations on the candidate's explanation					NIL
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate.					
	(Should be similar to Column No.8 of Summary Report of DEO)					
	Date: 21/06/2019					
	 (N. MANJUNATHA PRASAD) Signature (Name of the DEO)					
23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*						
No Comments						
Date: 21/06/2019						
Signature of the Expenditure Observer - 1						
 T. Rounman Patte .						
Signature of the Expenditure Observer - 2						
21/6/19  P. Muthushankar						
* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.						
** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.						

(14)




Scrutiny Report of DEO

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO: 14

Name of the State: Karnataka District: Bengaluru Election: Lok Sabha

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

Sl. No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & Address of the Candidate	CHETAN CHAMAN NO.5, PADMAVATHI, BEHIND MARAMMA TEMPLE, CHKKALASANDRA, BANGALORE- 560061
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	INDEPENDENT
3	No. and name of Assembly / Parliamentary Constituency	26-Bangalore South
4	Name of elected candidate	SRI. TEJASVI SURYA
5	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	19-06-2019
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No.19)	Yes
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	17-06-2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	17-06-2019
12	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA
14	Explanation, if any given by the candidate	NIL
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	NIL
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs. 1,78,200/-
16	Whether the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R.P.Act,1951 and Rules1961	Yes
	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	NA
17	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	Yes
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	Yes
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	Yes
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	Yes
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	Yes

18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect					NIL
	(ii) Whether the Candidate rectified the defect					NA
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.					NA
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence.					Yes
	If No, then mention the following:					
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation	Amount as per the Account submitted by the candidate	Amount
	I					Nil
	ii					Nil
	TOTAL					Nil
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer / RO / Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period.					Yes
21	IF DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:					NA
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting					NA
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice					No
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?					NA
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply					NA
	(v) DEO's comments / observations on the candidate's explanation					NIL
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate.					
	(Should be similar to Column No.8 of Summary Report of DEO)					
	Date: 21/06/2019	 (N. MANJUNATHA PRASAD) Signature (Name of the DEO)				
23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*						
No comments.						
Date: 21/06/2019						
Signature of the Expenditure Observer - 1						
 T. Rameshan Prate						
Signature of the Expenditure Observer - 2						
21/6/19  P. Muthusankar						
* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.						
** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.						

(15)




Scrutiny Report of DEO

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO: 15

Name of the State: Karnataka District: Bengaluru Election: Lok Sabha

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

Sl. No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & Address of the Candidate	K.C.NAGABHUSHANA REDDY FLAT NO.406, BM LUXURIA APT, 25TH A CROSS, 24TH MAIN, SEC- 2, HSR LAYOUT, BANGALORE - 560102
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	INDEPENDENT
3	No. and name of Assembly / Parliamentary Constituency	26-Bangalore South
4	Name of elected candidate	SRI. TEJASVI SURYA
5	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	19-06-2019
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No.19)	Yes
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	17-06-2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	17-06-2019
12	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA
14	Explanation, if any given by the candidate	NIL
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	NIL
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs. 4,98,339/-
16	Whether the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R.P.Act,1951 and Rules1961	Yes
	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	NA
17	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	Yes
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	Yes
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	Yes
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	Yes
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	Yes

18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect					NIL
	(ii) Whether the Candidate rectified the defect					NA
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.					NA
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence.					Yes
	If No, then mention the following:					
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation	Amount as per the Account submitted by the candidate	Amount
	i					Nil
	ii					Nil
	TOTAL					Nil
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer / RO / Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period.					Yes
21	IF DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:					NA
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting					NA
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice					No
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?					NA
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply					NA
	(v) DEO's comments / observations on the candidate's explanation					NIL
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate.					
	(Should be similar to Column No.8 of Summary Report of DEO)					
	Date: 21/06/2019					
	 C.N. MANJUNATHA PRASAD Signature (Name of the DEO)					
23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*						
No comments						
Date: 21/06/2019						
Signature of the Expenditure Observer - 1						
 T. Rouman Parthi						
Signature of the Expenditure Observer - 2						
21/6/19  P. Muthusankar						
* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.						
** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.						




Scrutiny Report of DEO

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO: 16

Name of the State: Karnataka District: Bengaluru Election: Lok Sabha

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

Sl. No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & Address of the Candidate	PRASHANTHA,C #35, 2ND FLOOR, 2ND MAIN, 2ND CROSS, JNANAGANGA NAGAR, PVP SCHOOL, BENGALURU SOUTH, BENGALURU-560056
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	INDEPENDENT
3	No. and name of Assembly / Parliamentary Constituency	26-Bangalore South
4	Name of elected candidate	SRI. TEJASVI SURYA
5	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	19-06-2019
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No.19)	Yes
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	17-06-2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	17-06-2019
12	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA
14	Explanation, if any given by the candidate	NIL
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	NIL
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs. 57,020/-
16	Whether the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R.P.Act,1951 and Rules1961	Yes
	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	NA
17	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	Yes
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	Yes
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	Yes
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	Yes
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	Yes

18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect					NIL
	(ii) Whether the Candidate rectified the defect					NA
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.					NA
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence.					Yes
	If No, then mention the following:					
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation	Amount as per the Account submitted by the candidate	Amount
	i					Nil
	ii					Nil
	TOTAL					Nil
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer / RO / Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period.					Yes
21	IF DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:					NA
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting					NA
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice					No
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?					NA
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply					NA
	(v) DEO's comments / observations on the candidate's explanation					NIL
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate.					
	(Should be similar to Column No.8 of Summary Report of DEO)					
	Date: 21/04/2019					 (N. MANJUNATHA PRASAD) Signature (Name of the DEO)
23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*						
No comments						
Date: 21/06/2019						
Signature of the Expenditure Observer - 1						
 T. Roumman Paite						
Signature of the Expenditure Observer - 2						
21/6/19  P. Muthu Shankar						
* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.						
** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.						




Scrutiny Report of DEO

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO: 17

Name of the State: Karnataka District: Bengaluru Election: Lok Sabha

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

Sl. No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & Address of the Candidate	BRAHMANANDA NO.158, 1ST MAIN, 8TH BLOCK, KORAMANGALA VILLAGE, BENGALURU-560095
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	INDEPENDENT
3	No. and name of Assembly / Parliamentary Constituency	26-Bangalore South
4	Name of elected candidate	SRI. TEJASVI SURYA
5	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	19-06-2019
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No.19)	Yes
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	17-06-2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	17-06-2019
12	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA
14	Explanation, if any given by the candidate	NIL
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	NIL
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs. 89,700/-
16	Whether the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R.P.Act,1951 and Rules1961	Yes
	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	NA
17	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	Yes
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	Yes
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	Yes
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	Yes
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	Yes

18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect					NIL
	(ii) Whether the Candidate rectified the defect					NA
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.					NA
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence.					Yes
	If No, then mention the following:					
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation	Amount as per the Account submitted by the candidate	Amount
	i					Nil
	ii					Nil
	TOTAL					Nil
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer / RO / Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period.					Yes
21	IF DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:					NA
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting					NA
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice					No
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?					NA
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply					NA
	(v) DEO's comments / observations on the candidate's explanation					NIL
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate.					
	(Should be similar to Column No.8 of Summary Report of DEO)					
	Date:	21/06/2019	 (W. MANJUNATHA PRA-SAD)			Signature (Name of the DEO)
23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*						
No comments						
Date: 21/06/2019						
Signature of the Expenditure Observer - 1						
 T. Roumman Paita						
Signature of the Expenditure Observer - 2						
21/6/19  P. Mutturshankar						
* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.						
** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.						




Scrutiny Report of DEO

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO: 18

Name of the State: Karnataka District: Bengaluru Election: Lok Sabha

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

Sl. No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & Address of the Candidate	BHAGYA.S NO.25, 2ND CROSS ROAD, HOSAHALLI, VIJAYANAGAR, BANGALORE-560040
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	INDEPENDENT
3	No. and name of Assembly / Parliamentary Constituency	26-Bangalore South
4	Name of elected candidate	SRI. TEJASVI SURYA
5	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	19-06-2019
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No.19)	Yes
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	17-06-2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	17-06-2019
12	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA
14	Explanation, if any given by the candidate	NIL
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	NIL
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs. 28,750/-
16	Whether the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R.P.Act,1951 and Rules1961	Yes
	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	NA
17	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	Yes
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	Yes
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	Yes
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	Yes
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	Yes

18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect					NIL
	(ii) Whether the Candidate rectified the defect					NA
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.					NA
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence.					Yes
	If No, then mention the following:					
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation	Amount as per the Account submitted by the candidate	Amount
	i					Nil
	ii					Nil
	TOTAL					Nil
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer / RO / Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period.					Yes
21	IF DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:					NA
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting					NA
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice					No
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?					NA
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply					NA
	(v) DEO's comments / observations on the candidate's explanation					NIL
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate.					
	(Should be similar to Column No.8 of Summary Report of DEO)					
	Date:	21/06/2019				 (C.N. MANJUNATHA PRASAD) Signature (Name of the DEO)
23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*						
No comments						
Date: 21/06/2019						
Signature of the Expenditure Observer - 1						
 						
Signature of the Expenditure Observer - 2						
21/6/19						
* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.						
** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.						

Scrutiny Report of DEO




19

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO: 19

Name of the State: Karnataka District: Bengaluru Election: Lok Sabha

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

Sl. No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & Address of the Candidate	MANOHAR IYER NO.168/A, 1ST CROSS, 1ST BLOCK, BANASHANKARI 3RD STAGE, BANGALORE -560085
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	INDEPENDENT
3	No. and name of Assembly / Parliamentary Constituency	26-Bangalore South
4	Name of elected candidate	SRI. TEJASVI SURYA
5	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	19-06-2019
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No.19)	Yes
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	17-06-2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	17-06-2019
12	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA
14	Explanation, if any given by the candidate	NIL
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	NIL
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs. 14,47,790/-
16	Whether the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R.P.Act,1951 and Rules1961	Yes
	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	NA
17	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	Yes
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	Yes
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	Yes
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	Yes
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	Yes
	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect	NIL

18	(ii) Whether the Candidate rectified the defect						NA
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.						NA
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence.						Yes
	If No, then mention the following:						
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation	Amount as per the Account submitted by the candidate	Amount	
	i					Nil	
	ii					Nil	
	TOTAL					Nil	
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer / RO / Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period.						Yes
21	IF DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:						NA
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting						NA
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice						No
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?						NA
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply						NA
	(v) DEO's comments / observations on the candidate's explanation						NIL
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column No.8 of Summary Report of DEO)						
	Date: 21/06/2019	 (N. MANJUNATHA PRASAD) Signature (Name of the DEO)					
23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*							
No comments							
Date: 21/06/2019							
Signature of the Expenditure Observer - 1							
 T. Ramesh Babu							
Signature of the Expenditure Observer - 2							
21/6/19  P. Muthusankar							
* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.							
** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.							

Scrutiny Report of DEO




Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO: 20



Name of the State: Karnataka District: Bengaluru Election: Lok Sabha

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

Sl. No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & Address of the Candidate	MADESH.V #31/A, 15/2, 9TH MAIN, 5TH CROSS, MUNIYAPPA LAYOUT, HONGASANDRA , BANGALORE- 560068
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	INDEPENDENT
3	No. and name of Assembly / Parliamentary Constituency	26-Bangalore South
4	Name of elected candidate	SRI. TEJASVI SURYA
5	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	19-06-2019
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No.19)	Yes
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	17-06-2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	17-06-2019
12	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA
14	Explanation, if any given by the candidate	NIL
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation If any, of the candidate	NIL
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs. 39,110/-
16	Whether the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R.P.Act,1951 and Rules1961	Yes
	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	NA
17	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	Yes
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	Yes
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	Yes
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	Yes
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	Yes
	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect	NIL

18	(ii) Whether the Candidate rectified the defect					NA
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.					NA
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence.					Yes
	If No, then mention the following:					
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation	Amount as per the Account submitted by the candidate	Amount
	i					Nil
	ii					Nil
	TOTAL					Nil
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer / RO / Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period.					Yes
21	IF DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:					NA
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting					NA
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice					No
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?					NA
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply					NA
	(v) DEO's comments / observations on the candidate's explanation					NIL
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate.					
	(Should be similar to Column No.8 of Summary Report of DEO)					
	Date:	21/06/2019			 (N. MANJUNATHA PRASAD) Signature (Name of the DEO)	
23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*						
No comments						
Date: 21/06/2019						
Signature of the Expenditure Observer - 1						
 T. Rameshwar Patil						
Signature of the Expenditure Observer - 2						
 P. Muthulankar						
* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.						
** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CFO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.						

(21)




Scrutiny Report of DEO

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO: 21

Name of the State: Karnataka District: Bengaluru Election: Lok Sabha

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

Sl. No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & Address of the Candidate	KOLLUR MANJUNATHA NAIK #977, 2ND FLOOR, 2ND BLOCK, 7TH CROSS ROAD, BSK 1ST STAGE, BANGALORE-560050
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	INDEPENDENT
3	No. and name of Assembly / Parliamentary Constituency	26-Bangalore South
4	Name of elected candidate	SRI. TEJASVI SURYA
5	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	19-06-2019
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No.19)	Yes
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	17-06-2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	17-06-2019
12	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA
14	Explanation, if any given by the candidate	NIL
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	NIL
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs. 12,900/-
16	Whether the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R.P.Act,1951 and Rules1961	Yes
17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	NA
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	Yes
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	Yes
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	Yes
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	Yes
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	Yes

18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect					NIL
	(ii) Whether the Candidate rectified the defect					NA
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.					NA
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence.					Yes
	If No, then mention the following:					
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation	Amount as per the Account submitted by the candidate	Amount
i						Nil
ii						Nil
	TOTAL					Nil
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer / RO / Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period.					Yes
21	IF DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:					NA
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting					NA
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice					No
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?					NA
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply					NA
	(v) DEO's comments / observations on the candidate's explanation					NIL
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate.					
	(Should be similar to Column No.8 of Summary Report of DEO)					
	Date: 21/06/2019		 (N. MANJUNATHA PRASAD)			Signature (Name of the DEO)
23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*						
No comments.						
Date: 21/06/2019						
Signature of the Expenditure Observer - 1						
 T. Rouman Paite						
Signature of the Expenditure Observer - 2						
 P. Muthu Shankar						
* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.						
** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.						

(22)




Scrutiny Report of DEO

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO: 22

Name of the State: Karnataka District: Bengaluru Election: Lok Sabha

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

Sl. No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & Address of the Candidate	YOGEEESH NAGARAJ NO.6 PUTTANNA ROAD, BASAVANGUDI, BANGALORE- 04
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	INDEPENDENT
3	No. and name of Assembly / Parliamentary Constituency	26-Bangalore South
4	Name of elected candidate	SRI. TEJASVI SURYA
5	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	19-06-2019
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No.19)	Yes
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	17-06-2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	17-06-2019
12	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA
14	Explanation, if any given by the candidate	NIL
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	NIL
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs. 25,000/-
16	Whether the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R.P.Act,1951 and Rules1961	Yes
	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	NA
17	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	Yes
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	Yes
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	Yes
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	Yes
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	Yes
	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect	NIL

18	(ii) Whether the Candidate rectified the defect					NA
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.					NA
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence.					Yes
	If No, then mention the following:					
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation	Amount as per the Account submitted by the candidate	Amount
	i					Nil
	ii					Nil
	TOTAL					Nil
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer / RO / Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period.					Yes
21	IF DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:					NA
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting					NA
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice					No
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?					NA
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply					NA
	(v) DEO's comments / observations on the candidate's explanation					NIL
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate.					
	(Should be similar to Column No.8 of Summary Report of DEO)					
	Date: 21/06/2019					
	 C.N. MANJUNATHA PRASAD					Signature (Name of the DEO)
23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*						
No comments						
Date: 21/06/2019						
Signature of the Expenditure Observer - 1						
 T. Rouman Parit						
Signature of the Expenditure Observer - 2						
 P. Muthushankar						
* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.						
** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.						

Scrutiny Report of DEO




23

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO: 23

Name of the State: Karnataka District: Bengaluru Election: Lok Sabha

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

Sl. No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & Address of the Candidate	G. VENKATESH BHOVI #36, JARAGANAHALLI, J.P. NAGAR POST, BANGALORE - 78
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	INDEPENDENT
3	No. and name of Assembly / Parliamentary Constituency	26-Bangalore South
4	Name of elected candidate	SRI. TEJASVI SURYA
5	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	19-06-2019
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No.19)	Yes
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	17-06-2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	17-06-2019
12	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA
14	Explanation, if any given by the candidate	NIL
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	NIL
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs. 52,475/-
16	Whether the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R.P.Act,1951 and Rules1961	Yes
	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	NA
17	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	Yes
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	Yes
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	Yes
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	Yes
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	Yes

18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect					NIL
	(ii) Whether the Candidate rectified the defect					NA
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.					NA
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence.					Yes
	If No, then mention the following:					
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation	Amount as per the Account submitted by the candidate	Amount
	i					Nil
	ii					Nil
	TOTAL					Nil
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer / RO / Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period.					Yes
21	IF DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:					NA
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting					NA
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice					No
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?					NA
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply					NA
	(v) DEO's comments / observations on the candidate's explanation					NIL
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate.					
	(Should be similar to Column No.8 of Summary Report of DEO)					
	Date: 21/06/2019	 (C.N. MANJUNATHA PRASAD)				Signature (Name of the DEO)
23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*						
No comments						
Date: 21/06/2019						
Signature of the Expenditure Observer - 1						
 T. Rouman Paite						
Signature of the Expenditure Observer - 2						
 P. Muthusankar						
* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.						
** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.						

Scrutiny Report of DEO




24

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO: 24

Name of the State: Karnataka District: Bengaluru Election: Lok Sabha

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

Sl. No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & Address of the Candidate	B.SREENIVASULU H.NO.551/12, 2ND FLOOR, OPP.EXIDE CARE BATTERY, 23RD 'A' MAIN, NEAR TIRUMALA THEATER, BANGALORE-560102
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	INDEPENDENT
3	No. and name of Assembly / Parliamentary Constituency	26-Bangalore South
4	Name of elected candidate	SRI TEJASVI SURYA
5	Date of declaration of result	23-05-2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	19-06-2019
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No.19)	Yes
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	17-06-2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	17-06-2019
12	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA
14	Explanation, if any given by the candidate	NIL
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	NIL
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs. 27,388/-
16	Whether the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R.P.Act,1951 and Rules1961	Yes
	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	NA
17	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	Yes
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	Yes
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of Items of election expenditure submitted	Yes
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	Yes
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	Yes

18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect					NIL
	(ii) Whether the Candidate rectified the defect					NA
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.					NA
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence.					Yes
	If No, then mention the following:					
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation	Amount as per the Account submitted by the candidate	Amount
i						Nil
ii						Nil
	TOTAL					Nil
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer / RO / Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period.					Yes
21	IF DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:					NA
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting					NA
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice					No
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?					NA
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply					NA
	(v) DEO's comments / observations on the candidate's explanation					NIL
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate.					
	(Should be similar to Column No.8 of Summary Report of DEO)					
	Date: 21/06/2019					
	 C.N. MANJUNATHA PRASAD Signature (Name of the DEO)					
23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*						
No comments						
Date: 21/06/2019						
Signature of the Expenditure Observer - 1						
 T. Rouman Patil						
Signature of the Expenditure Observer - 2						
21/6/19  P. Muthushankar						
* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.						
** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.						