ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ಸರ್ಕಾರ
(ಚುನಾವಣಾ ಶಾಖೆ)

ಜಿಲ್ಲಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು ಹಾಗೂ ಜಿಲ್ಲಾ ಚುನಾವಣಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳ ಕಾರ್ಯಾಲಯ, ಚಿಕ್ಕಬಳ್ಳಾಪುರ ಜಿಲ್ಲೆ, ಚಿಕ್ಕಬಳ್ಳಾಪುರ.

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ: ಇಎಲ್‌ಎನ್(1).ಸಿಆರ್.230/2018-19 ದಿನಾಂಕ: 26/07/2019

ರವರಿಗೆ:

ಮುಖ್ಯ ಚುನಾವಣಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು,
ನಿರ್ವಾಚನ ನಿಲಯ,
ಶೇಷಾದ್ರಿ ರಸ್ತೆ, ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು

ಮಾನ್ಯರೆ,

ವಿಷಯ: ಲೋಕಸಭಾ ಸಾರ್ವತ್ರಿಕ ಚುನಾವಣೆ-2019ರ ಸಂಬಂಧ ಅಭ್ಯರ್ಥಿಗಳ ಚುನಾವಣಾ ವೆಚ್ಚದ ವಿವರಗಳನ್ನು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸುವ ಬಗ್ಗೆ.

ಉಲ್ಲೇಖ: ಭಾರತ ಚುನಾವಣಾ ಆಯೋಗದ ಆದೇಶ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ : ಇಸಿಐ/ಪಿಎನ್/23/2019, ದಿನಾಂಕ : 10/03/2019.

*****

ಮೇಲ್ಕಂಡ ವಿಷಯಕ್ಕೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದಂತೆ, ಲೋಕಸಭಾ ಸಾರ್ವತ್ರಿಕ ಚುನಾವಣೆ-2019ರ ಸಂಬಂಧ 27-ಚಿಕ್ಕಬಳ್ಳಾಪುರ ಲೋಕಸಭಾ ಕ್ಷೇತ್ರದಿಂದ ಸ್ಪರ್ಧಿಸಿದ್ದ 15 ಅಭ್ಯರ್ಥಿಗಳ ಪೈಕಿ ಎಲ್.ನಾಗರಾಜ್ ರವರು ಹೊರತುಪಡಿಸಿ ಉಳಿದ ಎಲ್ಲಾ 14 ಅಭ್ಯರ್ಥಿಗಳು ತಮ್ಮ ಚುನಾವಣಾ ವೆಚ್ಚದ ವಿವರಗಳನ್ನು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿದ್ದು, ಸದರಿ ವೆಚ್ಚದ ವಿವರಗಳನ್ನು ಸುವಿಧಾ ತಂತ್ರಾಂಶದಲ್ಲಿ ಈಗಾಗಲೇ ದಾಖಲಿಸಲಾಗಿದ್ದು, 14 ಅಭ್ಯರ್ಥಿಗಳ ವೆಚ್ಚದ ವಿವವರಗಳನ್ನು ಈ ಪತ್ರದೊಂದಿಗೆ ಅಡಕವಿರಿಸಿ ತಮ್ಮ ಮುಂದಿನ ಕ್ರಮಕ್ಕಾಗಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿದೆ.

ತಮ್ಮ ನಂಬುಗೆಯ,

ಅಪರ ಜಿಲ್ಲಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು,
ಚಿಕ್ಕಬಳ್ಳಾಪುರ ಜಿಲ್ಲೆ.

Summary of Observation

Sl No	Name of the Candidate and party Affiliation	Whether notices issued to the candidates on all discrepancies found during inspection or in final accounts 3. of the candidate and reply of candidates considered (see Note 8) and re	Date of Lodging of Account of Election Expenses by the Candidates (please see note 1 below)	Whether Account lodged in time (Yes/No)	Amount of Expenditure mentioned in the accounts of the candidate	Whether accounts are lodged in format and with all necessary documents	Whether the Observer agrees with the candidates Submission vis-à-vis the evidence collected (Yes/No) If no, Please annex as per note 2 below	Whether the DEO has cross checked the candidates Submission with all information collected during campaign (Yes/No) If, no, P1.annex details as per note 3 below	Whether the estimated expenditure incurred by the Candidate exceeded the prescribed ceiling (Yes/No) If,yes,p1.annex details as per note 4 below	Amount of expenditure incurred by the political party,if any,on behalf of the candidate/mentioned the name of the party as per note 5 below	Amount of expenditure incurred by other entity/ individuals on behalf of the candidate	Whether Abstract Statement (Part II to part 4 and Schedule 1 to 9) of accounts uploaded on CEO,s website (within 3 days of lodging of accounts)	Whether cash and other items seized during election process has been released within 7 days excepting the cases (i) where FIR has been lodged (ii) where cash is handed over to I.T.Deptt.. If not, whether it is brought to the notice of RO,DEO and SP for urgent action.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
1	Mr. B.N. BACHEGOWDA, BAHARATIYA JANATHA PARTY	YES	22.06.2019	YES	5966930	YES	YES	YES	NO	0	0	YES	YES
2	Mr. M. VEERAPPA MOILY INDIAN NATIONAL CONGRESS	YES	21.06.2019	YES	6045371	YES	YES	YES	NO	0	0	YES	YES
3	Mrs. VARALAKSHMI COMMUNIST PARTY OF INDIA (M)	YES	18.06.2019	YES	1586667	YES	YES	YES	NO	0	0	YES	YES
4	Mr. DWARAKANATH BAHUJAN SAMAJ PARTY	YES	18.06.2019	YES	1837098	YES	YES	YES	NO	0	0	YES	YES
5	Mr. NAGESH REDDY S. R. AMBEDKAR SAMAJA PARTY	YES	18.06.2019	YES	76500	YES	YES	YES	NO	0	0	YES	YES
6	Mr. MUNIRAJU G. UTTAMA PRAJAKIYA PARTY	YES	18.06.2019	YES	112086	YES	YES	YES	NO	0	0	YES	YES
7	Mr. D PALYA KHADAR SUBHAN KHAN SAMAJAVADI JANATA PARTY	YES	18.06.2019	YES	204600	YES	YES	YES	NO	0	0	YES	YES
8	Mr. NAZIR AHMED KARNATAKA KARMIKARA PARTY	YES	18.06.2019	YES	189200	YES	YES	YES	NO	0	0	YES	YES
9	Mr. NAGENDRA RAO SHINDE KARNATAKA JANATA PARTY	YES	18.06.2019	YES	394855	YES	YES	YES	NO	0	0	YES	YES
10	Mr. ABDUL KARIM DESAI INDEPENDENT	YES	18.06.2019	YES	30001	YES	YES	YES	NO	NA	0	YES	YES
11	Mrs. ALANGURU KANAKALAKSHMI INDEPENDENT	YES	18.06.2019	YES	132605	YES	YES	YES	NO	NA	0	YES	YES
12	Mr. NASRULLA INDEPENDENT	YES	18.06.2019	YES	138270	YES	YES	YES	NO	NA	0	YES	YES
13	Mrs. NALINA K.S. INDEPENDENT	YES	18.06.2019	YES	74440	YES	YES	YES	NO	NA	0	YES	YES
14	Mr. L NAGARAJ INDEPENDENT	YES	Not Submitted	Not Submitted	50000*	NA	NA	NA	NO	NA	0	NA	YES
15	Mr. PHANIRAJ S.V. INDEPENDENT	YES	18.06.2019	YES	110150	YES	YES	YES	NO	NA	0	YES	YES

*As per Shadow Observation Register

Place : Chikballapur.
Date : 24/06/2019.

Signature of
Expenditure Observer

SUMMARY REPORT OF DEO FOR EACH CONSTITUENCY ON LODGING OF ELECTION EXPENSES ACCOUNTS BY CANDIDATES

(a) No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency: **27-Chikkaballapur** (b) Total No. of contesting candidates: 15
(c) State and District: **Karnataka and Chickballapura** (d) Date of declaration of result of election/bye-election: **23.05.2019**
(e) Last date of lodging accounts: **23.06.2019** (f) Name of the elected candidate: **Sri.B.N.Bachegowda**

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9		10		11
S. No.	Name of the candidate and Party Affiliation	Due date of lodging of account	Date of lodging of accounts by the candidate	Whether lodged in the prescribed format (Yes or No)	Whether lodged in the manner required by law (Yes or No)	Grand Total of the expenses incurred/authorized by the candidate/agent (as mentioned in *Part-II* of Abstract Statement)	Whether the DEO agrees with the amount shown by the candidate against all items of expenditure (Should be similar to point no. 22 of DEO's Scrutiny Report i.e. Annexure- C3)	Total expenses incurred by the Party (As reported in Part-III of Abstract Statement)		Total expenses incurred by others/entities as reported in Part-III of Abstract Statement		Remarks of the Expenditure Observer
								Lump Sum Amount in cash or cheque given to candidate by each Political Party	Grand Total of other expenses in kind by the Political Party	Lump sum Amount in cash / cheque given to the candidate (and mention names of donors)	Grand total of other expenses in kind incurred for the candidate	
1	Sri.B.N.Bachegowda, BJP	23.06.19	18.06.19	Yes	Yes	59,66,930	Yes	40,00,000	40,00,000	40,26,908	40,26,908	
2	Sri. M.Veerappa Moily , INC	23.06.19	18.06.19	Yes	Yes	60,45,371	Yes	0	0	0	0	
3	Abdul Karim Desai Independet	23.06.19	18.06.19	Yes	Yes	30,001	Yes	0	0	0	0	
4	Sri.Dr.C.S.Dwarakanath BSP	23.06.19	18.06.19	Yes	Yes	18,37,098	Yes	5,00,000	5,00,000	1,76,002	1,76,002	
5	Smt.Kanakalakshmi Independent	23.06.19	18.06.19	Yes	Yes	1,32,605	Yes	0	0	0	0	

6	Sri.Khader Subhan Khan SJP	23.06.19	18.06.19	Yes	Yes	2,04,600	Yes	0	0	0	0	
7	Sri. Muniraju G UPP	23.06.19	18.06.19	Yes	Yes	1,12,086	Yes	0	0	0	0	
8	Sri.Nagendra Rao Shinde KJP	23.06.19	18.06.19	Yes	Yes	3,94,855	Yes	0	0	1,33,000	1,33,000	
9	Sri Nagesh Reddy S R ASP	23.06.19	18.06.19	Yes	Yes	76,500	Yes	0	0	0	0	
10	Smt Nalina K S Independent	23.06.19	18.06.19	Yes	Yes	74,440	Yes	0	0	0	0	
11	Sri Nazir Ahamed KKP	23.06.19	18.06.19	Yes	Yes	1,89,200	Yes	0	0	0	0	
12	Sri Phani Raj Independent	23.06.19	18.06.19	Yes	Yes	1,10,150	Yes	0	0	21,000	21,000	
13	Smt Varalakshmi S CPI(M)	23.06.19	18.06.19	Yes	Yes	15,86,667	Yes	10,00,000	10,00,000	0	0	
14	Sri.Nasurulla JPJD	23.06.19	18.06.19	Yes	Yes	1,38,270	Yes	50,000	50,000	3,000	3,000	
15	Sri.L Nagaraju	23.06.19	NA	No	No	50,000	No	0	0	0	0	

Signature of the DEO

Comments of the Expenditure Observer, if any, _____

Date:

Signature of the Expenditure Observer

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO –27 Chikkaballapura

Name of the State :Karnataka District: Chikkaballapura Election: Loka Sabha 2019

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
S.No.	**Description**	**To be filled up by the DEO**
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Sri. B.N. Bachegowda Hosakote Town,Bangalore Rural 562114
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Bharthiya Janatha Party
3.	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	27-Chikkaballapura
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sri. B.N. Bachegowda
5.	Date of declaration of result	23.05.2019
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18.06.2019
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	23.06.2019
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (xxvii) original account (xxviii)revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	18.06.2019
12.	Whether account lodged in time	*Yes*
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	*NA*
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	*No*
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	*NA*

14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	
15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs. 59,66,930.
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	*Yes*
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	*NA*
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	*NO*
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	*NO*
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	*NO*
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	*NO*
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	*NO*
18.	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect (ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect (iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.	*Yes* *Yes* -
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:	*Yes*

	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
i						
ii, iii						
TOTAL						

20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period	Yes
21.	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-	-
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	Yes
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	05.04.2019 16.04.2019
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?	Yes
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	05.04.2019 16.04.2019
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	-
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO) Date:	Yes  Signature (Anirudh Shravan P)

23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-

Date: Signature of the Expenditure Observer

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO –27 Chikkaballapura

Name of the State :Karnataka District: Chikkaballapura Election: Loka Sabha 2019

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
S.No.	**Description**	**To be filled up by the DEO**
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Sri.M.Veerappa Moily #1, Kasturabha, MLA Layout, RT Nagar, Bangalore - 560032
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Indian National Congress
3.	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	27-Chikkaballapura
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sri. B.N. Bachegowda
5.	Date of declaration of result	23.05.2019
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18.06.2019
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	23.06.2019
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (xxix) original account (xxx) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	18.06.2019
12.	Whether account lodged in time	*Yes*
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	*NA*
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	*No*

14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	*NA*
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	
15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs. 60,45,371
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	*Yes*
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	*NA*
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	*NO*
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	*NO*
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	*NO*
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	*NO*
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	*NO*
18.	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect (ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect (iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.	*Yes* *Yes* -
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:	*Yes*

	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
i						
ii, iii						
TOTAL						

20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period	Yes
21.	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-	-
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	Yes
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	05.04.2019 16.04.2019
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?	Yes
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	05.04.2019 16.04.2019
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	-
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO) Date:	Yes  Signature (Anirudh Shravan P)

23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*- Date: Signature of the Expenditure Observer

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO –27 Chikkaballapura

Name of the State :Karnataka District: Chikkaballapura Election: Loka Sabha 2019

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
S.No.	**Description**	**To be filled up by the DEO**
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Sri. Nagesh Reddy S.R Shingasandra, N.kothur Post, Chintamani, Chikkaballpur District
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Ambedkar Samaj Party
3.	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	27-Chikkaballapura
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sri. B.N. Bachegowda
5.	Date of declaration of result	23.05.2019
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18.06.2019
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	23.06.2019
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (xiii) original account (xiv) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	18.06.2019
12.	Whether account lodged in time	*Yes*
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	*NA*
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	*No*
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	*NA*

14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	
15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs 76500
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	*Yes*
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	*NA*
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	*NO*
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	*NO*
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	*NO*
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	*NO*
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	*NO*
18.	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect (ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect (iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.	*Yes* *Yes* -
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:	*Yes*

	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
i						
ii, iii						
TOTAL						

20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period	Yes
21.	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-	-
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	Yes
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	05.04.2019
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?	Yes
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	05.04.2019
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	-
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO) Date:	Yes  Signature (Anirudh Shravan P)

23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*- Date: Signature of the Expenditure Observer

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO –27 Chikkaballapura

Name of the State :Karnataka District: Chikkaballapura Election: Loka Sabha 2019

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
S.No.	**Description**	**To be filled up by the DEO**
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Sri Phaniraj No-28, Ground Floor, 3rd Main, 1st Cross, Maruthi Nagar, Yelahanka , Bangalore - 56064
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Independent
3.	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	27-Chikkaballapura
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sri. B.N. Bachegowda
5.	Date of declaration of result	23.05.2019
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18.06.2019
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	23.06.2019
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (v) original account (vi) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	18.06.2019
12.	Whether account lodged in time	*Yes*
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	*NA*
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	*No*

14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	*NA*
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	
15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs. 110150
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	*Yes*
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	*NA*
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	*NO*
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	*NO*
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	*NO*
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	*NO*
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	*NO*
18.	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect (ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect (iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.	*Yes* *Yes* -
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:	*Yes*

	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
i						
ii, iii						
TOTAL						

20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period	Yes
21.	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-	-
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	Yes
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	05.04.2019 10.04.2019
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?	Yes
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	05.04.2019 10.04.2019
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	-
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO) Date:	Yes Signature (Anirudh Shravan P)

23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*- Date: Signature of the Expenditure Observer

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO –27 Chikkaballapura

Name of the State :Karnataka District: Chikkaballapura Election: Loka Sabha 2019

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
S.No.	**Description**	**To be filled up by the DEO**
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Smt Nalina K.S 120, Soladevnahalli Main Road, Bangalore - 560090
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Independent
3.	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	27-Chikkaballapura
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sri. B.N. Bachegowda
5.	Date of declaration of result	23.05.2019
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18.06.2019
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	23.06.2019
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (xi) original account (xii)revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	18.06.2019
12.	Whether account lodged in time	*Yes*
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	*NA*
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	*No*
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	*NA*

14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate					
15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement				Rs. 74440	
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961				*Yes*	
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details				*NA*	
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged				*NO*	
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate				*NO*	
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted				*NO*	
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election				*NO*	
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account				*NO*	
18.	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect (ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect (iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.				*Yes* *Yes* -	
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:				*Yes*	
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
i						
ii, iii						
TOTAL						

20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period	Yes
21.	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-	-
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	No
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	-
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?	No
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	-
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	-
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO) Date:	Yes Signature (Anirudh Shravan P)

23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*- Date: Signature of the Expenditure Observer

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO –27 Chikkaballapura

Name of the State :Karnataka District: Chikkaballapura Election: Loka Sabha 2019

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
S.No.	**Description**	**To be filled up by the DEO**
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Sri Nazir Ahmed Bangalore - 560045
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Karnataka Karmikara Paksha
3.	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	27-Chikkaballapura
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sri. B.N. Bachegowda
5.	Date of declaration of result	23.05.2019
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18.06.2019
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	23.06.2019
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (vii)original account (viii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	18.06.2019
12.	Whether account lodged in time	*Yes*
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	*NA*
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	*No*
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	*NA*

14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	
15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs. 189200
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	*Yes*
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	*NA*
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	*NO*
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	*NO*
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	*NO*
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	*NO*
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	*NO*
18.	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect (ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect (iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.	*Yes* *Yes* -
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:	*Yes*

	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
i						
ii, iii						
TOTAL						

20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period	Yes
21.	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-	-
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	Yes
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	05.04.2019
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?	Yes
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	05.04.2019
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	-
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO) Date:	Yes  Signature (Anirudh Shravan P)

23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-

Date: Signature of the Expenditure Observer

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO –27 Chikkaballapura

Name of the State :Karnataka District: Chikkaballapura Election: Loka Sabha 2019

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
S.No.	**Description**	**To be filled up by the DEO**
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Sri.Nasurulla Gowribidnur , Chikkaballapura District
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Jai Prakash Janatha Dal
3.	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	27-Chikkaballapura
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sri. B.N. Bachegowda
5.	Date of declaration of result	23.05.2019
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18.06.2019
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	23.06.2019
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (ix) original account (x) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	18.06.2019
12.	Whether account lodged in time	*Yes*
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	*NA*
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	*No*
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	*NA*

14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	
15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs 138270
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	*Yes*
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	*NA*
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	*NO*
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	*NO*
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	*NO*
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	*NO*
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	*NO*
18.	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect (ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect (iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.	*Yes* *Yes* -
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:	*Yes*

	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
i						
ii, iii						
TOTAL						

20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period	Yes
21.	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-	-
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	No
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	-
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?	No
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	-
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	-
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO) Date:	Yes Signature (Anirudh Shravan P)

23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-

Date: Signature of the Expenditure Observer

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO –27 Chikkaballapura

Name of the State :Karnataka District: Chikkaballapura Election: Loka Sabha 2019

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
S.No.	**Description**	**To be filled up by the DEO**
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Smt Varalakshmi S NO.132-04, KumbalaGodu, Bangalore South,
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Communist Party of India
3.	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	27-Chikkaballapura
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sri. B.N. Bachegowda
5.	Date of declaration of result	23.05.2019
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18.06.2019
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	23.06.2019
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (iii) original account (iv) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	18.06.2019
12.	Whether account lodged in time	*Yes*
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	*NA*
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	*No*

14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	*NA*
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	
15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs1586667
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	*Yes*
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	*NA*
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	*NO*
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	*NO*
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	*NO*
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	*NO*
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	*NO*
18.	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect (ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect (iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.	*Yes* *Yes* -
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:	*Yes*

	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
i						
ii, iii						
TOTAL						

20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period	Yes
21.	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-	-
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	Yes
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	05.04.2019
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?	Yes
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	05.04.2019
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	-
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO) Date:	Yes Signature (Anirudh Shravan P)

23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*- Date: Signature of the Expenditure Observer

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO –27 Chikkaballapura

Name of the State :Karnataka District: Chikkaballapura Election: Loka Sabha 2019

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
S.No.	**Description**	**To be filled up by the DEO**
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Sri. L.Nagaraj No:162, 16th Cross, Shivapura, Bangalore - 560058
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	Independent
3.	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	27-Chikkaballapura
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sri. B.N. Bachegowda
5	Date of declaration of result	23.05.2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18.06.2019
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	23.06.2019
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	No
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	Not Submitted
12.	Whether account lodged in time	No
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	FIR

14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	NA
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	
15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Not Submitted
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	NA
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	NA
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	NO
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	NO
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	NO
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	NO
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	NO
18.	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect (ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect (iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.	Yes No -
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:	NA

	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
i	Deposit & OtherExpenses	26.03.2019	01	25,500	Not Submitted	NA
ii.	Vehicle Campaign	Till 16.04.2019	02	24.500	Not Submitted	NA

TOTAL		50,000	
20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period		Yes
21.	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-		-
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting		Yes
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice		05.04.2019 10.04.2019 16.04.2019 21.06.2019
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?		Yes
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply		No Response
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation		-
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO) Date:		Yes Signature (Anirudh Shravan P)

23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-

Date: Signature of the Expenditure Observer

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO –27 Chikkaballapura

Name of the State :Karnataka District: Chikkaballapura Election: Loka Sabha 2019

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
S.No.	**Description**	**To be filled up by the DEO**
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Smt.Kanakalakshmi No 512, 1st Main Road, 4th Cross, AtturLayout, Bangalore - 560064
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Independent
3.	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	27-Chikkaballapura
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sri. B.N. Bachegowda
5.	Date of declaration of result	23.05.2019
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18.06.2019
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	23.06.2019
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (xxi) original account (xxii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	18.06.2019
12.	Whether account lodged in time	*Yes*
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	*NA*
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	*No*
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	*NA*

14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	
15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs. 132605
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	*Yes*
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	*NA*
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	*NO*
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	*NO*
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	*NO*
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	*NO*
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	*NO*
18.	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect (ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect (iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.	*Yes* *Yes* -
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:	*Yes*

	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
i						
ii, iii						
TOTAL						

20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period	Yes
21.	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-	-
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	Yes
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	05.04.2019
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?	Yes
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	05.04.2019
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	-
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO) Date:	Yes  Signature (Anirudh Shravan P)

23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*- Date: Signature of the Expenditure Observer

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO –27 Chikkaballapura

Name of the State :Karnataka District: Chikkaballapura Election: Loka Sabha 2019

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
S.No.	**Description**	**To be filled up by the DEO**
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Sri. Khader Subhan Khan D playa, Gowribidnur, Chikkaballapur District
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Samajavadi Janatha Party (Karnataka)
3.	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	27-Chikkaballapura
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sri. B.N. Bachegowda
5.	Date of declaration of result	23.05.2019
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18.06.2019
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	23.06.2019
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (xix) original account (xx) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	18.06.2019
12.	Whether account lodged in time	*Yes*
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	*NA*
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	*No*
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	*NA*

14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	
15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs. 204600
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	*Yes*
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	*NA*
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	*NO*
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	*NO*
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	*NO*
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	*NO*
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	*NO*
18.	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect (ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect (iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.	*Yes* *Yes* -
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:	*Yes*

	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
i						
ii, iii						
TOTAL						

20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period	Yes
21.	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-	-
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	Yes
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	05.04.2019 10.04.2019
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?	Yes
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	05.04.2019 10.04.2019
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	-
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO) Date:	Yes Signature (Anirudh Shravan P)

23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-

Date: Signature of the Expenditure Observer

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO –27 Chikkaballapura

Name of the State :Karnataka District: Chikkaballapura Election: Loka Sabha 2019

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
S.No.	**Description**	**To be filled up by the DEO**
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Abdul Karim Desai No 43, Rajappa Block, MR Palya, JC Nagar, Bangalore - 560006
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Independent
3.	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	27-Chikkaballapura
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sri. B.N. Bachegowda
5.	Date of declaration of result	23.05.2019
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18.06.2019
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	23.06.2019
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (xxv) original account (xxvi) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	18.06.2019
12.	Whether account lodged in time	*Yes*
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	*NA*
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	*No*
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	*NA*

14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	
15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs. 30001
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	*Yes*
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	*NA*
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	*NO*
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	*NO*
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	*NO*
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	*NO*
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	*NO*
18.	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect (ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect (iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.	*Yes* *Yes* -
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:	*Yes*

	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
i						
ii, iii						
TOTAL						

20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period	Yes
21.	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-	-
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	Yes
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	05.04.2019
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?	Yes
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	05.04.2019
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	-
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO) Date:	Yes  Signature (Anirudh Shravan P)

23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*- Date: Signature of the Expenditure Observer

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO –27 Chikkaballapura

Name of the State :Karnataka District: Chikkaballapura Election: Loka Sabha 2019

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
S.No.	**Description**	**To be filled up by the DEO**
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Dr.C.S.Dwaranath #1203/2, Chokkamane, A Block, 19th Main Sahakaranagar, Bangalore 560092
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Bahujan Samaj Party
3.	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	27-Chikkaballapura
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sri. B.N. Bachegowda
5.	Date of declaration of result	23.05.2019
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18.06.2019
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	23.06.2019
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (xxiii) original account (xxiv) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	18.06.2019
12.	Whether account lodged in time	*Yes*
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	*NA*
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	*No*

14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	*NA*
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	
15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs 18,37,098
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	*Yes*
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	*NA*
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	*NO*
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	*NO*
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	*NO*
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	*NO*
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	*NO*
18.	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect (ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect (iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.	*Yes* *Yes* -
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:	*Yes*

	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
i						
ii, iii						
TOTAL						

20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period	Yes
21.	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-	-
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	Yes
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	05.04.2019
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?	Yes
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	05.04.2019
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	-
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO) Date:	Yes  Signature (Anirudh Shravan P)

23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*- Date: Signature of the Expenditure Observer

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO –27 Chikkaballapura

Name of the State :Karnataka District: Chikkaballapura Election: Loka Sabha 2019

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
S.No.	**Description**	**To be filled up by the DEO**
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Sri Nagendra Rao Shinde, NO.6, Budigere Raod, S R complex, Devnahalli - 562110
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Karnataka Janatha Paksha
3.	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	27-Chikkaballapura
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sri. B.N. Bachegowda
5.	Date of declaration of result	23.05.2019
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18.06.2019
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	23.06.2019
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (xv)original account (xvi) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	18.06.2019
12.	Whether account lodged in time	*Yes*
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	*NA*
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	*No*
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	*NA*

14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	
15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	*Rs. 394855*
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	*Yes*
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	*NA*
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	*NO*
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	*NO*
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	*NO*
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	*NO*
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	*NO*
18.	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect (ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect (iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.	*Yes* *Yes* -
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:	*Yes*

	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
i						
ii, iii						
TOTAL						

20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period	Yes
21.	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-	-
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	Yes
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	05.04.2019
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?	Yes
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	05.04.2019
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	-
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO) Date:	Yes  Signature (Anirudh Shravan P)

23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-

Date: Signature of the Expenditure Observer

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO –27 Chikkaballapura

Name of the State :Karnataka District: Chikkaballapura Election: Loka Sabha 2019

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
S.No.	**Description**	**To be filled up by the DEO**
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Sri Muniraju G Thore Kempohalli Village, Modalkote Post, Nelmangala Taluk, Bangalore rural District - 562123
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Uttama Prajakeeya Party
3.	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	27-Chikkaballapura
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sri. B.N. Bachegowda
5.	Date of declaration of result	23.05.2019
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18.06.2019
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	23.06.2019
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (xvii) original account (xviii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	18.06.2019
12.	Whether account lodged in time	*Yes*
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	*NA*
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	*No*

14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	*NA*
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	
15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs 112086
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	*Yes*
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	*NA*
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	*NO*
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	*NO*
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	*NO*
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	*NO*
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	*NO*
18.	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect (ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect (iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.	*Yes* *Yes* -
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:	*Yes*

	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
i						
ii, iii						
TOTAL						

20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period	Yes
21.	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-	-
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	No
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	-
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?	No
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	-
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	-
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO) Date:	Yes Signature (Anirudh Shravan P)

23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-

Date: Signature of the Expenditure Observer

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.