

**OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER &  
DISTRICT ELECTION OFFICER, KOLAR DISTRICT, KOLAR  
(ELECTION BRANCH)**

Tel : 08152-243507

email [ID-deo.kolar@gmail.com](mailto:ID-deo.kolar@gmail.com)

No. ELN/CR/85/2018-19

Kolar, dated : 16/07/2019

TO,


The Chief Electoral Officer &  
Ex-officio Addl., Chief Secretary to Government,  
DPAR (Elections) Govt., of Karnataka,  
Nirvachana Nilaya,  
Sheshadri Road,  
BANGALORE-560001.

Respected Sir,

Sub : General Elections to Lok Sabha -2019\_  
Submission of Summary report of DEO  
& Scrutiny report of DEO in connection  
with submission of accounts of election  
expenses of candidates reg.

Ref: Letter No.76/ECI/INST/FUNC/EEM/EEPS  
2019/Vol.III dated : 9/7/2019 of ECI, New  
Delhi.

2. Your kind letter No.CIASUE/18/CHUVEBA  
/AMUCHUA-1/2019 dated : 12/7/2019.



With reference to the above subject as per the instructions of ECI vide reference (1) above, I am herewith submitting the following reports along with the details in the format below with regard to submission of accounts of election expenses of candidates pertaining to No.28-Kolar (SC) Parliamentary Constituency for your kind perusal.

1. Summary Report of DEO.
2. Expenditure Observer's Final Report (Report-IV) Annexure-B5
3. DEO's Scrutiny Report in Annexure-C3 pertaining to each candidate.
4. Abstract Statement of election expenses Part-I to Part-IV of each candidate.
5. Schedule 1 to 10 of each candidate.

A	Name of the District	Kolar
B	Total No. of contesting candidates	14
Category-1	Candidates in whose case no defect has been pointed out by the DEO in the DEO's Scrutiny Report	13
Category-2	Candidates who did not lodge their accounts of election expenses .	01 (Munirajappa)
Category-3	Candidates who failed to lodge their accounts of election expenses within the prescribed time period.	0
Category-4	Candidates who failed to lodge their accounts of election expenses in the manner required under law (other than cases mentioned in category 2 & 3)	0
Category-5	Candidates who failed to lodge their accounts of election expenses within the prescribed time period+failed to lodge their accounts of election expenses in the manner required under law(other than cases mentioned in Category 2,3 and 4)	0

Yours faithfully,

Deputy Commissioner &  
District Election Officer,  
Kolar District, Kolar.

Office of the Deputy Commissioner & District Election Officer,  
Kolar District, Kolar.

*General Elections to Lok Sabha-2019*

*Details of Accounts of Election Expenses of Candidates of  
No.28 Kolar (SC) Parliamentary Constituency*

- 1. SUMMARY REPORT OF DEO.**
- 2. EXPENDITURE OBSERVER'S FINAL REPORT  
(REPORT-IV) ANNEXURE-B5**
- 3. DEO'S SCRUTINY REPORT IN ANNEXURE-C3  
PERTAINING TO EACH CANDIDATE.**

**SUMMARY REPORT OF DEO FOR EACH CONSTITUENCY ON LODGING OF ELECTION EXPENSES ACCOUNTS BY CANDIDATES**

(a) No. and name of Assembly/ Parliamentary Constituency: 28- KOLAR (SC) PARLIAMENTARY CONSTITUENCY

(b) Total No. of contesting candidates: 14

(c) State and District: KARNATAKA / KOLAR

(d) Date of declaration of result of election/bye-election: 23.05.2019

(e) Last date of lodging accounts: 22.06.2019

(f) Name of the elected candidate: S. MUNISWAMY

Sl. No.	Name of the candidate and party Affiliation	Due date of lodging of account	Date of lodging of accounts by the candidate	Whether lodged in the prescribed format (Yes or No)	Whether lodged in the manner required by law (yes or No)	Grand Total of the expenses incurred/ authorized by the candidate/agent (as mentioned in part-II of Abstract Statement)	Whether the DEO agrees with the amount shown by the candidate against all items of expenditure (Should be similar to point no. 22 of DEO's scrutiny Report i.e. Annexure-C3)	Total expenses incurred by the party (As reported in Part-III of Abstract Statement)		Total expenses incurred by others/entities as reported in Part-III of Abstract Statement		Remarks of the Expenditure Observer
								Lump Sum Amount in cash or cheque given to candidate by each Political party	Grand Total of other expenses in kind by the Political party	Lump sum Amount in cash/ cheque given to the candidate (and mention names of donors)	Grand total of other expenses in kind incurred for the candidate	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9		10		11
1	JAYAPRASAD M.G./ BAHUJAN SAMAJ PARTY	22.06.2019	18.06.2019	YES	YES	942593	YES	0	0	0	0	-
2	K.H. MUNIYAPPA / INDIAN NATIONAL CONGRESS	22.06.2019	18.06.2019	YES	YES	6803932	YES	0	0	2350000 (4 Donors)	0	-
3	S. MUNISWAMY / BHARATIYA JANATA PARTY	22.06.2019	18.06.2019	YES	YES	4642930	YES	4000000	0	0	0	-
4	ASHOKA CHKRAVARTHI M.B/ AMBEDKAR SAMJ PARTY	22.06.2019	18.06.2019	YES	YES	17100	YES	0	0	0	0	-
5	G. CHIKKANARAYANA / REPUBLICAN SENNA	22.06.2019	18.06.2019	YES	YES	20100	YES	0	0	0	0	-
6	RAMANJI .R / UTTAMA PRAJAAKEEVA PARTY	22.06.2019	18.06.2019	YES	YES	42720	YES	0	0	0	0	-
7	DHANAMATNALI VENKATESHAPPA / REPUBLICAN PARTY OF INDIA(A)	22.06.2019	18.06.2019	YES	YES	356460	YES	0	0	162250 (11 Donors)	0	-


Sl. No.	Name of the candidate and Party Affiliation	Due date of lodging of account	Date of lodging of the accounts by the candidate	Whether lodged in the prescribed format (Yes or No)	Whether lodged in the manner required by law (Yes or No)	Grand Total of the expenses incurred/ authorized by the candidate/agent (as mentioned in part-III of Abstract Statement)	Whether the DEO agrees with the amount shown by the candidate against all items of expenditure (Should be similar to point no. 22 of DEO's scrutiny Report i.e. Annexure-C3)	Total expenses incurred by the party (As reported in part-III of Abstract Statement)		Total expenses incurred by others/ entities as reported in Part-III of Abstract Statement		Remarks of the Expenditure Observer
								Lump Sum Amount in cash or cheque given to candidate by each Political party	Grand Total of other expenses in kind by the Political party	Lump sum Amount in cash/ cheque given to the candidate (and mention names of donors)	Grand total of other expenses in kind incurred for the candidate	
1												
8	SARVESH N.M./ PYRAMID PARTY OF INDIA	22.06.2019	18.06.2019	YES	YES	142400	YES	0	0	125000 (ABVK Sarga)	0	-
9	MEDIHALA CHALAVADI M CHANDRASHEKAR / INDEPENDENT	22.06.2019	18.06.2019	YES	YES	177800	YES	0	0	130400 (13 Donors)	0	-
10	MUNIRAPPA .P / INDEPENDENT	22.06.2019	-	NO	NO	0	YES	0	0	0	0	-
11	DR. RAMESH BABU / INDEPENDENT	22.06.2019	18.06.2019	YES	YES	278400	YES	0	0	231400 (Ganesh, Venkatesh)	0	-
12	RAJ KUMARESAN. L / INDEPENDENT	22.06.2019	20.06.2019	YES	YES	108135	YES	0	0	109000 (11 Donors)	0	-
13	C. SHANKARAPPA / INDEPENDENT	22.06.2019	20.06.2019	YES	YES	178900	YES	0	0	0	0	-
14	N.C. SUBBARAYAPPA / INDEPENDENT	22.06.2019	18.06.2019	YES	YES	352800	YES	0	0	100000 (Shobha)	0	-

Comments of the Expenditure Observer, if any,

Date: 22/6/2019



(PRAKASH P KISHINCHANDANI)  
Signature of the Expenditure Observer



(J. MANJUNATH)  
Deputy Commissioner &  
District Election Officer,  
Kolar District, Kolar.



(ARPITA D NAHARYA)  
Signature of the Expenditure Observer

**Annexure - B5**

**Expenditure Observer's Final Report (Report -IV)**

**ITo be uploaded on Observer Portal and singed copy to be sent by post to the Commission separately for each Assembly Segment in case of Assembly Election and only one report for one PC in case of Lok Sabha Election after 30 days of declaration of results]**

Date of Reporting: <b>24.06.2019</b>
Observer Name: <b>1. PRAKASH P. KISHINCHANDANI 2. ARPITA DHEER SINGH NAHARYA</b>
Observer Code: <b>1. R - 25814 2. R - 25351</b>
Email - ID: <b>1. ppkishinchandani@gmail.com 2. arpita.naharya@gmail.com</b>
Mobile No: <b>1. 9892290058 2. 9930006291</b>
Constituency: <b>28 - KOLAR (SC) PARLIAMENTARY CONSTITUENCY</b>
District: <b>KOLAR</b> State: <b>KARNATAKA</b>
Date of Declaration of Results: <b>23.05.2019</b>
Last date of filling Account of Election Expenditure: <b>22.06.2019</b>
Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting: <b>18.06.2019</b>
Total No. of contesting candidate: <b>14</b>
Name of winning candidate/Party affiliation, if any: <b>S. MUNISWAMY / BHARATIYA JANATA PARTY</b>

SI No	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
	<b>Name Of the Candidate and party Affiliation</b>													
	<b>Whether notices issued to the candidates on all discrepancies found during inspection or in final accounts 1 of the candidate and reply of candidates considered (see note 8) and read</b>													
	<b>Date of Lodging of Account of Election Expenses by the candidates (please see note 1 below)</b>													
	<b>Whether Account lodged in time (Yes/No)</b>													
	<b>Amount of expenditure mentioned in the accounts of the candidate Rs/-</b>													
	<b>Whether accounts are lodged in format and with all necessary documents</b>													
	<b>Whether the DEO has cross checked the candidates submission with all information collected during campaign(yes/No) if No please annex details as per note 3 below</b>													
	<b>whether the estimated expenditure incurred by the candidate exceeded the prescribed ceiling (yes/No). If yes please annex details as per note 4 below</b>													
	<b>amount of expenditure incurred by the political party if any on behalf of the candidate/mentioned the name of the party as per note 5 below</b>													
	<b>Amount of expenditure incurred by other entity/individuals on behalf of the candidate</b>													
	<b>Whether abstract Statement (Part I to IV and schedule 1 to 9) of accounts uploaded on CEO's website (within 3 days of lodging of accounts)</b>													
	<b>Whether cash and other items seized during election process has been released within 7 days excepting the cases(i) where. FIR has been lodged (ii) where cash is handed over to I.T. Dept., If not, whether it is brought to the notice of RO, DEO and SP for urgent action</b>													
5	G. CHIKKANARAYANA / REPUBLICAN SENA	18.06.2019	YES	20100	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	NO	NO	NO	YES	NA
4	ASHOKA CHIKRAVARTHI M.B / AMBEDKAR SAMAJ PARTY	18.06.2019	YES	17100	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	NO	NO	NO	YES (Part 4 Pending)	NA
3	S. MUNISWAMY / BHARATIYA JANATA PARTY	18.06.2019	YES	4642930	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	NO	YES BJP	-	YES	NA
2	K.H. MUNIYAPPA / INDIAN NATIONAL CONGRESS	18.06.2019	YES	6803932	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	NO	NO	NO	YES	NA
1	JAYAPRASAD M.G / BAHUJAN SAMAJ PARTY	18.06.2019	YES	942593	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	NO	NO	YES	YES	NA

SI No	Name Of the Candidate and party Affiliation	Whether notices issued to the candidates on all discrepancies found during inspection or in final accounts 1 of the candidate and reply of candidates considered (see note 8) and read	Date of Lodging of Account of Election Expenses by the candidates (please see note 1 below)	Whether Account lodged in time (Yes/No)	Amount of expenditure mentioned in the accounts of the candidate Rs/-	Whether accounts are lodged in format and with all necessary documents	Whether the DEO has cross checked the candidates submission with all information collected during campaign(yes/No) if No please annex details as per note 3 below	whether the estimated expenditure incurred by the candidate exceeded the prescribed ceiling (yes/No). If yes please annex details as per note 4 below	amount of expenditure incurred by the political party if any on behalf of the candidate/mentioned the name of the party as per note 5 below	Amount of expenditure incurred by other entity/individuals on behalf of the candidate	Whether abstract Statement (Part I to IV and schedule 1 to 9) of accounts uploaded on CEO's website (within 3 days of loding of accounts)	Whether cash and other items seized during election proress has been released within 7 days excepting the cases(i) where. FIR has been lodged (ii) where cash is handed over to I.T. Deptt., If not, whether it is brought to the notice of RO, DEO and SP for urgent action
1												
6	RAMANJII R / UTTAMA PRAJAKEEYA PARTY	-	18.06.2019	YES	42720	YES	YES	NO	NO	NO	YES	NA
7	DHANAMATNALLI VENKATESHAPPA / REPUBLICAN PARTY OF INDIA(A)	-	18.06.2019	YES	356460	YES	YES	NO	NO	162250	YES	NA
8	SAR VESH N.M / PYRAMID PARTY OF INDIA	-	18.06.2019	YES	142400	YES	YES	NO	NO	125000	YES	NA
9	MEDIHALA CHALAVADIM CHANDRASHAKAR / INDEPENDENT	-	18.06.2019	YES	177800	YES	YES	NO	NO	130400	YES	NA
10	MUNIRAPPA .P / INDEPENDENT	-	-	NO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NA



Sl No	Name Of the Candidate and party Affiliation	Whether notices issued to the candidates on all discrepancies found during inspection or in final accounts 1 of the candidate and reply of candidates considered (see note 8) and read	Date of Lodging of Account of Election Expenses by the candidates (please see note 1 below)	Whether Account lodged in time (Yes/No)	Amount of expenditure mentioned in the accounts of the candidate Rs/-	Whether accounts are lodged in format and with all necessary documents	Whether the DEO has cross checked the candidates submission with all information collected during campaign(yes/No) if No please annex details as per note 3 below	whether the estimated expenditure incurred by the candidate exceeded the prescribed ceiling (yes/No). If yes please annex details as per note 4 below	amount of expenditure incurred by the political party if any on behalf of the candidate/mentioned the name of the party as per note 5 below	Amount of expenditure incurred by other entity/individuals on behalf of the candidate	Whether abstract Statement (Part I to IV and schedule 1 to 9) of accounts uploaded on CEO's website (within 3 days of lodging of accounts)	Whether cash and other items seized during election process has been released within 7 days excepting the cases(i) where. FIR has been lodged (ii) where cash is handed over to I.T. Dept., If not, whether it is brought to the notice of RO, DEO and SP for urgent action
11	DR. RAMESH BABU / INDEPENDENT	-	18.06.2019	YES	278400	YES	YES	NO	NO	231400	YES	NA
12	RAJ KUMARESAN. L / INDEPENDENT	-	20.06.2019	YES	108135	YES	YES	NO	NO	109000	YES	NA
13	C. SHANKARAPPA / INDEPENDENT	-	20.06.2019	YES	178900	YES	YES	NO	NO	NO	YES	NA
14	N.C. SUBBARAYAPPA / INDEPENDENT	-	18.06.2019	YES	352800	YES	YES	NO	NO	100000	YES	NA

Signature of the Expenditure Observer  
(PRAKASH P KISHINCHANDANI)

Signature of the Expenditure Observer  
(ARPITA D NAHARYA)


Place : KOLAR

Date: 24.6.19





DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
Sl. No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	<b>JAYAPRASAD . M.G.</b> S/o Late Munivenkataiah # 136, Arjun, 5th Main Road, Near Ashraya English School, Vijinapura, Ram Murthy Nagar, Bengaluru - 560016.
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	BSP
3	No. and name of Assembly/parliamentary Constituency	28 - KOLAR (SC) PARLIAMENTARY CONSTITUENCY
4	Name of the elected candidate	S. MUNISWAMY
5	Date of declaration of result	23.05.2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18.06.2019
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	YES
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	YES
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting ( Yes or No).	YES
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22.06.2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	YES
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) Original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	18.06.2019
12	Whether account lodged in time	YES
12A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	NA
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	NA

15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement				<b>Rs. 942593-00</b>	
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R.P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules 1961				YES	
17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details					
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged				NA	
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavti has been submitted by candidate				NA	
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted				NA	
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election				NA	
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account				NA	
18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect (ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect (iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.				NA	
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:				YES	
	Items of expenditure	Date	page No. of shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
	i					
	ii, iii.....					
<b>TOTAL</b>						
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period				YES	

21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-	
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	NA
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	NA
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	NA
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	NA
	(v) DEO's comments/ observations on the candidates's explanation	NA
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to column no. 8 of summary Report of DEO) Date: 24/6/19	YES  Signature (J. MANJUNATH) DEO

23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer\*-

  
Signature of the Expenditure Observer  
(PRAKASH P KISHINCHANDANI)

  
Signature of the Expenditure Observer  
(ARPITA D NAHARYA)

Date:- 24.06.2019

\* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.


\*\* The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO 02


Name of the State KARNATAKA District KOLAR Election PARLIAMENTARY


DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
Sl. No.	Escription	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	<b>K.H. MUNIYAPPA</b> S/o Late Hanumappa, # 913, KHB Colony, Naidu Layout, Harohalli, Harohalli Garden, Kolar.
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	INDIAN NATIONAL CONGRESS
3	No. and name of Assembly/parliamentary Constituency	28 - KOLAR (SC) PARLIAMENTARY CONSTITUENCY
4	Name of the elected candidate	S. MUNISWAMY
5	Date of declaration of result	23.05.2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18.06.2019
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	YES
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	YES
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting ( Yes or No).	YES
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22.06.2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	YES
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate:	18.06.2019
	(i) Original account (ii) reveised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	19.06.2019
12	Whether account lodged in time	YES
12A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	-
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	NA
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	NA

15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement		<b>Rs. 6803932-00</b>			
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R.P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules 1961		YES			
17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details					
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged		NA			
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavti has been submitted by candidate		NA			
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted		NA			
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election		NA			
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account		NA			
18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect (ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect (iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.		NA			
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:		YES			
	Items of expenditure	Date	page No. of shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
	i					
	ii, iii.....					
<b>TOTAL</b>						
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period		No, Candidate not Produced Register of Accounts 3rd inspection dt: 16.04.2019.			

21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-	
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	NA
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	NA
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	NA
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	NA
	(v) DEO's comments/ observations on the candidates's explanation	NA
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to column no. 8 of summary Report of DEO)  Date: 24.06.2019	Yes   Signature (J. MANJUNATH) DEO

23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer\*-

  
Signature of the Expenditure Observer  
(PRAKASH P KISHINCHANDANI)

  
Signature of the Expenditure Observer  
(ARPITA D NAHARYA)

Date:- 24.06.2019

\* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

\*\* The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.


Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO **03**



Name of the State KARNATAKA District KOLAR Election PARLIAMENTARY

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
Sl. No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	<b>S. MUNISWAMY</b> S/o Late Sadappa, # 180, Muniswamy Road, 1st Main Road, Belathur Colony, Kadugodi Post, Bengaluru- 560067.
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	BHARATIYA JANATA PARTY
3	No. and name of Assembly/parliamentary Constituency	28 - KOLAR (SC) PARLIAMENTARY CONSTITUENCY
4	Name of the elected candidate	S. MUNISWAMY
5	Date of declaration of result	23.05.2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18.06.2019
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	YES
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	YES
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting ( Yes or No).	YES
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22.06.2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	YES
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate:	18.06.2019
	(i) Original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	
12	Whether account lodged in time	YES
12A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	NA
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	NA



15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement				Rs. 46,42,930-00	
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R.P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules 1961				YES	
17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details					
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged				NA	
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavti has been submitted by candidate				NA	
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted				NA	
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election				NA	
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account				NA	
18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect (ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect (iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.				NA	
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:				YES	
	Items of expenditure	Date	page No. of shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
	i					
	ii					
<b>TOTAL</b>						
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period				YES	

21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-	
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	NA
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	NA
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	NA
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	NA
	(v) DEO's comments/ observations on the candidates's explanation	NA
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to column no. 8 of summary Report of DEO)  Date: 24.06.2019.	Yes   Signature (J. MANJUNATH) DEO

23.	Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-   Signature of the Expenditure Observer (PRAKASH P KISHINCHANDANI)	 Signature of the Expenditure Observer (ARPITA D NAHARYA)
Date:- 24.06.2019		

\* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.


\*\* The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.



Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO **04**

Name of the State KARNATAKA District KOLAR Election PARLIAMENTARY

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
Sl. No.	Escription	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	<b>ASHOKA CHAKRAVARTHI</b> <b>M.B</b> S/o Late M. Bachappa, Pedduru village & Post, Murugamalla Hobli, Chintamani Taluk, Chickballapur District.
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	AMEDKAR SAMAJ PARTY
3	No. and name of Assembly/parliamentary Constituency	28 - KOLAR (SC) PARLIAMENTARY CONSTITUENCY
4	Name of the elected candidate	S. MUNISWAMY
5	Date of declaration of result	23.05.2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18.06.2019
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	YES
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	YES
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting ( Yes or No).	YES
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22.06.2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	YES
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate:	18.06.2019
	(i) Original account (ii) rceived account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	
12	Whether account lodged in time	YES
12A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	-
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	NA
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	NA

15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement				<b>Rs. 17100-00</b>	
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R.P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules 1961				YES	
17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details					
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged				NA	
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavti has been submitted by candidate				NA	
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted				NA	
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election				NA	
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account				NA	
18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect (ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect (iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.				NA	
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:				YES	
	Items of expenditure	Date	page No. of shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
	i					
	ii, iii.....					
<b>TOTAL</b>						
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period				YES	

21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-	
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	NA
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	NA
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	NA
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	NA
	(v) DEO's comments/ observations on the candidates's explanation	NA
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to column no. 8 of summary Report of DEO)  Date: 24.06.2019	Yes   Signature (J. MANJUNATH) DEO

23.	Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-   Signature of the Expenditure Observer (PRAKASH P KISHINCHANDANI)	 Signature of the Expenditure Observer (ARPITA D NAHARYA)
Date:- 24.06.2019		

\* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

\*\* The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.


Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO **05**



Name of the State **KARNATAKA** District **KOLAR** Election **PARLIAMENTARY**

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
Sl. No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	<b>G. CHIKKANARAYANA</b> S/o Late Gurappa, Banahalli Village, Kasaba Hobli, Bangarpet Taluk, Kolar District.
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	REPUBLICAN SENA
3	No. and name of Assembly/parliamentary Constituency	28 - KOLAR (SC) PARLIAMENTARY CONSTITUENCY
4	Name of the elected candidate	S. MUNISWAMY
5	Date of declaration of result	23.05.2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18.06.2019
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	YES
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	YES
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting ( Yes or No).	YES
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22.06.2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	YES
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate:	18.06.2019
	(i) Original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	
12	Whether account lodged in time	YES
12A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	NA
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	NA

15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement				Rs.20100-00		
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R.P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules 1961				YES		
17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details						
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged				NA		
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavti has been submitted by candidate				NA		
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted				NA		
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election				NA		
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account				NA		
18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect (ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect (iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.				NA		
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:				YES		
	Items of expenditure	Date	page No. of shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate	
	i						
	ii, iii.....						
<b>TOTAL</b>							
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period				YES		



21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-	
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	NA
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	NA
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	NA
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	NA
	(v) DEO's comments/ observations on the candidates's explanation	NA
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to column no. 8 of summary Report of DEO)  Date: 24.06.2019.	YES   Signature (J. MANJUNATH) DEO

23.	Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-    Signature of the Expenditure Observer (PRAKASH P KISHINCHANDANI)	  Signature of the Expenditure Observer (ARPITA DINAHARYA)
Date:- 24.06.2019		

\* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.


\*\* The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.



Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO **06**

Name of the State KARNATAKA District KOLAR Election PARLIAMENTARY

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
Sl. No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	<b>RAMANJI .R</b> S/o Late Ramappa, #243, Sugaturu, Jangamakote Hobli, Shidlaghatta Taluk, Chickaballapur District
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	UTTAMA PRAJAAKEEYA PARTY
3	No. and name of Assembly/parliamentary Constituency	28 - KOLAR (SC) PARLIAMENTARY CONSTITUENCY
4	Name of the elected candidate	S. MUNISWAMY
5	Date of declaration of result	23.05.2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18.06.2019
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	YES
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	YES
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting ( Yes or No).	YES
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22.06.2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	YES
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate:	18.06.2019
	(i) Original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	
12	Whether account lodged in time	YES
12A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	NA
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	NA

15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement				<b>Rs. 42720-00</b>	
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R.P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules 1961				YES	
17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details					
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged				NA	
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavti has been submitted by candidate				NA	
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted				NA	
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election				NA	
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account				NA	
18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect (ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect (iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.				NA	
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:				YES	
	Items of expenditure	Date	page No. of shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
	i					
	ii, iii.....					
<b>TOTAL</b>						
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period				YES	

21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-	
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	NA
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	NA
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	NA
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	NA
	(v) DEO's comments/ observations on the candidates's explanation	NA
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to column no. 8 of summary Report of DEO)  Date: 24.06.2019.	YES   Signature (J. MANJUNATH) DEO

23.	Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-   Signature of the Expenditure Observer (PRAKASH P KISHINCHANDANI)	 Signature of the Expenditure Observer (ARPITA D NAHARYA)
Date:- 24.06.2019		

\* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.


\*\* The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.



Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO **07**

Name of the State KARNATAKA District KOLAR Election PARLIAMENTARY

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
Sl. No.	Escription	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	<b>DHANAMATNALLI VENKATESHAPPA</b> S/o Late MuniVenkatappa, Dhanamatnahalli Village, Vemgal Hobli, Kolar
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	REPUBLICAN PARTY OF INDIA(A)
3	No. and name of Assembly/parliamentary Constituency	28 - KOLAR (SC) PARLIAMENTARY CONSTITUENCY
4	Name of the elected candidate	S. MUNISWAMY
5	Date of declaration of result	23.05.2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18.06.2019
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	YES
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	YES
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting ( Yes or No).	YES
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22.06.2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	YES
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate:	18.06.2019
	(i) Original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	
12	Whether account lodged in time	YES
12A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	NA
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	NA

15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement				<b>Rs. 356460-00</b>	
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R.P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules 1961				YES	
17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details					
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged				NA	
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavti has been submitted by candidate				NA	
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted				NA	
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election				NA	
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account				NA	
18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect (ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect (iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.				NA	
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:				YES	
	Items of expenditure	Date	page No. of shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
	i					
	ii, iii.....					
<b>TOTAL</b>						
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period				YES	

21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-	
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	NA
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	NA
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	NA
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	NA
	(v) DEO's comments/ observations on the candidates's explanation	NA
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to column no. 8 of summary Report of DEO)  Date: 24.06.2019.	YES  Signature (J. MANJUNATH) DEO

23.	Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-   Signature of the Expenditure Observer (PRAKASH P KISHINCHANDANI)	 Signature of the Expenditure Observer (ARPITA D NAHARYA)
Date:- 24.06.2019		


\* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.



\*\* The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.



DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
Sl. No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	<b>SARVESH N.M.</b> S/o Munivenkattappa #89, Nagondahalli village, Kudiyannuru post, Malur Taluk, Kolar District.
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	PYRAMID PARTY OF INDIA
3	No. and name of Assembly/parliamentary Constituency	28 - KOLAR (SC) PARLIAMENTARY CONSTITUENCY
4	Name of the elected candidate	S. MUNISWAMY
5	Date of declaration of result	23.05.2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18.06.2019
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	YES
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	YES
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting ( Yes or No).	YES
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22.06.2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	YES
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate:	18.06.2019
	(i) Original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	
12	Whether account lodged in time	YES
12A	If not lodged in time, period of delay.	NA
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	NA
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	NA

15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement				<b>Rs. 142400-00</b>	
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R.P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules 1961				YES	
17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details					
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged				NA	
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavti has been submitted by candidate				NA	
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted				NA	
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election				NA	
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account				NA	
18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect (ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect (iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.				NA	
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:				YES	
	Items of expenditure	Date	page No. of shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
	i					
	ii, iii.....					
<b>TOTAL</b>						
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period				YES	

21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-	
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	NA
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	NA
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	NA
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	NA
	(v) DEO's comments/ observations on the candidates's explanation	NA
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to column no. 8 of summary Report of DEO) Date: 24.06.2019.	YES  Signature (J. MANJUNATH) DEO

23.	Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-  Signature of the Expenditure Observer (PRAKASH P KISHINCHANDANI)	 Signature of the Expenditure Observer (ARPITA D NAHARYA)
Date:- 24.06.2019		

\* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

\*\* The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.


Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO **09**



Name of the State KARNATAKA District KOLAR Election PARLIAMENTARY

**DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE  
UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961**

Sl. No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	<b>MEDIHALA CHALAVADI M CHANDRASHEKAR</b> S/o Muniyappa Medihala Village & Post, Vemgal Hobli, Kolar Taluk & Kolar District.
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	INDEPENDENT
3	No. and name of Assembly/parliamentary Constituency	28 - KOLAR (SC) PARLIAMENTARY CONSTITUENCY
4	Name of the elected candidate	S. MUNISWAMY
5	Date of declaration of result	23.05.2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18.06.2019
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	YES
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	YES
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting ( Yes or No).	YES
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22.06.2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	YES
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate:	18.06.2019
	(i) Original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	
12	Whether account lodged in time	YES
12A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	NA
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	NA

15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement				<b>Rs. 177800-00</b>	
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R.P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules 1961				YES	
17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details					
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged				NA	
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavti has been submitted by candidate				NA	
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted				NA	
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election				NA	
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account				NA	
18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect (ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect (iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.				NA	
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:				YES	
	Items of expenditure	Date	page No. of shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
	i					
	ii, iii.....					
<b>TOTAL</b>						
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period				YES	

21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-	
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	NA
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	NA
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	NA
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	NA
	(v) DEO's comments/ observations on the candidates's explanation	NA
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to column no. 8 of summary Report of DEO)  Date: 24.06.2019.	YES   Signature (J. MANJUNATH) DEO

23.	Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-   Signature of the Expenditure Observer (PRAKASH P KISHINCHANDANI)	 Signature of the Expenditure Observer (ARPITA D NAHARYA)
Date:- 24.06.2019		

\* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

\*\* The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO 10


Name of the State KARNATAKA District KOLAR Election PARLIAMENTARY



**DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE  
UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961**

Sl. No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	<b>MUNIRAJAPPA .P</b> S/o Pillappa Santhekallahalli village and post, Kaiwara hobli, Chinthamani Taluk,
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	INDEPENDENT
3	No. and name of Assembly/parliamentary Constituency	28 - KOLAR (SC) PARLIAMENTARY CONSTITUENCY
4	Name of the elected candidate	S. MUNISWAMY
5	Date of declaration of result	23.05.2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18.06.2019
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	YES
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	NO
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting ( Yes or No).	NA
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22.06.2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	NO
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) Original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	NA
12	Whether account lodged in time	NO
12A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	YES
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	Not Received any Explanation
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	NA



15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement				Not Reported	
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R.P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules 1961				NO	
17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details					
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged				NO	
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavti has been submitted by candidate				NO	
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted				NO	
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election				YES	
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account				Cannot be ascertained	
18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect (ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect (iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.				NA	
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:				YES	
	Items of expenditure	Date	page No. of shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
	i					
	ii, iii.....					
<b>TOTAL</b>						
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period				NO	

21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-	
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	YES
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	ANNEXED
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	NO
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	NA
	(v) DEO's comments/ observations on the candidates's explanation	NA
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to column no. 8 of summary Report of DEO)  Date: 24.06.2019.	NA   Signature (J. MANJUNATH) DEO


23.	Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-   Signature of the Expenditure Observer (PRAKASH P KISHINCHANDANI)  Date:- 24.06.2019	 Signature of the Expenditure Observer (ARPITA D NAHARYA)
-----	--	---



\* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

\*\* The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

<b>DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961</b>		
<b>Sl. No.</b>	<b>Escription</b>	<b>To be filled up by the DEO</b>
1	Name & address of the candidate	<b>DR. RAMESH BABU V.M</b> S/o Late V. Munivenkatappa No. 29, Uppkunte village; Sugatur post, Kolar Taluk & Kolar District.
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	INDEPENDENT
3	No. and name of Assembly/parliamentary Constituency	28 - KOLAR (SC) PARLIAMENTARY CONSTITUENCY
4	Name of the elected candidate	S. MUNISWAMY
5	Date of declaration of result	23.05.2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18.06.2019
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	YES
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	YES
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting ( Yes or No).	YES
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22.06.2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	YES
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate:	18.06.2019
	(i) Original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	
12	Whether account lodged in time	YES
12A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	NA
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	NA

15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement				<b>Rs. 2,78,400-00</b>	
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R.P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules 1961				YES	
17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details					
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged				NA	
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavti has been submitted by candidate				NA	
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted				NA	
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election				NA	
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account				NA	
18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect (ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect (iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.				NA	
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:				YES	
	Items of expenditure	Date	page No. of shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
	i					
	ii, iii.....					
<b>TOTAL</b>						
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period				YES	

21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-	
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	NA
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	NA
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	NA
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	NA
	(v) DEO's comments/ observations on the candidates's explanation	NA
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to column no. 8 of summary Report of DEO)  Date: 24.06.2019	Yes   Signature (J. MANJUNATH) DEO


23.	Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-   Signature of the Expenditure Observer (PRAKASH P KISHINCHANDANI)  Date:- 24.06.2019	 Signature of the Expenditure Observer (ARPITA D NAHARYA)
-----	--	---



\* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

\*\* The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
Sl. No.	Escription	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	<b>RAJ KUMARESAN L</b> S/o Lakshmnikanthan No. 59,/B, 4th Cross, 5th Mani, Anand Nagar, Bangalore - 560032
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	INDEPENDENT
3	No. and name of Assembly/parliamentary Constituency	28 - KOLAR (SC) PARLIAMENTARY CONSTITUENCY
4	Name of the elected candidate	S. MUNISWAMY
5	Date of declaration of result	23.05.2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18.06.2019
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	YES
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	YES
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting ( Yes or No).	YES
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22.06.2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	YES
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate:	20.06.2019
	(i) Original account (ii) reveised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	
12	Whether account lodged in time	YES
12A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	NA
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	NA

15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement				<b>Rs. 108135-00</b>	
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R.P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules 1961				YES	
17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details					
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged				NA	
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavti has been submitted by candidate				NA	
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted				NA	
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election				NA	
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account				NA	
18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect (ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect (iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.				NA	
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:				YES	
	Items of expenditure	Date	page No. of shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
	i					
	ii, iii.....					
<b>TOTAL</b>						
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period				YES	

21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-	
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	NA
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	NA
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	NA
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	NA
	(v) DEO's comments/ observations on the candidates's explanation	NA
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to column no. 8 of summary Report of DEO)  Date: 24.06.2019.	YES   Signature (J. MANJUNATH) DEO

23.	Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-    Signature of the Expenditure Observer (PRAKASH P KISHINCHANDANI)	  Signature of the Expenditure Observer (ARPITA D NAHARYA)
Date:- 24.06.2019		


\* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.



\*\* The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.



DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
Sl. No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	<b>C. SHANKARAPPA</b> S/o Late channappa Patoorugadda village, Mudimadugu post, Rayalapadu Hobli, Srinivasapur Taluk.
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	INDEPENDENT
3	No. and name of Assembly/parliamentary Constituency	28 - KOLAR (SC) PARLIAMENTARY CONSTITUENCY
4	Name of the elected candidate	S. MUNISWAMY
5	Date of declaration of result	23.05.2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18.06.2019
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	YES
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	YES
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting ( Yes or No).	YES
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22.06.2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	YES
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) Original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	20.06.2019
12	Whether account lodged in time	YES
12A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	NA
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	NA

15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement				<b>Rs. 178900-00</b>	
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R.P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules 1961				YES	
17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details					
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged				NA	
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate				NA	
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted				NA	
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election				NA	
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account				NA	
18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect (ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect (iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.				NA	
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:				YES	
	Items of expenditure	Date	page No. of shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
	i					
	ii, iii.....					
<b>TOTAL</b>						
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period				YES	

21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-	
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	NA
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	NA
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	NA
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	NA
	(v) DEO's comments/ observations on the candidates's explanation	NA
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to column no. 8 of summary Report of DEO)  Date: 24.06.2019	YES   Signature (J. MANJUNATH) DEO


23.	Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-   Signature of the Expenditure Observer (PRAKASH P KISHINCHANDANI)	 Signature of the Expenditure Observer (ARPITA D NAHARYA)
Date:- 24.06.2019		



\* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

\*\* The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

<b>DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961</b>		
<b>Sl. No.</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>To be filled up by the DEO</b>
1	Name & address of the candidate	<b>N.C. SUBBARAYAPPA</b> S/o Nadipi Chikka Venkatappa Nadampalli village & post, Chilakalanerupu Hobli, Chintamani Taluk, Chickballapur District.
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	INDEPENDENT
3	No. and name of Assembly/parliamentary Constituency	28 - KOLAR (SC) PARLIAMENTARY CONSTITUENCY
4	Name of the elected candidate	S. MUNISWAMY
5	Date of declaration of result	23.05.2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18.06.2019
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	YES
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	YES
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting ( Yes or No).	YES
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22.06.2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	YES
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate:	18.06.2019
	(i) Original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	
12	Whether account lodged in time	YES
12A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	NA
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	NA

15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement				<b>Rs. 352800-00</b>	
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R.P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules 1961				YES	
17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details					
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged				NA	
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavti has been submitted by candidate				NA	
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted				NA	
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election				NA	
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account				NA	
18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect (ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect (iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.				NA	
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:				YES	
	Items of expenditure	Date	page No. of shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
	i					
	ii, iii.....					
<b>TOTAL</b>						
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period				YES	

21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-	
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	NA
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	NA
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	NA
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	NA
	(v) DEO's comments/ observations on the candidates's explanation	NA
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to column no. 8 of summary Report of DEO)  Date: 24.06.2019.	Yes   Signature (J. MANJUNATH) DEO

23.	Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-   Signature of the Expenditure Observer (PRAKASH P KISHINCHANDANI)	 Signature of the Expenditure Observer (ARPITA D NAHARYA)
Date:- 24.06.2019		

\* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

\*\* The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.