



ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ಸರ್ಕಾರ

Govt. of Karnataka

ಜಿಲ್ಲಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳ ಕಾರ್ಯಾಲಯ, ಧಾರವಾಡ ಜಿಲ್ಲೆ, ಧಾರವಾಡ-580 001

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER, DHARWAD DISTRICT, DHARWAD-580 001

ದೂರವಾಣಿ
Telephone } 0836-2233840, 2233880
2447547

ಫ್ಯಾಕ್ಸ್
Fax } 0836-2747102

E-mail : deo.dharwad@gmail.com

ನಂ.ಚುನಾವಣೆ/ನಿಆರ್. 62/2018-19

ದಿನಾಂಕ: 26-06-2019

ಮುಖ್ಯ ಚುನಾವಣಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು,

ನಿರ್ವಾಚನ ನಿಲಯ,

ಶೇಷಾದ್ರಿ ರಸ್ತೆ,

ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು-560001

ಇವರಿಗೆ

ಮಾನ್ಯರೇ,

ವಿಷಯ : 11-ಧಾರವಾಡ ಲೋಕಸಭಾ ಸಾರ್ವತ್ರಿಕ ಚುನಾವಣೆ ಹಾಗೂ 70-ಕುಂದಗೋಳ ಉಪಚುನಾವಣೆಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದ ಚುನಾವಣಾ ಅಭ್ಯರ್ಥಿಗಳ ಚುನಾವಣಾ ವೆಚ್ಚಕ್ಕೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದ ಅಂತಿಮ ವರದಿಗಳನ್ನು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸುವ ಕುರಿತು.

ಉಲ್ಲೇಖ: ಮಾನ್ಯ ಭಾರತ ಚುನಾವಣಾ ಆಯೋಗ ನವದೆಹಲಿ ಇವರ ಪತ್ರ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ 76/ಇಸಿಐ/ಐಎನ್‌ಎಸ್‌ಟಿ/ಎಫ್‌ಯುಎನ್‌ಸಿ/ಇಇಎಮ್/ಇಇಪಿಎಸ್/2019/ವಾಲ್ಯೂಮ್-3 ದಿನಾಂಕ : 19.06.2019

ಮೇಲ್ಕಾಣಿಸಿದ ವಿಷಯ ಕುರಿತು ಲೋಕಸಭಾ ಸಾರ್ವತ್ರಿಕ ಚುನಾವಣೆ-2019 ಹಾಗೂ 70-ಕುಂದಗೋಳ ವಿಧಾನಸಭಾ ಉಪಚುನಾವಣೆ-2019 ನೇದಕ್ಕೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದಂತೆ ಚುನಾವಣಾ ಅಭ್ಯರ್ಥಿಗಳ ಚುನಾವಣಾ ವೆಚ್ಚಕ್ಕೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದ ಅಂತಿಮ ವರದಿಗಳನ್ನು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸುವಂತೆ ನಿರ್ದೇಶಿಸಿದ್ದು ಇರುತ್ತದೆ.

ಅದರಂತೆ 11-ಧಾರವಾಡ ಲೋಕಸಭಾ ಸಾರ್ವತ್ರಿಕ ಚುನಾವಣೆ ಹಾಗೂ 70-ಕುಂದಗೋಳ ಉಪಚುನಾವಣೆಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದ ಚುನಾವಣಾ ಅಭ್ಯರ್ಥಿಗಳ ಚುನಾವಣಾ ವೆಚ್ಚಕ್ಕೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದ ಅಂತಿಮ ವರದಿಗಳ ಒಂದು ಪ್ರತಿಯನ್ನು ಈ ಪತ್ರದ ಲಗ್ನ ಇಟ್ಟು ಮಾನ್ಯರ ಅವಗಾಹನೆಗಾಗಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿದೆ.

ತಮ್ಮ ವಿಶ್ವಾಸಿ,

ಅವರ ಜಿಲ್ಲಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು,

ಧಾರವಾಡ ಜಿಲ್ಲೆ, ಧಾರವಾಡ

SUMMARY REPORT OF DEO FOR EACH CONSTITUENCY ON LODGING OF ELECTION EXPENSES ACCOUNT BY CANDIDATES
 A) No. and Name of Assembly / Parliamentary Constituency : 70-KUNDAGOL ASSEMBLY
 B) Total No of contesting candidates : 08
 C) State and District: KARNATAKA - DHARAWAD
 D) Date of declaration of result of election / By- Election : 23.05.2019
 E) Last date of lodging accounts : 22.06.2019
 F) Name of the Elected Candidate: Smt. Kusumavati, C Shivalli

Sl No.	Name of Candidate and Party Affiliation	Due Date of lodging of Account	Date of lodging of accounts by the Candidate	Whether lodged in the prescribed format Yes/No	Where lodged in the manner required by law Yes/No	Grand Total of the expenses incurred/authorized by the Candidate/agent as mentioned in part-II of Abstract Statement	Where the DEO Agrees with the amount shown by the candidate against all items of expenditure (should be similar to point No 22 of DEO's Scrutiny Report (a-annexure-c-3)	Total expenses incurred by the Party (As reported in part-III of Abstract statement)		Total expenses incurred by others/entities as reported in part-III of Abstract Statement		Grand total of other expenses incurred for the candidate	Remarks of the Expenditure Observer
								Lamp sum amount in cash or cheque given to candidate by each Political Party	Grand Total of other expenses in kind by the political party	Lamp sum amount in cash or cheque given to candidate (and mention	Statement		
1	Smt. - Kusumavati, C Shivalli Indian National Congress	22.06.2019	18.06.2019	Yes	Yes	17,69,077	Yes	20,00,000	0	0	0	0	
2	Sri: Chikkanagoudar, S. Bharatiya Janata Party	22.06.2019	18.06.2019	Yes	Yes	12,68,216	Yes	0	0	0	0	0	
3	Sri: Bhandirad I Shekappa Independent	22.06.2019	18.06.2019	Yes	Yes	66,000	Yes	0	0	0	0	0	
4	Sri: Tulasappa, K. Dasar Independent	22.06.2019	18.06.2019	Yes	Yes	5,000	Yes	0	0	0	0	0	
5	Sri: Raju, A. Naikwadi Independent	22.06.2019	18.06.2019	Yes	Yes	91,823	Yes	0	0	0	0	0	
6	Smt: Shaila, S. Goni Independent	22.06.2019	18.06.2019	Yes	Yes	72,840	Yes	0	0	0	0	0	
7	Sri: Siddappa, S. Gotti Independent	22.06.2019	18.06.2019	Yes	Yes	10,000	Yes	0	0	0	0	0	
8	Sri: Somanna, C. Meit Independent	22.06.2019	18.06.2019	Yes	Yes	49,025	Yes	0	0	0	0	0	

ASST EXPENDITURE OBSERVER

S. R. Patil
EXPENDITURE OBSERVER

DISTRICT ELECTION OFFICER
 (M. S. S. S. S.)
 Deputy Commissioner
 and District Election Officer
 Dharwad District, Dharwad

SCRUTINY REPORT OF DEO

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO 01


Name of the State KARNATAKA District DHARWAD Election ASSEMBLY 70- KUNDAGOL


DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	SMT KUSUMAVATI CHANNABASAPPA SHIVALLI #149, YARAGUPPI, TQ: KUNDAGOL DIST, DHARWAD
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	INDIAN NATIONAL CONGRESS
3	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	70- KUNDAGOL
4	Name of the elected candidate	SMT KUSUMAVATI C SHIVALLI
5	Date of declaration of result	23.05.2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18.06.2018
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	YES
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	YES
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	YES
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22.06.2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	YES
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate:	18.06.2019
	(i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	Original Account
12	Whether account lodged in time	YES
12 A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	NA
14 A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	NA
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs : 17,69,077.00
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	YES
17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	YES
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	YES
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	YES
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	YES
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	YES

18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect				NA	
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect				NA	
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.				NA	
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:				YES	
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
	i	--No--	--No--	--No--	--No--	--No--
	ii	--No--	--No--	--No--	--No--	--No--
	TOTAL	--No--	--No--	--No--	--No--	--No--

20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period				YES	
	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19, referred to above, give the following details:				NA	
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting				NA	
21	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice				NA	
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?				NA	
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply				NA	
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation				NA	
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO) Date :20/06/2019				YES	

Signature (Name of the DEO)

M. Deepa, IAS)
 Deputy Commissioner,
 and District Election Officer
 Dharwad District, Dhara.

23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*
 Date: 20.6.2019
 Signature of the Expenditure Observer 

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.
 ** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

SCRUTINY REPORT OF DEO

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO 2

Name of the State KARNATAKA District DHARWAD Election ASSEMBLY 70-KUNDAGOL


DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	SRI CHIKKANGOUDRA SIDDANAGOUDA ISHWARGOUDA AT; PO; ADARGUNCHI TQ; KUNDAGOL
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	BHARATIYA JANATA PARTY
3	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	70- KUNDAGOL
4	Name of the elected candidate	SMT KUSUMAVATI C SHIVALLI
5	Date of declaration of result	23.05.2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18.06.2018
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	YES
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	YES
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	YES
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22.06.2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	YES
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate:	18.06.2019
	(i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	Original Account
12	Whether account lodged in time	YES
12 A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	NA
14 A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	NA
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	12,68,216.00
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	YES
17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	YES
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	YES
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	YES
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	YES
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	YES

18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect	NA
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect	NA
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.	NA
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:	YES

	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate
i	-Nil-	-Nil-	-Nil-	-Nil-	-Nil-
ii	-Nil-	-Nil-	-Nil-	-Nil-	-Nil-
TOTAL	-Nil-	-Nil-	-Nil-	-Nil-	-Nil-

20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period	YES
21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:	NA
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	NA
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	NA
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?	NA
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	NA
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	NA
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO) Date :20/06/2019	YES


 Signature (Name of the DEO)
(M. Deepa, IAS)
 Deputy Commissioner
 and District Election Officer,
 Mysore District.

23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*
 Date: **20.6.2019**
 Signature of the Expenditure Observer *[Signature]*

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately

SCRUTINY REPORT OF DEO

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO: 03

Name of the State: KARNATAKA District: DHARWAD Election ASSEMBLY: 70- KUNDAGOL

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	SRI BHANDIWAD ISHWARAPPA SHETTEPPA NEAR SHIVANAND MATH (KILLA) TQ; KUNDAGOL DIST ; DHARWAD
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	INDEPENDENT
3	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	70- KUNDAGOL
4	Name of the elected candidate	SMT.KUSUMAVATI C SHIVALLI
5	Date of declaration of result	23.05.2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18.06.2018
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	YES
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting.	YES
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	YES
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22.06.2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	YES
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate:	18.06.2019
	(i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting.	Original Account
12	Whether account lodged in time	YES
12 A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	NA
14 A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	NA
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	66,000.00
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	YES
17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	YES
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	YES
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	YES
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	YES
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	YES

18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect	NA																								
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect	NA																								
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.	NA																								
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:	YES																								
	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Items of expenditure</th> <th>Date</th> <th>Page No. of Shadow Observation Register</th> <th>Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence</th> <th>Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate</th> <th>Amount understated by the candidate</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>i</td> <td>-Nil-</td> <td>-Nil-</td> <td>-Nil-</td> <td>-Nil-</td> <td>-Nil-</td> </tr> <tr> <td>ii</td> <td>-Nil-</td> <td>-Nil-</td> <td>-Nil-</td> <td>-Nil-</td> <td>-Nil-</td> </tr> <tr> <td>TOTAL</td> <td>-Nil-</td> <td>-Nil-</td> <td>-Nil-</td> <td>-Nil-</td> <td>-Nil-</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate	i	-Nil-	-Nil-	-Nil-	-Nil-	-Nil-	ii	-Nil-	-Nil-	-Nil-	-Nil-	-Nil-	TOTAL	-Nil-	-Nil-	-Nil-	-Nil-	-Nil-	
Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate																					
i	-Nil-	-Nil-	-Nil-	-Nil-	-Nil-																					
ii	-Nil-	-Nil-	-Nil-	-Nil-	-Nil-																					
TOTAL	-Nil-	-Nil-	-Nil-	-Nil-	-Nil-																					

20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period	YES
	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:	NA
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	NA
21	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	NA
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?	NA
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	NA
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	NA
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO) Date :20/06/2019	YES

Signature (Name of the DEO)
(M. Deepa, IAS)
 Deputy Commissioner
 and District Election Officer,
 Dharwad District, Dharwad

23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*
 Date: 20.6.2019
 Signature of the Expenditure Observer *J.P. Palwa*

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately

SCRUTINY REPORT OF DEO

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO :04

Name of the State KARNATAKA District DHARWAD Election ASSEMBLY 70-KUNDAGOL

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE-89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	SRI TULASAPPA KARIYAPPA DASAR AT; 13,3RD MAIN ROAD, HEBBAL BANGALORE- 560032
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	INDEPENDENT
3	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	70- KUNDAGOL
4	Name of the elected candidate	SMT KUSUMAVATI C SHIVALLI
5	Date of declaration of result	23.05.2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18.06.2018
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	YES
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	YES
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	YES
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22.06.2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	YES
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate:	18.06.2019 Original Account
	(i) original account	
	(ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	
12	Whether account lodged in time	YES
12 A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	NA
14 A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	NA
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	5000
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	YES
17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	YES
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	YES
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	YES
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	YES
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	YES

18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect	NA																								
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect	NA																								
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.	NA																								
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:	YES																								
	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Items of expenditure</th> <th>Date</th> <th>Page No. of Shadow Observation Register</th> <th>Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence</th> <th>Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate</th> <th>Amount understated by the candidate</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>i</td> <td>--Nil--</td> <td>--Nil--</td> <td>--Nil--</td> <td>--Nil--</td> <td>--Nil--</td> </tr> <tr> <td>ii</td> <td>--Nil--</td> <td>--Nil--</td> <td>--Nil--</td> <td>--Nil--</td> <td>--Nil--</td> </tr> <tr> <td>TOTAL</td> <td>--Nil--</td> <td>--Nil--</td> <td>--Nil--</td> <td>--Nil--</td> <td>--Nil--</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate	i	--Nil--	--Nil--	--Nil--	--Nil--	--Nil--	ii	--Nil--	--Nil--	--Nil--	--Nil--	--Nil--	TOTAL	--Nil--	--Nil--	--Nil--	--Nil--	--Nil--	
Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate																					
i	--Nil--	--Nil--	--Nil--	--Nil--	--Nil--																					
ii	--Nil--	--Nil--	--Nil--	--Nil--	--Nil--																					
TOTAL	--Nil--	--Nil--	--Nil--	--Nil--	--Nil--																					

20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period	YES
	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:	NA
21	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	NA
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	NA
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?	NA
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	NA
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	NA
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO) Date :20/06/2019	YES Signature (Name of the DEO) (M. Deepa, IAS) Deputy Commissioner, and District Election Officer, Chharwad District, Charwa

23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*
Date: **20.6.2019**
Signature of the Expenditure Observer *JR Sharma*

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.
** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately



SCRUTINY REPORT OF DEO

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO -05

Name of the State KARNATAKA District DHARWAD Election ASSEMBLY 70- KUNDAGOL

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	SRI RAJU ANANTHSA NAIKWADI HOUSE NO ; 37 NANDNI NAGARA, PRIYADARSHINI COLONY GOKUL ROAD,HUBLI.
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	INDEPENDENT
3	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	70- KUNDAGOL
4	Name of the elected candidate	SMT KUSUMAVATI C SHIVALLI
5	Date of declaration of result	23.05.2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18.06.2018
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	YES
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	YES
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	YES
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22.06.2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	YES
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate:	18.06.2019 Original Account
	(i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	
12	Whether account lodged in time	YES
12A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	NA
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	NA
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	91,823.00
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	YES
17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	YES
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	YES
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	YES
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	YES
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	YES

18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect.	NA																								
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect	NA																								
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e, whether the defect was rectified or not.	NA																								
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:	YES																								
	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Items of expenditure</th> <th>Date</th> <th>Page No. of Shadow Observation Register</th> <th>Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence</th> <th>Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate</th> <th>Amount understated by the candidate</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>i</td> <td>--Nil--</td> <td>--Nil--</td> <td>--Nil--</td> <td>--Nil--</td> <td>--Nil--</td> </tr> <tr> <td>ii</td> <td>--Nil--</td> <td>--Nil--</td> <td>--Nil--</td> <td>--Nil--</td> <td>--Nil--</td> </tr> <tr> <td>TOTAL</td> <td>--Nil--</td> <td>--Nil--</td> <td>--Nil--</td> <td>--Nil--</td> <td>--Nil--</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate	i	--Nil--	--Nil--	--Nil--	--Nil--	--Nil--	ii	--Nil--	--Nil--	--Nil--	--Nil--	--Nil--	TOTAL	--Nil--	--Nil--	--Nil--	--Nil--	--Nil--	
Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate																					
i	--Nil--	--Nil--	--Nil--	--Nil--	--Nil--																					
ii	--Nil--	--Nil--	--Nil--	--Nil--	--Nil--																					
TOTAL	--Nil--	--Nil--	--Nil--	--Nil--	--Nil--																					
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period	YES																								
	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:	NA																								
21	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	NA																								
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	NA																								
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?	NA																								
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	NA																								
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	NA																								
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO) Date :20/06/2019	YES  Signature (Name of the DEO)																								
23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer* Date: 20-6-2019 Signature of the Expenditure Observer 		(M. Deepa, IAS) Deputy Commissioner and District Election Officer, Dharwad District, Dha																								
* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.																										
** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately																										



SCRUTINY REPORT OF DEO

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO 06

Name of the State KARNATAKA District DHARWAD Election ASSEMBLY 70- KUNDAGOL

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	Smt : SHAILA SURESH GONI AT; RAMAPUR, PO; MATTIGATTI TQ; KUNDAGOL DIST ; DHARWAD
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	INDEPENDENT
3	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	70- KUNDAGOL
4	Name of the elected candidate	Smt : KUSUMAVATI C SHIVALLI
5	Date of declaration of result	23.05.2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18.06.2018
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	YES
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	YES
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No): (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	YES
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22.06.2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	YES
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate:	18.06.2019
	(i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	Original Account
12	Whether account lodged in time	YES
12 A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	NA
14 A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	NA
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	72,840.00
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	YES
17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	YES
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	YES
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	YES
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	YES
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	YES

18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect						NA
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect						NA
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.						NA
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:						YES
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate	
	I	--Nil--	--Nil--	--Nil--	--Nil--	--Nil--	
	II	--Nil--	--Nil--	--Nil--	--Nil--	--Nil--	
	TOTAL	--Nil--	--Nil--	--Nil--	--Nil--	--Nil--	
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period						YES
	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:						NA
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting						NA
21	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice						NA
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?						NA
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply						NA
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation						NA
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO) Date :20/06/2019						YES  Signature (Name of the DEO) (M. Deepa, IAS) Deputy Commissioner and District Election Officer Dharwad District, Dhara
23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer† Date: 20.6.2019 Signature of the Expenditure Observer 							
* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.							
** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately							

SCRUTINY REPORT OF DEO

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO 07

Name of the State KARNATAKA District DHARWAD Election ASSEMBLY 70 - KUNDAGOL

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	SRI SIDDAPPA SATTYAPPA GODI AT ; PO; NOOLVI TQ; HUBBALLI DIST; DHARWAD
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	INDEPENDENT
3	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	70- KUNDAGOL
4	Name of the elected candidate	SMT KUSUMAVATI C SHIVALLI
5	Date of declaration of result	23.05.2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	18.06.2018
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	YES
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	YES
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	YES
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22.06.2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	YES
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate:	18.06.2019
	(i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	Original Account
12	Whether account lodged in time	YES
12 A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	NA
14 A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	NA
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	10,000.00
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	YES
17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details -	
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	YES
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	YES
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	YES
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	YES
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	YES

18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect	NA																								
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect	NA																								
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.	NA																								
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:	YES																								
	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Items of expenditure</th> <th>Date</th> <th>Page No. of Shadow Observation Register</th> <th>Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence</th> <th>Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate</th> <th>Amount understated by the candidate</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>I</td> <td>--Nil--</td> <td>--Nil--</td> <td>--Nil--</td> <td>--Nil--</td> <td>--Nil--</td> </tr> <tr> <td>II</td> <td>--Nil--</td> <td>--Nil--</td> <td>--Nil--</td> <td>--Nil--</td> <td>--Nil--</td> </tr> <tr> <td>TOTAL</td> <td>--Nil--</td> <td>--Nil--</td> <td>--Nil--</td> <td>--Nil--</td> <td>--Nil--</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate	I	--Nil--	--Nil--	--Nil--	--Nil--	--Nil--	II	--Nil--	--Nil--	--Nil--	--Nil--	--Nil--	TOTAL	--Nil--	--Nil--	--Nil--	--Nil--	--Nil--	
Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate																					
I	--Nil--	--Nil--	--Nil--	--Nil--	--Nil--																					
II	--Nil--	--Nil--	--Nil--	--Nil--	--Nil--																					
TOTAL	--Nil--	--Nil--	--Nil--	--Nil--	--Nil--																					

20 Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period

YES

21 If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:

(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting

NA

(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice

NA

(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?

NA

(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply


NA

(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation

NA


22 Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate.
(Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)
Date :20/06/2019

YES


Signature (Name of the DEO)
(M. Deepa, IAS)
Deputy Commissioner
and District Election Officer
Mangal District

23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*

Date: 20.6.2019

Signature of the Expenditure Observer 

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately

SCRUTINY REPORT OF DEO

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO 08

Name of the State KARNATAKA District DHARWAD Election ASSEMBLY 70- KUNDAGOL

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	Sri: SOMANNA CHANNABASAPPA METI AT: HOSALLI
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	TQ: KUNDAGOL DIST: DAHRWAD INDEPENDENT
3	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	70- KUNDAGOL
4	Name of the elected candidate	SMT KUSUMAVATI C SHIVALLI
5	Date of declaration of result	23.05.2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting.	18.06.2019
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	YES
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	YES
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	YES
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	22.06.2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	YES
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate:	18.06.2019
	(i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	Original Account
12	Whether account lodged in time	YES
12 A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	NA
14 A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	NA
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	49,025.00
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	YES
17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details.	
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	YES
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	YES
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	YES
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	YES
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	YES

18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect	NA
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect	NA
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.	NA
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:	YES


	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
i	--Nil--	--Nil--	--Nil--	--Nil--	--Nil--	--Nil--
ii	--Nil--	--Nil--	--Nil--	--Nil--	--Nil--	--Nil--
TOTAL	--Nil--	--Nil--	--Nil--	--Nil--	--Nil--	--Nil--


20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period	YES
----	---	-----

If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:

21	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	NA
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	NA
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?	NA
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	NA
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	NA

22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO) Date :20/06/2019	YES
----	---	-----

Signature (Name of the DEO)

(M. Deepa, IAS)
 Deputy Commissioner
 and District Election Officer
 Dharwad District, Dharwad

23: Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*
 Date: 20.6.2019
 Signature of the Expenditure Observer 

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately

1

