



**Government of Karnataka
(Revenue Department)**

Office of the Deputy Commissioner, Mandya District, Mandya

Mail – deo.mandya@gmail.com, Phone : 08232 – 224600, Fax : 08232 – 221417

No. ELN(1) 125/2018-19

Dated : 15/12/2018

To,
The Chief Electoral officer
Karnataka,
Nirvachana Nilaya
Sheshadri Road
Bengaluru-560001.

Sir,

Sub: Submission of accounts of Election Expenses
by the Candidates in the 20-mandya bye
elections 2018- regarding.

Kindly refer to the letter cited above, I am submitting herewith the information regarding submission of accounts of Election Expenses by the Candidates in 20-mandya bye elections 2018 in the prescribed format for kind information.

Yours faithfully,

Additional District Election Officer
Mandya District, Mandya



SUMMARY REPORT OF DEO FOR EACH CONSTITUENCY ON LODGING OF ELECTION EXPENSES ACCOUNTS BY CANDIDATES

9

(a) No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency:

20-Mandya Parliamentary Constituency
Karnataka, Mandya

(b) Total No. of contesting candidates:

06-11-2018

(c) State and District:

Karnataka, Mandya

(d) Date of declaration of result of election by election:

06-11-2018

(e) Last date of lodging accounts:

06-12-2018

(f) Name of the elected candidate:

Shivaramegowda L. R.
Janatha Dal (Secular)

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9		10		11
Sl. No.	Name of the candidate and Party Affiliation	Due date of lodging of account	Date of lodging of accounts by the candidate	Whether lodged in the prescribed format (Yes or No)	Whether lodged in the manner required by law (Yes or No)	Grand Total of the expenses incurred/ authorized by the candidate/ agent (as mentioned in Part-II of Abstract Statement)	Whether the DEO agrees with the amount shown by the candidate against all items of expenditure (Should be similar to point no. 22 of DEO's Scrutiny Report i.e. Annexure-C3)	Lump Sum Amount in cash or cheque given to candidate by each Political Party	Grand Total of other expenses in kind by the Political Party	Lump sum Amount in cash / cheque given to the candidate (and mention names of donors)	Grand total of other expenses in kind incurred for the candidate	Remarks of the Expenditure Observer
1	Shivaramegowda L. R. Janatha Dal (Secular)	06-12-2018	06-12-2018	Yes	Yes	6743152	Yes	Nil	2709780	Nil	Nil	No
2	Dr. Siddaramaiah Bharathiya Janatha Party	06-12-2018	06-12-2018	Yes	Yes	3104554	Yes	Nil	598328	Nil	Nil	No
3	Kovvle Channappa Independent	06-12-2018	06-12-2018	Yes	Yes	166749	Yes	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	No
4	B. S. Gowda Independent	06-12-2018	Accounts Not Lodged	Yes	Yes	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged
5	Naveen Kumar G. B Independent	06-12-2018	06-12-2018	Yes	Yes	134098	Yes	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	No
6	Nandish Independent	06-12-2018	Accounts Not Lodged	Yes	Yes	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged
7	K S Rajanna Independent	06-12-2018	06-12-2018	Yes	Yes	146347	Yes	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	No
8	Shambulingegowda (Gandhivadi) Independent	06-12-2018	06-12-2018	Yes	Yes	27520	Yes	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	No
9	M. Homanegowda Independent	06-12-2018	Accounts Not Lodged	Yes	Yes	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged	Accounts Not Lodged

Comments of the Expenditure Observer, if any.: No

Date: 07-12-2018

Manjushree N. I. A. S.

(Manjushree N., I. A. S.)
District Election Officer,
20-Mandya Parliamentary Constituency,
Mandya.

h. carsten

(Gautam Jain)
IRAS:06, (O-19664)
Expenditure Observer,
20-Mandya Parliamentary Constituency,
Mandya.

Receipt No : 320594/2018/CEO-ACCTS


Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO 01

Name of the State Karnataka District Mandya Election Parliamentary (Bye)

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961


Sl. No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	Sri. L R Shivaramgowda, No.339/1, T B Extension, Nagamangala, Mandya Dist.
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	Janatha Dal (Secular)
3	No.and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	20-Mandya Parliamentary Constituency
4	Name of the elected candidate	Sri. L R Shivaramgowda,
5	Date of declaration of result	06-11-2018
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	02-12-2018
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	06-12-2018
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate:	06-12-2018
	(i) Original account	
	(ii) Revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	Not Applicable
12	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	Not Applicable
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	Accounts Lodged in Time
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	Not Applicable
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	Not Applicable
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs. 67,42,152/-
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	Yes
	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	Not Applicable
17	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	Not Applicable
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	Not Applicable
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	Not Applicable
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	Not Applicable
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	Not Applicable
18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect	No Such Incident Happened
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect	
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.	

Receipt No : 320594/2018/CEO-ACCTS

Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:						Candidate expenditure is matching with shadow observation register	
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate	
i	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	
ii, iii	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	
TOTAL				Nil	Nil	Nil	
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period					Yes	
						23-10-2018	Not Produced
						27-10-2018	Produced
						31-10-2018	Produced
21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-					Yes, Agreed	
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting					Not Applicable	
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice					Not Applicable	
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?					Not Applicable	
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply					Not Applicable	
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation					Not Applicable	
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate.(Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)					Yes	
	Date:07-12-2018					 (Manjushree N., I. A. S.) District Election Officer, 20-Mandya Parliamentary Constituency, Mandya.	

23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*- No

Date: 07-12-2018


(Gautam Jain)
IRAS:06, (O-19664)
Expenditure Observer,
20-Mandya Parliamentary Constituency,
Mandya.

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

Receipt No : 320594/2018/CEO-ACCTS


Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO 02

Name of the State Karnataka District Mandya Election Parliamentary (Bye)

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961


Sl. No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	Sri. Dr. Siddaramaiah yathagadahalli village, kothathi hobli,mandya taluk, Mandya Dist.
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	Bharathiya Janatha Party
3	No.and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	20-Mandya Parliamentary Constituency
4	Name of the elected candidate	Sri. L R Shivaramgowda,
5q	Date of declaration of result	06-11-2018
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	02-12-2018
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	06-12-2018
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate:	06-12-2018
	(i) Original account	
	(ii) Revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	
12	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	Not Applicable
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	Accounts Lodged Intime
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	Not Applicable
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	Not Applicable
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs. 31,04,554/-
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	Yes
	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	Not Applicable
17	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	Not Applicable
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	Not Applicable
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	Not Applicable
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	Not Applicable
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	Not Applicable
18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect	No Such incident Happened
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect	
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.	

Receipt No : 320594/2018/CEO-ACCTS

Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:						Candidate expenditure is Matching with shadow observation register	
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate	
i	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	
ii, iii	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	
TOTAL				Nil	Nil	Nil	
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period					Yes	
						23-10-2018	Produced
						27-10-2018	Produced
						31-10-2018	Produced
21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-					Yes, Agreed	
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting					Not Applicable	
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice					Not Applicable	
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?					Not Applicable	
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply					Not Applicable	
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation					Not Applicable	
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate.(Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)					Yes	
	Date:07-12-2018					 (Manjushree N., I. A. S.) District Election Officer, 20-Mandya Parliamentary Constituency, Mandya.	

23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*- No

Date:07-12-2018


 (Gautam Jain)
 IRAS:06, (O-19664)
 Expenditure Observer,
 20-Mandya Parliamentary Constituency,
 Mandya.

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

Receipt No : 320594/2018/CEO-ACCTS


Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO 05

Name of the State Karnataka District Mandya Election Parliamentary (Bye)

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961


Sl. No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	Sri. Naveen Kumar G. B. B. Gowdagere Village & Post, Kasaba Hobli, Mandya Taluk, Mandya District.
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	Independent
3	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	20-Mandya Parliamentary Constituency
4	Name of the elected candidate	Sri. L R Shivaramgowda,
5	Date of declaration of result	06-11-2018
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	02-12-2018
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	06-12-2018
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate:	06-12-2018
	(i) Original account	
	(ii) Revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	Not Applicable
12	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	Not Applicable
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	Accounts Lodged in Time
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	Not Applicable
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	Not Applicable
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs. 1,34,098/-
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	Yes
	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	Not Applicable
17	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	Not Applicable
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	Not Applicable
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	Not Applicable
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	Not Applicable
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	Not Applicable
18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect	No Such Incident Happened
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect	
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.	
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:	Candidate expenditure is matching with shadow observation register

Receipt No : 320594/2018/CEO-ACCTS

	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate	
i	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	
ii, iii	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	
TOTAL				Nil	Nil	Nil	
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period					Yes	
						23-10-2018	Produced
						27-10-2018	Produced
						31-10-2018	Produced
21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-					Yes, Agreed	
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting					Not Applicable	
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice					Not Applicable	
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?					Not Applicable	
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply					Not Applicable	
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation					Not Applicable	
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate.(Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO) Date:07-12-2018					Yes  (Manjushree N., I. A. S.) District Election Officer, 20-Mandya Parliamentary Constituency, Mandya.	

23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*- No

Date:07-12-2018



(Gautam Jain)
IRAS:06, (O-19664)
Expenditure Observer,
20-Mandya Parliamentary Constituency,
Mandya.

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

Receipt No : 320594/2018/CEO-ACCTS


Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO 06


Name of the State Karnataka District Mandya Election Parliamentary (Bye)

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

Sl. No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	Sri. Nandish, No.22, Kuntnanahalli Village, Koppa Hobli, Maddur taluk, Mandya District-571419
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	Independent
3	No.and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	20-Mandya Parliamentary Constituency
4	Name of the elected candidate	Sri. L R Shivaramgowda,
5	Date of declaration of result	06-11-2018
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	02-12-2018
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Accounts Not Submitted
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	06-12-2018
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Accounts Not Submitted
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate:	Accounts Not Submitted
	(i) Original account	
	(ii) Revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	
12	Whether account lodged in time	Accounts Not Submitted
12A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	Accounts Not Submitted
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	Yes
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	No
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	Reply not submitted by the candidate Hence no Comments
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Accounts Not Submitted
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	Accounts Not Submitted
	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	Accounts Not Submitted
17	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	Accounts Not Submitted
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	Accounts Not Submitted
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	Accounts Not Submitted
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	Accounts Not Submitted
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	Accounts Not Submitted
18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect	Accounts Not Submitted
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect	
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.	
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:	Accounts Not Submitted

Receipt No : 320594/2018/CEO-ACCTS

	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation on Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
i	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
ii, iii	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
TOTAL				Nil	Nil	Nil
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period				No	
					23-10-2018	Not Produced
					27-10-2018	Not Produced
					31-10-2018	Not Produced
21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-				Accounts Not Submitted	
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting				Accounts Not Submitted	
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice				Accounts Not Submitted	
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?				Reply not submitted by the candidate.	
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply				Reply not submitted by the candidate.	
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation				Since explanation not submitted by the Candidate. Hence no comments.	
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate.(Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO) Date:07-12-2018				Accounts Not Submitted  (Manjushree N., I. A. S.) District Election Officer, 20-Mandya Parliamentary Constituency, Mandya.	

23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*- No
Date: 07-12-2018
 (Gautam Jain) IRAS:06, (O-19664) Expenditure Observer, 20-Mandya Parliamentary Constituency, Mandya.

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

Receipt No : 320594/2018/CEO-ACCTS


Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO 03

Name of the State Karnataka District Mandya Election Parliamentary (Bye)

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961


Sl. No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	Sri. Kowdle Channappa, Kowdle Village & Post, Koppa Hobli, Maddur Taluk, Mandya District.
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	Independent
3	No.and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	20-Mandya Parliamentary Constituency
4	Name of the elected candidate	Sri. L R Shivaramgowda,
5	Date of declaration of result	06-11-2018
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	02-12-2018
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	No
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	06-12-2018
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate:	06-12-2018
	(i) Original account	
	(ii) Revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	
12	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	Not Applicable
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	Accounts Lodged Intime
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	Not Applicable
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	Not Applicable
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs. 1,66,749/-
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	Yes
	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	Not Applicable
17	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	Not Applicable
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	Not Applicable
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	Not Applicable
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	Not Applicable
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	Not Applicable
18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect	No Such incident Happened
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect	
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.	
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:	Candidate expenditure is Matching with shadow observation register

Receipt No : 320594/2018/CEO-ACCTS

	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate	
i	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	
ii, iii	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	
TOTAL				Nil	Nil	Nil	
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period					Yes	
						23-10-2018	Produced
						27-10-2018	Produced
						31-10-2018	Produced
21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-					Yes, Agreed	
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting					Not Applicable	
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice					Not Applicable	
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?					Not Applicable	
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply					Not Applicable	
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation					Not Applicable	
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate.(Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)					Yes	
	Date:07-12-2018					 (Manjushree N., I. A. S.) District Election Officer, 20-Mandya Parliamentary Constituency, Mandya.	

23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*- No

Date: 07-12-2018


 (Gautam Jain)
 IRAS:06, (O-19664)
 Expenditure Observer,
 20-Mandya Parliamentary Constituency,
 Mandya.

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

Receipt No : 320594/2018/CEO-ACCTS


Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO 04

Name of the State Karnataka District Mandya Election Parliamentary (Bye)


DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

Sl. No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	Sri. Gowda B. S., No.170, Chandana Extension, Sunkadakatte, Magadi Road, Bengaluru-560091
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	Independent
3	No.and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	20-Mandya Parliamentary Constituency
4	Name of the elected candidate	Sri. L R Shivaramgowda,
5	Date of declaration of result	06-11-2018
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	02-12-2018
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Not Attended
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Accounts Not Submitted
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	06-12-2018
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Accounts Not Submitted
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate:	Accounts Not Submitted
	(i) Original account	
	(ii) Revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	
12	Whether account lodged in time	Accounts Not Submitted
12A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	Accounts Not Submitted
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	Yes
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	No
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	Reply not submitted by the candidate Hence no Comments
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Accounts Not Submitted
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules,	Accounts Not Submitted
	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	Accounts Not Submitted
17	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	Accounts Not Submitted
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	Accounts Not Submitted
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	Accounts Not Submitted
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	Yes
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	Accounts Not Submitted
18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect	Accounts Not Submitted
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect	
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.	

Receipt No : 320594/2018/CEO-ACCTS

19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:					Accounts Not Submitted	
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate	
i	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	
ii, iii	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	
TOTAL				Nil	Nil	Nil	
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period					Yes	
						23-10-2018	Not Produced
						27-10-2018	Produced
						31-10-2018	Not Produced
21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-					Accounts Not Submitted	
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting					Accounts Not Submitted	
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice					Accounts Not Submitted	
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?					Reply not submitted by the candidate.	
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply					Reply not submitted by the candidate.	
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation					Since explanation not submitted by the Candidate. Hence no comments.	
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate.(Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO) Date:07-12-2018					Accounts Not Submitted  (Manjushree N., I. A. S.) District Election Officer, 20-Mandya Parliamentary Constituency, Mandya.	

23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*- No


(Gautam Jain)
IRAS:06, (O-19664)
Expenditure Observer,
20-Mandya Parliamentary Constituency,
Mandya.

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

Receipt No : 320594/2018/CEO-ACCTS


Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO 07

Name of the State Karnataka District Mandya Election Parliamentary (Bye)

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961


Sl. No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	Sri. Rajanna K. S. No.03/124, 1st Cross, Hennur Main Road, Lingarajapura, Bengaluru-560084
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	Independent
3	No.and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	20-Mandya Parliamentary Constituency
4	Name of the elected candidate	Sri. L R Shivramegowda,
5	Date of declaration of result	06-11-2018
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	02-12-2018
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	06-12-2018
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate:	06-12-2018
	(i) Original account	
	(ii) Revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	Not Applicable
12	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	Not Applicable
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from	Accounts Lodged in Time
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	Not Applicable
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	Not Applicable
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs. 1,46,347/-
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	Yes
	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	Not Applicable
17	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	Not Applicable
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	Not Applicable
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	Not Applicable
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	Not Applicable
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	Not Applicable
18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect	No Such Incident Happened
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect	
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.	

Receipt No : 320594/2018/CEO-ACCTS

19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:					Candidate expenditure is matching with shadow observation register	
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate	
i	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	
ii, iii	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	
TOTAL				Nil	Nil	Nil	
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period					Yes	
						23-10-2018	Not Produced
						27-10-2018	Produced
						31-10-2018	Produced
21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-					Yes, Agreed	
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting					Not Applicable	
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice					Not Applicable	
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?					Not Applicable	
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply					Not Applicable	
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation					Not Applicable	
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate.(Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)					Yes	
	Date:07-12-2018					 (Manjushree N., I. A. S.) District Election Officer, 20-Mandya Parliamentary Constituency, Mandya.	

23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*- No

Date:07-12-2018


 (Gautam Jain)
 IRAS:06, (O-19664)
 Expenditure Observer,
 20-Mandya Parliamentary Constituency,
 Mandya.

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

Receipt No : 320594/2018/CEO-ACCTS


Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO 08

Name of the State Karnataka District Mandya Election Parliamentary (Bye)

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961


Sl. No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	Sri. Shambulingegowda (Gandhivadhi), No.219, V. V. Nagar, 9th Cross, Shivanandagowda Compound, Mandya.
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	Independent
3	No.and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	20-Mandya Parliamentary Constituency
4	Name of the elected candidate	Sri. L R Shivaramgowda,
5	Date of declaration of result	06-11-2018
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	02-12-2018
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	06-12-2018
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate:	06-12-2018
	(i) Original account	
	(ii) Revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	Not Applicable
12	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	Not Applicable
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	Accounts Lodged in Time
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	Not Applicable
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	Not Applicable
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs. 27,520/-
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	Yes
	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	Not Applicable
17	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	Not Applicable
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	Not Applicable
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	Not Applicable
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	Not Applicable
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	Not Applicable
18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect	No Such Incident Happened
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect	
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.	
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:	Candidate expenditure is matching with shadow observation register

Receipt No : 320594/2018/CEO-ACCTS

	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate	
i	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	
ii, iii	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	
TOTAL				Nil	Nil	Nil	
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period					No	
						23-10-2018	Not Produced
						27-10-2018	Not Produced
						31-10-2018	Not Produced
21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-					Yes, Agreed	
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting					Not Applicable	
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice					Not Applicable	
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?					Not Applicable	
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply					Not Applicable	
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation					Not Applicable	
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate.(Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO) Date:07-12-2018					Yes  (Manjushree N., I. A. S.) District Election Officer, 20-Mandya Parliamentary Constituency, Mandya.	

23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*- No

Date:07-12-2018


(Gautam Jain)
IRAS:06, (O-19664)
Expenditure Observer,
20-Mandya Parliamentary Constituency,
Mandya.

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

Receipt No : 320594/2018/CEO-ACCTS


Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO 09

Name of the State Karnataka District Mandya Election Parliamentary (Bye)

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

Sl. No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	Sri. Honnegowda M., No.10, Koramegowdana Koppalu Village, Arakere Hobli, Srirangapatna Taluk, Mandya District.
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	Independent
3	No.and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	20-Mandya Parliamentary Constituency
4	Name of the elected candidate	Sri. L R Shivaramgowda,
5	Date of declaration of result	06-11-2018
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	02-12-2018
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Not Attended
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Accounts Not Submitted
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	06-12-2018
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Accounts Not Submitted
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate:	Accounts Not Submitted
	(i) Original account	
	(ii) Revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	
12	Whether account lodged in time	Accounts Not Submitted
12A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	Accounts Not Submitted
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation	Yes
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	No
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	Reply not submitted by the candidate Hence no Comments
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Accounts Not Submitted
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules,	Accounts Not Submitted
	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	Accounts Not Submitted
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account	Accounts Not Submitted
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	Accounts Not Submitted
17	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	Accounts Not Submitted
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	Yes
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	Accounts Not Submitted
18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect	Accounts Not Submitted
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect	
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.	

Receipt No : 320594/2018/CEO-ACCTS

19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:					Accounts Not Submitted	
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate	
i	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	
ii, iii	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	
TOTAL					Nil	Nil	
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period					Yes	
						23-10-2018	Produced
						27-10-2018	Not Produced
						31-10-2018	Not Produced
21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-					Accounts Not Submitted	
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting					Accounts Not Submitted	
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice					Accounts Not Submitted	
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?					Reply not submitted by the candidate.	
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply					Reply not submitted by the candidate.	
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation					Since explanation not submitted by the Candidate. Hence no comments.	
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate.(Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO) Date:07-12-2018					Accounts Not Submitted  (Manjushree N., I. A. S.) District Election Officer, 20-Mandya Parliamentary Constituency, Mandya.	

23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*- No

Date: 07-12-2018



(Gautam Jain)
IRAS:06, (O-19664)
Expenditure Observer,
20-Mandya Parliamentary Constituency,
Mandya.

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.