

ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ಸರ್ಕಾರ

ಜಿಲ್ಲಾಧಿಕಾರಿಯವರ ಕಾರ್ಯಾಲಯ, ಜಿಲ್ಲಾ ಸಂಕೀರ್ಣ, ರಾಮನಗರ ಜಿಲ್ಲೆ, ರಾಮನಗರ

ಸಂ: ರಾಜಿಕಾ/ಇಎಲ್‌ಎನ್/ಸಿಆರ್/56/2018-19

ದಿನಾಂಕ: ೦೪ -01-2019

ಗೆ,
ಮಾನ್ಯ ಮುಖ್ಯ ಚುನಾವಣಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು,
ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ,
ನಿರ್ವಾಚನ ನಿಲಯ,
ಶೇಷಾದ್ರಿ ರಸ್ತೆ,
ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು.


ಮಾನ್ಯರೆ,

ವಿಷಯ:- 183-ರಾಮನಗರ ವಿಧಾನಸಭಾ ಉಪಚುನಾವಣೆ 2018ರ ಸಂಬಂಧ
ಚುನಾವಣಾ ವೆಚ್ಚದ ನಮೂನೆಗಳನ್ನು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸುವ ಬಗ್ಗೆ.

ಉಲ್ಲೇಖ:- ಭಾರತಾ ಚುನಾವಣಾ ಆಯೋಗದ ಪ್ರೆಸ್ ನೋಟ್ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ:
ಇಸಿಐ/ಪಿಎನ್/67/2018-19, ದಿನಾಂಕ:06-10-2018.

ವಿಷಯಕ್ಕೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದಂತೆ, 183-ರಾಮನಗರ ವಿಧಾನಸಭಾ ಉಪಚುನಾವಣೆ-2018ರ ಸಂಬಂಧ
ಚುನಾವಣೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸ್ಪರ್ಧಿಸಿರುವ ಅಭ್ಯರ್ಥಿಗಳು ಚುನಾವಣೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಖರ್ಚು ವೆಚ್ಚದ ವಿವರಗಳನ್ನು ಒಳಗೊಂಡ
ನಮೂನೆ-21ರ ಪ್ರತಿಗಳು ಹಾಗೂ Summary report ನಮೂನೆಗಳನ್ನು ಈ ಪತ್ರಕ್ಕೆ ಲಗತ್ತಿಸಿ ತಮ್ಮ
ಅವಗಾಹನೆಗೆ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿದೆ.

ತಮ್ಮ ವಿಶ್ವಾಸಿ,



ಅಪರ ಜಿಲ್ಲಾಧಿಕಾರಿ
ರಾಮನಗರ ಜಿಲ್ಲೆ, ರಾಮನಗರ.

SUMMARY REPORT OF DEO FOR BYE ELECTION RAMANAGARA CONSTITUENCY ON LODGING OF ELECTION EXPENSES ACCOUNTS BY CANDIDATES

a) No. And name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency: 183- Ramanagara
 c) State Karnataka and District : Ramanagara
 e) Last date of lodging accounts: 05-12-2018

b) Total No. Of contesting candidates: 7
 d) Date of declaration of result of election: 06-11-2018
 f) Name of the elected candidate : ANITHA KUMARASWAMY

Sl.No.	Name of the candidate and party Affiliation	Date date of lodging of account	Date of lodging of accounts by the candidate	Whether lodged in the prescribed formulae (Yes or No)	Grand total of the expenses incurred/authorised by the candidate (As per mentioned in Part-11 of Abstract Statement)	Whether the DEO agrees with the amount shown by the candidate against all items of expenditure (Should be similar to para no. 21 of DEO's Security Report Lo Annexure-11)	Total expenses incurred by the party (As reported in part-08 of Abstract Statement)		Total expenses incurred by others (As reported in part-09 of Abstract Statement)		Remarks of the Expenditure Observer
							Limited Amount in cash or cheque given to candidate by each Political Party	Grand Total of order expenses in kind by the Political Party	Limited Amount in cash or cheque given to candidate (and mention names of donors)	Grand Total of other expenses in kind incurred for the candidate	
1	Smt. ANITHA KUMARASWAMY, JANATHA QAL(S)	05-12-2018	30-11-2018	YES	1710531.00	YES	2500000.00	2500000.00	NIL	NIL	
2	SH.L.CHANDRASHEKAR, BHARATHYA JANATHA PARTY	05-12-2018	30-11-2018	YES	559611.00	YES	NIL	NIL	424000.00	NIL	
3	SH.H.D BEVANNA, PODBAYANICAL MAHA PANCHAYATH PARTY	05-12-2018	30-11-2018	YES	2000.00	YES	NIL	NIL	NIL	NIL	
4	SH.KUMARA NAIK, INDEPENDENT	05-12-2018	30-11-2018	YES	16000.00	YES	NIL	NIL	NIL	NIL	
5	SH.D.M.SADEGOWDA, INDEPENDENT	05-12-2018	30-11-2018	YES	50880.00	YES	NIL	NIL	NIL	NIL	
6	SH.MUHYAPPA, INDEPENDENT	05-12-2018	30-11-2018	YES	1000.00	YES	NIL	NIL	NIL	NIL	
7	SH. SURENDRA, INDEPENDENT	05-12-2018	30-11-2018	YES	49547.00	YES	NIL	NIL	NIL	NIL	


 (Captain Dr. K. Rajendra, IAS.)
 Deputy Commissioner & DEO
 Ramanagara District

Comments of the Expenditure Observer if any - As per Annexed note

Date: 03-12-2018


 03/12/18
 Signature of the Expenditure Observer



ANNEXURE-21

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO , 01

Name of the State: KARNATAKAKA District:RAMANAGARA Election 183-RAMANAGARA
LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY CONSTITUENCY BYE ELECTION-2018

DEO's SCRUTINTY ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULES 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
Sl.No	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	Smt,Anithakumaraswamy
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	Janatha Dal(S)
3	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	183-Ramanagara Legislative Assembly Constituency Bye Election-2018
4	Name of the elected candidate	Smt,Anithakumaraswamy
5	Date of declaration of result	06-11-2018
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	03-12-2018
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting on writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes/No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	No defects
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	05-12-2018
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate:	Original account
	(i) Original account: (ii) Revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting:	
12	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12 A	If not lodged in time period of delay	Nil days
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from candidate.	Not Applicable

	If not, reason thereof.					
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate				Not Applicable	
14 A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate				Not Applicable	
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement				Rs.1710531.00	
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R.P. Act 1951 and C.E Rules, 1961				Yes	
17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details				Not Applicable	
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of day to Day Account Register, Cash register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged				-	
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate				-	
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted				-	
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election				-	
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account				-	
18	Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect				Not Applicable	
	Whether the candidate rectified the defect					
	Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not					
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence.				Yes	
	If No, then mention the following :					
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation register	Mention amount as per the shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the Candidate
I						

ii.iii...					
TOTAL					
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/ Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period?	Yes			
21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-	Not Applicable			
	(i). Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	-			
	(ii). If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice.	-			
	(iii). Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	-			
	(iv). If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	-			
	(v). DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	-			
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no.8 of Summary Report of DEO)	Yes			
	Date:03-12-2018	 (Captain Dr. K Rajendra, IAS.,) Deputy Commissioner & DEO Ramanagara District			
23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-		 Date:03-12-2018			
		Signature of the Expenditure Observer Expenditure Observer 183-Ramanagara. LAC Bye Election 2018			



- If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.
- The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission, If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO , 02

Name of the State: KARNATAKAKA District:RAMANAGARA Election 183-RAMANAGARA
LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY CONSTITUENCY BYE ELECTION-2018

DEO's SCRUTINTY ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULES 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
Sl.No	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	Sri, L.Chandrashekar
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	BharatiyaJanatha Party
3	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	183-Ramanagara Legislative Assembly Constituency Bye Election-2018
4	Name of the elected candidate	Smt,Anithakumaraswamy
5	Date of declaration of result	06-11-2018
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	03-12-2018
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting on writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes/No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	No defects
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	05-12-2018
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate:	Original account
	(iii) Original account: (iv) Revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting:	
12	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12 A	If not lodged in time period of delay	Nil days
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from candidate.	Not Applicable

	If not, reason thereof.					
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate				Not Applicable	
14 A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate				Not Applicable	
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement				Rs.559611.00	
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R.P. Act 1951 and C.E Rules, 1961				Yes	
17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details				Not Applicable	
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of day to Day Account Register, Cash register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged				-	
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate				-	
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted				-	
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election				-	
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account				-	
18	Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect				Not Applicable	
	Whether the candidate rectified the defect					
	Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not					
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following :				Yes	
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation register	Mention amount as per the shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the Candidate
I						

ii.iii...					
TOTAL					
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/ Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period?	Yes			
21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-	Not Applicable			
	(i). Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	-			
	(ii). If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice.	-			
	(iii). Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	-			
	(iv). If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	-			
	(v). DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	-			
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no.8 of Summary Report of DEO)	Yes			
	Date:03-12-2018	 (Captain Dr. K Rajendra, IAS.,) Deputy Commissioner & DEO Ramanagara District			
23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-					
Date:03-12-2018		 Signature of the Expenditure Observer Expenditure Observer 183-Ramanagara. LAC Bye Election-2018			

- If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.
- The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission, If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.



ANNEXURE-21

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO , 03

Name of the State: KARNATAKAKA District:RAMANAGARA Election 183-RAMANAGARA
LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY CONSTITUENCY BYE ELECTION-2018**DEO's SCRUTINTY ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER
RULES 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961**

Sl.No	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	Sri,H.D Revanna
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	PoorvanchalaMaha Panchayath Party
3	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	183-Ramanagara Legislative Assembly Constituency Bye Election-2018
4	Name of the elected candidate	Smt,Anithakumaraswamy
5	Date of declaration of result	06-11-2018
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	03-12-2018
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting on writing	Yes .
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes/No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	No defects
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	05-12-2018
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate:	Original account
	(v) Original account: (vi) Revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting:	
12	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12 A	If not lodged in time period of delay	Nil days
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from candidate.	Not Applicable

	candidate. If not, reason thereof.					
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate				Not Applicable	
14 A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate				Not Applicable	
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement				Rs.2000.00	
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R.P. Act 1951 and C.E Rules, 1961				Yes	
17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details				Not Applicable	
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of day to Day Account Register, Cash register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged					
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate					
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted					
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election					
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account					
18	Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect					
	Whether the candidate rectified the defect					
	Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not				Not Applicable	
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following :				Yes	
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation register	Mention amount as per the shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the Candidate

ii.iii...					
TOTAL					
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/ Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period?	Yes			
21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-	Not Applicable			
	(i). Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	-			
	(ii). If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice.	-			
	(iii). Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	-			
	(iv). If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	-			
	(v). DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	-			
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no.8 of Summary Report of DEO)	Yes			
	Date:03-12-2018	 (Captain Dr. K Rajendra, IAS.,) Deputy Commissioner & DEO Ramanagara District			
23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-					
Date:03-12-2018		 Signature of the Expenditure Observer Expenditure Observer 183-Ramanagara. LAC Bye Election-2018			

- If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.
- The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission, If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.



ANNEXURE-21

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO , 04

Name of the State: KARNATAKAKA District:RAMANAGARA Election 183-RAMANAGARA
LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY CONSTITUENCY BYE ELECTION-2018

DEO's SCRUTINTY ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULES 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
Sl.No	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	Sri, Kumara Naik
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	Independent
3	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	183-Ramanagara Legislative Assembly Constituency Bye Election-2018
4	Name of the elected candidate	Smt,Anithakumaraswamy
5	Date of declaration of result	06-11-2018
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	03-12-2018
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting on writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes/No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	No defects
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	05-12-2018
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (vii) Original account: (viii) Revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting:	Original account
12	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12 A	If not lodged in time period of delay	Nil days
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from candidate.	Not Applicable

	If not, reason thereof.					
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate					
14 A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate					
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement					
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R.P. Act 1951 and C.E Rules, 1961					
17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details					
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of day to Day Account Register, Cash register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged					
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate					
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted					
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election					
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account					
18	Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect					
	Whether the candidate rectified the defect					
	Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not					
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence.					
	If No, then mention the following :					
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation register	Mention amount as per the shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the Candidate
I						

ii.iii...					
TOTAL					
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/ Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period?	Yes			
21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-	Not Applicable			
	(i). Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	-			
	(ii). If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice.	-			
	(iii). Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	-			
	(iv). If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	-			
	(v). DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	-			
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no.8 of Summary Report of DEO)	Yes			
	Date:03-12-2018	 (Captain Dr. K Rajendra, IAS.,) Deputy Commissioner & DEO Ramanagara District			
23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-					
Date:03-12-2018		 Signature of the Expenditure Observer Expenditure Observer 183-Ramanagara. LAC Bye Election-2018			

- If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.
- The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission, If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.



Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO , 05

Name of the State: KARNATAKAKA District:RAMANAGARA Election 183-RAMANAGARA
LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY CONSTITUENCY BYE ELECTION-2018

**DEO's SCRUTINTY ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER
RULES 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961**

Sl.No	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	Sri, D.M.Madegowda
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	Independent
3	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	183-Ramanagara Legislative Assembly Constituency Bye Election-2018
4	Name of the elected candidate	Smt,Anithakumaraswamy
5	Date of declaration of result	06-11-2018
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	03-12-2018
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting on writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes/No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	No defects
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	05-12-2018
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate:	Original account
	(ix) Original account: (x) Revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting:	
12	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12 A	If not lodged in time period of delay	Nil days
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from candidate.	Not Applicable

	If not, reason thereof.					
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate					
14 A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate					
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement					
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R.P. Act 1951 and C.E Rules, 1961					
17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details					
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of day to Day Account Register, Cash register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged					
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate					
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted					
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election					
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account					
18	Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect					
	Whether the candidate rectified the defect					
	Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not					
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence.					
	If No, then mention the following :					
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation register	Mention amount as per the shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the Candidate
I						

ii.iii...					
TOTAL					
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/ Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period?	Yes			
21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-	Not Applicable			
	(i). Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	-			
	(ii). If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice.	-			
	(iii). Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	-			
	(iv). If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	-			
	(v). DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	-			
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no.8 of Summary Report of DEO)	Yes			
	Date:03-12-2018	 (Captain Dr. K Rajendra, IAS.,) Deputy Commissioner & DEO Ramanagara District			
23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-					
Date:03-12-2018		 Signature of the Expenditure Observer Expenditure Observer 183-Ramanagara. LAC Bye Election-2018			



- If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.
- The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission, If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO , 06

Name of the State: KARNATAKAKA District:RAMANAGARA Election 183-RAMANAGARA
LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY CONSTITUENCY BYE ELECTION-2018

DEO's SCRUTINTY ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULES 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
Sl.No	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	Sri,Muniyappa
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	Independent
3	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	183-Ramanagara Legislative Assembly Constituency Bye Election-2018
4	Name of the elected candidate	Smt,Anithakumaraswamy
5	Date of declaration of result	06-11-2018
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	03-12-2018
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting on writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes/No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	No defects
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	05-12-2018
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate:	Original account
	(xi) Original account; (xii) Revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting:	
12	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12 A	If not lodged in time period of delay	Nil days
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from candidate.	Not Applicable

	If not, reason thereof.					
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate					
14 A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate					
	Not Applicable					
	Not Applicable					
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement					
	Rs.1000.00					
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R.P. Act 1951 and C.E Rules, 1961					
	Yes					
17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details					
	Not Applicable					
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of day to Day Account Register, Cash register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged					
	-					
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate					
	-					
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted					
	-					
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election					
	-					
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account					
	-					
18	Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect					
	Whether the candidate rectified the defect					
	Not Applicable					
	Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not					
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence.					
	Yes					
	If No, then mention the following :					
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation register	Mention amount as per the shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the Candidate
1						

ii.iii...				
TOTAL				
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/ Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period?	Yes		
21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-	Not Applicable		
	(i). Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	-		
	(ii). If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice.	-		
	(iii). Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	-		
	(iv). If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	-		
	(v). DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	-		
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no.8 of Summary Report of DEO)	Yes		
	Date:03-12-2018	 (Captain Dr. K Rajendra, IAS.,) Deputy Commissioner & DEO Ramanagara District		
23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-				
	Date:03-12-2018	 Signature of the Expenditure Observer Expenditure Observer 183-Ramanagara. LAC Bye Election-2018		

- If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.
- The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission, If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO , 07



Name of the State: KARNATAKAKA District:RAMANAGARA Election 183-RAMANAGARA LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY CONSTITUENCY BYE ELECTION-2018

DEO'S SCRUTINTY ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULES 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

Sl.No	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	Sri, Surendra
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	Independent
3	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	183-Ramanagara Legislative Assembly Constituency Bye Election-2018
4	Name of the elected candidate	Smt,Anthakumaraswamy
5	Date of declaration of result	06-11-2018
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	03-12-2018
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting on writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes/No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	No defects
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	05-12-2018
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (xiii) Original account: (xiv) Revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting:	Original account
12	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12 A	If not lodged in time period of delay	Nil days
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from candidate.	Not Applicable

	If not, reason thereof.					
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate					
14 A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate					
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement					
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R.P. Act 1951 and C.E Rules, 1961					
17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details					
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of day to Day Account Register, Cash register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged					
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate					
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted					
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election					
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account					
18	Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect					
	Whether the candidate rectified the defect					
	Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not					
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence.					
	If No, then mention the following :					
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation register	Mention amount as per the shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the Candidate
I						

ii.iii...
TOTAL

20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/ Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period?	Yes
21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:- (i). Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting (ii). If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (If it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice. (iii). Did the candidate give any reply to the notice? (iv). If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply (v). DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	Not Applicable
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no.8 of Summary Report of DEO)	Yes
	Date:03-12-2018	 (Captain Dr. K Rajendra, IAS.,) Deputy Commissioner & DEO Ramanagara District
23.	Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*- Date:03-12-2018	 Signature of the Expenditure Observer Expenditure Observer 183-Ramanagara. LAC Bye Election-2018

- If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.
- The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission, If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.